Proofs for Neural Network Library

Navdeep Kumar

March 2020

1 Math prem

Trace is cyclic.

trace(AB) = trace(BA)

Dot product is related with trace in this fashion.

 $< A, B > = trace(A^T B)$

trace(ABC) = trace(BCA) = trace(CAB)

 $\langle AB, C \rangle = trace((AB)^TC)$

trace(s) = s, if s is scaler.

Common notion of gradient form in multi-dimension input and output.

$$\Delta y = <\frac{dy}{dx}, \Delta x> = \frac{dy}{dx}^T \Delta x = trace(\frac{dy}{dx}^T \Delta x)$$

2 Neural Network

 y_0 be input, y* be label (desired output). $N_1, N_2, ..., N_m$ be m layers.

$$y_i = N_i(y_{i-1})$$

So, y_m is the ouput of NN (Neural Network). Let L be the loss function,

$$l = L(y_m, y*)$$

3 mse

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be input, $y* \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be the label, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be the output of mse layer. Let $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be mean squared loss.

3.0.1 Forward pass

$$y = L(x, y*) = 1/2||x - y*||^2$$

3.1 Backward pass

$$dy := \frac{dL}{dy} = \frac{dL}{dL} = 1$$

$$y = 1/2(x - y*)^{T}(y_{m} - y*)$$

$$\Delta y = 1/2(x + \Delta x - y*)^{T}(x + \Delta x - y*) - 1/2(x - y*)^{T}(x - y*)$$

$$= 1/2((\Delta x)^{T}(x - y*) + (x - y*)^{T}\Delta x + (\Delta x)^{T}\Delta x)$$

$$= \Delta x^{T}(x - y*)$$

$$= \langle (x - y*), \Delta x \rangle$$

We got,

$$\Delta y = \langle (x - y*), \Delta x \rangle$$

 $\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = (x - y*)$

By chain rule,

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{dL}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx}$$

Putting the values,

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = (dy)(x - y*) = (x - y*)$$
$$dx := \frac{dL}{dx} = dy(x - y*)$$

3.2 Weight gradient

Since, there is no weights in mse layer, so nothing is required.

4 Linear

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be the output of linear layer. $w \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ be the weight matrix and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be loss. $dy := \frac{dL}{dy} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be output loss gradient.

4.1 forward pass

$$y = wx$$

4.2 backward pass

$$y = wx$$

$$\Delta y = w(x + \Delta x) - wx = w\Delta x$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, w\Delta x \rangle$$

$$= \frac{dL}{dy}^T w\Delta x$$

$$= (\frac{dL}{dy}^T w)\Delta x$$

$$= \langle (\frac{dL}{dy}^T w)^T, \Delta x \rangle$$

$$= \langle w^T \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x \rangle$$

So we got the terms in required form,

$$\Delta L = < w^{T} \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x >$$

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = w^{T} \frac{dL}{dy}$$

$$dx := \frac{dL}{dx} = w^{T} \frac{dL}{dy}$$

4.3 Gradient pass

$$y = wx$$

$$\Delta y = (w + \Delta w)x - wx = \Delta wx$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta wx \rangle$$

$$= \frac{dL}{dy}^T \Delta wx$$

$$= trace(\frac{dL}{dy}^T \Delta wx)$$

$$= trace(x \frac{dL}{dy}^T \Delta w)$$

$$= <(x\frac{dL}{dy}^T)^T, \Delta w>$$
$$= <\frac{dL}{dy}x^T, \Delta w>$$

we got,

$$\begin{split} \Delta L = <\frac{dL}{dy}x^T, \Delta w> \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dw} = \frac{dL}{dy}x^T \end{split}$$

5 Add

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be the output of linear layer. $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be the weight matrix and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be loss. $dy := \frac{dL}{dy} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be output loss gradient.

5.1 Forward pass

$$y = x + w$$

5.2 Backward pass

$$y = x + w$$

$$\Delta y = x + \Delta x + w - (x + w) = \Delta x$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{dL}{dy}$$

5.3 Gradient pass

$$y = x + w$$

$$\Delta y = x + \Delta w + w - (x + w) = \Delta w$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta w \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dw} = \frac{dL}{dy}$$

6 Convolution with infinte dimension input

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be the output of convolution layer. $w \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be the weight matrix (filter) and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be loss. $dy := \frac{dL}{dy} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be output loss gradient.

