		·
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DAVID L. ANDERSON (SBN 149604) dlanderson@sidley.com MARIE L. FIALA (SBN 79676) mfiala@sidley.com JOSHUA HILL (SBN 250842) jhill@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 Attorneys for Plaintiff G. WILLIAM HUNTER	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY MAY 1 6 2013 CLERKOF THE SUPERIOR COURT By J. DE JESUS Deputy
8	G. WILDINGTHOTTER	
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	IN AND FOR THE CO	DUNTY OF ALAMEDA
11		
12	G. WILLIAM HUNTER,	Case No.: R G 1 3 6 7 9 7 3 6
13	Plaintiff,	Date Filed: May 16, 2013
14	v. (COMPLAINT FOR COMPENSATORY, ASSUMED, AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES:
15	DEREK FISHER, as President of the Executive) Committee of the National Basketball Players)	1) BREACH OF EXPRESS CONTRACT;
16	Association and in his individual capacity, JAMIE WIOR, THE NATIONAL)	2) BREACH OF IMPLIED-IN-FACT CONTRACT;
17	BASKETBALL PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a) Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 THROUGH)	3) BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING;
18	10, inclusive,	4) INDUCING BREACH OF CONTRACT; 5) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE
19	Defendants.	WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS; 6) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE
20		WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC RELATIONS;
21		7) NEGLIGENT INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC
22		RELATIONS; 8) INTENTIONAL
23		MISREPRESENTATION; 9) CONCEALMENT;
24		10) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION; 11) DEFAMATION PER SE; and
25		12) DEFAMATION PER QUOD.
26		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
27		
28		

COMPLAINT FOR COMPENSATORY, ASSUMED, AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Plaintiff G. William "Billy" Hunter ("Hunter") alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Billy Hunter served as the Executive Director of the National Basketball Players Association (the "NBPA" or the "Union") for seventeen years, guiding the Union through three collective bargaining agreements and creating a legacy of financial prosperity for the Union itself and for its members. During his tenure as Executive Director, Hunter turned the Union's \$5 million deficit into an \$80 million surplus, tripled the size of the Union's charitable foundation, and created lasting programs designed to ease players' transitions to their post-playing careers. Throughout his service, Hunter led the Union for the benefit of *all* players, from the superstars to mid-level players to the last man on the bench.
- 2. Due to Hunter's success in the 2005 collective bargaining process, going into the 2010-2011 season, National Basketball Association ("NBA") players had the highest average salary of the four major sports leagues in the United States. From the perspective of some of the NBA team owners, however, Hunter had been too successful in advancing the players' interests. These owners ("Certain Owners") determined to take back a share of NBA revenues from the players. When the prior collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") expired on June 30, 2011, the NBA locked out the players, resulting in the first NBA work stoppage since 1998. Surprisingly, these Certain Owners received aid in their strategy from an unlikely source, the President of the NBPA Derek Fisher.
- 3. In 2011, Fisher was nearing the end of his playing career. He was in the second year of a three-year contract that could have been his last and was under great pressure to secure a soft landing for himself as his career neared its end. Fisher knew that the key to finding a well-paying position with the NBA or a team's front office was maintaining good relationships with the NBA and team owners. Also, each game cancelled during the lockout represented income that Fisher would never realize and would be unlikely to recover because his remaining playing career was limited. For Fisher, the lockout could not end soon enough. For similar reasons, some of the highest compensated NBA players ("Certain Players"), and their agents, shared Fisher's sentiment that the lockout must end.

