Introduction to Databases

Tutorial 3

Dr Paolo Guagliardo

Fall 2020 (week 5)

Problem 1. Consider a schema with the following tables:

Customer over attributes ID, Name, City

ACCOUNT over attributes *Number*, *Branch*, *CustID* and *Balance* under the following constraints:

- no two rows of Customer have the same value for ID,
- no two rows of ACCOUNT have the same value for Number, and
- all values in column CustID in ACCOUNT appear in column ID in CUSTOMER.

Write the following queries in SQL:

- (1) "ID and name of customers living in London who do **not** own any account in Edinburgh."
- (2) "ID and name of customers who own an account in every branch."
- (3) "ID and name of customers who own an account with a balance which is no less than the balance of any other account."
- (4) "Customers who own an account with a balance that is at least 500 pounds higher than the average balance of all accounts in the same branch (of the account in question). Return the customer's ID, their name, and the corresponding account number."

Solution. The different alternatives written here for each query return precisely the same answers when no two rows in Customer have the same ID and there are no nulls in the database.

(1) One way is:

```
SELECT C.id, C.name
FROM
      Customer C
WHERE C.city = 'London'
 AND C.id NOT IN ( SELECT A.custid
                    FROM Account A
                    WHERE A.branch = 'Edinburgh');
Another way is:
SELECT C.id, C.name
FROM
      Customer C
WHERE
      C.city = 'London'
 AND NOT EXISTS ( SELECT *
                    FROM Account A
                    WHERE A.branch = 'Edinburgh'
                     AND A.custid = C.id);
```

```
Yet another solution is:
   SELECT C.id, C.name
   FROM
          Customer C
   WHERE C.city = 'London'
   EXCEPT
   SELECT C.id, C.name
   FROM
          Customer C JOIN Account A ON C.id = A.custid
   WHERE A.branch = 'Edinburgh';
(2) In relational calculus (see lecture slides) we can write:
        \{ x, y \mid \exists z \text{ Customer}(x, y, z) \land \forall u, w, v, k \text{ Account}(u, w, v, k) \rightarrow \exists u', k' \text{ Account}(u', w, x, k') \}
   or, equivalently:
       \{x,y \mid \exists z \text{ Customer}(x,y,z) \land \neg \exists u, w, v, k \text{ Account}(u,w,v,k) \land \neg \exists u', k' \text{ Account}(u',w,x,k') \}
   This gives us the following SQL query:
   SELECT C.id, C.name
   FROM
           Customer C
   WHERE NOT EXISTS ( SELECT *
                           FROM
                                   Account A
                           WHERE NOT EXISTS ( SELECT *
                                                   FROM
                                                           Account A1
                                                   WHERE Al.branch = A.branch
                                                     AND A1.custid = C.id ));
   The following is similar to the division-like RA expression we wrote in Tutorial 1 (awkward):
   SELECT id, name
   FROM
           Customer
   EXCEPT
   SELECT T.id, T.name
   FROM
            ( SELECT C.id, C.name A.branch
              FROM
                      Customer C, Account A
              EXCEPT
              SELECT C.id, C.name, A.branch
              FROM
                      Customer C JOIN Account A ON C.id = A.custid ) T ;
   Yet another way is by using aggregation:
   SELECT
              C.id, C.name
   FROM
              Customer C JOIN Account A ON A.custid = C.id
   GROUP BY C.id, C.name
   HAVING
              COUNT(DISTINCT a.branch) = ( SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT branch)
                                                FROM Account )
   UNION
   SELECT C.id, C.name
           Customer C
   FROM
   WHERE NOT EXISTS ( SELECT * FROM Account );
(3) Using aggregation:
   SELECT DISTINCT C.id, C.name
```

Customer C JOIN Account A ON C.id = A.custid

Account):

WHERE A.balance = (SELECT MAX (balance)

FROM

FROM

Without aggregation (and similar to calculus query with \forall):

Without aggregation (and similar to calculus query with $\neg \exists$):

(4) We can write:

Problem 2 (optional). Given two relations R and S, each over attributes A, B (in this order), express the following relational calculus query in relational algebra:

$$\{x \mid \neg(\forall y \ R(x,y) \to S(x,y)) \land \neg(\exists z \ S(x,z) \land R(z,x))\}$$

Use only the translation rules from RC to RA we have seen in class.

