

---

# Security Automation & SIEM Integration Report

---

Nathalia Bertol

2024

# Content

<b>1</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Automated Access Request Workflow</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Workflow Description . . . . .	3
2.2	Python Automation . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Log Integration and Monitoring</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Architecture Overview . . . . .	5
3.2	Google Workspace Integration . . . . .	5
3.3	Slack Integration . . . . .	6
3.4	Correlation Rule and Alert . . . . .	6
3.5	Expected Impact . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Asset Management and Inventory Control</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Tools and Integrations . . . . .	8
4.2	Essential Fields in the Inventory . . . . .	8
4.3	Automation to Keep Inventory Accurate . . . . .	8
4.4	(Extra) Suggested Operational Improvement: Standardized Device Profiles by Department . . . . .	9
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Lists</b>	<b>11</b>

## 1 Executive Summary

This report proposes improvements to strengthen security visibility, access control, and asset management. The automated access workflow ensures managerial approval, temporary access expiration, and full logging to the SIEM. Logs from Google Workspace and Slack are integrated into Wazuh to enable centralized monitoring and detection of suspicious activity.

The solution was designed to support a multi-OS scenario (macOS, Windows, and Linux), ensuring flexibility and consistent enforcement of security controls. Standardizing device profiles by department further improves onboarding efficiency and configuration consistency.

Together, these measures enhance audit readiness and create a scalable, secure, and well-governed operational environment.

## 2 Automated Access Request Workflow

To ensure consistent and auditable access management across internal systems, I propose an automated access request workflow using a central request form, manager approval, and Python-based provisioning through system APIs (Google Workspace, Slack, IAM).

### Objectives:

- Eliminate manual provisioning
- Enforce least-privilege and temporary access controls
- Maintain auditable logs of all operations
- Standardize manager accountability

### 2.1 Workflow Description

Workflow Step	Description
<b>1.</b> Employee Submits Access Request (Form includes:)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Employee ID</li><li>– System requested (e.g., Slack, GitHub, AWS)</li><li>– Justification</li><li>– Temporary or permanent access</li></ul>
<b>2.</b> Manager Receives Approval Request	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Auto-notified through Slack or email.</li><li>– If approved → provision access.</li><li>– If rejected → request closes with justification.</li></ul>
<b>3.</b> Automated Provisioning (Python Script)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Uses service APIs + secure credentials.</li><li>– If temporary access → scheduled auto-revocation.</li></ul>
<b>4.</b> Logging (All actions are sent to Wazuh SIEM:)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Request timestamp</li><li>– Approver identity</li><li>– System modified</li><li>– Success or failure</li><li>– Expiration timestamp (if temporary)</li></ul>

Table 1: Access Request Workflow Description

## 2.2 Python Automation

The function below handles access provisioning requests. It logs the request, verifies that the user's manager has approved the access, grants the access if authorized, and optionally schedules automatic access removal for temporary entitlements. All actions are logged to a SIEM for audit and compliance. The automation code is stored in a private internal Git repository and executed as a backend service in AWS, triggered whenever a new access request is submitted.



Figure 1: Access Request Workflow Description

### 3 Log Integration and Monitoring

This section describes the implementation of centralized log collection and monitoring for Google Workspace and Slack, integrating both platforms into the existing Wazuh SIEM environment. Wazuh automatically normalizes logs collected from macOS, Windows, and Linux endpoints, ensuring that events are searchable and correlatable regardless of the operating system. The objective of this integration is to enhance security visibility, allow real-time detection of anomalous behavior, and support incident response.

#### 3.1 Architecture Overview

System	Purpose
Google Workspace	Identity, authentication, and activity logs
Slack	Collaboration and administrative action logs
SIEM Target: Wazuh	Centralized log analysis and alerting

  

The integration workflow
Google Workspace → Log Forwarder → Wazuh SIEM
Slack → Log Forwarder → Wazuh SIEM

Table 2: Systems Involved

#### 3.2 Google Workspace Integration

Item	Details
Log Sources	Admin Activity, Login Audit, Drive Audit
Format	JSON (via Reports API)
Collection Frequency	Every 5–15 minutes (pull-based)
Secure Transmission	OAuth 2.0 and HTTPS TLS 1.2+
Collection Method	Wazuh google_workspace module

Table 3: Google Workspace Integration

##### Example Collected Event:

```
{
  "event": "login_failure",
  "email": "employee@company.com",
  "ip": "185.21.33.90",
  "timestamp": "2025-01-17T02:41:05Z"
}
```

### 3.3 Slack Integration

Item	Details
Log Sources	Audit Logs API + Event Subscriptions
Format	JSON
Collection Frequency	Near real time (webhook push)
Secure Transmission	HTTPS + Request signature validation (Slack Signing Secret)
Collection Method	Webhook → Fluentd/Wazuh Agent → Wazuh SIEM

