

Posons

$$Z = \sum_x \exp(-H(x, \theta))$$

1. Montrons que:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \log \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [v_i h_a] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \text{RBM}} [v_i h_a]$$

On part de l'expression de la log-vraisemblance:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \log p(\vec{v}^{(k)})$$

Le gradient (par rapport à $w_{i,a}$) de la log-vraisemblance est donc:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \log(\mathcal{L}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \left(\frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \log p(\vec{v}^{(k)}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \log p(\vec{v}^{(k)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) - \log Z \right) \end{aligned}$$

On regarde la partie positive :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}^{(k)}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_v} \sum_{a=1}^{N_h} v_i^{(k)} w_{i,a} h_a^{(k)} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} \sum_{a=1}^{N_h} v_i^{(k)} h_a^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} v_i^{(k)} h_a^{(k)} \cdot \frac{1}{N_S} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [v_i h_a] \end{aligned}$$

On regarde la partie négative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} (-\log(Z)) &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \left(-\log \left(\sum_k \exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \left(\frac{-\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,a}} \exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right)}{\sum_k \exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k \left(\frac{\left(\sum_k \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} \sum_{a=1}^{N_h} v_i^{(k)} h_a \right) \cdot \exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right)}{\sum_k \exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_k v_i^{(k)} h_a \cdot N_S \cdot \left(\frac{\exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right)}{\sum_k \exp \left(-H(\vec{v}^{(k)}, \vec{h}) \right)} \right) \\ &= \sum_k v_i^{(k)} h_a \cdot P_{x \sim \text{RBM}} \left(\vec{v}^{(k)} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \text{RBM}} [v_i h_a] \end{aligned}$$

On conclut finalement

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{i,a}} \log \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P_D} [v_i h_a] - \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P_{\text{RBM}}} [v_i h_a]$$

2. En outre, on a :

$$p(v, h) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left(\sum_i \theta_i v_i + \sum_a \eta_a h_a + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a \right)$$

$$Z = \sum_{v, h} \exp \left(\sum_i \theta_i v_i + \sum_a \eta_a h_a + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a \right)$$

donc il suit

$$\mathbb{P}(h \mid v) = \frac{p(v, h)}{\sum_h p(v, h)} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left(\sum_i \theta_i v_i + \sum_a \eta_a h_a + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a \right) \times \frac{1}{\sum_h p(v, h)}$$

Montrons que

$$\mathbb{P}(h \mid v) = \prod_a \mathbb{P}(h_a \mid v)$$

En particulier, la somme du dénominateur donne :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_h p(v, h) &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_h \exp [-\mathcal{H}(v, h)] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_h \exp \left[\sum_a h_a \eta_a + \sum_i v_i \theta_i + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i \right] \sum_h \exp \left[\sum_a h_a \left(\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i \right] \prod_a \sum_{h_a} \exp \left[h_a \left(\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i \right] \prod_a \sum_{h_a \in \{0,1\}} \exp \left[h_a \left(\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i \right] \prod_a \left(1 + \exp \left[\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

en injectant dans la formule :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(h \mid v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{Z} \exp[-H(v, h)]}{\sum_v p(v, h)} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \times Z \times \frac{\exp\left[\sum_a h_a \eta_a + \sum_i v_i \theta_i + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a\right]}{\exp\left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i\right] \prod_a (1 + \exp[\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i])} \\
&= \frac{\exp\left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i\right] \exp\left[\sum_a h_a \eta_a + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a\right]}{\exp\left[\sum_i v_i \theta_i\right] \prod_a (1 + \exp[\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i])} \\
&= \frac{\exp\left[\sum_a h_a \eta_a + \sum_{i,a} w_{ia} v_i h_a\right]}{\prod_a (1 + \exp[\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i])} \\
&= \prod_a \frac{\exp[h_a (\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i)]}{1 + \exp[\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i]} \\
&= \prod_a \mathbb{P}(h_a \mid v)
\end{aligned}$$

Notamment,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(h_a = 1 \mid v) &= \frac{\exp(\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i)}{1 + \exp(\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i)} \\
&= \sigma\left(\eta_a + \sum_i w_{ia} v_i\right)
\end{aligned}$$

où σ désigne la fonction sigmoïde.
De même,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(v_i = 1 \mid h) &= \frac{\exp(\theta_i + \sum_a w_{ia} h_a)}{1 + \exp(\theta_i + \sum_a w_{ia} h_a)} \\
&= \sigma\left(\theta_i + \sum_a w_{ia} h_a\right)
\end{aligned}$$

3. On cherche à initialiser les biais des unités visibles θ_i de façon à ce que la probabilité marginale $P(v_i = 1)$ soit égale à la moyenne empirique observée dans le jeu de données, notée $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i]$.

Dans une RBM, on a :

$$P(v_i = 1) = \sigma(\theta_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta_i}}$$

et on souhaite que :

$$\sigma(\theta_i) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i]$$

On en déduit alors :

$$\theta_i = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i]) = \log\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i]}{1 - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i]}\right)$$

Ce qui justifie l'initialisation :

$$\theta_i = \log(\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i]) - \log(1 - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[v_i])$$