Lie Algebra - Assignment 7

Navid Zarrabi

July 7, 2023

All notations and equations are derived from reference [1].

Identities

$$[\mathbf{a}]_{\times}\mathbf{b} = -[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}\mathbf{a}$$

 $[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}]_{\times} = \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}\mathbf{A}^{\top}$

Question 1: Derivatives

Left and Right derivatives on Lie Groups. For the following function

$$f: SO(3) \to \mathbb{R}^3; \quad f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}$$

calculate the left and right derivatives of f with respect to \mathbf{R} , by applying the definitions of the left and right derivatives:

$$\frac{{}^{R}Df(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{p})}{D\mathbf{R}}$$
$$\frac{{}^{L}Df(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{p})}{D\mathbf{R}}$$

Answer:

Left derivative of f with respect to R is defined as:

$$\frac{{}^{L}Df(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{p})}{D\mathbf{R}} = \frac{{}^{L}D\mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}}{D\mathbf{R}} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{(\theta \oplus \mathbf{R})\mathbf{p} \ominus \mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}}{\theta} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{Exp}(\theta)\mathbf{R}\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}}{\theta}$$

Using the distributive property of matrix multiplication:

$$\lim_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \to 0} \frac{(\mathbf{I} + [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times}) \, \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \lim_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \to 0} \frac{[\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \lim_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \to 0} \frac{-\boldsymbol{\theta} [\mathbf{R} \mathbf{p}]_{\times}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -[\mathbf{R} \mathbf{p}]_{\times} = -\mathbf{R} [\mathbf{p}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$$

Right derivative of f with respect to R is defined as:

$$\frac{{}^{R}Df(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{p})}{D\mathbf{R}} = \frac{{}^{R}D\mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}}{D\mathbf{R}} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{(\mathbf{R} \oplus \theta)\mathbf{p} \ominus \mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}}{\theta} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Exp}(\theta)\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}}{\theta}$$

Expanding the first term using the distributive property of matrix multiplication, we get:

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{R} \left(\mathbf{I} + [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \right) \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{R} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{p}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{-\mathbf{R} [\mathbf{p}]_{\times} \boldsymbol{\theta}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\mathbf{R} [\mathbf{p}]_{\times} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$$

Question 2: Jacobian

Given a Lie group \mathcal{M} with a composition operation \circ , and elements $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{M}$, calculate the derivative of $\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}$ with respect to \mathcal{Y} , i.e.

$$\frac{{}^{\mathcal{Y}}D\mathcal{X}\circ\mathcal{Y}}{D\mathcal{Y}}$$

Answer:

Using Jacobian law for composition, we have:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}} \triangleq \frac{\mathcal{Y} D \mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}}{D \mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$$

We also know that:

$$\mathcal{X} = Exp(\tau)$$

$$\tau = Log(\mathcal{X})$$

Using above equations the the Jacobian is calculated as follows:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}} = \frac{{}^{\mathcal{Y}} D \mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}}{D \mathcal{Y}} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{X} \circ (\mathcal{Y} \oplus \tau) \ominus \mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}}{\tau}$$

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\log \left[(\mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y})^{-1} (\mathcal{Y} \operatorname{Exp}(\tau) \mathcal{X}) \right]}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\log \left[\mathcal{X}^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}(\tau) \mathcal{X} \right]}{\tau}$$

$$= \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\log \left[\mathcal{X}^{-1} \mathcal{X} \mathcal{X} \right]}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\log [\mathcal{X}]}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\tau}{\tau} = \mathbf{I}$$

Question 3: Adjoint Matrix Properties.

Given the Lie group of M = SE(3) with the composition operation \circ , and elements $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in M$, show that

$$\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}}$$

$$\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} = \mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$$

Answer:

Part a

Using adjoint formula for composition we have:

$$\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}} = \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\wedge} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}}^{-1}\right)^{\vee}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \left[egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array}
ight]$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}}^{-1} &= \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} & -\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} & -\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} & -\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right] \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}} = \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} & \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} & -\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right] \right)^{\vee}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} & -\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} + \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array} \right] \right)^{\vee} \\ &= \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} & -[\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} [\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}} + \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right] \right)^{\vee} \\ &= \left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} & +\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta} [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}}]_{\times} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \boldsymbol{\theta} [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\times} + \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right] \right)^{\vee} \end{split}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta} + [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\theta} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} & [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} + [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta} \end{array} \right]$$

We know that $[\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} = \mathbf{R}[\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}^{\top}$ and $[\mathbf{a}]_{\times} \mathbf{b} = -[\mathbf{b}]_{\times} \mathbf{a}$. So the adjoint matrix is calculated as follows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} & [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}}]_{\times}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} + [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\times}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array}\right]$$

Calculating the other side of the equation yields:

$$\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} & [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\times}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} & [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}}]_{\times}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} & [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{Y}}]_{\times}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} + [\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{X}}]_{\times}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array} \right]$$

Thus, we have the same value for the left and right sides of the equation.

Part b

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{X}} &= \left[\mathcal{X}\tau^{\wedge}\mathcal{X}^{-1}\right]^{\vee} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1} &= \left[\left[\mathcal{X}\tau^{\wedge}\mathcal{X}^{-1}\right]^{\vee}\right]^{-1} = \left[\left[\mathcal{X}\tau^{\wedge}\mathcal{X}^{-1}\right]^{-1}\right]^{\vee} = \left[\mathcal{X}^{-1}\tau^{\wedge}\mathcal{X}\right]^{\vee} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} &= \left[\mathcal{X}^{-1}\tau^{\wedge}\mathcal{X}\right]^{\vee} \end{aligned}$$

So, It can be proved that:

$$\mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} = \mathbf{Ad}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$$

References

[1] Joan Sola, Jeremie Deray, and Dinesh Atchuthan. A micro lie theory for state estimation in robotics. $arXiv\ preprint\ arXiv:1812.01537$, 2018.