Primes and Factorization

Definition 1 (Prime). We say an integer $p \notin \{1,0,-1\}$ is prime if whenever p = ab, either $a = \pm p$ or $b = \pm p$. Equivalently, p is prime if it is not 0, 1, or -1, and the only divisors of p are ± 1 and $\pm p$.

Proposition 1.

- 1. 2 and 3 are prime.
- 2. If p is prime, then -p is prime.
- 3. If p and q are prime such that p|q, then $q = \pm p$.
- 4. If p is a prime integer, then for all integers a, gcd(a,p) is |p| if p|a and 1 otherwise.

Proposition 2. An integer p is prime if and only if whenever p|ab, either p|a or p|b.

Proof. This is an "if and only if" statement; we proceed by proving the "only if" part and then the "if" part.

- (\Rightarrow) Suppose p is prime and that p|ab. Consider gcd(a,p). Since p is prime, there are two possibilities.
 - If gcd(a, p) = |p|, then |p| divides a, so that p|a.
 - If gcd(a, p) = 1, then by Euclid's Lemma, p|b.
- (\Leftarrow) Suppose p has the property that if p|ab then either p|a or p|b. Suppose further that p=ab. In particular p|ab (since $1 \cdot p=ab$) and so, without loss of generality, p|a. Say a=pa'. Now p=ab=pa'b, and thus 1=a'b. Now |b|=1, and thus |p|=|a|, so that $p=\pm a$ as needed.

Corollary 3. If p is a prime and a_i integers such that $p|a_1a_2\cdots p_n$, then $p|a_i$ for some i.

Prime Factorization

Theorem 4 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Part 1). Every integer other than 0, 1, and -1 can be written as a product of primes. That is, every such n can be expressed as $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$, where the p_i are prime. This is called a prime factorization of n.

Proof. Suppose first that b > 0. We proceed by strong induction.

• Base Case (b = 2): If d|2, then $0 < |d| \le |2|$. Thus the only possible divisors of 2 are ± 1 and ± 2 , and so 2 is prime by definition.

• Inductive Step: Suppose that for some n, every integer $2 \le n' < n$ can be written as a product of primes, and consider n. If n is itself prime, then n = n is its own prime factorization. If n is not prime, then there exist integers a and b such that n = ab and $n \ne \pm a$ and $n \ne \pm b$. Since n > 0, we can assume that a > 0 and b > 0. In fact we have a > 1 and b > 1, so that a, b < n. By the inductive hypothesis, a and b have prime factorizations; say $a = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_h$ and $b = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k$. Now

$$n = ab = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_h q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k$$

has a prime factorization.

Thus by strong induction every integer $n \geq 2$ has a prime factorization. If n < 0, then -n > 0, so that $-n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$ has a prime factorization; then $n = (-p_1)p_2 \cdots p_k$ also has a prime factorization.

Theorem 5 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Part 2). The prime factorization of an integer is unique in the following sense. If n has two prime factorizations

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\ell$$

then $k = \ell$ and, after relabeling the q_i , we have $p_i = \pm q_i$ for each $1 \le i \le k$.

Proof. We saw in FTA Part 1 that every such n has at least one prime factorization, which consists of at least one prime factor. We will proceed by strong induction on the *length* of the shortest prime factorization of n.

1. Base Case: Suppose n=p has a prime factorization of length 1; that is, n itself is prime. Suppose $p=q_1q_2\dots q_\ell$ is another prime factorization of n. Since p is prime, we have (rearranging the q_i if necessary) $p|q_1$. Since p and q_1 are prime, we have $q_1=\pm p$. Now if $\ell>1$ we have

$$1=|q_2\cdots q_\ell|,$$

so that $|q_i| = 1$ for each q_i , a contradiction. So in fact $\ell = 1$ and $q_1 = \pm p$, as claimed.

2. **Inductive Step:** Suppose that every integer having a shortest prime factorization of length at most k has a unique prime factorization, and suppose $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}$ is an integer with a shortest prime factorization of length k+1. Suppose further that $n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\ell$ is another prime factorization of n. In particular, $p_1|q_1q_2\cdots q_\ell$, so that, rearranging the q_i if necessary, $p|q_1$. Now $q_1 = \pm p$, and we have

$$p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} = q_2 \cdots q_\ell.$$

Note that these are two prime factorizations of an integer having a shortest prime factorization of length at most k. By the Inductive Hypothesis, we have $\ell = k+1$ and, relabeling the q_i if necessary, $q_i = \pm p_i$ for each $2 \le i \le k+1$. So the prime factorization of n is unique.

Proving Primality

How do we prove that a given integer is prime? The definition suggests one way to do it, known as *trial division*: an integer n is prime if and only if n is not divisible by any integer t with 1 < t < n. This works, but is extremely time consuming. A better method is suggested by the following.

Proposition 6. Let n > 1 be an integer, and let t be the largest integer such that $t^2 < n$. (Such an integer exists, since the set of all t with $t^2 < n$ is bounded above by n.) (Also, this t is $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, but we don't know what $\sqrt{\cdot}$ means.) Then n is prime if and only if n is not divisible by any prime p with $2 \le p \le t$.

Proof. Certainly if n is prime it is not divisible by any such p. We prove the "only if" part by contraposition. Suppose that n is not prime; say n=ab, where $n \neq a$ and $n \neq b$. (We can assume positive signs here since n>0.) If a and b are both strictly larger than t, then we have $n>t^2>ab>n$, a contradiction. Without loss of generality, then, $a \leq t$. In particular, all prime factors of a are less than t in absolute value, and so n has a prime factor p such that $2 \leq p \leq t$.

For example, consider n=5. The largest t such that $t^2 < 5$ is t=2, and the only prime p such that $2 \le p \le 2$ is p=2. Since (by the Division Algorithm) we have $5=2\cdot 2+1$, with remainder $1 \ne 0, 2$ does not divide 5. So **5** is prime.

This result gives us a strategy for finding prime numbers, but it only works if we have a complete list of primes up to \sqrt{n} to begin with. In other words, we can find a prime if we start with a list of primes. This can be made into a reasonably efficient algorithm for finding all the primes up to some bound, called the *Sieve of Eratosthenes*. There are some interesting questions left unanswered, though. Given an integer n,

- ...is n prime?
- ...what is the prime factorization of n?
- ...how many prime factors does n have?
- ...how many distinct prime factors does n have?
- ...what is the smallest prime factor of n?
- ...how difficult is it to answer these questions?
- ...how difficult is it to *verify* the answers to these questions?