1 Math prem

Trace is cyclic.

trace(AB) = trace(BA)

Dot product is related with trace in this fashion.

 $\langle A, B \rangle = trace(A^T B)$

trace(ABC) = trace(BCA) = trace(CAB)

 $\langle AB, C \rangle = trace((AB)^TC)$

trace(s) = s, if s is scaler.

Common notion of gradient form in multi-dimension input and output.

$$\Delta y = \langle \frac{dy}{dx}, \Delta x \rangle = \frac{dy}{dx}^T \Delta x = trace(\frac{dy}{dx}^T \Delta x)$$

2 Neural Network

 y_0 be input, y* be label (desired output). $N_1, N_2, ..., N_m$ be m layers.

$$y_i = N_i(y_{i-1})$$

So, y_m is the ouput of NN (Neural Network). Let L be the loss function,

$$l = L(y_m, y*)$$

3 mse

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be input, $y* \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be the label, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be the output of mse layer. Let $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be mean squared loss.

3.0.1 Forward pass

$$y = L(x, y*) = 1/2||x - y*||^2$$

3.1 Backward pass

$$dy := \frac{dL}{dy} = \frac{dL}{dL} = 1$$

$$y = 1/2(x - y*)^{T}(y_{m} - y*)$$

$$\Delta y = 1/2(x + \Delta x - y*)^{T}(x + \Delta x - y*) - 1/2(x - y*)^{T}(x - y*)$$

$$= 1/2((\Delta x)^{T}(x - y*) + (x - y*)^{T}\Delta x + (\Delta x)^{T}\Delta x)$$

$$= \Delta x^{T}(x - y*)$$

$$= \langle (x - y*), \Delta x \rangle$$

We got,

$$\Delta y = <(x - y*), \Delta x>$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = (x - y*)$$

By chain rule,

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{dL}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx}$$

Putting the values,

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = (dy)(x - y*) = (x - y*)$$
$$dx := \frac{dL}{dx} = dy(x - y*)$$

3.2 Weight gradient

Since, there is no weights in mse layer, so nothing is required.

4 Linear

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be the output of linear layer. $w \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ be the weight matrix and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be loss. $dy := \frac{dL}{dy} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be output loss gradient.

4.1 forward pass

$$y = wx$$

4.2 backward pass

$$y = wx$$

$$\Delta y = w(x + \Delta x) - wx = w\Delta x$$

$$\Delta L = < \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y >$$

$$= < \frac{dL}{dy}, w\Delta x >$$

$$= \frac{dL}{dy}^T w\Delta x$$

$$= (\frac{dL}{dy}^T w)\Delta x$$

$$= < (\frac{dL}{dy}^T w)^T, \Delta x >$$

$$= < w^T \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x >$$

So we got the terms in required form,

$$\Delta L = \langle w^T \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x \rangle$$

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = w^T \frac{dL}{dy}$$

$$dx := \frac{dL}{dx} = w^T \frac{dL}{dy}$$

4.3 Gradient pass

$$y = wx$$

$$\Delta y = (w + \Delta w)x - wx = \Delta wx$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta wx \rangle$$

$$= \frac{dL}{dy}^T \Delta wx$$

$$= trace(\frac{dL}{dy}^T \Delta wx)$$

$$= trace(x\frac{dL}{dy}^T \Delta w)$$

$$= \langle (x\frac{dL}{dy}^T)^T, \Delta w \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{dL}{dy}x^T, \Delta w \rangle$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}x^T, \Delta w \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dw} = \frac{dL}{dy}x^T$$

we got,

5 Add

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be the output of linear layer. $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be the weight matrix and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be loss. $dy := \frac{dL}{dy} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be output loss gradient.

5.1 Forward pass

$$y = x + w$$

5.2 Backward pass

$$y = x + w$$

$$\Delta y = x + \Delta x + w - (x + w) = \Delta x$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{dL}{dy}$$

5.3 Gradient pass

$$y = x + w$$

$$\Delta y = x + \Delta w + w - (x + w) = \Delta w$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta w \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dw} = \frac{dL}{dy}$$

6 Convolution with infinte dimension input

Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be the output of convolution layer. $w \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be the weight matrix (filter) and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ be loss. $dy := \frac{dL}{dy} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ be output loss gradient.