Table 4: Slack Integration

#### Example Log Event:

```
{
  "action": "added_user_to_workspace",
  "actor": "admin_user",
  "target": "new_employee",
  "timestamp": "2025-01-17T02:45:22Z"
}
```

### 3.4 Correlation Rule and Alert

**Scenario:** Suspicious login followed by a privileged action.

**Goal:** Detect possible account takeover.

- In Google Workspace, the user logs in from an unusual country
- Within 10 minutes, in Slack, a new admin is added or privileges are elevated

#### Correlation Rule (Pseudocode):



Figure 2: Correlation Rule

### 3.5 Expected Impact

This integration significantly strengthens the organization's security posture by enabling:

- Early detection of suspicious lateral movement
- Reduced mean time to response (MTTR) through automated alerting
- Improved traceability and audit support for compliance requirements



## 4 Asset Management and Inventory Control

The asset inventory will be maintained using the MDM, SIEM, and a CMDB. The main idea is to use tools that remain flexible across macOS, Windows, and Linux, ensuring consistent visibility and compliance regardless of the operating system.

### 4.1 Tools and Integrations

- **MDM (JumpCloud):** Used to automatically enroll devices, collect hardware identifiers, check compliance policies, and report the device's current status.
- **SIEM (Wazuh):** Receives security and activity logs from devices to confirm whether the endpoint is active and compliant.
- **Asset Inventory (Jira Assets CMDB):** Centralizes asset lifecycle data, including device ownership, delivery and return dates, usage status, and compliance information.

### 4.2 Essential Fields in the Inventory

Field	Description
Device ID / Serial Number	Unique identifier for the asset
Device Name	How the device appears in MDM / SIEM dashboards
Owner / Assigned User	Person currently responsible for the device
Device Type / Model	Laptop, workstation, mobile device
Status	Active, Returned, Offboarded, Repair, Missing
Date of Assignment	When the device was issued
Last Connection Timestamp	Last check-in reported by MDM
Compliance State	Compliant / Non-compliant (based on security policies)

Table 5: Essential Fields in the Inventory

### 4.3 Automation to Keep Inventory Accurate

- **Automatic Enrollment:** Every new device must automatically enroll into the MDM before being used.
- **Daily Sync with SIEM:** A scheduled job compares the list of known devices in the SIEM with the inventory to detect:

Unlisted devices → Flag as Unknown Device.

Devices inactive for more than 30 days → Flag for review or collection.

- **Offboarding Automation:** When HR initiates an offboarding:

The device is remotely locked via MDM.

The status in the inventory changes to Pending Return.

SIEM monitors whether the device reconnects before returning.

#### 4.4 (Extra) Suggested Operational Improvement: Standardized Device Profiles by Department

To improve efficiency in device troubleshooting, onboarding, and offboarding, the company can adopt standardized device profiles based on each department's needs. Different teams rely on different operating systems and software stacks, which means aligning hardware and OS selection with role requirements can significantly reduce support load and setup time.

##### Proposed Standard

Department	Device Type / OS	Justification
Engineering Oriented	macOS (MacBook)	Better compatibility with development tools, UNIX shell, containerization, SDKs, and DevOps workflows.
Business / Administrative	Windows Laptop	More familiar and user-friendly for non-technical users. Better compatibility with corporate productivity tools, finance applications, and general office workflows.

Table 6: Proposed Standard

##### Benefits

- **Faster Troubleshooting:** Support teams can maintain pre-built troubleshooting guides specific to each device profile.
- **Consistent Setup and Security Baseline:** Each device type can have a predefined configuration, compliance checks, and monitoring policies.
- **Reduced Training Time:** Support and onboarding processes become uniform within each department.
- **Minimized Software Misalignment:** Ensures employees receive tools aligned with their job functions.

## 5 Conclusion

In summary, the proposed solution strengthens security visibility, access governance, and asset management while ensuring compatibility across macOS, Windows, and Linux. By selecting tools and workflows that operate consistently in a multi-OS environment, the organization avoids platform fragmentation and achieves scalable, repeatable, and centralized control. This approach improves operational efficiency, supports audit readiness, and provides a more manageable and secure security posture.

## Lists

### List of Figures

1	Access Request Workflow Description . . . . .	4
2	Correlation Rule . . . . .	6

### List of Tables

1	Access Request Workflow Description . . . . .	3
2	Systems Involved . . . . .	5
3	Google Workspace Integration . . . . .	5
4	Slack Integration . . . . .	6
5	Essential Fields in the Inventory . . . . .	8
6	Proposed Standard . . . . .	9