

# ESEIAAT ENGINEERING PROJECT

## **Astrea Constellation**

Project Charter

Group 04: EA-T2016 October 4, 2016



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 2/20 Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

## Astrea Constellation

## Contents

1	Aim of the project	3
2	Scope of the project	3
3	Basic requirements of the project	4
4	Justification	5
5	Internal Structure5.1 5.1.Hierarchy5.2 Documents organisation	<b>8</b> 8 9
6	Planning of the project 6.1 Tasks identification from work breakdown structure (WBS)	
7	Budget7.1 Engineering hours budget	
8	Gantt of the project	19
9	References	20

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 3/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**

## 1 Aim of the project

Design of a **satellite constellation** dedicated to communications relay between LEO satellites and between LEO satellites and the ground.

## 2 Scope of the project

This section establishes the scope of the project.

## Satellite development

- An establishment of the mission requirements that influence any parameter of the satellite will be developed. These requirements include size, weight, spacecraft subsystems and payload.
- Based on these conditions, the CubeSat size will be chosen.
- The design of the spacecraft subsystems will be in charge of a specialized enterprise that will work strictly following the requirements talked before.

#### Orbital design.

- The orbit design will be accomplished according to the results of several studies such as visibility between satellites and between satellites and ground stations, collision avoidance, orbital decay avoidance and stated requirements as global coverage, low earth orbit, low latency.
- The number of satellites and the number of orbital planes will be deducted from those studies.
- A study will be carried out to clarify if the Earth is the only celestial body that will influence the satellites or others, for instance, the Moon or the Sun will also have to be considered.
- The specific existing legislation will be taken into an account and followed during all the orbit development.

#### Constellation Deployment.

- A comparison among the existing launch platforms will be carried out to find out the one that fulfills the mission requirements and a reasonable economical conditions.
- A launching window/s will be reserved if the launch platform chosen requires it.

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 4/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**

• The recommendations of Joint Space Operation Center will be followed and their application form will be filled up to ensure all the launch procedure accomplishes the legislation.

### Operation.

- An analysis will be done to clarify how many ground stations must operate and the possibility of placing a central one in UPC ESEIAAT.
- The requirements and costs of the ground station will be determined.
- Communication logistics will be defined.
- An end of life strategy will be designed according to CubeSat lifespan, orbit decay, replacement stratagem of the company and legislation procedures.

#### Exhibition.

• It will consist on a simulation of the constellation.

## 3 Basic requirements of the project

Feature	Description
1	Provide communication relay between two LEO nanosatellites with a latency lower than 1 minute.
2	Provide communication relay between a LEO nanosatellite and the ground with a latency <b>lower than 5 minutes.</b> .
3	Back-up system prepared to handle <b>up to two major failures</b> in the system. A major failure can be defined as the loss of a client's satellite coverage because of a failure in the network.
4	Switch time after major failure happens, shall be <b>below 6 hours</b> .
5	Each Satellite Node volume should be equal or lower than a 3U Cubesat.
6	Each Node should be able to handle at least 25 Mbit/s of data rate.
5	Each Satellite Node volume should be equal or lower than a 3U Cubesat

Table 1: Project Requirements

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 5/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**

## 4 Justification

One of the major drawbacks of satellites is their poor temporal resolution. Although they can gather high quality data, they frequently lose contact with ground stations as they orbit. Therefore, their connection is limited to once every few hours. Astrea's objective is to solve this issue by creating a network between ground stations and LEO satellites providing near real-time communication to the customer. A network like the aforementioned can only be carried out by a CubeSat constellation because they are economical and easily reproducible satellites, making their mass production affordable.

Another problem which is normally faced when designing a satellite is that the systems it contains become obsolete in a relatively short period of time. In order to prevent this premature obsolescence, we propose a constant refilling of the constellation, possible due to the low cost of CubeSat. Our preliminary study leads us to the fact that the orbit decay would make the CubeSats fall after 2 years of operation making us capable of updating the systems as the technology evolves.

Since 2013 CubeSat launches have experienced an incredible raise (as shown in Figure 1) mainly because of their economic advantage. The future projection shows that the launches are going to continue increasing. However, more than the half of these CubeSat constellations are going to be focused on earth monitoring or become multiple-point sensors [1]. In these situation, Astrea have the opportunity to take a unique position in the market, sharing the communication segment only with Kepler Communications[2].

