

System vs OS Virtualization Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | SYSTEM AND VERSION INFORMATION | 2 |
| 2 | SYSTEM VIRTUALIZATION USING QEMU | 2 |
| 2.1 | CREATING AN IMAGE | 2 |
| 2.2 | BOOT AND INSTALL UBUNTU | 2 |
| 2.3 | RUNNING THE VM FROM THE IMAGE | 3 |
| 3 | OS VIRTUALIZATION USING DOCKER | 5 |
| 3.1 | DOCKERFILE | 5 |
| 3.2 | BUILD DOCKER IMAGE | 6 |
| 3.3 | RUN CONTAINER FROM THIS IMAGE | 6 |
| 3.4 | OTHER DOCKER COMMANDS USED | 6 |
| 4 | RUNNING SYSBENCH TESTS | 7 |
| 4.1 | CPU TEST | 7 |
| 4.2 | FILEIO TEST | 8 |
| 4.2.1 | <i>Cleanup (remove any previous files)</i> | <i>8</i> |
| 4.2.2 | <i>Prepare (create files for the test)</i> | <i>9</i> |
| 4.2.3 | <i>Run</i> | <i>9</i> |
| 5 | MONITORING PERFORMANCE | 11 |
| 6 | SYSBENCH TEST RESULTS | 15 |
| 6.1 | TEST 1: MEMORY 2G, CPU 2 | 15 |
| 6.2 | TEST 2: MEMORY 1G, CPU 2 | 16 |
| 6.3 | TEST 3: MEMORY 2G, CPU 1 | 17 |
| 6.4 | TEST 4: WHPX ACCELERATOR (QEMU SPECIFIC) | 17 |
| 7 | SYSBENCH RESULTS ANALYSIS | 18 |
| 7.1 | ANALYSIS OF CPU | 18 |
| 7.2 | ANALYSIS OF FILEIO | 18 |
| 7.3 | COMPARING QEMU WITH DOCKER | 18 |
| 7.4 | THE WHPX ACCELERATOR | 19 |
| 8 | VAGRANT | 19 |
| 9 | SHELL SCRIPTS | 21 |
| 10 | GIT REPOSITORY | 23 |
| 11 | REFERENCES | 23 |
| 12 | APPENDIX: SCREENSHOTS OF ALL EXPERIMENTS | 23 |

1 SYSTEM AND VERSION INFORMATION

| Type | Version |
|----------------|-------------------|
| OS | Windows 10 |
| CPU | Core i5 (4 cores) |
| Memory | 8 GB |
| QEMU | 6.2.0 |
| Ubuntu | 16.04 |
| Sysbench | 1.0.20 |
| Docker desktop | 20.10.14 |

Note: I had already installed docker desktop and its performance was good. Also, the docker website recommended using docker desktop instead of docker binaries [3]. So, I used docker desktop for the experiments. However, I only used CLI for executing commands.

2 SYSTEM VIRTUALIZATION USING QEMU

After installing QEMU and adding its executables to the system's PATH, the below steps were followed to run Ubuntu on QEMU.

2.1 CREATING AN IMAGE

```
qemu-img create ubuntu.img 10G -f qcow2
```

The above command creates an image with a size of 10G (like a hard disk) with qcow2 format.

```
C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241>qemu-img create ubuntu.img 10G -f qcow2
Formatting 'ubuntu.img', fmt=qcow2 cluster_size=65536 extended_l2=off compression_type=zlib size=10737418240
lazy_refcounts=off refcount_bits=16
```

2.2 BOOT AND INSTALL UBUNTU


```
qemu-system-x86_64 -hda ubuntu.img -boot d -cdrom .\ubuntu-16.04.7-server-amd64.iso -m 2G -smp 2
```

- ISO image of ubuntu for windows is downloaded from here:
 - <https://releases.ubuntu.com/16.04/ubuntu-16.04.7-server-amd64.iso>
- To use this ISO as cdrom, I specified `-cdrom` option in the command above.
- To boot from this cdrom, `-boot` option is used in the command with drive "d" because that is the first cdrom drive in x86 architecture PCs.
- `-hda` is used to specify the image to use (that was created in the previous step).
- It is assigned 2G memory using `-m` option and 2 cores using `-smp` option.

Note: When using -smp 2, QEMU 6.2 (the version that I used) creates 2 cores and 1 socket. Prior to this version, it created 2 sockets and 1 core by default.

- After running this command, it opens up a configuration screen for Ubuntu server. I just followed the steps and completed the installation.

Screenshot: boot from cdrom:



2.3 RUNNING THE VM FROM THE IMAGE

Once the image is all set-up, the boot option is no longer needed, and running the VM can be done using the below command.

```
qemu-system-x86_64 -hda ubuntu.img -m 2G -smp 2 -nic
user,model=virtio,hostfwd=tcp:127.0.0.1:8888-0.0.0.0:22
```

1. Again, **-hda** is used to specify the image that I created and configured above. Memory and cores have been kept the same.
2. To do SSH, I have used **hostfwd** configuration of the **-nic** option. This will forward port 22 of the VM to port 8888 of the localhost.

