Assignment1 (Score: 9.0 / 9.0)

1. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

2. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

3. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

4. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

5. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

6. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

7. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

8. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

9. Test cell (Score: 1.0 / 1.0)

You are currently looking at **version 0.1** of this notebook. To download notebooks and datafiles, as well as get help on Jupyter notebooks in the Coursera platform, visit the Jupyter Notebook FAQ course resource.

Assignment 1 - Introduction to Machine Learning ¶

For this assignment, you will be using the Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) Database to create a classifier that can help diagnose patients. First, read through the description of the dataset (below).

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
    from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer

    cancer = load_breast_cancer()
    print(cancer.DESCR) # Print the data set description
```

.. _breast_cancer_dataset:

Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset

Data Set Characteristics:

:Number of Instances: 569

:Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class

:Attribute Information:

- radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)
- texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
- perimeter
- area
- smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
- compactness (perimeter^2 / area 1.0)
- concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
- concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
- symmetry
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" 1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.

- class:
 - WDBC-Malignant
 - WDBC-Benign

:Summary Statistics:

	=====	=====
	Min	Max
=======================================	=====	=====
radius (mean):	6.981	28.11
texture (mean):	9.71	39.28
perimeter (mean):	43.79	188.5
area (mean):	143.5	2501.0

```
smoothness (mean):
                                      0.053 0.163
   compactness (mean):
                                      0.019 0.345
   concavity (mean):
                                      0.0
                                             0.427
   concave points (mean):
                                      0.0
                                             0.201
   symmetry (mean):
                                      0.106 0.304
   fractal dimension (mean):
                                      0.05
                                            0.097
   radius (standard error):
                                      0.112 2.873
   texture (standard error):
                                      0.36
                                            4.885
   perimeter (standard error):
                                      0.757 21.98
   area (standard error):
                                      6.802 542.2
   smoothness (standard error):
                                      0.002 0.031
   compactness (standard error):
                                      0.002 0.135
   concavity (standard error):
                                      0.0
                                            0.396
   concave points (standard error):
                                      0.0
                                             0.053
   symmetry (standard error):
                                      0.008 0.079
   fractal dimension (standard error):
                                      0.001 0.03
   radius (worst):
                                      7.93
                                             36.04
   texture (worst):
                                      12.02 49.54
                                      50.41 251.2
   perimeter (worst):
   area (worst):
                                      185.2 4254.0
   smoothness (worst):
                                      0.071 0.223
   compactness (worst):
                                      0.027 1.058
                                            1.252
   concavity (worst):
                                      0.0
   concave points (worst):
                                             0.291
                                      0.0
   symmetry (worst):
                                      0.156 0.664
   fractal dimension (worst):
                                      0.055 0.208
   :Missing Attribute Values: None
    :Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign
    :Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian
    :Donor: Nick Street
    :Date: November, 1995
This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets.
https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2
```

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle

aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in:
[K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/

.. topic:: References

- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.
- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995.
- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.

The object returned by load_breast_cancer() is a scikit-learn Bunch object, which is similar to a dictionary.

```
In [2]: cancer.keys()
Out[2]: dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names', 'filename', 'data_mod ule'])
```

Question 0 (Example)

How many features does the breast cancer dataset have?

This function should return an integer.

```
In [3]:
                                                                                                          (Top)
        Student's answer
         # You should write your whole answer within the function provided. The autograder will call
         # this function and compare the return value against the correct solution value
         def answer zero():
             # This function returns the number of features of the breast cancer dataset, which is an intege
         r.
             # The assignment question description will tell you the general format the autograder is expect
         ing
             return len(cancer['feature_names'])
             # YOUR CODE HERE
             #raise NotImplementedError()
         answer_zero()
         # You can examine what your function returns by calling it in the cell. If you have questions
         # about the assignment formats, check out the discussion forums for any FAQs
```

```
Out[3]: 30
```

In [4]: Grade cell: cell-d2933751632e1611 Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)

Scikit-learn works with lists, numpy arrays, scipy-sparse matrices, and pandas DataFrames, so converting the dataset to a DataFrame is not necessary for training this model. Using a DataFrame does however help make many things easier such as munging data, so let's practice creating a classifier with a pandas DataFrame.

Convert the sklearn.dataset cancer to a DataFrame.

This function should return a (569, 31) DataFrame with

```
columns =
```

```
['mean radius', 'mean texture', 'mean perimeter', 'mean area',
    'mean smoothness', 'mean compactness', 'mean concavity',
    'mean concave points', 'mean symmetry', 'mean fractal dimension',
    'radius error', 'texture error', 'perimeter error', 'area error',
    'smoothness error', 'compactness error', 'concavity error',
    'concave points error', 'symmetry error', 'fractal dimension error',
    'worst radius', 'worst texture', 'worst perimeter', 'worst area',
    'worst smoothness', 'worst compactness', 'worst concavity',
    'worst concave points', 'worst symmetry', 'worst fractal dimension',
    'target']

and index =

RangeIndex(start=0, stop=569, step=1)
```

Out[5]:

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	concave points	mean symmetry	fractal dimension	 worst texture	per
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	0.27760	0.30010	0.14710	0.2419	0.07871	 17.33	
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	0.07864	0.08690	0.07017	0.1812	0.05667	 23.41	
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	0.15990	0.19740	0.12790	0.2069	0.05999	 25.53	
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	0.28390	0.24140	0.10520	0.2597	0.09744	 26.50	
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	0.13280	0.19800	0.10430	0.1809	0.05883	 16.67	
564	21.56	22.39	142.00	1479.0	0.11100	0.11590	0.24390	0.13890	0.1726	0.05623	 26.40	
565	20.13	28.25	131.20	1261.0	0.09780	0.10340	0.14400	0.09791	0.1752	0.05533	 38.25	
566	16.60	28.08	108.30	858.1	0.08455	0.10230	0.09251	0.05302	0.1590	0.05648	 34.12	
567	20.60	29.33	140.10	1265.0	0.11780	0.27700	0.35140	0.15200	0.2397	0.07016	 39.42	
568	7.76	24.54	47.92	181.0	0.05263	0.04362	0.00000	0.00000	0.1587	0.05884	 30.37	

569 rows × 31 columns

In [6]:

Grade cell: cell-2dea923f2da8db76

Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)

What is the class distribution? (i.e. how many instances of malignant and how many benign?)

This function should return a Series named target of length 2 with integer values and index = ['malignant', 'benign']

```
In [7]:
         Student's answer
                                                                                                             (Top)
         def answer_two():
             cancerdf = answer one()
             malignant = len(cancerdf[cancerdf['target'] == 0])
             benign = len(cancerdf[cancerdf['target'] == 1])
             target = pd.Series(data = [malignant, benign], index = ['malignant', 'benign'])
             return target # Return your answer
         answer two()
             # YOUR CODE HERE
             #raise NotImplementedError()
Out[7]: malignant
                      212
        benign
                      357
        dtype: int64
In [8]:
                                                                                               Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)
         Grade cell: cell-3d372226c8ec1345
```

Split the DataFrame into X (the data) and y (the labels).

This function should return a tuple of length 2: (X, y), where

- X has shape (569, 30)
- y has shape (569,).

```
In [9]: Student's answer

def answer_three():
    # YOUR CODE HERE
    cancerdf = answer_one()
    X = cancerdf.iloc[:, :30]
    y = cancerdf.iloc[:, 30]
    return X, y
#raise NotImplementedError()
```

```
In [10]: Grade cell: cell-2ab04bcdf3007380 Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)
```

Using train_test_split , split X and y into training and test sets (X_train, X_test, y_train, and y_test) .

Set the random number generator state to 0 using random_state=0 to make sure your results match the autograder!

This function should return a tuple of length 4: (X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test), where

- X_train has shape (426, 30)
- X_test has shape (143, 30)
- y_train has shape (426,)
- y_test has shape (143,)

```
In [11]: Student's answer

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

def answer_four():
    # YOUR CODE HERE
    X, y = answer_three()

# Your code here

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)
    return X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test
#raise NotImplementedError()
```

In [12]: Grade cell: cell-725b24dae2118210 Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)

Using KNeighborsClassifier, fit a k-nearest neighbors (knn) classifier with X_train, y_train and using one nearest neighbor (n_neighbors = 1).

*This function should return a sklearn.neighbors.classification.KNeighborsClassifier.

```
In [13]:
                                                                                                              (Top)
          Student's answer
          from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
          def answer_five():
              # YOUR CODE HERE
              X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = answer_four()
              knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors = 1)
              return knn.fit(X_train, y_train)
              #raise NotImplementedError()
In [14]:
                                                                                                Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)
          Grade cell: cell-fe3813c4f3a2e07b
In [15]: answer_five()
Out[15]:
                  KNeighborsClassifier
          KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=1)
```

Using your knn classifier, predict the class label using the mean value for each feature.

Hint: You can use cancerdf.mean()[:-1].values.reshape(1, -1) which gets the mean value for each feature, ignores the target column, and reshapes the data from 1 dimension to 2 (necessary for the precict method of KNeighborsClassifier).

```
In [16]:
          Student's answer
                                                                                                               (Top)
          def answer six():
               # YOUR CODE HERE
              cancerdf = answer one()
              means = cancerdf.mean()[:-1].values.reshape(1, -1)
              predict = answer five()
              label = predict.predict(means)
               return label
              # Return your answer
          answer six()
              #raise NotImplementedError()
Out[16]: array([1.])
In [17]:
          Grade cell: cell-7e13c598c2be47a4
                                                                                                 Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)
```

Question 7

Using your knn classifier, predict the class labels for the test set X_test .

This function should return a numpy array with shape (143,) and values either 0.0 or 1.0.

```
In [18]:
          Student's answer
                                                                                                          (Top)
          def answer seven():
              # YOUR CODE HERE
              X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = answer_four()
              knn = answer five()
              # Your code here
              return knn.predict(X test)
          answer seven()
              #raise NotImplementedError()
Out[18]: array([1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 0., 0., 1.,
                0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0.,
                1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 1., 0., 0.,
                1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0.,
                0., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0.,
                1., 1., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1.,
                1., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1.,
                1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1., 0., 1., 1., 1., 1.,
                1., 0., 0., 1., 1., 1., 0.])
In [19]:
                                                                                             Score: 1.0 / 1.0 (Top)
          Grade cell: cell-ece94681388729ef
```

Find the score (mean accuracy) of your knn classifier using X_{test} and y_{test} .

This function should return a float between 0 and 1

Optional plot

Try using the plotting function below to visualize the different predicition scores between train and test sets, as well as malignant and benign cells.

In [22]:	Student's answer	(Top)

```
def accuracy plot():
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    %matplotlib notebook
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = answer_four()
    # Find the training and testing accuracies by target value (i.e. malignant, benign)
    mal_train_X = X_train[y_train==0]
    mal train y = y train[y train==0]
    ben train X = X train[y train==1]
    ben train y = y train[y train==1]
    mal test X = X test[y test==0]
    mal test y = y test[y test==0]
    ben test X = X test[y test==1]
    ben test y = y test[y test==1]
    knn = answer five()
    scores = [knn.score(mal train X, mal train y), knn.score(ben train X, ben train y),
              knn.score(mal_test_X, mal_test_y), knn.score(ben_test_X, ben_test_y)]
    plt.figure()
    # Plot the scores as a bar chart
    bars = plt.bar(np.arange(4), scores, color=['#4c72b0','#4c72b0','#55a868','#55a868'])
    # directly label the score onto the bars
    for bar in bars:
        height = bar.get height()
        plt.gca().text(bar.get_x() + bar.get_width()/2, height*.90, '{0:.{1}f}'.format(height, 2),
                     ha='center', color='w', fontsize=11)
    # remove all the ticks (both axes), and tick labels on the Y axis
    plt.tick params(top='off', bottom='off', left='off', right='off', labelleft='off', labelbottom
='on')
    # remove the frame of the chart
    for spine in plt.gca().spines.values():
```

```
spine.set_visible(False)

plt.xticks([0,1,2,3], ['Malignant\nTraining', 'Benign\nTraining', 'Malignant\nTest', 'Benign\nT
est'], alpha=0.8);
 plt.title('Training and Test Accuracies for Malignant and Benign Cells', alpha=0.8)

In [23]: # Uncomment the plotting function to see the visualization,
# Comment out the plotting function when submitting your notebook for grading
# accuracy_plot()

In [24]: accuracy_plot()
In []:
```

This assignment was graded by mooc_adswpy:955be461db2a, v1.38.030923