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 6/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**




Figure 1: Nanosatellites by launch years. Extracted from [3]

Currently, there isn't any mission involving a large number of satellites implementing inter-satellite connection. However, missions like **QB-50** and **Keppler** are going to use this technology. the objective of these missions and other small satellites related projects is exposed at the Table 1 . For Astrea, this is an imperative requirement since normally, the CubeSat that connects with ground it's not the same that stablish a link with the customer satellite. In addition, this will enable them to configure and maintain dynamic routes and manage intermediate nodes.

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 7/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

Mission	Number of	Launched/Projected	Products or
Name	satellites	launch year	Services
Spire	+100	2012	weather monitoring system
GHSat	1	2013	Greenhouse gas and air quality
			gas emissions monitoring
SpacePharma	-	2013	Microgravity service with
			3U CubeSats
Sky and Space global	200	2015	Communication service (voice,
			data and M2M)
Astro Digital	20	2015	Earth Obervation
			(Landmapper-HD)
EDSN	8	2015	Demonstration of small satellite
			applications using consumer
			electronic-based nano-satellites
QB-50	50	2016	International network for thermo
			sphere exploration
PROBA-3	2	2017	Demonstrate the technologies
			needed for formation flying
Keppler	50	2017	Coordinate and relay the
			communication between
			satellites and ground

Table 2: Current and future small satellites missions. Adapted from  $[3,\,4]$ 

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 8/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**

## 5 Internal Structure

## 5.1 Hierarchy

In order to build a work strategy, the project is divided in task that will be described later on. As the different tasks depend on each other, the project members have decided to follow a hierarchy. Every task is developed by a small team between 2 and 5 people depending on the amount of work the task requires.

Each small team has to have a coordinator which has two principal functions. The first one is to manage the group so he is responsible for the good organisation and progression of the task. The second is that he is the voice of the team. That means that the coordinator is the one who represents his work team when transferring information to the other group coordinators and the project managers and vice versa.

Over all the teams Boyan Naydenov is the project manager who ensures the project progress and manages people for major decisions. Finally, Silvia González is the secretary in charge to write the minutes of each meeting.

Department	Coordinator	Team members
Orbits Design	Oscar Fuentes Muñoz	Lluís Foreman Campins
		Sílvia González García
		Víctor Martínez Viol
		Laura Pla Olea
Satellite Design	Pol Fontanes Molina	Fernando Herrán Albelda
		David Morata Carranza
Communications	Eva María Urbano González	Boyan Naydenov
		Josep Puig Ruiz
		Josep María Serra Moncu-
		nill
		Sergi Tarroc Gil
Constellation Deployment	Xavi Tió Malo	Joan Cebrián Galán
		Roger Fraixedas Lucea
		Marina Pons Daza

Table 3: Roles and Responsabilities

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 9/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**

## 5.2 Documents organisation

The Astrea team has 17 members so it is essential to define protocol to organise all the documents and information found to take advantage of resources.

The principal communication tool used is Slack which is a platform specialised in team communication. Slack defines itself as a real-time messaging, achieving and search for modern team which is interesting for us because it allows the group to communicate at all times for punctual doubts and small decisions. For major decisions a date is specified by doodle to meet.

Moreover, to share documents we use two platforms: *Slack* and *BSCW*. On slack we put first drafts or documents that can be interesting. BSCW is the main information storage because information and documents are stocked and organised in folders.

At last, the text editor used to develop the project is Latex which combined with Git allows us to work remotely on a same document without overriding someone else's work. This work system is really interesting for such a big group in order to work on the same document while keeping a record of the changes.

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016


Page: 10/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

### Astrea Constellation

## 6 Planning of the project

## 6.1 Tasks identification from work breakdown structure (WBS)



Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 11/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

## Astrea Constellation

## 6.2 Description of the tasks

	Work Package	Biref task description list		
1.Managment				
1.1	Meetings Docu-	·Writing agendas of the meetings:		
] 1	ments	The team's secretary will take note of the topics pending to		
		debate and make a list to be checked by the team.		
		·Writing minutes of the meetings:		
		The team's secretary will take note of the debate and conclu-		
		sions of the meeting.		
1.2	Task tracking	·Project Charter:		
	and scheduling	A description of the project to develop is going to be detailed		
		by all the group members during the first weeks.		
		·Team tasks monitoring:		
		The coordinator will ensure tasks compliance and register the		
		progress.		
		·WBS and Gantt update:		
		The documents summarizing the project organization will be		
		updated with final dates and final topics assessed.		
		2. Satellite		
2.1	Spacecraft Sub-	•Establishment of requirements once orbits are defined.		
8	systems	•External enterprise hiring for hardware and software des		
2.2.1	Payload antenna	·Calculation of the size of the antenna needed to communicate		
		with the other satellites.		
		·Search the available antenna in the market that best fits the		
		needs of the project.		
2.2.2	Payload Data	·Selection of the configuration.		
	Handling Sys-	•Establishment of the desired hardware and software.		
1	tem (PDHS)	•Search the available PDHS in the market that best fits the		
		needs of the project.		
		3. Constellation		
3.1	Constellation	•Number of satellites: It is necessary to determine the total		
	geometry	number of satellites in order to get global coverage.		
		• <u>Distribution of the satellites:</u> Compute the correct distribu-		
		tion of these satellites.		
3.2.1	General parame-	·Parameter description: Physical definition of the orbits for		
1	ters	each satellite of the constellation.		
3.2.2	Drifts	•Orbit modifications: Compute the possible orbit deviations		
		of the different satellites.		

