Target Localization Using Quantized Observations in Delay-Sensitive Networks

Na Zhao, Qing Chang, Xiao Shen, *Student Member, IEEE*,
Yunlong Wang, *Member, IEEE*, and Yuan Shen, *Senior Member, IEEE*

I. Appendix

Here, we derive the squared position error bound (SPEB) of $\mathbf{p}^{+}$ in Proposition 1. The unknown parameters are collected as $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [(\mathbf{p}^{+})^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and the log-likelihood function is

$$\ln f(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \ln f(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{p}) + \ln f(\boldsymbol{p}^{+}|\boldsymbol{p}) + \ln f(\boldsymbol{p}), \tag{1}$$

where $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ denotes the probability density function (PDF) $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of the random vector $\mathbf{x}$ unless specified otherwise. Then, the Fisher information matrix (FIM) of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ can be decomposed into three parts, as follows [1]:

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{ob}}(\boldsymbol{b}) + \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{M}}(\boldsymbol{b}) + \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{P}} \tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{ob}}(\boldsymbol{b})$, $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{M}}(\boldsymbol{b})$, and $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{P}}$ represent FIMs from quantized observations, target motions, and a prior knowledge of the target, respectively. The expression of $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{ob}}(\boldsymbol{b})$ is

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{ob}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{p}}\left\{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{p})\right\} \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{p})$ denotes $\partial^2 \ln f(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{p})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and (3) is rewritten since the observation is not associated with $\mathbf{p}^{+}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{ob}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{O} & \boldsymbol{O} \\ \boldsymbol{O} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p}}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\boldsymbol{b})\right\} \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{O}$ denotes the 2×2 zero matrix and

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{p}}\left\{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{p})\right\}. \tag{5}$$

The expression for $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\boldsymbol{b})$ is computed similarly to previous studies analyzing performance limits for unknown parameters from quantized observations [2]. It is provided as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\mathrm{a}}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_n \rho_{n,k}(b_n) \frac{\partial s_k(\tau_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} \frac{\partial s_k(\tau_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{T}}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\gamma_n = |\beta_n|^2/\sigma_n^2$ represents the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at n-th agent, $s_k(\tau_n)$ refers to the k-th element of the signal vector $\boldsymbol{s}(f_s; \tau_n)$, and $\rho_{n,k}(b_n)$ represents the quantized coefficient at k-th quantizer of n-th agent, calculated by

$$\rho_{n,k}(b_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{l=1}^{L_n} \frac{\left(e^{-r_{l+1}^2/2} - e^{-r_l^2/2}\right)^2}{\Phi(r_{l+1}) - \Phi(r_l)}, \tag{7}$$

$$r_l = \left[u_l - \beta_n s_k(\tau_n)\right]/\sigma_n, \quad l = 1, 2, \cdots, L_n - 1 \tag{8}$$

where $\Phi(x)$ denotes the tail distribution function of the standard norm distribution with $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\, dt$ [3]. Subsequently, the matrix $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{M}}(\boldsymbol{b})$ can be computed as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{M}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}^{+}}\left\{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{p}^{+}|\boldsymbol{p})\right\}, \tag{9}$$

which can be calculated as

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{M}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{1}{\alpha \Delta t(\boldsymbol{b})} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_2 & -\boldsymbol{I}_2 \\ -\boldsymbol{I}_2 & \boldsymbol{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, the FIM from the a priori knowledge of the target is independent of the bit-depth, which is given by

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{P}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p}}\left\{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{p})\right\}. \tag{11}$$

Due to the a priori knowledge only relies on $\mathbf{p}$, we can obtain

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{O} & \boldsymbol{O} \\ \boldsymbol{O} & \sigma_0^{-2} \boldsymbol{I}_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

Substituting (4), (10), and (12) into (2), we can obtain the partition of the FIM $\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{b})$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A} & -\boldsymbol{A} \\ -\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{W} \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$

where $\boldsymbol{A} = 1/\left[\alpha \Delta t(\boldsymbol{b})\right] \boldsymbol{I}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{W} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\boldsymbol{b})\right\} + \sigma_0^{-2} \boldsymbol{I}_2$. Then, the equivalent Fisher information matrix (EFIM) for estimating $\mathbf{p}^{+}$ is given by [4]

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{+,+}^{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{W})^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{14}$$

According to the matrix inverse lemma, we can obtain the closed-from EFIM for estimating $\mathbf{p}^{+}$, which is given by

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{+,+}^{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \left[\boldsymbol{W}^{-1} + \alpha \Delta t(\boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{I}_2\right]^{-1} \tag{15}$$

Finally, the closed-form SPEB for estimating $\mathbf{p}^{+}$ is given as

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{p}^{+}; \boldsymbol{b}) = \mathrm{tr}\left\{\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{p}}\{\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\boldsymbol{b})\} + \sigma_0^{-2} \boldsymbol{I}_2\right)^{-1}\right\} + 2\alpha \Delta t(\boldsymbol{b}), \tag{16}$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 1.

N. Zhao and Q. Chang are with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China (e-mail: na_zhao@buaa.edu.cn, changqing@buaa.edu.cn).

X. Shen, Y. Wang, and Y. Shen are with the Department of Electronic Engineering, and Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China. Y. Shen is also with the Shanghai AI Laboratory, Shanghai 201112, China (e-mail: shenx20@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, ylwang_ee@tsinghua.edu.cn, shenyuan_ee@tsinghua.edu.cn).

References

[1] P. Tichavsk, C. H. Muravchik, and A. Nehorai, "Posterior Cramér-Rao bounds for discrete-time nonlinear filtering," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1386–1396, May 1998.

[2] H. Fu and Y. Chi, "Quantized spectral compressed sensing: Cramer–Rao bounds and recovery algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 3268–3279, Jun. 2018.

[3] F. Xi, Y. Xiang, S. Chen, and A. Nehorai, "Gridless parameter estimation for one-bit MIMO radar with time-varying thresholds," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 1048–1063, Jan. 2020.

[4] Y. Shen and M. Z. Win, "Fundamental limits of wideband localization – Part I: A general framework," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 10, pp. 4956–4980, Oct. 2010.