We take $x \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ to ignore the mode of padding (like 'full', 'valid','same', 'custom') in convolution , as in infinte dimensional input padding of input is meaningless. It makes proof little simple and yet conveys basic idea. Proof follows even if $w \in \mathbf{R}^k$ but breaks down with finite dimensional input. Reader is encouraged to find out in below proof where it would break down in case of finit-dimensional input.

Note - All summation index below runs from $-\infty$ to ∞

6.1 Definition

• Correlation

$$y = x \odot w$$
$$y_i := \Sigma_j x_{i+j} w_j$$

ullet Convolution

$$y = x \otimes w$$
$$y_i := \Sigma_j x_{i-j} w_j$$

6.2**Properties**

Linearity of Convolution and Correlation

$$(A+B)\odot C=A\odot C+B\odot C$$

$$A \odot (B+C) = A \odot B + A \odot C$$

$$(A+B) \otimes C = A \otimes C + B \otimes C$$

$$A \otimes (B + C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C$$

It is very easy to verify from the definition above.

Dot product with correlation and convolution

$$1) \quad < A, B \odot C > \quad = \quad < C, B \odot A >$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} (A, B \otimes C) &=& < A, B \otimes A > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < A, B \otimes A > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C > \\ (A, B \otimes C) &=& < B, A \otimes C >$$

3)
$$\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \langle B, A \otimes C \rangle$$

4)
$$\langle A, B \otimes C \rangle = \langle B, A \odot C \rangle$$

Proof,

1)

$$\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \sum_{i} A_{i}(B \odot C)_{i}$$
 —def of dot product

$$= \sum_{i} A_i (\sum_{p} B_{i+j} C_j) - \text{def of correlation}$$

$$= \Sigma_i \Sigma_j A_i B_{i+j} C_j$$

$$= \Sigma_j \Sigma_i C_j B_{i+j} A_i$$
 - switching summation

$$= \sum_{j} C_{j} \sum_{i} B_{i+j} A_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i} C_{i} (\sum_{i} B_{i+i} A_{i})$$

$$= \Sigma_j C_j (B \odot A)_j$$
 – def of correlation

$$< C, B \odot A >$$
 — def dot product

2). Similary,

 $\langle A, B \otimes C \rangle = \langle A, B \otimes A \rangle$ just interchanging correlation and convolution.

3).
$$\langle A, B \odot C \rangle = \Sigma_i A_i (B \odot C)_i$$
 -def of dot product

$$= \sum_{i} A_i (\sum_{p} B_{i+j} C_i)$$
 —def of correlation.

$$= \Sigma_i \Sigma_p A_i B_{i+j} C_j$$

Change of variable, putting p = i + j and j = j, $\Rightarrow i = k - j$

$$= \Sigma_p \Sigma_p A_{p-j} B_p C_j$$

$$= \Sigma_p B_p(\Sigma_p A_{p-j} C_j)$$

$$= \Sigma_p B_p (A \otimes C)_p$$

$$< B, A \otimes C >$$
 4) Similarl,
$$< A, B \otimes C > = < B, A \odot C >$$

7 Correlation1D

 $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is input, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ is output, $w \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times l}$ is filter, $L \in \mathbf{R}$ is scaler valued Loss/cost.

7.1 Forward Pass

$$y = x \odot w$$

7.2 Backward Pass

$$y = x \odot w$$

$$\Delta y = (x + \Delta x) \odot w - x \odot w$$

$$= \Delta x \odot w$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta x \odot w \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Delta x, \frac{dL}{dy} \otimes w \rangle$$

$$= \langle \frac{dL}{dy} \odot w, \Delta x \rangle$$

So, we got things in desired form,

$$\Delta L = <\frac{dL}{dy} \otimes w, \Delta x>$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dx} = \frac{dL}{dy} \otimes w$$

Gradient Pass 7.3

$$y = x \odot w$$

$$\Delta y = x \odot (w + \Delta w) - x \odot w$$

$$=x\odot\Delta w$$

$$\Delta L = \langle \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta y \rangle$$
 — def of Δ

$$= <\frac{dL}{du}, x \odot \Delta w >$$
 - puting value of Δy

$$= <\frac{dL}{dy}, x\odot \Delta w> \qquad \text{- puting value of } \Delta y$$

$$= <\Delta w, x\odot \frac{dL}{dy}> \qquad \text{- switching variable, see property 6.2.1}$$

$$= < x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta w >$$

So, we got things in desired form,

$$\Delta L = < x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}, \Delta w >$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dL}{dw} = x \odot \frac{dL}{dy}$$