ecture 16: Finding out the determinants Normally, computer finds the determinants from the pivots. There are 3 methods in total & pivots, big formula, cofactors. The pirot formula: You perform dimination on the matrix. After the elimination det (A) = (det L) (det V) = (1) (d1 d2d3 - - - dn) If any now-exchanges happeneddet (A) = ± (d, d2 - - - dn) A= [ 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 3 ]  $\begin{vmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\
2 & 7 & 5 & 2
\end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix}
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 3 & 1 & 0
\end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix}
0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 6 & -4 & 4
\end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix}
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix}
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 6 & 4
\end{vmatrix}$ Def (A) = -(1)(3)(2)(7) = -42. 

The big Formula: In computation with the pivots, we changed the entires of the matrix. the matrix. The big formula devives a single explicit formula directly from the entries aij. This formula has n! terms. Let's take a 2 by 2 example - $|a|_{cd} = |a|_{cd} = |a|_{cd}$ = |a o | - | c o | zad-be Thus, 3x3 matrix has 3! terms, ---. IIxII has 40 million ten Deferminant by cofactors; I could show it using the big formula. But I want to show this nethod separately. So you take every element from the first row, and you ignove the nespective row and column. The lower rows are defined as  $\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{23} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{23} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{23} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{23} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{23} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix}$   $\begin{vmatrix} a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$   $\begin{vmatrix} a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$   $\begin{vmatrix} a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$   $\begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$   $\begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$ = a11C1 + a12C12+a13C13 S = ay Cy + a12C12+ ---- + as cin ain Cin

The sign of the confaction is a major issue-The co-factors along row I are, Cij = (-1) 1+1 (det Mij) This is called minor of the chement 1,5 Example: Craver's Rule, Inverse Matrix, Volume: This lesson is the application of determinants. The previous lectures were for discussing the properties and formula of the determinal Now, I want to start this segment with a proof-False Expansion Theorem -If A is an nxn matrix and i+k then, ailex1+ ... + ain exn = 0 Broof: From the previous part we knowall C11 + a12 C12+ -- + ain Cin = det (A) aziczi + ----+ aznczn = det (A) Generalising, a:1Ck1+....+ ainCkn = det(A) [when i=k] Now, Let B be the matrix obtained from A by replacing tre K-th row of A with the it into row of A.

```
50, B is identical to A except that the K-th row of B is
same as the 1-th now of A.
so, two rows of B are same. so, according to the
properties of determinants, det B = 0.
110m, if we were to compute the det B by expanding along its k-th now
          bk1 C* k1 + .... + bkn C* kn = det B = 0
Chij is the co-factor of big in B.
But k-th row of B= i-th row of A.
       ais Cks + .... + ain Ckn = 6
The only thing that A and B & differ is in the k-th row. But that doesn't change the co-factors of ctks, so me can replace.
        a;1 CK1 + a;2 CK2 + ... . + ain CKn = 0
                                                         [froved]
Let's see a short example -
Suppose you have > A= tab
\begin{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ a & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b \\ a & b & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b \\ a & b & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b \\ a & b & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b \\ a & b & b \end{bmatrix}
Using the 2nd now, a. (-b) + b(a) = 0
\uparrow \qquad \uparrow
  Also, in A, using the 2nd now,
                      c. ( ) + d (c) = 0
                     Using the
                     eo-factor of
```

det A= a; T. cit [ when and, \* 0= of at. ct [i # k] We can rewrite this - $(A(cof A)^{T})_{ii} = a_{i}^{T} \cdot c_{i}^{T}$ and  $(A(cof A)^{T})_{ik} = O[i \neq k]$ I want to give anothe example here -12 A= [a b] £ cot A= [d -c] [-b a]  $A \left( \cot A \right)^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & -e \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ad -be & 0 \\ e & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -e & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ad -be & 0 \\ 0 & ad -be \end{bmatrix}$ this means the matrix Aleof A) Than det A on its diagonal enthies, and all other entries one 0. That is, it looks like the identity viratrix, except with det A instead of I on the diagonal.  $A(cof A)^{\mathsf{T}} = (Jet A) J$ \$ 50, it A is invertible, Pividing both sixes by det A - $\frac{A}{(\det A)^T} = I = AA^{-1}$ is A = | = [det A) (cof A) T]

Elet's see a quick example 
$$\rightarrow B = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 1 & 2 \\ 9 & -2 & -5 \\ 9 & 8 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 cof  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 96 & -33 & 50 \\ 27 & -48 & -47 \\ 1 & 97 & -18 \end{bmatrix}$  det  $B = 439$ 

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{\text{def } B} (cof B)^{T} = \frac{1}{439} \begin{bmatrix} 46 & -33 & 50 \\ 27 & -48 & -47 \\ 1 & 97 & -18 \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \frac{1}{439} \begin{bmatrix} 96 & 27 & 1 \\ -33 & -48 & 47 \\ 50 & -47 & -18 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our 2nd application is,

have a formula now,  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \left( \cot A \right)^{T^{\pm}}$ 

$$\chi_2 = \det B_2$$

$$\det A$$

Facomple: 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = ?$ 

$$|B_1| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 6 & 2 \\ 6 & 9 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$
  $|B_2| = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 5 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$   $|B_3| = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 6 & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 0 \\ 5 & 9 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ 

$$n_1 = \frac{|B_1|}{|A|}$$
 $n_2 = \frac{|B_2|}{|A|}$ 
 $n_3 = \frac{|B_3|}{|A|}$