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 12/20 Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

3.3	Legislation	•Research: Study the legislation referred to nanosatellites. •Implement: Apply the necessary measures to accomplish the	
		legal requirements.	
		4. Launch Systems	
4.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		•Research of the main companyies that offer launch services,	
		including their features and costs.	
		•Decision of the best launch system for our goal, regarding	
		the requirements and the available technology.	
4.2	Satellite De-	·Study of the requirements for the launch of the cubesats.	
	ployer	•Research of the deployment systems that the main companies	
		offer.	
		•Decision of the best launch system for our goal, regarding	
		the requirements and the available technology.	
		5. Operations	
5.1	Communication	·Study the existing communication protocols.	
	protocol	·Adapt the existing protocols or create new ones.	
5.2	Ground station	•Determine the number of ground stations needed.	
		•Design a model of a ground station capable of communication	
		efectively with the constellation.	
5.3	End of life strat-	·Study the existing end of life protocols.	
	egy • Choose the protocol that applies to the satellites.		
	T	6. Financial Plan	
6.1.1.1	Maintenance	•Determine maintenance costs related to the constellation and	
	cost analysis	the ground station.	
6.1.1.2	Insurance cost Study of the insurance market and choosing the best option		
	analysis		
6.1.1.3	Administration	•Determine how much it will cost to manage the constellation.	
0.1.1.1	cost analysis		
6.1.1.4	Taxes cost anal-	•Analysis of taxes related to the service provided and how it	
0.1.0.1	ysis	will affect the economic balance.	
6.1.2.1	Manufacturing	•Determine the cost of production of the different elements of	
0.1.0.0	cost report	the constellation.	
6.1.2.2	Launching cost	•Study of the best options in the market to launch the satel-	
0.0.1	report	lites and choosing one of them.	
6.2.1	Price analysis	•Determine the price of the service provided for optimum in-	
6.0.0	D C	come.	
6.2.2	Revenue forecast	•Study of the demand for the service provided.	

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 13/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

### Astrea Constellation

	6.3	Economic feasi-	·Study of the costs and income of the project to determine if
	bility report		it can carry out.
	7. Project Exhibition		
ĺ	7	Project Exhibi-	•Perform a simulation of the constellation in order to show
		tion	how it will work

Table 4: Tasks Description

## 6.3 Interdepency relationships among tasks, human resources and level of effort

ID	Work Package	Time (h)	Prelations		
	1.Managment				
1.1	Meetings Documents	408	BF - 3		
1.2	Task tracking and scheduling	50	BB - 1.1		
	2.Sate	ellite	·		
2.1	Spacecraft Subsystems	40	BF-3		
2.2.1	Payload antenna	40	BF-3		
2.2.2	PDHS	50	BF-3		
	3. Const	ellation			
3.1	Constellation geometry	220	-		
3.2.1	General parameters	120	BF - 3.1		
3.2.2	Drifts	100	BB - 3.2.1		
3.3	Legislation	50	BB - 3.1		
			BB - 2		
			BB - 1		
	4. Launch	Systems			
4.1	Vehicle	16	BB 3.2		
4.2	Satellite Deployer	11	BB 3.1		
	5. Oper	ations			
5.1	Communication protocol	21	BF - 3.2.1		
5.2	Ground station	15	BF- 5.1		
			BF - 3.3		
5.3	End of life strategy	18	BF - 3.2.1		
	6. Financial Plan				
6.1.1.1	Maintenance Cost Analysis	5	BF -1,2,3,4,5		
6.1.1.2	Insurance Cost Analysis	6	BF -1,2,3,4,5		
6.1.1.3	Administration Cost Analysis	6	BF -1,2,3,4,5		
6.1.1.4	Taxes Cost Analysis	6	BF -1,2,3,4,5		

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 14/20 Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