We take $x \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ to ignore the mode of padding (like 'full', 'valid','same', 'custom') in convolution , as in infinte dimensional input padding of input is meaningless. It makes proof little simple and yet conveys basic idea. Proof follows even if $w \in \mathbf{R}^k$ but breaks down with finite dimensional input. Reader is encouraged to find out in below proof where it would break down in case of finit-dimensional input.

Note - All summation index below runs from $-\infty$ to ∞

6.1 Definition

ullet Correlation

$$y = x \odot w$$
$$y_i := \Sigma_j x_{i+j} w_j$$

• Convolution

$$y = x \otimes w$$
$$y_i := \Sigma_j x_{i-j} w_j$$

6.2 Properties

Linearity of Convolution and Correlation

$$(A+B) \odot C = A \odot C + B \odot C$$

$$A \odot (B+C) = A \odot B + A \odot C$$

$$(A+B) \otimes C = A \otimes C + B \otimes C$$

 $A \otimes (B+C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C$ It is very easy to verify from the definition above.

Dot product with correlation and convolution

- 1) $\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \langle C, B \odot A \rangle$
- $2) \quad <A, B\otimes C> = < A, B\otimes A>$
- 3) $\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \langle B, A \otimes C \rangle$
- 4) $\langle A, B \otimes C \rangle = \langle B, A \odot C \rangle$

Proof.

1)
$$\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \sum_{i} A_{i} (B \odot C)_{i} \qquad \text{-def of dot product}$$

$$= \sum_{i} A_{i} (\sum_{p} B_{i+j} C_{j}) \qquad \text{- def of correlation}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i} B_{i+j} C_{j}$$

$$= \Sigma_j \Sigma_i C_j B_{i+j} A_i$$
 - switching summation

$$= \sum_{j} C_{j} \sum_{i} B_{i+j} A_{i}$$

$$= \Sigma_j C_j (\Sigma_i B_{i+j} A_i)$$

$$= \Sigma_j C_j (B \odot A)_j$$
 – def of correlation

$$< C, B \odot A >$$
 — def dot product

2). Similary,

 $\langle A, B \otimes C \rangle = \langle A, B \otimes A \rangle$ just interchanging correlation and convolution.

3).
$$\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \Sigma_i A_i (B \odot C)_i$$
 —def of dot product

$$= \sum_{i} A_i (\sum_{p} B_{i+j} C_j)$$
 —def of correlation.

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{p} A_{i} B_{i+j} C_{j} -$$

Change of variable, putting p = i + j and j = j, $\Rightarrow i = k - j$

$$= \Sigma_p \Sigma_p A_{p-j} B_p C_j$$

$$= \Sigma_p B_p (\Sigma_p A_{p-j} C_j)$$

$$= \Sigma_p B_p (A \otimes C)_p$$

$$< B, A \otimes C >$$

4) Similarly, $\langle A, B \otimes C \rangle = \langle B, A \odot C \rangle$

Correlation1D

 $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ is output, $w \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times l}$ is filter, $L \in \mathbf{R}$ is scaler valued Loss/cost.

7.1 Forward Pass

$$y=x\odot w$$

7.2 **Backward Pass**

$$y = x \odot w$$

$$\Delta y = (x + \Delta x) \odot w - x \odot w$$

$$= \Delta x \odot w$$

$$\Delta L = <\frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y >$$

$$= <\frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x \odot w >$$

$$= <\Delta x, \frac{dL}{dy} \otimes w >$$

$$= <\frac{dL}{dy} \odot w, \Delta x >$$

So, we got things in desired form,

$$\Delta L = <\frac{dL}{dy} \otimes w, \Delta x>$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{dL}{dy} \otimes w$$

7.3 Gradient Pass

$$y = x \odot w$$

$$\Delta y = x \odot (w + \Delta w) - x \odot w$$

$$= x \odot \Delta w$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$
 - def of Δ

$$= <\frac{dL}{dy}, x\odot \Delta w>$$
 — puting value of Δy

=<
$$\Delta w, x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}$$
 > - switching variable, see property 6.2.1

$$= \langle x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta w \rangle$$

So, we got things in desired form,

$$\Delta L = \langle x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta w \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dw} = x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}$$