

## The Plane Separation Property

**Definition 1** (Convexity). *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an incidence geometry with a betweenness relation  $[\cdot \cdot \cdot]$ . A non empty set  $S$  of points in  $\mathcal{P}$  is called convex if whenever  $x, y \in S$  are distinct points,  $\overline{xy} \subseteq S$ .*

**Definition 2** (Plane Separation Property). *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an incidence geometry with a betweenness relation  $[\cdot \cdot \cdot]$ . We say that this geometry has the Plane Separation Property if every line  $\ell$  partitions the set of points not on  $\ell$  into two nonempty, disjoint, convex sets,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , with the property that if  $x \in H_1$  and  $y \in H_2$  then  $\overline{xy} \cap \ell = \{p\}$  for some point  $p$ . The sets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are called half-planes.*

### Examples

To show that a particular incidence geometry has the plane separation property, given any line we must specify the half-planes  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  and *show* that they are nonempty, disjoint, convex sets, which have the intersection property.

$\mathbb{R}^2$  Given a line  $\ell = \overleftrightarrow{AB}$ , we define two half-planes as follows:

$$H_1 = \left\{ X = (x_1, x_2) \mid \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$H_2 = \left\{ X = (x_1, x_2) \mid \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \right\}.$$

Certainly both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are not empty, and they are disjoint by construction.

To see that  $H_1$  is convex, suppose BWOC that we have points  $X, Y \in H_1$  and a point  $Z = (z_1, z_2)$  such that  $[XZY]$  and  $Z \notin H_1$ . Now

$$m = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is either 0 or negative. If  $m = 0$ , then in fact  $Z \in \overleftrightarrow{AB}$ . Since  $X, Y \notin \overleftrightarrow{AB}$ , we have that  $\overline{XY}$  and  $\overleftrightarrow{AB}$  meet at a single point  $Z$ ; but we've seen this can only happen if  $X \in H_1$  and  $Y \in H_2$  (or vice versa). Suppose instead that  $m < 0$ ; that is,  $Z \in H_2$ . Now we have that  $\overline{XZ}$  and  $\overline{YZ}$  each intersect  $\overleftrightarrow{AB}$  at unique points, say  $W$  and  $V$ , respectively. Note that  $[XWZ]$  and  $[YVZ]$ . Since  $[XZY]$ , we have that  $X, Y, Z, W$ , and  $V$  are all collinear. If  $W$  and  $V$  are distinct points, then in fact  $X, Y \in \overleftrightarrow{WV} = \overleftrightarrow{AB}$ , a contradiction. If  $W = V$ , then we have  $[XWZ]$  and  $[YWZ]$ , so by the

4-point axiom,  $[WZY]$ , a contradiction. So we must have  $Z \in H_1$ , and thus  $H_1$  is convex. A similar argument shows that  $H_2$  is convex.

Finally, we need to show that if  $X \in H_1$  and  $Y \in H_2$ , then  $\overrightarrow{XY} \cap \overleftarrow{AB}$  consists of a unique point. We showed precisely this previously.

## Ordered Geometries

**Definition 3** (Ordered Geometry). *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an incidence geometry with a betweenness relation  $[\cdot \cdot \cdot]$ . We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  (with this betweenness relation) is an Ordered Geometry if it has the Trichotomy Property, the 4-Point Property, the Interpolation Property, and the Line Separation Property.*

For example, both  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  are ordered geometries.

**Definition 4** (Triangle). *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an incidence geometry, and let  $x, y$ , and  $z$  be distinct points. Then the set*

$$\triangle xyz = \overline{xy} \cup \overline{yz} \cup \overline{zx}$$

*is called the triangle with vertices  $x, y$ , and  $z$ . The segments  $\overline{xy}$ ,  $\overline{yz}$ , and  $\overline{zx}$  are called the sides of the triangle.*

**Theorem 1** (Pasch's Axiom). *Let  $x, y$ , and  $z$  be distinct points in an ordered geometry, and let  $\ell$  be a line such that  $x, y, z \notin \ell$ . Finally, suppose there is a point  $w \in \ell$  such that  $[xwy]$ ; that is,  $\ell$  cuts the side  $\overline{xy}$ .*

*Then precisely one of the following two things happens:*

1.  $\ell$  cuts  $\overline{yz}$  and does not cut  $\overline{zx}$ , or
2.  $\ell$  cuts  $\overline{zx}$  and does not cut  $\overline{yz}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is an ordered geometry, it satisfies the Plane Separation property. In particular, the points not on  $\ell$  are partitioned into two convex, nonempty half-planes,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Since  $\overline{xy} \cap \ell = \{w\}$  is not empty, without loss of generality we have  $x \in H_1$  and  $y \in H_2$ . Since  $z \notin \ell$ , there are two possibilities: either  $z \in H_1$  or  $z \in H_2$ . In the first case, we see that  $\ell$  cuts  $\overline{yz}$  and does not cut  $\overline{zx}$ , and in the second case,  $\ell$  cuts  $\overline{zx}$  but not  $\overline{yz}$ .  $\square$

In other words, Pasch's Axiom states that if a line enters a triangle then it must also exit.