6.1.2.1	Manufacturing Cost Report	10	BF -1,2,3,4,5
6.1.2.2	Launching Cost Report	10	BF -1,2,3,4,5
6.2.1	Price Analysis	6	BF -1,2,3,4,5
6.2.2	Revenue Forecast	6	BF -1,2,3,4,5
6.3	Economic Feasibility Report	30	BF -1,2,3,4,5
7. Project Exhibition			
7	Project Exhibition	20	BF - 3

Table 5: Prelations and Time

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 15/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

## Astrea Constellation

## 7 Budget

## 7.1 Engineering hours budget

WORKING PACKAGE	Hours (h)	Labor cost (€)
MANAGEMENT		
Meetings documentation		
Meetings	340	6800
Meetings preparation		
Agendas	10	200
Minutes	10	200
Task tracking and scheduling		
Project Charter	170	3400
Team tasks monitoring	20	400
WBS and Gantt update	10	200
SATELLITE DEVELOPMENT		
Spacecraft subsystems	40	800
Payload		
Antenna	40	800
PHDS	50	1000
ORBITAL DESIGN		
Constellation geometry	220	4400
Orbit parameters		
General parameters	120	2400
Drifts	100	2000
Legislation	50	1000
LAUNCH SYSTEMS		
Vehicle	16	320
Satellite deployer	11	220
OPERATION		
Communication protocol	21	420
Ground station	15	300
Enf of life strategy	18	360

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 16/20 Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

WORKING PACKAGE	Hours (h)	Labor cost (€)
FINANCIAL PLAN		
Costs		
Fix		
Maintenance cost analysis	5	100
Insurance cost analysis	6	120
Administration cost analysis	6	120
Taxes cost analysis	6	120
Variable		
Manufacturing cost report	10	200
Launching cost report	10	200
Income		
Price analysis	6	120
Revenue forecast	6	120
Economic feasibility report	30	600
PROJECT EXHIBITION		
Constellation simulation	20	400
TOTAL ESTIMATED	1400	28000

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 17/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

## Astrea Constellation

## 7.2 Preliminary total costs budget

WORKING PACKAGE	Product cost (€)	Hours (h)	Labor cost (€)
MANAGEMENT			
Meetings documentation			
Meetings	-	340	6800
Meetings preparation			
Agendas	-	10	200
Minutes	-	10	200
Task tracking and scheduling			
Project charter	-	170	3400
Team tasks monitoring	-	20	400
WBS and Gantt update	-	10	200
SATELLITE DEVELOPMENT			
Spacecraft subsystems	-?	40	800
Payload			
Antenna	6000	40	800
PHDS	7000	50	1000
ORBITAL DESIGN			
Constellation geometry	-	220	4400
Orbit parameters			
General parameters	-	120	2400
Drifts	-	100	2000
Legislation	Licencia?	50	1000
LAUNCH SYSTEMS			
Vehicle	-	16	320
Satellite deployer	-	11	220
OPERATION			
Communication protocol	-	21	420
Ground station	5000	15	300
Enf of life strategy	-	18	360

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 18/20 Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

WORKING PACKAGE	Product cost (€)	Hours (h)	Labor cost (€)
FINANCIAL PLAN			
Costs			
Fix			
Maintenance cost analysis	-	5	100
Insurance cost analysis	-	6	120
Administration cost analysis	-	6	120
Taxes cost analysis	-	6	120
Variable			
Manufacturing cost report	-	10	200
Launching cost report	-	10	200
Income			
Price analysis	-	6	120
Revenue forecast	-	6	120
Economic feasibility report	-	30	600
PROJECT EXHIBITION			
Constellation simulation	-	20	400
TOTAL ESTIMATED	18000 + ?	1400	28000

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date:




Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 19/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

#### **Astrea Constellation**

## 8 Gantt of the project



Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
		5
Date:	Date:	Date:



Date: October 4, 2016

Page: 20/20

Code: Group 04: EA-T2016

## **Astrea Constellation**

9 References

## References

[1] Elizabeth Buchen. 2014 Nano/Microsatellite Market Assessment. SpaceWorks Enterprises, 2014.

[2] Keppler Communications, http://www.keplercommunications.com

[3] Nanosatellite Database by Erik, http://www.nanosats.eu

[4] R. Radhakrishnan, W. W. Edmonson, F. Afghah, R. M. Rodriguez-Osorio, F. Pinto Jr., and Scott C. Burleigh. Survey of Inter-satellite Communication for Small Satellite Systems: Physical Layer to Network Layer View., 2016.

Prepared by:	Revised by:	Charter acceptance by:
Date:	Date:	Date: