Machine Learning: Exercise Sheet 2

Linxi Wang Lusiné Nazaretya**n**

Ya Qian Thomas Herold Karen Nazaretyan

Exercise 1

(a)
$$p(x) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \lambda \eta e^{-\lambda x} - \eta \eta dy = \lambda \eta e^{-\lambda x} \left(-\frac{1}{\eta} e^{-\eta y}\right) \Big|_{0}^{+\infty}$$

$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$p(y) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \lambda \eta e^{-\lambda x} - \eta \eta dx = \eta e^{-\eta y}$$

$$\therefore p(x)py = \lambda ye^{-\lambda x-yy} = p(x,y)$$

.. x and y are independent.

(b)
$$l(x) = ln p(D|x) = ln \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x_i, y_i|x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ln p(x_i, y_i|x)$$

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{N} ln(\lambda y_i e^{-\lambda x_i - y_i y_i}) = N ln(\lambda y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\lambda x_i + y_i y_i)$
 $= N ln(\lambda y_i) - N \lambda \bar{x} - N y \bar{y}$

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} = N \frac{1}{xy} \cdot y - N \overline{x} = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{x}$$

(c) when
$$y = \chi$$
, we have $p(x,y) = \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} - \frac{1}{\lambda} y = e^{-\lambda x} - \frac{1}{\lambda}$

(d) when
$$y = 1 - \lambda$$
, we have $p(x,y) = \lambda(1-\lambda) \cdot e^{-\lambda x} - (1-\lambda) \cdot y$

$$\lambda(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \lambda(1-\lambda) \cdot e^{-\lambda x} - (1-\lambda) \cdot y = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \lambda(1-\lambda) \cdot y = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \lambda(1-\lambda)$$

Exercise 2:
(a).
$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T Y$$
 where $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$
 $\therefore \hat{\beta} = (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T (X\beta + \epsilon) = \beta + (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$
Since $\epsilon \sim N(0, \alpha^2)$
 $\hat{\beta}$ also follows a Gaussian distribution, with

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = E(\beta + (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T \epsilon) = \beta + (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T E(\epsilon)$$

$$= \beta + 0 = \beta$$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = E[\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})][\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})]^T$$

$$= E[\hat{\beta} - \beta][\hat{\beta} - \beta]^T$$

$$= E[(X^T \times)^{-1} X^T \epsilon \epsilon^T \times (X^T \times)^{-1}]$$

$$= (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T \epsilon (\epsilon \epsilon^T) \times (X^T \times)^{-1}$$

$$= (X^T \times)^{-1} X^T \epsilon^2 \times (X^T \times)^{-1}$$

 $= \alpha^{2} (X^{T} \times)^{-1} \times^{T} \times (X^{T} \times)^{-1}$

 $= 0^{2} (X^{T} \times)^{-1}$

- (b) In most of the practical applications, not all the Bs one important in modeling y, if we know the discribition instead of Just the estimate itself of B, then we can delete some Bs that are not significantly important under the significance level. Which is very useful in variable selection in a regression under
- (c). $\therefore \hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \alpha^{2}(x^{T}x)^{-1})$ $\hat{y}_{*} = x_{*}^{T}\hat{\beta}$ also follows a Gamssian disemblying. $E(\hat{y}_{*}) = x_{*}^{T}\beta$ $Var(\hat{y}_{*}) = Var(x_{*}^{T}\hat{\beta}) = x_{*}^{T}[\alpha^{2}(x^{T}x)^{+1}]x_{*}$ Therefore $\hat{y}_{*} \sim N(x_{*}^{T}\beta, \alpha^{2}x_{*}^{T}(x^{T}x)^{-1}x_{*}$
- (d). If we know the distribution of Ix, we can compare it with the real data, if the two differs quite a lot, then this model would not be appropriate for modeling my data. Therefore knowing the distribution could be helpful to decide whether the model is justifiable to your real data.