- 1. Compute the value  $i \leftarrow h(k)$ , and set  $j \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2. Probe in position *i* for the desired key *k*. If you find it, or if this position is empty, terminate the search.
- 3. Set  $j \leftarrow (j+1) \mod m$  and  $i \leftarrow (i+j) \mod m$ , and return to step 2.

Assume that m is a power of 2.

- a. Show that this scheme is an instance of the general "quadratic probing" scheme by exhibiting the appropriate constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  for equation (11.5).
- **b.** Prove that this algorithm examines every table position in the worst case.

## 11-4 k-universal hashing and authentication

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a class of hash functions in which each hash function  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  maps the universe U of keys to  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is k-universal if, for every fixed sequence of k distinct keys  $\langle x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(k)} \rangle$  and for any h chosen at random from  $\mathcal{H}$ , the sequence  $\langle h(x^{(1)}), h(x^{(2)}), \ldots, h(x^{(k)}) \rangle$  is equally likely to be any of the  $m^k$  sequences of length k with elements drawn from  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ .

- **a.** Show that if the family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hash functions is 2-universal, then it is universal.
- **b.** Suppose that the universe U is the set of n-tuples of values drawn from  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ , where p is prime. Consider an element  $x = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle \in U$ . For any n-tuple  $a = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \in U$ , define the hash function  $h_a$  by

$$h_a(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x_j\right) \bmod p .$$

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_a\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is universal, but not 2-universal. (*Hint:* Find a key for which all hash functions in  $\mathcal{H}$  produce the same value.)

**c.** Suppose that we modify  $\mathcal{H}$  slightly from part (b): for any  $a \in U$  and for any  $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ , define

$$h'_{a,b}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x_j + b\right) \bmod p$$

and  $\mathcal{H}' = \{h'_{a,b}\}$ . Argue that  $\mathcal{H}'$  is 2-universal. (*Hint:* Consider fixed  $x \in U$  and  $y \in U$ , with  $x_i \neq y_i$  for some i. What happens to  $h'_{a,b}(x)$  and  $h'_{a,b}(y)$  as  $a_i$  and b range over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ?)

**d.** Suppose that Alice and Bob secretly agree on a hash function h from a 2-universal family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hash functions. Each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  maps from a universe of keys U to  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , where p is prime. Later, Alice sends a message m to Bob over the Internet, where  $m \in U$ . She authenticates this message to Bob by also sending an authentication tag t = h(m), and Bob checks that the pair (m, t) he receives indeed satisfies t = h(m). Suppose that an adversary intercepts (m, t) en route and tries to fool Bob by replacing the pair (m, t) with a different pair (m', t'). Argue that the probability that the adversary succeeds in fooling Bob into accepting (m', t') is at most 1/p, no matter how much computing power the adversary has, and even if the adversary knows the family  $\mathcal{H}$  of hash functions used.

## **Chapter notes**

Knuth [185] and Gonnet [126] are excellent references for the analysis of hashing algorithms. Knuth credits H. P. Luhn (1953) for inventing hash tables, along with the chaining method for resolving collisions. At about the same time, G. M. Amdahl originated the idea of open addressing.

Carter and Wegman introduced the notion of universal classes of hash functions in 1979 [52].

Fredman, Komlós, and Szemerédi [96] developed the perfect hashing scheme for static sets presented in Section 11.5. An extension of their method to dynamic sets, handling insertions and deletions in amortized expected time O(1), has been given by Dietzfelbinger et al. [73].