Screenshot below shows how it boots Ubuntu from the image:

The image shows a Windows command prompt window at the top and a QEMU window below it. The command prompt shows the command to start a QEMU virtual machine with the following parameters: `C:\Windows\System32\cmd.exe - qemu-system-x86_64 -hda ubuntu.img -m 2G -smp 2 -nic user,model=virtio,hostfwd=tcp:127.0.0.1:8888-0.0.0.0:22`. The QEMU window displays the boot logs of the virtual machine, including the initialization of systemd-udevd, virtio-net, floppy drive, FDC, input devices, and the loading of RAID6 personalities. The logs also show the execution of scripts like `/scripts/init-premount` and `/scripts/local-top`, and the scanning for Btrfs filesystems.

```

C:\Windows\System32\cmd.exe - qemu-system-x86_64 -hda ubuntu.img -m 2G -smp 2 -nic user,model=virtio,hostfwd=tcp:127.0.0.1:8888-0.0.0.0:22

C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>qemu-system-x86_64 -hda ubuntu.img -m 2G -smp 2 -nic user,model=virtio,hostfwd=tcp:127.0.0.1:8888-0.0.0.0:22

QEMU
Machine View
[ 3.538572] random: systemd-udevd: uninitialized urandom read (16 bytes read, 29 bits of entropy available)
[ 4.023934] virtio_net virtio0 ens3: renamed from eth0
[ 4.027040] Floppy drive(s): fd0 is 2.88M AMI BIOS
[ 4.051765] FDC 0 is a S82078B
[ 4.076834] input: ImExPS/2 Generic Explorer Mouse as /devices/platform/i8042/serio1/input/input3
Begin: Loading essential drivers ... [ 6.794055] md: linear personality registered for level -1
[ 6.843514] md: multipath personality registered for level -4
[ 6.891830] md: raid0 personality registered for level 0
[ 6.941933] md: raid1 personality registered for level 1
[ 7.064622] raid6: sse2x1 gen() 748 MB/s
[ 7.132837] raid6: sse2x1 xor() 367 MB/s
[ 7.205878] raid6: sse2x2 gen() 861 MB/s
[ 7.273288] raid6: sse2x2 xor() 452 MB/s
[ 7.341064] raid6: sse2x4 gen() 875 MB/s
[ 7.408973] raid6: sse2x4 xor() 498 MB/s
[ 7.411645] raid6: using algorithm sse2x4 gen() 875 MB/s
[ 7.416544] raid6: .... xor() 498 MB/s, rmw enabled
[ 7.419037] raid6: using intx1 recovery algorithm
[ 7.426388] xor: measuring software checksum speed
[ 7.468686] prefetch64-sse: 2214.000 MB/sec
[ 7.508245] generic_sse: 1680.000 MB/sec
[ 7.510770] xor: using function: prefetch64-sse (2214.000 MB/sec)
[ 7.517601] async_tx: api initialized (async)
[ 7.547523] md: raid6 personality registered for level 6
[ 7.550323] md: raid5 personality registered for level 5
[ 7.552494] md: raid4 personality registered for level 4
[ 7.681246] md: raid10 personality registered for level 10
done.
Begin: Running /scripts/init-premount ... done.
Begin: Mounting root file system ... Begin: Running /scripts/local-top ... done.
Begin: Running /scripts/local-premount ... [ 8.036739] Btrfs loaded
Scanning for Btrfs filesystems
[ 8.228792] blk_update_request: I/O error, dev fd0, sector 0
[ 8.231210] floppy: error -5 while reading block 0
done.

```

- Once the VM is up, SSH to this VM using:

`ssh -p 8888 naveen@localhost`

`-p` option is used to specify a different port (mapped in the previous step) instead of the default port 22.

Below is the screenshot for SSH to this VM:

```

C:\Users\navee>ssh -p 8888 naveen@localhost
naveen@localhost's password:
Welcome to Ubuntu 16.04.7 LTS (GNU/Linux 4.4.0-186-generic x86_64)

 * Documentation:  https://help.ubuntu.com
 * Management:    https://landscape.canonical.com
 * Support:       https://ubuntu.com/advantage

113 packages can be updated.
80 updates are security updates.

New release '18.04.6 LTS' available.
Run 'do-release-upgrade' to upgrade to it.

Last login: Thu Apr 14 10:38:00 2022 from 10.0.2.2
naveen@ubuntu:~$

```

4. Install the latest version of sysbench using the below 2 commands (Ref [\[1\]](#))


```
curl -s https://packagecloud.io/install/repositories/akopytov/sysbench/script.deb.sh | sudo bash
```

```
sudo apt -y install sysbench
```
5. Copy the sysbench-script.sh into this VM
SCP can be used for that:


```
scp -P 8888 sysbench-script.sh naveen@localhost:/home/naveen
```

The custom port is specified using **-P** option.

```

C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>scp -P 8888 sysbench-script.sh naveen@localhost:/home/naveen
naveen@localhost's password:
sysbench-script.sh                                     100% 577 127.2KB/s 00:00

```

6. Run the test using this command:


```
bash sysbench-script.sh [number of threads]
```

 Number of threads is optional. More details about the script in [Shell Scripts](#) section.

3 OS VIRTUALIZATION USING DOCKER

After installing Docker desktop, I created a Dockerfile to create an image.

3.1 DOCKERFILE

```

FROM ubuntu:16.04
RUN apt update && apt install -y curl && curl -s
https://packagecloud.io/install/repositories/akopytov/sysbench/script.deb.sh | bash && apt install
-y sysbench
COPY sysbench-script.sh /var/scripts/sysbench-script.sh
WORKDIR /var/scripts

```

The first line (FROM) takes the base image of ubuntu. I preferred 16.04 version because that is the version of Ubuntu which I used in QEMU and I wanted to keep the environments as similar as possible.

The second line (RUN) installs sysbench latest version.

The third line (COPY) copies the sysbench script to directory /var/scripts in ubuntu.

The fourth line (WORKDIR) switches to the work directory where the sysbench script was copied.

3.2 BUILD DOCKER IMAGE

To build the image, the below command is used. `-t` is used to tag the image and `."` is the current path for the build context (Dockerfile and other required files).

