



INFORMATICS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY IN COLLABORATION WITH UNIVERSITY OF WESTMINSTER (UOW)

B.Eng. (Hons) Software Engineering

5SENG002C.2 – Algorithms: Theory Design and Implementation

Coursework

Module Leader: Sudharshan Welihinda

UOW ID: w1761265

Student ID: 2019281

Student Full Name: Mohammed Nazhim Kalam

Choice of Data Structure and Algorithm

- A LinkedList (queue) is created in the BFS (Breadth First Search) method by making use of the poll() method to remove and get the first element of the queue. Queue is a data structure with both ends open, one end is often used to enter data the other is used to exclude data. Reason for using Queues is due the searching or traversing algorithm used is BFS (Breadth First Search/Traversal). BFS is a searching algorithm which is used for traversing a graph and this uses queues to remember to capture the next vertex to start a search. Reason why *BFS* is used not *DFS* for finding the augmenting path is that BFS promises to find the shortest possible (least number of edges) path from source to sink where as DFS doesn't, this also reduces the worst-case time complexity.
- Algorithm used is Ford Fulkerson. Ford-Fulkerson algorithm is used to find the maximal flow from start vertex to sink vertex. Any edge in a graph has a capacity. Source and Sink are the two key vertices to find the maximum flow between them. The sink vertex will have all inward edges and no outward edges, the source vertex will have all outward edges and no inward edges. The flow on an edge cannot exceed its maximum capacity of flow through that edge and except for the source and sink, any edge's incoming and outgoing flow would be equal. The Greedy Algorithm approach was considered in this case.

Explain of the Algorithm on the smallest benchmark example

- I have created 6 java classes. BFS class to perform the Breadth First Search Operation, Ford Fulkerson class to compute the maximum flow of a graph. GraphADT abstract class containing all the abstract methods for the graph operation such as (generateGraph, visualizeGraph, existsEdges, insertEdges, deleteEdges, insertNode, deleteNode). Graph class which implements the GraphADT class. ReadDataFile class used to read data from the txt file. Runner class where the main program runs.
- Firstly, the main menu is display which asks user the following to perform insert an edge, delete an edge, insert a node, delete a node, check if edge exists, perform max flow search or quit the program
- A 2-D Adjacent Matrix where 1st index represents the starting node and 2nd index represents the ending node/vertex is created. The value at these indexes represents the capacity between the vertices. If it's a 0 it means there is no edge else if it's a positive integer it indicates an edge.
- An instance of the FordFulkerson class is created and graph data, source node, target node data are sent.
- A residual graph is been initialized as the original graph with its capacities since there is no flow in the beginning. (The residual graph is also displayed to the user via console)
- To find the augmenting path BFS is used on the residual graph.
- The created parent arr[] array is used to store the found path and is filled by BFS.

- The maximum flow is set to 0 initially, because at start there is no flow considered.
- We will use the BFS traversing method to see if there is a (augmenting) path from source to sink.
- The BFS uses the parent_arr[] array where we can traverse through the found path and find the possible flow through this path by finding the (bottleneck capacity) minimum residual capacity along the path.
- The Augmenting path found is displayed to the user along with the bottleneck capacity for that path and also displays the updating maximum flow as it progresses to get the maximum flow.
- I also update the residual capacities in the residual graph by subtracting path flow from all (forward) edges along the path and we add path flow along the (reverse/ backward) edges. (at the same the updated residual graph is displayed to the user via console to show the progress).
- Finally, we add the found path flow to overall flow.
- Once the maximum flow is displayed via the console, the main menu is then displayed again.

Performance Analysis of Algorithm.

192	Ladder Input Data						Ladder Da	ta Input			
12	1000		1000	1000000000		T/ms	Inputs data size		Time Taken to produce	Ratio changes in time	Log ₂ ratio of time
12							Nodes	Edges	the outcome (ms)	The state of the s	
48			100000000000000000000000000000000000000			1			1		
96	500	0.015	0.016	0.016		16	2000		1		2
192	48	0.01	0.01	0.015	0.011666667	12	12	24	16	16	4
384 0.535 0.12 0.139 0.264666667 265 96 192 58 2.636 1.768 0.685 0.473 0.716 0.624666667 625 192 384 265 4.569 2.758 2.948 3.333 3.013 3013 384 768 625 2.358 1.768 1536 3072 11.457 11.64 12.707 11.93466667 11935 768 1536 3013 4.821 2.768 1536 3072 11935 3.961 1.768 1536 3072 11935	96	0.015	0.015	0.037	0.022333333	22	24	48	12	0.75	-0.415
768	192	0.051	0.061	0.062		58	48	96	22	1.833	0.874
1536 2.758 2.948 3.333 3.013 3013 3013 384 768 625 2.358 1. Number of Edges vs Time Taken 100000 Y=0.5181e ¹⁵⁰ The log ₂ ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,	384	0.535	0.12	0.139		265	96	192	58	2.636	1.398
1536 2.758 2.948 3.333 3.013 3013 384 768 625 2.358 1. Number of Edges vs Time Taken 100000 100000 The log2 ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			1900100100		11.0000000	192	384	265	4.569	2.192
Number of Edges vs Time Taken 100000 Y=0.5181e ¹⁵⁰⁰ The log ₂ ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,			700000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000			384	768	625	2 358	1.238
Number of Edges vs Time Taken 1536 3072 11935 3.961 1. The log2 ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,	3072	11.457	11.64	12.707	11.93466667	11935				10. 20.000	2.269
The log ₂ ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,					and and and		1		2508 CM 2007	10/20/2009	(30.00.000.000
The log ₂ ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,		Nu	mber of Edge	es vs Time Ta	aken		1536	3072	11935	3.961	1.986
The log ₂ ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,	100000						=				
The log ₂ ratio of the time spent seems to converge to a constant roughly around 2,							+				
converge to a constant roughly around 2,	*******						+ -, ,				
and the same of th	10000				y = 0.51	81e190	I ine i	og2 rat	tio of the time s	spent seems to)
to the same of the	Mr.						0000	.rao +	a a constant rou	ably around 2	
	E 1000				THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PARTY N		COLLAG	erge ic	o a constant rou	gniy around 2,	•
which means that the time complexity	- X				AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED I		which	mon	ns that the tir	mo comployity	•

for loop, (when creating the residual graph) which means the time complexity comes down to a maximum of n²

3072

1536

comes down to a maximum of n² and also according to the code the highest time complexity is given by the double nested

loop, when accessing the elements of the 2D

Therefore, this will be the following Big O notation = $O(n^2)$

384

768

[This applies for worst, average and best case]

192

24