Solution. We first need to put the formula in the following form:

$$\underbrace{\left(\exists y \; R(x,y) \land \neg S(x,y)\right)}_{\varphi_1} \land \neg \underbrace{\left(\exists z \; S(x,z) \land R(z,x)\right)}_{\varphi_2}$$

Then we associate each variable with a distinct attribute name:

$$\{x \mapsto A, y \mapsto B, z \mapsto C\}$$

The translation of R(x,y) is simply R and the translation of S(x,y) is S. The translation of $\neg S(x,y)$ is $\mathsf{Adom}_A \times \mathsf{Adom}_B - S$. As R(x,y) and $\neg S(x,y)$ have the same free variables, $R(x,y) \wedge \neg S(x,y)$ translates to $R \cap (\mathsf{Adom}_A \times \mathsf{Adom}_B - S)$. In turn, the translation of φ_1 is

$$\pi_A(R \cap (\mathsf{Adom}_A \times \mathsf{Adom}_B - S))$$
 (E₁)

The translation of S(x,z) is $\rho_{B\to C}(S)$ and the translation of R(z,x) is $\rho_{A\to C,B\to A}(R)$. As S(x,z) and R(z,x) have the same free variables, $S(x,z) \wedge R(z,x)$ translates to $\rho_{B\to C}(S) \cap \rho_{A\to C,B\to A}(R)$ and, in turn, the translation of φ_2 is

$$\pi_A(\rho_{B\to C}(S) \cap \rho_{A\to C, B\to A}(R)) \tag{E_2}$$

The translation of $\neg \varphi_2$ is $\mathsf{Adom}_A - E_2$. Since φ_1 and φ_2 have the same free variables, the final translation is $E_1 \cap (\mathsf{Adom}_A - E_2)$, which fully expanded gives us the following:

$$\pi_A\big(R\cap (\mathsf{Adom}_A\times \mathsf{Adom}_B-S)\big)\cap \Big(\mathsf{Adom}_A-\pi_A\big(\rho_{B\to C}(S)\cap \rho_{A\to C,\,B\to A}(R)\big)\Big)$$

Problem 3 (optional).

- (a) Can we simplify the relational algebra expression obtained in Problem 2 into an equivalent expression that does not mention the active domain? If yes, give such an expression. Otherwise, explain why this is the case.
- (b) How would you translate the relational calculus query of Problem 2 if the output tuple (i.e., the head of the query) were x, x rather than x?

Solution.

(a) Yes. Let E be the final expression obtained in Problem 2. The relations R and S (which are over attributes A, B) are both trivially subsets of $Adom_A \times Adom_B$. Then, $R \cap ((Adom_A \times Adom_B) - S)$ is precisely R - S. So E can be simplified to

$$\underbrace{\pi_A(R-S)}_{E'} \cap \left(\mathsf{Adom}_A - \underbrace{\pi_A \left(\rho_{B \to C}(S) \cap \rho_{A \to C, \, B \to A}(R)\right)}_{E''}\right)$$

With a similar reasoning, since both E' and E'' (which are over attribute A) are subsets of $Adom_A$, we can simplify $E' \cap (Adom_A - E'')$ to E' - E''. Thus, E is equivalent to

$$\pi_A(R-S) - \pi_A(\rho_{B\to C}(S) \cap \rho_{A\to C, B\to A}(R))$$

(b) In general, if you have a relational calculus query with repetitions in the head, say $\{x, y, y, x, z, x \mid \psi\}$, it suffices to translate the body of the following equivalent query:

$$\{x, y, y', x', z, x'' \mid x = x' \land x = x'' \land y = y' \land \psi\}$$

For $\{x, x \mid \varphi\}$, where φ is the formula in the original query in Problem 2, we would have $\{x, x' \mid x = x' \land \varphi\}$. The translation of φ is the RA expression obtained in Problem 2, let us call it E, so if we map x' to attribute A', we obtain:

$$\sigma_{A=A'}(\mathsf{Adom}_A \times \mathsf{Adom}_{A'}) \cap (E \times \mathsf{Adom}_{A'})$$

The above expression is equivalent to $\sigma_{A=A'}(E \bowtie \rho_{A\to A'}(E))$, but you are not required to rewrite it into this form.