`docker build -t "ubuntu-sysbench:16.04" .`

```
C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>docker build -t "ubuntu-sysbench:16.04" .
[+] Building 0.8s (9/9) FINISHED
=> [internal] load build definition from Dockerfile
=> => transferring dockerfile: 32B
=> [internal] load .dockerignore
=> => transferring context: 2B
=> [internal] load metadata for docker.io/library/ubuntu:16.04
=> [internal] load build context
=> => transferring context: 40B
=> [1/4] FROM docker.io/library/ubuntu:16.04@sha256:20858ebbc96215d6c3c574f781133ebffdc7c18d98af4f294cc4c04871a6fe61
=> CACHED [2/4] RUN apt update && apt install -y curl && curl -s https://packagecloud.io/install/repositories/akopytov/sysbench/script.deb.sh | bash && apt install -y sysbench
=> CACHED [3/4] COPY sysbench-script.sh /var/scripts/sysbench-script.sh
=> CACHED [4/4] WORKDIR /var/scripts
=> => exporting to image
=> => writing image sha256:76b180ef7f282046c176ba9cdee1d797d5846eb4826ca6260754d4459a7afaf3
=> => naming to docker.io/library/ubuntu-sysbench:16.04

Use 'docker scan' to run Snyk tests against images to find vulnerabilities and learn how to fix them
C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>
```

3.3 RUN CONTAINER FROM THIS IMAGE

To run the container, below command is used. `--name` gives the container a friendly name. `--memory` is used to provide memory to this container. `--cpus` gives it number of cores. `--rm` performs clean-up after the container exits. Shell is an interactive process, so `-i` option is specified for that (with `-t` for tty).

`docker run --name ubuntu1 --memory="2g" --cpus="2" --rm -it ubuntu-sysbench:16.04`

```
C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>docker run --name ubuntu1 --memory="2g" --cpus="2" --rm -it ubuntu-sysbench:16.04
root@010d1f35ac79:/var/scripts#
```

After the container is up, just need to run the sysbench-script.sh.

3.4 OTHER DOCKER COMMANDS USED

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| docker images | To list the docker images present locally |
| docker ps | To see currently running containers |
| docker image rm <imageid> | To remove an image |
| docker exec -it ubuntu1 /bin/bash | bash into the container that is already running. I used it when monitoring performance while the tests were running in a separate window. |

4 RUNNING SYSBENCH TESTS

I ran all the sysbench tests for 30 seconds, so that it gets enough events to process.

4.1 CPU TEST

```
sysbench --test=cpu --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 run
```

The default cpu-max-prime=20000 ran sufficient number of events for comparison.

I noticed that when using 2 CPUs, only 1 CPU was being utilized in the sysbench tests because sysbench uses only one thread by default. The below screenshot shows only 1 CPU being utilized.

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ mpstat -P 0,1 2
```

| Linux 4.4.0-186-generic (ubuntu) 04/15/2022 _x86_64_ (2 CPU) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| | CPU | %usr | %nice | %sys | %iowait | %irq | %soft | %steal | %guest | %gnice | %idle |
| 02:45:57 PM | 0 | 97.99 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:45:59 PM | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.50 |
| 02:46:01 PM | 0 | 98.99 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:46:01 PM | 1 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 97.99 |
| 02:46:03 PM | 0 | 98.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:46:03 PM | 1 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.50 |
| 02:46:05 PM | 0 | 97.50 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:46:05 PM | 1 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.50 |
| 02:46:07 PM | 0 | 97.99 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:46:07 PM | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.50 |

So, whenever I have more than one CPU, I am running sysbench with --threads option. For example, the command used for 2 CPUs will be:

```
sysbench --test=cpu --time=30 --threads=2 --cpu-max-prime=20000 run
```

With 2 threads, both CPUs are utilized. This is corroborated by a greater number of events per second in sysbench results when using 2 threads.

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ mpstat -P 0,1 2
```

| Linux 4.4.0-186-generic (ubuntu) 04/15/2022 _x86_64_ (2 CPU) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| | CPU | %usr | %nice | %sys | %iowait | %irq | %soft | %steal | %guest | %gnice | %idle |
| 02:47:42 PM | 0 | 97.99 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:44 PM | 1 | 98.49 | 0.00 | 1.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:46 PM | 0 | 96.98 | 0.00 | 3.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:46 PM | 1 | 97.00 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:48 PM | 0 | 98.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:48 PM | 1 | 97.99 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:50 PM | 0 | 97.49 | 0.00 | 2.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:50 PM | 1 | 97.99 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:52 PM | 0 | 97.45 | 0.00 | 2.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:52 PM | 1 | 97.97 | 0.00 | 2.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 02:47:54 PM | 0 | 96.89 | 0.00 | 3.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Below is the screenshot from QEMU:

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ sysbench --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 cpu run
sysbench 1.0.20 (using bundled LuaJIT 2.1.0-beta2)

Running the test with following options:
Number of threads: 1
Initializing random number generator from current time

Prime numbers limit: 20000

Initializing worker threads...

Threads started!

CPU speed:
  events per second:   342.31

General statistics:
  total time:          30.0020s
  total number of events: 10272

Latency (ms):
  min:                 2.51
  avg:                 2.91
  max:                 12.92
  95th percentile:    3.89
  sum:                 29921.02

Threads fairness:
  events (avg/stddev): 10272.0000/0.00
  execution time (avg/stddev): 29.9210/0.00
```

Below is the screenshot from Docker:

```
root@321e53228caf:/var/scripts# sysbench --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 cpu run
sysbench 1.0.20 (using bundled LuaJIT 2.1.0-beta2)

Running the test with following options:
Number of threads: 1
Initializing random number generator from current time

Prime numbers limit: 20000

Initializing worker threads...

Threads started!

CPU speed:
  events per second: 1342.98

General statistics:
  total time:          30.0007s
  total number of events: 40294

Latency (ms):
  min:                 0.71
  avg:                 0.74
  max:                 2.56
  95th percentile:    0.81
  sum:                 29977.90

Threads fairness:
  events (avg/stddev): 40294.0000/0.00
  execution time (avg/stddev): 29.9779/0.00
```

4.2 FILEIO TEST

This test has 3 steps:

4.2.1 Cleanup (remove any previous files)

`sysbench fileio cleanup`


```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ sysbench fileio cleanup
sysbench 1.0.20 (using bundled LuaJIT 2.1.0-beta2)

Removing test files...
```

4.2.2 Prepare (create files for the test)

`sysbench --file-total-size=4G --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio prepare`

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ sysbench --file-total-size=4G --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio prepare
sysbench 1.0.20 (using bundled LuaJIT 2.1.0-beta2)

128 files, 32768Kb each, 4096Mb total
Creating files for the test...
Extra file open flags: (none)
Creating file test_file.0
Creating file test_file.1
Creating file test_file.2
Creating file test_file.3
Creating file test_file.4
Creating file test_file.5
Creating file test_file.6
Creating file test_file.7
Creating file test_file.8
Creating file test_file.9
Creating file test_file.10
Creating file test_file.11
Creating file test_file.12
Creating file test_file.13
Creating file test_file.14
Creating file test_file.15
Creating file test_file.16
Creating file test_file.17
Creating file test_file.18
```

```
4294967296 bytes written in 30.56 seconds (134.03 MiB/sec).
naveen@ubuntu:~$ _
```

A total file size of 4G is good enough for the test because the files cannot stay in the main memory.

4.2.3 Run

`sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio run`

`rndrw` mode is used for combined and random read/write operations.

Below is the screenshot for QEMU:

```

naveen@ubuntu:~$ sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio run
sysbench 1.0.20 (using bundled LuaJIT 2.1.0-beta2)

Running the test with following options:
Number of threads: 1
Initializing random number generator from current time

Extra file open flags: (none)
128 files, 16MiB each
2GiB total file size
Block size 16KiB
Number of IO requests: 0
Read/Write ratio for combined random IO test: 1.50
Periodic FSYNC enabled, calling fsync() each 100 requests.
Calling fsync() at the end of test, Enabled.
Using synchronous I/O mode
Doing random r/w test
Initializing worker threads...

Threads started!

File operations:
  reads/s:                427.52
  writes/s:               285.02
  fsyncs/s:              916.11

Throughput:
  read, MiB/s:            6.68
  written, MiB/s:         4.45

General statistics:
  total time:              30.0279s
  total number of events:  48786

Latency (ms):
  min:                     0.01
  avg:                     0.61
  max:                     11.72
  95th percentile:        2.71
  sum:                     29639.43

Threads fairness:
  events (avg/stddev):    48786.0000/0.00
  execution time (avg/stddev): 29.6394/0.00

```

Below is the screenshot for Docker:

```

root@321e53228caf:/var/scripts# sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio run
sysbench 1.0.20 (using bundled LuaJIT 2.1.0-beta2)

Running the test with following options:
Number of threads: 1
Initializing random number generator from current time

Extra file open flags: (none)
128 files, 16MiB each
2GiB total file size
Block size 16KiB
Number of IO requests: 0
Read/Write ratio for combined random IO test: 1.50
Periodic FSYNC enabled, calling fsync() each 100 requests.
Calling fsync() at the end of test, Enabled.
Using synchronous I/O mode
Doing random r/w test
Initializing worker threads...

Threads started!

File operations:
  reads/s:                2388.44
  writes/s:               1592.30
  fsyncs/s:               5097.01

Throughput:
  read, MiB/s:            37.32
  written, MiB/s:         24.88

General statistics:
  total time:              30.0160s
  total number of events:  272382

Latency (ms):
  min:                     0.00
  avg:                     0.11
  max:                     99.85
  95th percentile:        0.17
  sum:                     29860.57

Threads fairness:
  events (avg/stddev):     272382.0000/0.00
  execution time (avg/stddev): 29.8606/0.00

```

Note: Running FileIO test multiple times without cleanup gives way better results for subsequent runs. I downloaded and used the utility “Sync” but that didn’t solve this problem. Therefore, I am doing cleanup (and prepare) before any FileIO test is run because that solves this problem.

5 MONITORING PERFORMANCE

For monitoring CPU and I/O performance of VMs on QEMU, I have used 2 utilities:

1. Sysstat for CPU:

Installation: `sudo apt install sysstat`


Usage (for 2 CPUs, updates every 2 seconds): `mpstat -P 0,1 2`

When running CPU test on QEMU, with 2 CPUs and 2G memory, the user-level CPU utilization (%usr) is almost 98% for both CPUs. The kernel-level CPU utilization (%sys) stays as low as 2%.

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ mpstat -P 0,1 2
Linux 4.4.0-186-generic (ubuntu)      04/15/2022      _x86_64_      (2 CPU)

02:56:52 PM  CPU    %usr   %nice    %sys %iowait    %irq   %soft  %steal  %guest  %gnice   %idle
02:56:54 PM  0     98.00    0.00    2.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00
02:56:54 PM  1     97.99    0.00    2.01    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00
02:56:56 PM  0     97.97    0.00    2.03    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00
02:56:56 PM  1     98.48    0.00    1.52    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00
02:56:58 PM  0     98.49    0.00    1.51    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00
02:56:58 PM  1     96.98    0.00    3.02    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00    0.00
```

Expectedly, the host (windows) CPU utilization spikes from 9% to 40% during the test.



2. iotop for I/O:

Installation: `sudo apt install iotop`

Usage (monitor disk usage, updates every 2 seconds): `iotop -d 2`

When running FileIO test on QEMU with 2 CPUs and 2G memory, the disk usage is around 3700 kB/s for reads, and for writes it is ranging between 2400 and 5700 kB/s.

| | | | | | |
|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 780.50 | 3556.00 | 2436.00 | 7112 | 4872 |
| fd0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |


| | | | | | |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 1363.00 | 3680.00 | 4600.00 | 7360 | 9200 |
| fd0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 1714.00 | 4934.00 | 5702.00 | 9868 | 11404 |
| fd0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 1308.00 | 3704.00 | 4360.00 | 7408 | 8720 |
| fd0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 1312.50 | 3728.00 | 4408.00 | 7456 | 8816 |
| fd0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

As expected, the disk usage in the host OS also spikes as shown below:



The CPU utilization at the user-level is almost 0 for the FileIO test. However, the kernel-level CPU utilization increases up to 20%.

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ mpstat -P 0,1 2
```


| Linux 4.4.0-186-generic (ubuntu) | | 04/15/2022 | | _x86_64_ | | (2 CPU) | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----|------------|-------|----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--|
| | CPU | %usr | %nice | %sys | %iowait | %irq | %soft | %steal | %guest | %gnice | %idle | |
| 03:13:07 PM | 0 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 14.67 | 38.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46.00 | |
| 03:13:09 PM | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.45 | 20.39 | 0.00 | 1.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.22 | |
| 03:13:11 PM | 0 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 18.71 | 38.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41.94 | |
| 03:13:11 PM | 1 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 20.18 | 19.27 | 0.00 | 2.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.96 | |
| 03:13:13 PM | 0 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 13.64 | 40.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 44.16 | |
| 03:13:13 PM | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.31 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.69 | |
| 03:13:15 PM | 0 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 13.51 | 38.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.30 | |
| 03:13:15 PM | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.56 | 18.69 | 0.00 | 3.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.01 | |
| 03:13:17 PM | 0 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 13.91 | 40.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 45.03 | |
| 03:13:17 PM | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.02 | 18.35 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.80 | |

For docker, I have used the command `docker stats` to monitor the CPU utilization.

When running CPU test on docker with 2 CPUs and 2G memory, the CPU is completely utilized as shown below, 200% indicating that both CPUs are utilized.

| CONTAINER ID | NAME | CPU % | MEM USAGE / LIMIT | MEM % | NET I/O | BLOCK I/O | PIDS |
|--------------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|
| a000edca7c3c | ubuntu1 | 198.51% | 6.082MiB / 200MiB | 3.04% | 492kB / 18.3kB | 0B / 0B | 5 |

The CPU utilization displayed on the Host OS spikes as well.



It's worth reiterating that running sysbench with the default value of `--threads` (i.e., 1) does not utilize the CPU completely. This is visible in the below screenshot where the CPU utilization is 99% instead of 198% as shown in the above scenario.

| CONTAINER ID | NAME | CPU % | MEM USAGE / LIMIT | MEM % | NET I/O | BLOCK I/O | PIDS |
|--------------|---------|--------|-------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|
| a000edca7c3c | ubuntu1 | 99.75% | 6.164MiB / 200MiB | 3.08% | 492kB / 18.3kB | 0B / 0B | 4 |


Running FileIO test doesn't display any stats using docker stats command. So, for this, I went back to iostat.

When running FileIO test on docker with 2 CPUs and 2G memory, the rate of reads and writes is almost 20 times the rate of QEMU VM.

| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| loop1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sdb | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sdc | 17862.00 | 71768.00 | 56982.00 | 143536 | 113964 |

| Device: | tps | kB_read/s | kB_wrtn/s | kB_read | kB_wrtn |
|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| loop0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| loop1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sda | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sdb | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| sdc | 17583.50 | 71000.00 | 56164.00 | 142000 | 112328 |

The Host disk usage also seems to be higher than when QEMU was running.



6 SYSBENCH TEST RESULTS

All the screenshots can be found in [Appendix](#).

6.1 TEST 1: MEMORY 2G, CPU 2

Results from QEMU:

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|---|------|------|------|--------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 336 | 611 | 470 | 87 |
| FileIO Read throughput (MiB/s) | 5.48 | 7.99 | 6.37 | 0.85 |

| | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|
| FileIO Write throughput (MiB/s) | 3.65 | 5.33 | 4.25 | 0.56 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 1.02 | 1.46 | 1.28 | 0.14 |

Results from Docker

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|--|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 1062.10 | 2155.73 | 1482.35 | 398.7 |
| FileIO Read throughput (MiB/s) | 37.18 | 52.62 | 44.7 | 5.5 |
| FileIO Write throughput (MiB/s) | 24.79 | 35.08 | 29.8 | 3.68 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.02 |

6.2 TEST 2: MEMORY 1G, CPU 2

Results from QEMU:

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 284.76 | 475.8 | 371.58 | 61.07 |
| FileIO Read throughput | 4.12 | 6.42 | 4.99 | 0.76 |
| FileIO Write throughput | 2.74 | 4.28 | 3.32 | 0.5 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 1.26 | 1.95 | 1.64 | 0.22 |

Results from Docker:

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 1348.54 | 2071.1 | 1809.01 | 275.1 |
| FileIO Read throughput | 69.86 | 85.23 | 75.11 | 6.22 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| FileIO Write throughput | 46.57 | 56.82 | 50.07 | 4.15 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.009 |

6.3 TEST 3: MEMORY 2G, CPU 1

Results from QEMU:

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|--------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|--------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 244 | 304.4 | 280.4 | 21.18 |
| FileIO Read throughput | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.23 | 0.21 |
| FileIO Write throughput | 2.6 | 3 | 2.82 | 0.14 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 0.9 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 0.04 |

Results from Docker:

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|--------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 989.4 | 1325.36 | 1200.5 | 119.12 |
| FileIO Read throughput | 23.6 | 36.8 | 29.92 | 5.03 |
| FileIO Write throughput | 15.74 | 24.52 | 19.94 | 3.35 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.138 | 0.02 |

6.4 TEST 4: WHPX ACCELERATOR (QEMU SPECIFIC)

QEMU uses tcg as the default accelerator. This test runs the VM with whpx accelerator.

```
qemu-system-x86_64 -hda ubuntu.img -accel whpx -m 2G -smp 2 -nic
user,model=virtio,hostfwd=tcp:127.0.0.1:8888-0.0.0.0:22
```

| Statistic → Test ↓ | Min | Max | Avg | StdDev |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| CPU (events/sec) | 1900.94 | 2259.98 | 2062.8 | 131.01 |
| FileIO Read throughput | 4.23 | 4.68 | 4.47 | 0.15 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| FileIO Write throughput | 2.82 | 3.12 | 2.98 | 0.1 |
| FileIO Avg Latency | 1.75 | 1.93 | 1.83 | 0.06 |

7 SYSBENCH RESULTS ANALYSIS

7.1 ANALYSIS OF CPU

Premise: Test 1 and Test 2 have the same CPU (i.e., 2) but Test 2 has just 1G memory compared to 2G memory in Test1.

The average CPU benchmark for QEMU in Test 2 (371.58) is quite similar to Test 1 (470) which is expected. The average CPU benchmark of docker increased in Test 2 (1809) compared to Test 1 (1482), but the max values remained the same (around 2100). Also considering a significant standard deviation in docker tests, I think benchmarks for docker are similar due to CPU being the same.

Premise: Test 1 uses 2 CPUs while Test 3 uses just 1 CPU.

The average CPU benchmark numbers for both QEMU and Docker decline significantly in Test 3 due to the use of just 1 CPU, which is the expected behavior.

7.2 ANALYSIS OF FILEIO

Premise: Test 1 uses 2G main memory while Test 2 uses just 1G memory.

The average read throughput for QEMU in Test 2 is 6.37 compared to 4.99 in Test2. This is only a slight decrease, possibly because of reduced memory. The same can be said for write throughput and average latency.

The read throughput of docker for Test 2 (75.11) is greater than Test 1 (44.7), which is surprising. I ran the tests again, but the results were consistent with previous results. Strangely, the memory utilization during the test stays around 100MiB for both tests. So, I think the memory doesn't affect the FileIO test.

Premise: Test 1 uses 2 CPUs while Test 3 uses just 1 CPU.

Since FileIO uses kernel CPU, reducing the CPU to 1 in Test 3 reduces the read/write throughput slightly in both QEMU and Docker when compared to Test 1 where 2 CPUs are used, which is expected.

7.3 COMPARING QEMU WITH DOCKER

CPU performance of Docker gave far better results in all test scenarios, which is mainly because it is an OS virtualization, making it lightweight when compared to System virtualization which has an added abstraction layer.

Similarly, FileIO tests in Docker perform better than QEMU across all test scenarios.

7.4 THE WHPX ACCELERATOR

Test 4 was surprising to me. I decided to try whpx (windows hypervisor platform) accelerator on QEMU instead of the default tcg accelerator and the CPU performance was far greater than all other QEMU tests and only slightly better than docker, which indicates that the whpx accelerator has excellent performance, at least for windows systems. However, FileIO test results remained the same.

8 VAGRANT

To try out vagrant, I have used “virtualbox” as the provider.

```
Vagrant.configure("2") do |config|
  config.vm.define "ubuntu" do |ubuntu|
    ubuntu.vm.box = "ubuntu/xenial64"
    ubuntu.vm.boot_timeout=500
    ubuntu.vm.provider "virtualbox" do |vb|
      vb.memory = 2048
      vb.cpus = 1
    end
    ubuntu.vm.provision "file", source: "sysbench-script.sh", destination: "/tmp/sysbench-script.sh"
    ubuntu.vm.provision "shell", inline: <<-SHELL
      apt update
      apt install -y curl
      curl -s https://packagecloud.io/install/repositories/akopytov/sysbench/script.deb.sh | bash
      apt install -y sysbench
      mkdir /var/scripts
      cp /tmp/sysbench-script.sh /var/scripts/sysbench-script.sh
    SHELL
  end
end
```

The above Vagrantfile performs these steps:

1. Give the box name `ubuntu` using `config.vm.define`.
2. Select `ubuntu/xenial64` as the vm box (image).
3. Select `virtualbox` as the VMM provider.
4. Assign memory and cpu to this VM using `memory` and `cpus`.
5. Install the latest version of sysbench on this VM.
6. Copy the `sysbench-script` file (which contains cpu and fileio tests) to `/var/scripts` directory of this VM. There was a permissions issue when copying directly to the guest VM's `var` directory (because it uses SCP and SCP does not have sudo), due to which I had to first copy the script to `/tmp` and then copy from `/tmp` to `/var/scripts`.
7. Booting ubuntu timed out the first time I tried to install this VM, so I had to increase the timeout to `500`, and then it boot-up just fine.

With the vagrantfile, managing the VM becomes easy:

1. Bring up the VM (with the name of the VM given in Vagrantfile)
`vagrant up ubuntu`

```

C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>vagrant up ubuntu
Bringing machine 'ubuntu' up with 'virtualbox' provider...
==> ubuntu: Importing base box 'ubuntu/xenial64'...
==> ubuntu: Matching MAC address for NAT networking...
==> ubuntu: Checking if box 'ubuntu/xenial64' version '20211001.0.0' is up to date...
==> ubuntu: Setting the name of the VM: HW1_ubuntu_1650038752126_86441
==> ubuntu: Fixed port collision for 22 => 2222. Now on port 2200.
==> ubuntu: Clearing any previously set network interfaces...
==> ubuntu: Preparing network interfaces based on configuration...
    ubuntu: Adapter 1: nat
==> ubuntu: Forwarding ports...
    ubuntu: 22 (guest) => 2200 (host) (adapter 1)
==> ubuntu: Running 'pre-boot' VM customizations...
==> ubuntu: Booting VM...
==> ubuntu: Waiting for machine to boot. This may take a few minutes...
    ubuntu: SSH address: 127.0.0.1:2200
    ubuntu: SSH username: vagrant
    ubuntu: SSH auth method: private key
    ubuntu:
    ubuntu: Vagrant insecure key detected. Vagrant will automatically replace
    ubuntu: this with a newly generated keypair for better security.

```

2. SSH into the VM

`vagrant ssh ubuntu`

The screenshot below shows that the sysbench script is copied to the guest VM.

```

C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>vagrant ssh ubuntu
Welcome to Ubuntu 16.04.7 LTS (GNU/Linux 4.4.0-210-generic x86_64)

 * Documentation:  https://help.ubuntu.com
 * Management:    https://landscape.canonical.com
 * Support:       https://ubuntu.com/advantage

UA Infra: Extended Security Maintenance (ESM) is not enabled.

1 update can be applied immediately.
To see these additional updates run: apt list --upgradable

95 additional security updates can be applied with UA Infra: ESM
Learn more about enabling UA Infra: ESM service for Ubuntu 16.04 at
https://ubuntu.com/16-04

New release '18.04.6 LTS' available.
Run 'do-release-upgrade' to upgrade to it.

vagrant@ubuntu-xenial:~$ cd /var/scripts/
vagrant@ubuntu-xenial:/var/scripts$ ls
sysbench-script.sh
vagrant@ubuntu-xenial:/var/scripts$ 

```

3. Destroy the VM (if not needed anymore)

`vagrant destroy ubuntu`

```
C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>vagrant destroy ubuntu
ubuntu: Are you sure you want to destroy the 'ubuntu' VM? [y/N] y
==> ubuntu: Forcing shutdown of VM...
==> ubuntu: Destroying VM and associated drives...

C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>_
```

9 SHELL SCRIPTS

`sysbench-script.sh` is the main script that runs both CPU and FileIO test one time. It takes in one argument that specifies the number of threads to use. For example, to run with 2 threads,

```
bash sysbench-script.sh 2
```

If no argument is passed, it runs with default 1 thread (defined by sysbench).

Below is the script:

```
echo -e "\nRunning CPU Test\n"
if [ -z "$1" ]
then
    sysbench --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 cpu run
else
    sysbench --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 --threads="$1" cpu run
fi
echo -e "\nRunning FileIO Test\n"
echo -e "\nFileIO Test - Cleanup\n"
sysbench fileio cleanup
echo -e "\nFileIO Test - Prepare\n"
sysbench --file-total-size=4G --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio prepare
echo -e "\nFileIO Test - Run\n"
if [ -z "$1" ]
then
    sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio run
else
    sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw --threads="$1" fileio run
fi
```

I have also included 2 more scripts which I used only to get the relevant lines or values from the sysbench output after running the test 5 times.

`cpu-test.sh`: Runs CPU test 5 times and prints only the line “events per second”. It has the same argument to specify the number of threads just like sysbench-script.

```
for i in {1..5}
do
    if [ -z "$1" ]
    then
        sysbench --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 cpu run | grep "events per second"
    else
        sysbench --time=30 --cpu-max-prime=20000 --threads="$1" cpu run | grep "events per second"
    fi
done
```

A sample run:

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash cpu-test.sh 2
events per second: 611.98
events per second: 484.97
events per second: 453.95
events per second: 467.32
events per second: 336.47
```

fileio-test.sh: Runs FileIO test 5 times and prints only the lines with throughput and latency. The argument for the number of threads is the same as above.

```
for i in {1..5}
do
    sysbench fileio --verbosity=0 cleanup
    sysbench --file-total-size=4G --file-test-mode=rndrw --verbosity=0 fileio prepare
    if [ -z "$1" ]
    then
        sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw fileio run | grep -E "read, MiB/s|written, MiB/s|avg:"
    else
        sysbench --time=30 --file-test-mode=rndrw --threads="$1" fileio run | grep -E "read, MiB/s|written, MiB/s|avg:"
    fi
done
```

A sample run:

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash fileio-test.sh 2
read, MiB/s: 6.02
written, MiB/s: 4.01
avg: 1.34
read, MiB/s: 6.11
written, MiB/s: 4.07
avg: 1.32
read, MiB/s: 5.48
written, MiB/s: 3.65
avg: 1.46
read, MiB/s: 6.29
written, MiB/s: 4.19
avg: 1.28
read, MiB/s: 7.99
written, MiB/s: 5.33
avg: 1.02
```

To not overcomplicate the script, I am redirecting this output to a file and then getting the required values:

For example, to get the read throughput values from 5 tests.

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ cat fr1.txt | grep read | cut -d : -f 2 | awk 'NF { $1=$1; print }' | paste -sd "," -
6.02,6.11,5.48,6.29,7.99
naveen@ubuntu:~$
```

```
cat fr1.txt | grep read | cut -d : -f 2 | awk 'NF { $1=$1; print }' | paste -sd "," -
```

```
cat fr1.txt | grep written | cut -d : -f 2 | awk 'NF { $1=$1; print }' | paste -sd "," -
```

```
cat fr1.txt | grep avg | cut -d : -f 2 | awk 'NF { $1=$1; print }' | paste -sd "," -
```

(Ref for awk: [\[6\]](#)):

10 GIT REPOSITORY

<https://github.com/naveenkarya/COEN-241/tree/main/hw1>

11 REFERENCES

- [1] <https://github.com/akopytov/sysbench#linux>
- [2] <https://www.qemu.org/docs/master/system/invokeation.html>
- [3] <https://docs.docker.com/engine/install/binaries/>
- [4] <https://app.vagrantup.com/ubuntu/boxes/xenial64>
- [5] <https://www.vagrantup.com/docs/provisioning/file>
- [6] <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/8562782/delete-empty-lines-and-trim-surrounding-spaces-in-bash>

12 APPENDIX: SCREENSHOTS OF ALL EXPERIMENTS

A. Mem 2G, CPU 2

QEMU:

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash cpu-test.sh 2
events per second: 611.98
events per second: 484.97
events per second: 453.95
events per second: 467.32
events per second: 336.47
```

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash fileio-test.sh 2
read, MiB/s: 6.02
written, MiB/s: 4.01
avg: 1.34
read, MiB/s: 6.11
written, MiB/s: 4.07
avg: 1.32
read, MiB/s: 5.48
written, MiB/s: 3.65
avg: 1.46
read, MiB/s: 6.29
written, MiB/s: 4.19
avg: 1.28
read, MiB/s: 7.99
written, MiB/s: 5.33
avg: 1.02
```

Docker:

```
C:\Folders\Study\COEN 241\HW1>docker run --name ubuntu1 --memory="2g" --cpus="2" --rm -it ubuntu-sysbench:16.04
root@4b1554f9951d:/var/scripts# bash cpu-test.sh 2
events per second: 2155.73
events per second: 1699.14
events per second: 1062.10
events per second: 1186.60
events per second: 1308.18
root@4b1554f9951d:/var/scripts# bash fileio-test.sh 2 > fr1.txt
root@4b1554f9951d:/var/scripts# cat fr1.txt
read, MiB/s: 52.62
written, MiB/s: 35.08
avg: 0.15
read, MiB/s: 37.18
written, MiB/s: 24.79
avg: 0.22
read, MiB/s: 41.23
written, MiB/s: 27.49
avg: 0.20
read, MiB/s: 49.15
written, MiB/s: 32.76
avg: 0.17
read, MiB/s: 43.38
written, MiB/s: 28.92
avg: 0.19
```

B. Mem 1G, CPU 2

QEMU:

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash cpu-test.sh 2
events per second: 475.84
events per second: 373.58
events per second: 356.78
events per second: 366.98
events per second: 284.76
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash fileio-test.sh 2
read, MiB/s: 6.42
written, MiB/s: 4.28
avg: 1.26
read, MiB/s: 4.77
written, MiB/s: 3.18
avg: 1.67
read, MiB/s: 4.12
written, MiB/s: 2.74
avg: 1.95
read, MiB/s: 4.82
written, MiB/s: 3.22
avg: 1.66
read, MiB/s: 4.82
written, MiB/s: 3.22
avg: 1.67
```

Docker:


```

root@76bfdbaf701b:/var/scripts# bash cpu-test.sh 2
  events per second: 2071.10
  events per second: 1348.54
  events per second: 1637.91
  events per second: 2002.70
  events per second: 1984.81
root@76bfdbaf701b:/var/scripts# bash fileio-test.sh 2
  read, MiB/s:      85.23
  written, MiB/s:   56.82
      avg:                                0.10
  read, MiB/s:      79.60
  written, MiB/s:   53.07
      avg:                                0.10
  read, MiB/s:      70.49
  written, MiB/s:   46.99
      avg:                                0.12
  read, MiB/s:      70.38
  written, MiB/s:   46.92
      avg:                                0.12
  read, MiB/s:      69.86
  written, MiB/s:   46.57
      avg:                                0.12
root@76bfdbaf701b:/var/scripts#

```

C. Mem 2G, CPU 1

QEMU:

```

naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash cpu-test.sh 1
  events per second: 304.41
  events per second: 283.18
  events per second: 244.03
  events per second: 273.18
  events per second: 297.29
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash fileio-test.sh 1
  read, MiB/s:      4.37
  written, MiB/s:   2.91
      avg:                                0.93
  read, MiB/s:      4.26
  written, MiB/s:   2.84
      avg:                                0.95
  read, MiB/s:      3.90
  written, MiB/s:   2.60
      avg:                                1.04
  read, MiB/s:      4.11
  written, MiB/s:   2.74
      avg:                                0.99
  read, MiB/s:      4.51
  written, MiB/s:   3.01
      avg:                                0.90
naveen@ubuntu:~$

```

Docker:

```

root@24ff529794f3:/var/scripts# bash cpu-test.sh 1
events per second: 1301.11
events per second: 1325.36
events per second: 1210.35
events per second: 1176.46
events per second: 989.40
root@24ff529794f3:/var/scripts# bash fileio-test.sh 1
read, MiB/s: 30.40
written, MiB/s: 20.27
avg: 0.13
read, MiB/s: 33.82
written, MiB/s: 22.55
avg: 0.12
read, MiB/s: 36.79
written, MiB/s: 24.52
avg: 0.11
read, MiB/s: 23.62
written, MiB/s: 15.74
avg: 0.17
read, MiB/s: 24.98
written, MiB/s: 16.65
avg: 0.16
root@24ff529794f3:/var/scripts#

```

D. QEMU with 2 CPU and 2G Memory. But with whpx accelerator.

```
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash cpu-test.sh 2
events per second: 2259.98
events per second: 2167.33
events per second: 1997.82
events per second: 1900.94
events per second: 1987.97
naveen@ubuntu:~$ bash fileio-test.sh 2
read, MiB/s: 4.42
written, MiB/s: 2.94
avg: 1.85
read, MiB/s: 4.57
written, MiB/s: 3.05
avg: 1.79
read, MiB/s: 4.23
written, MiB/s: 2.82
avg: 1.93
read, MiB/s: 4.45
written, MiB/s: 2.97
avg: 1.84
read, MiB/s: 4.68
written, MiB/s: 3.12
avg: 1.75
naveen@ubuntu:~$
```