- 4. Jamie Wior was Fisher's publicist and business manager. In 2011, Wior harbored aspirations to assume a position of responsibility within the NBPA. She set out to craft a new public persona for Fisher, taking control of his media appearances and public statements and encouraging him to overreach his authority by, for example, publicly speaking on behalf of the NBPA and disseminating messages to the players even when it was not appropriate for him to do so. Wior also inserted herself into the NBPA's internal affairs and even into NBA players-only meetings.
- 5. During the negotiations over the 2011 CBA, Hunter took an aggressive position, refusing to give players' income back to the owners, and rallied player support around the Union's position. Unbeknownst to Hunter, however, Fisher was secretly negotiating with the Certain Owners on his own and without any authority to settle the negotiations on terms less favorable to the players. Fisher was supported in this effort by Wior. When Hunter learned that Fisher was negotiating for his personal benefit and against the bulk of the NBA players' interests, he confronted Fisher about his secret dealings with the Certain Owners. Fisher denied the truth, both to Hunter's face and subsequently in the press.
- 6. The NBPA's Constitution and By-Laws vest in Hunter the sole right to conduct collective bargaining negotiations on behalf of the Union. Fisher's interference in the negotiations exceeded his authority and undermined the Union's negotiating power. Wior's interference was completely without authority or basis. Ultimately, the players accepted a new CBA that left them with a greatly reduced share of the NBA's revenues.
- 7. As alleged below in detail, in the wake of the 2011 CBA negotiations, Fisher and Wior waged a personal campaign to displace Hunter as the Union's Executive Director, including denying that Fisher had ever had authority to sign Hunter's employment contract and instigating an investigation that eventually was used as a pretext by Fisher and the NBPA to terminate Hunter without cause. Fisher and Wior were intimately involved in the events leading up to Hunter's termination. Indeed, on the very day Hunter was unfairly placed on administrative leave, Wior assumed a seat of power in the NBPA's New York headquarters without official title or role but with Fisher's blessing. Hunter's seventeen years of service to the Union were ignored and he was

summarily terminated by Fisher and the NBPA and barred from his office without even the opportunity to collect pictures of his grandchildren.

8. By this action, Hunter seeks to hold Fisher, Wior, and the NBPA responsible for their wrongdoing and obtain compensation for the injuries they have caused him.

THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Billy Hunter is a resident of Oakland, California in Alameda County. Between July 15, 1996 and February 17, 2013, Hunter served as the Executive Director of the National Basketball Players Association under a written employment contract.
- 10. Defendant Derek Fisher ("Fisher") is a professional basketball player who currently plays for the Oklahoma City Thunder of the NBA under a one-year contract. Fisher has served on the NBPA Executive Committee for more than nine years; he currently serves and at all times relevant to the claims alleged herein has served as the President of the NBPA. As of the time of the filing of this Complaint, Fisher is a resident of Oklahoma.
- 11. Defendant Jamie Wior ("Wior") is Fisher's publicist and business manager. As of the time of the filing of this Complaint, Wior is a resident of California.
- 12. Defendant NBPA is a Delaware non-profit corporation with its principal place of business in New York, New York. The NBPA is the official certified union for current professional basketball players in the NBA. Among other responsibilities, the NBPA periodically negotiates a collective bargaining agreement with NBA team owners on behalf of its player members. The NBPA was doing business in Alameda County, California at all times relevant to this action. The NBPA has not filed a statement designating a principal office in California.
- 13. Hunter is ignorant of the true names and/or capacities of the defendants sued under the fictitious names of Does 1-10, inclusive, except that Hunter is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that the damages alleged herein were proximately caused by these defendants' wrongful acts. Hunter therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names and Hunter will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 88, in that this is an unlimited civil action with an amount in controversy exceeding \$25,000.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 395(a), in that Fisher's and the NBPA's obligations under the employment contract between Hunter and those Defendants were to be performed in Alameda County, California, where the NBA Golden State Warriors are based and where Hunter regularly performed his responsibilities as Executive Director, and the injury to Hunter from the Defendants' wrongful acts and/or negligence occurred in Alameda County, California.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff Billy Hunter

- 16. Billy Hunter's personal and professional background uniquely prepared him to lead the NBPA. He was raised by his grandparents in a poor neighborhood of Cherry Hill, New Jersey. He grew up in an era when segregation still limited his opportunities, but not his aspirations. Hunter played baseball in his youth and was on the roster of one of the first integrated teams to play in the Little League World Series. During the playoff games Hunter was not allowed to lodge with his teammates in public accommodations. He received death threats before playing in a regional playoff game.
- 17. Hunter excelled in four sports in high school, and ultimately chose to play college football for Syracuse University, where he served as the captain of the football team his senior year. With the memories of his Little League days as an impetus, Hunter led a petition signed by every black athlete at Syracuse stating that they would boycott playing against colleges in the South that maintained segregated seating in sports facilities. After Syracuse, Hunter went on to an NFL career as a defensive back/wide receiver for the Washington Redskins and Miami Dolphins.
- 18. After his football career, encouraged by the prominent lawyer Edward Bennett Williams, Hunter enrolled in and received a degree from Howard University School of Law. He later attended the University of California at Berkeley's Boalt Hall School of Law, where he

received his Master of Laws degree. He rose to prominence in the Bay Area, ascending from a prosecutor's position in the Alameda County District Attorney's Office to the assistant chief in the San Francisco District Attorney's Office.

- 19. In 1977, President Jimmy Carter appointed Hunter as the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California. He was one of the youngest lawyers to ever hold the position. During his tenure, Hunter prosecuted a number of high-profile cases such as the first major federal case against the Hells Angels. Hunter visited Jonestown, Guyana following the 1978 assassination of U.S. Representative Leo Ryan by followers of Jim Jones, leader of the People's Temple cult, and prosecuted the surviving members of the cult who had aided Jim Jones in orchestrating the mass suicide of more than 900 people in Jonestown that same year. Hunter also visited the imprisoned Patty Hearst, the granddaughter of newspaper publisher William Randolph Hearst, who was first kidnapped by the Symbionese Liberation Army and later was thought to have collaborated with the militant group, and recommended to President Carter that her sentence be commuted.
- 20. After leaving government service, Hunter went into private law practice and worked on a wide variety of matters.

Billy Hunter's Employment by the NBPA and Extension of the Term of His Contract by Fisher

- 21. After an executive search, the NBPA named Hunter as Executive Director in 1996.
- 22. Effective July 15, 1996, the NBPA and Hunter entered into a written contract of employment ("Employment Contract") whereby Hunter was employed as the NBPA's Executive Director. The Employment Contract provided in relevant part that the NBPA "may extend this contract for an additional three-year term" (Emphasis added.) The appointment of Hunter as Executive Director and the terms of the Employment Contract were approved by two-thirds (2/3) of the combined total of all NBPA Board of Player Representatives and NBPA Executive Committee members. A true and correct copy of the Employment Contract is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 23. The Employment Contract states that Hunter's duties as Executive Director are defined by the Constitution and By-Laws of the NBPA as adopted on June 22, 1990, and thereafter amended.

- 24. On July 15, 1999, the NBPA and Hunter extended Hunter's term of employment in writing by an additional seven years, to July 14, 2006 ("1999 Extension"). The 1999 Extension recited in relevant part that the NBPA had "hired [Hunter] as the [NBPA's] executive director (the 'Executive Director') pursuant to that certain Employment Contract, dated July 15, 1996"

 The 1999 Extension further provided that the NBPA "may extend this Agreement for an additional two-year term" A true and correct copy of the 1999 Extension is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference.
- 25. The 1999 Extension was signed by the then-Union First Vice President on behalf of the NBPA. By the terms of that instrument, the then-Union First Vice President expressly represented and warranted that he had the authority to sign the 1999 Extension on behalf of the NBPA, and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement.
- 26. In March 2005 and effective July 1, 2005, the NBPA and Hunter extended Hunter's term of employment in writing by an additional five years, to July 30, 2011 ("2005 Extension"). The 2005 Extension recited in relevant part that the NBPA "hereby retains and extends the contract of employment of G. William Hunter as the Executive Director for the NBPA " The 2005 Extension further provided that the NBPA "may extend this Agreement for an additional one-year term " A true and correct copy of the 2005 Extension is attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated herein by reference.
- 27. The 2005 Extension was signed by the then-Union President on behalf of the NBPA. By the terms of that instrument, the then-Union President expressly represented and warranted that he had the authority to sign the 2005 Extension on behalf of the NBPA, and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement.
- 28. In June 2010 and effective July 1, 2011, the NBPA and Fisher, on the one hand, and Hunter, on the other, extended Hunter's term of employment in writing by an additional four years, to June 30, 2015 ("2010 Extension"). The parties to the contract were defined as "G. William Hunter, sometimes referred to hereinafter as 'Employee' and/or Executive Director for the National Basketball Players Association ('NBPA') and Derek Fisher as President of the Executive Committee of the NBPA, referred to hereinafter as 'Employer' and/or Executive Committee."

- 29. The 2010 Extension recited in relevant part that Fisher/the Executive Committee "hereby retains and extends the contract of employment of G. William Hunter as the Executive Director for the NBPA...." A true and correct copy of the 2010 Extension is attached hereto as Exhibit D and incorporated herein by reference.
- 30. The 2010 Extension further provided that the NBPA may "extend this Agreement for an additional one-year term . . ." and that this option was exercisable by Hunter at his sole discretion. Hunter has given notice to the NBPA in writing of his intention to exercise this option.
- 31. The 2010 Extension was signed by Fisher as Employer and as President of the NBPA. By the terms of that instrument, Fisher expressly represented and warranted that he had the authority to sign the 2010 Extension on behalf of the NBPA, and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement.

Billy Hunter's Exemplary Performance as Executive Director of the NBPA

- 32. Hunter took over as Executive Director in 1996 with an agenda that included strengthening Union solidarity, improving the Union's negotiating position with the owners, and bettering the position of the Union's mid-range salary players. He was guided by the principle that the Union existed to protect the rights of *all* NBA players not just the top one percent, the "superstars."
- 33. When Hunter came on board, the NBPA was a weak union, marked by internal dissension and inability to effectively represent the interests of the players. As noted in the 1999 Extension, the NBPA was fractionalized and its player members lacked solidarity. Many of the players were inactive in and/or disgruntled with the Union, and believed the Union was unable to negotiate effectively with the NBA owners. During Hunter's tenure, the Union prospered.
- 34. Only three years after Hunter was hired, the 1999 Extension lauded his "excellent performance" and stated that he had performed "in an outstanding manner and significantly improved the [Union] in many ways by, among other things, solidifying the [Union's] office with key and competent staff, unifying the [Union], retiring the [Union's] debt, [and] operating the [Union] profitably on a consistent basis."

- 35. The Union today is substantially stronger than it was when Hunter took the reins. Under Hunter's stewardship, the Union negotiated three collective bargaining agreements and players' salaries doubled, making NBA players the highest paid team athletes in the world. The players' collective salaries have increased by more than \$1 billion and group licensing revenues increased to approximately \$30 million per year.
- 36. Hunter also turned the Union's finances around. At the time of his appointment as Executive Director, the Union had debt of approximately \$5 million. During his tenure, net Union assets grew to more than \$80 million. The Union was able to achieve those results despite the fact that membership dues have not gone up for the last eleven years and during a time of deep economic recession.
- 37. Also due to Hunter's efforts, the NBPA moved from being a renter under an onerous lease in midtown Manhattan to owning the valuable Harlem office building that the Union now calls home, further strengthening the Union's financial security.
- 38. Attending to the financial, medical, and other needs of retired NBA players was also high on Hunter's list of priorities. Today, through Hunter's efforts, recently retired players have access to premier pension and benefit plans and continuing educational opportunities. Under Hunter's leadership, the NBPA:
 - a. Began the "Sportscaster U. Broadcasting Program" in conjunction with Syracuse University, which gives players the opportunity to develop the skills and experience necessary to prepare for a career in broadcasting. Several alumni of the program are now working in broadcasting;
 - Expanded and strengthened the Union's Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Group Licensing Program;
 - c. Created a 401(k) Pension Plan, a Supplemental Health Plan ("Super Cobra"), and a Bridge Program designed to provide income to retired NBA players between the ages of 30-50;

- d. Created access to a variety of enrichment programs, such as the NBPA Top 100 Coaching Clinic, NBPA Executive Training Program, NBPA Financial Management Program, and NBPA Continuing Education Program; and
- e. Mandated that players attend financial awareness seminars to educate players on saving and investing to ensure financial security when their playing careers ended.
- 39. It was also important to Hunter that he personally interact with the players. During his seventeen years of service to the NBPA, Hunter traveled tirelessly to every NBA city on a regular basis, including Oakland, California where the Golden State Warriors are based. Hunter met with players, shared updates on the NBPA's activities, was present for elections for player representatives, and distributed licensing checks during these meetings.
- 40. Under Hunter's leadership, the NBPA's charitable foundation has supported a wide range of charities such as the Red Cross, UNICEF, and Habitat for Humanity, and donated millions of dollars to World Trade Center relief, tsunami relief in Bangladesh, earthquake relief in Haiti, and hurricane relief in the U.S. The Union has fed over 100,000 children a day over a two-year period and provided medical care for HIV-infected children through its partnerships with Feed the Children and Project Contact Africa. The Union's commitment to charitable efforts have benefited countless recipients and improved the public image of the NBPA and NBA players. The foundation's assets more than tripled during Hunter's tenure and now stand at approximately \$26 million.
- 41. The progress made by the Union under Hunter's leadership was not easily achieved. The income and benefits enjoyed by the modern NBA player are the result, in large part, of Hunter's leadership and commitment to player welfare. Since becoming Executive Director, Hunter has represented the NBPA in three rounds of collective bargaining with the NBA, with new CBAs signed in 1999, 2005 and 2011. Two of these CBAs (1999 and 2011) were finalized only after lockouts led to the cancellation of part of the NBA season.

The 2011 Collective Bargaining Negotiations and Lockout

- 42. The NBA CBA is the contract between the NBA and the NBPA that prescribes the rules for player contracts, trades, revenue distribution, the NBA draft, and the NBA salary cap, among other matters. The Executive Director is responsible for negotiating the CBAs on behalf of the Union.
- 43. In July 2005, the NBA and the NBPA negotiated and ratified a CBA that, among other provisions, guaranteed the NBA players 57% of basketball-related income ("BRI"). That contract expired on June 30, 2011. Well before the expiration of the 2005 CBA, Certain Owners were girding for a contentious labor battle and signaling that they intended to extract some \$700 to \$800 million in salary and benefit reductions from the players. Mitch Lawrence, *Dark Cloud Looming over NBA Season*, FoxSports.com (Oct. 23, 2010).
- 44. Beginning in early 2010 Hunter held meetings with the NBA teams in every NBA city to discuss the anticipated negotiations with NBA owners to reach agreement on a new CBA. Hunter viewed his responsibility in the negotiations as reaching a deal that maximized the welfare of all players, not only the highly-paid stars, especially as the rank-and-file players did not participate in the negotiations and so could not protect their own interests.
- 45. Negotiations on a new CBA between the owners and the Union began in late 2010. It quickly became apparent that the parties were far apart on a number of issues, including the division of BRI. The owners initially proposed to reduce the players' share of BRI from 57% to 37%. Other issues in dispute were the structure of the salary cap and luxury tax. The NBA salary cap is a "soft" limit to the total amount of money that NBA teams are allowed to pay their players, calculated as a percentage of NBA revenues from the previous season, but with a complex set of exceptions. The luxury tax is a payment required of teams whose total payroll exceeds a certain level, as determined by a complicated formula.
- 46. On July 1, 2011, the NBA owners imposed a lockout of the players, which meant that the players could not access NBA team facilities, trainers, or staffs. Negotiations between the owners and the Union continued sporadically in August, September, and October 2011, without

progress, with BRI distribution, the salary cap structure, and the luxury tax remaining the key points of disagreement.

47. During this time frame, Hunter was under pressure from agents representing the Certain Players to decertify the NBPA as the union representing players under the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"). Hunter resisted, believing that a decertification would not serve the long-term interests of players. In addition, once the Union decertified, players would lose access to benefits such as health care coverage and insurance that were covered by the Union.

Lockout Leads to the Cancellation of NBA Games

- 48. On September 23, 2011, the NBA canceled training camp, which was to begin on October 3, and the first week of preseason games, which were to run from October 9-15. This was a significant occurrence. Although the players had been locked out since July 1, 2011, the lockout had not affected the players financially up until this time because the players' salaries are paid out based on a 92-game season (preseason plus regular season games).
- 49. A consequence of the cancellation of the first week of preseason games was that most players would not receive their bi-weekly paychecks. The loss of income is felt most acutely by the highest compensated players, as they have the most to lose in absolute dollar terms. Veteran players in the final years of their playing careers are also disproportionately harmed by any work stoppage because they are unable to earn back the income lost during the lockout by extending their playing careers. Agents lose as well especially agents representing the highest paid players because the agents' income is based on their player-clients' income.
- 50. The media began reporting that the entire season could be jeopardized by the labor dispute. These reports increased the sense of urgency felt by Certain Players and their agents to achieve a CBA sooner rather than later and resume getting their paychecks.
- 51. On October 4, 2011, the NBA canceled the remainder of the preseason. On October 10, 2011, the NBA cancelled the first two weeks of regular season games, which had been scheduled to begin on November 1, 2011. On October 30, 2011 the NBA canceled all games through November 30, 2011, as the parties remained unable to reach an agreement.

52. Negotiations continued into November 2011 but did not produce agreement on key terms of the CBA, including the BRI split. The owners made several proposals that would have given players between 47-50% of BRI. Hunter on behalf of the players held the line at 52%. Each percentage point represents roughly \$40 million in basketball revenue. On November 14, 2011, the NBPA rejected the owners' final offer and filed a disclaimer of interest that disclaimed the NBPA's status as the players' collective bargaining representative. On November 15, 2011, one group of NBA players filed a federal antitrust lawsuit against the NBA in the Northern District of California, while another group filed a similar lawsuit against the NBA in the District of Minnesota. On that same date, the NBA canceled all games through December 15, 2011.

Hunter Discovers That Fisher Is Secretly Negotiating with Certain Owners

- 53. Derek Fisher is in the waning days of his playing career. Although he enjoyed team success with the Los Angeles Lakers, he has bounced around from team to team in the latter half of his career, having played for the Lakers, Golden State Warriors, Utah Jazz, the Lakers (again), Houston Rockets (for roughly three days), Oklahoma City Thunder, Dallas Mavericks, and the Thunder (again). As a player, Fisher complemented the superstars with whom he played, but Fisher himself never enjoyed superstar status and the "max contracts" that accompany such playing ability and stardom.
- 54. In 2011, in the midst of the CBA negotiations, Fisher was in the second year of a three-year contract worth \$10.5 million. Given his declining production, Fisher could have rightly assumed that this contract would likely be his last. (Indeed, as discussed later in this Complaint, Fisher was entirely out of the league in November 2012 before the Dallas Mavericks signed him to a one-year contract that allowed Fisher to remain as President of the NBPA.) Fisher faced great pressure to secure a soft landing for himself as his career neared its end. Fisher knew full well that the key to landing a well-compensated position with the NBA, a team's front office, or with the NBA's partners is maintaining good relationships with the NBA and team owners. Fisher had every incentive to ingratiate himself with the NBA and team owners by aligning himself with their interests rather than with the players' interests.

- 55. Moreover, Fisher had much to lose from a protracted lockout, as he would be unlikely to recover the income lost during the lockout as he approached the end of his playing career. Accordingly, Fisher had every incentive to end the lockout as expeditiously as possible, even if the resulting deal came at the expense of his fellow players.
- 56. Also in 2011, Fisher proceeded to bring Wior into every aspect of his dealings with and on behalf of the NBPA. Wior micromanaged Fisher's public statements and appearances. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that, with Wior's assistance and prompting, Fisher began to overreach his authority by, for example, making public statements on behalf of the NBPA and disseminating messages to the players even when it was not appropriate for him to do so and even though his communications had not been approved by the Executive Committee or the NBPA's public relations staff.
- 57. On September 15, 2011, the Union held a players-only regional meeting at the Vdara Hotel in Las Vegas. Although numerous players are assisted by agents, personal assistants, public relations consultants, or other representatives, by long tradition these service providers do not attend this meeting, which is restricted to the players themselves. However, on Fisher's authority Wior inserted herself into the meeting and refused to leave even when asked by NBPA security to do so, insisting that she was there on Fisher's authority and only Fisher could ask her to leave, which he did not do.
- 58. Wior's intrusion into the NBPA's internal affairs and attempts to undermine Hunter's authority as Executive Director are demonstrated, for example, by her insistence in early 2011 that Hunter should fire an NBPA employee who Wior incorrectly believed had communicated confidential information to the press. Under the Constitution and By-Laws, neither Fisher nor Wior had any authority to hire or terminate NBPA employees. Those responsibilities were vested solely in Hunter as the Executive Director.
- 59. Negotiations on the new CBA continued into October 2011. The NBPA and the NBA scheduled a series of negotiating sessions to take place on or about October 28, 2011, at the Waldorf Astoria Hotel in New York. Prior to the sessions, Hunter made sure to inform the players that, going into the negotiations, the Union would hold firm on a 52% share of BRI. As press

reports detailed at the time, the NBA restated its offer of a 50-50 split of BRI. Howard Beck, *N.B.A. Talks Stall and More Games Are Cancelled*, The New York Times (Oct. 28, 2011). Despite the NBA's intransigence, Hunter believed that the NBPA was a unified group going into the high-stakes negotiating session.

- On or about October 27, 2011, Hunter was already in bed for the night when his phone rang. The caller, identified herein as Player #1, told Hunter that he was joined on the call by his agent, identified herein as Agent #1. Player #1, one of the Certain Players, is an NBA superstar who is one of the highest paid players in the NBA. Prior to this late-night phone call, Player #1's public pronouncements had expressed support for the Union's negotiating position. Privately, he said something very different to Hunter. Player #1 directed Hunter to agree to the new CBA at a 50-50 share of BRI, saying to Hunter, "I know that tomorrow is a big day. You can put this thing to bed. Do the deal," and assuring Hunter that, "I got your back." Indeed, Player #1 intimated that the 50-50 deal had already been completed.
- 61. The following day, the NBPA and NBA owners met for a series of private negotiating sessions at the Waldorf Astoria. During a players-only preplanning session, Hunter briefed the players on the Union's position that it would not settle for less than a 52-48 split of BRI. Approximately fifteen minutes later, Hunter learned that his strategy regarding the 52-48 split of BRI was being reported in the press despite the private nature of the meeting. Obviously, there was a mole in the room.
- 62. As Hunter made phone calls trying to identify the source of the leak, he was told the shocking news that Fisher had been covertly negotiating directly with the Certain Owners unbeknownst to Hunter and the Executive Committee. Hunter was informed that Fisher had committed to deliver a 50-50 share of BRI to the NBA without consulting Hunter or the Executive Committee.
- 63. Upon learning of Fisher's double-dealing, Hunter confronted Fisher with the information that Fisher had been meeting with the Certain Owners and reached a secret agreement with them. Fisher falsely denied the truth of this accusation, and claimed instead that the secret

11

16 17

18

15

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

28

27

negotiations with the Certain Owners had been conducted by Player #1 and Agent #1, adding that Player #1 and Agent #1 had "thrown me under the bus."

- After the players-only meeting, the NBPA and the owners convened in a conference 64. room for the start of all-day negotiating sessions. Fisher had been very active in earlier negotiations. In contrast to Fisher's assertive participation in the earlier negotiating sessions, after his confrontation with Hunter, Fisher sat silently, "comatose" in the words of one observer. Whereas in the earlier negotiating sessions, the team owners directed many questions at Fisher, following the confrontation, the team owners appeared to give Fisher a "pass" and stopped directing questions to him.
- 65. As the day wore on, the two sides remained at odds on BRI. Hunter reiterated the Union's demand for 52-48 split of BRI and led a walkout of the players from the negotiating session. Hunter and all of the players rose from their chairs except for Fisher, who was slow to rise and remained seated to the point of awkwardness. A team owner identified herein as Owner #1 pointedly asked Fisher, "Is this what you want?"
- 66. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Wior was closely involved in helping Fisher devise and implement his plan to cut a secret deal with the Certain Owners that would benefit Fisher's – and thus, by extension, Wior's – interests at the expense of the NBA rank-and-file.
- 67. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that team owners had been given assurances that a 50-50 deal had been struck with Fisher. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Fisher had negotiated the 50-50 terms of the CBA with Certain Owners behind Hunter's and the Executive Committee's backs.
- 68. Fisher continued to deny his culpability for engaging in secret negotiations with the Certain Owners. Wior was closely involved in the drafting all of Fisher's public statements. On or about October 31, 2011, Fisher and, on information and belief, Wior, wrote a letter to the NBA players falsely stating that Fisher's "ONLY goal is to present you [the players] with the most fair deal possible." Responding to media reports about his secret negotiations, Fisher falsely denied them, saying, "The Players Association is united and any reports to the contrary are false. There

have been no side agreements, no side negotiations or anything else." *Text of Derek Fisher's Letter to Players*, ESPN.com (Oct. 31, 2011).

Fisher, Aided and Abetted by Wior, Cuts a Covert Self-Interested Deal with the Certain Owners

- 69. Fisher's secret negotiations with the Certain Owners not only exceeded his authority under the NBPA's Constitution and By-Laws, they also undermined the Union's momentum in the CBA negotiations.
- 70. Simply put, Fisher had no business negotiating the new CBA with the Certain Owners. The NBPA's Constitution and By-Laws provide that the Executive Director (*i.e.*, Billy Hunter) is the exclusive conductor of the collective bargaining relationship between the Union and the NBA. In particular, the Constitution and By-Laws state, in part:
 - a. Article V, Section 4. The Executive Director shall: (a) conduct the collective bargaining relationship between the Players Association and the NBA (including, but not limited to, *conducting negotiations*, administering the grievance-arbitration process, and representing the Association in meetings with the NBA or individual teams dealing with any employment related issue). . . .
- 71. Notably, the Constitution and By-Laws do not give the President (*i.e.*, Derek Fisher) any authority to run the day-to-day operations of the NBPA, hire or fire employees, retain outside consultants, or conduct the collective bargaining negotiations with the NBA owners. The Constitution and By-Laws expressly vest those responsibilities in the Executive Director.
- 72. Wior had no authority whatsoever to become involved with or assist Fisher in conducting the covert CBA negotiations. Nonetheless, Wior was a constant presence at the CBA negotiating sessions. As became routine, after Fisher and the Executive Committee met following the CBA negotiating sessions to coordinate on the NBPA's public message, Fisher would privately consult with Wior and, at times, thereafter deliver a message to the public different from the one agreed to by the Executive Committee.

- 73. The Constitution and By-Laws invest the exclusive negotiating power in the Executive Director with good reason. Hunter is unburdened by the conflict of interests that would be obvious if a current player facing a loss of income was responsible for negotiating the end of a lockout. Hunter's sole motivation was to negotiate a CBA that would benefit every member of the NBPA down to the last man on the bench.
- 74. Fisher, by contrast, was incentivized to complete a deal as quickly as possible given his limited playing career and need to secure his future job prospects by staying on good terms with the NBA and team owners. Acting on self-interest, Fisher inserted himself into the collective bargaining process to the detriment of the Union.

Fisher and Wior's Interference Results in a Less Lucrative CBA

- 75. The NBPA and the owners reached a tentative deal on November 26, 2011. The NBPA re-formed as a union on December 1, 2011. The players and owners concluded their voting on the deal on December 8, 2011, when the new CBA was ratified and the lockout ended. Under the terms of the new CBA, the players would receive 51.2% of BRI in 2011-12, with a 49-to-51% band in subsequent years.
- 76. Fisher's and Wior's actions undermined Hunter's attempt to present a unified NBPA in the high-stakes CBA negotiations. Hunter had negotiated a CBA in 2005 that entitled the players to 57% of BRI. Fisher's and Wior's improper meddling in the 2011 CBA negotiations resulted in an agreement under which the players' share of BRI could drop as low as 49%. Contemporaneous media reports almost universally described the new CBA particularly the BRI split as a "win" for the owners. Larry Coon, *Breaking Down Changes in New CBA*, ESPN.com (Nov. 28, 2011); Patrick Rishe, *NBA Owners Win Big with New Collective Bargaining Agreement*, Forbes.com (Nov. 28, 2011).
- 77. By interfering with Hunter's right to act as the Union's collective bargaining negotiator, Fisher not only weakened the Union's negotiating power, but also made it impossible for Hunter to perform his role effectively. Fisher's conduct thus diminished Hunter's credibility with the players and with the Executive Committee. Hunter was assigned blame for the new, less lucrative CBA even though his negotiating efforts had been sabotaged by Fisher's machinations.

78. Hunter considered and discussed with others filing a complaint with the National Labor Relations Board alleging that Fisher had worked with Certain Owners to undermine the Union's negotiations. Soon thereafter, Fisher and Wior orchestrated a series of actions that would eventually lead to Hunter's termination by the NBPA.

The Campaign to Retaliate Against Billy Hunter

- 79. Apparently incensed by the confrontation with Hunter during the October 28, 2011 negotiating sessions, Fisher withdrew from participating in the Union's affairs and fulfilling his responsibilities as NBPA President. From December 2011 through April 2012, Fisher failed to participate in Executive Committee conference calls, make appearances on the NBPA's behalf, or cooperate in any way with the Union. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that during this time Fisher and Wior were planning to oust Hunter as Executive Director and to vest control of the Union in Fisher and Wior.
- 80. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that during this same time frame Fisher and Wior were secretly working with a Washington, D.C.-based law firm (the "DC Firm") to commission an audit of the Union, but without any Executive Committee consultation or approval. In April 2012, after months of inattention to Union matters, Fisher convened an Executive Committee conference call to discuss the retention of the DC Firm to conduct an audit. Four members of the Executive Committee joined the conference call with Fisher. No vote was ever held to approve the retention of the DC Firm. Nonetheless, Fisher falsely represented after the call that the Executive Committee had approved his retention of the DC Firm.
- with Hunter and invited Fisher to share his concerns about the Union's governance. Fisher declined to participate and instead organized a competing conference call, which some members of the Executive Committee also joined. Before this call, Fisher asserted to the Executive Committee members that he possessed incriminating information about Hunter but would not share that information with the Committee members, saying that he wanted to protect them from purported criminal liability.

- 82. On or about April 16, 2012, the Executive Committee voted unanimously to cancel Fisher's planned audit and terminate the retention of the DC Firm.
- 83. In light of Fisher's conduct over the previous six months, the Executive Committee also voted 8-0 that it had lost confidence in Fisher's leadership. Their statement read: "The Executive Committee based its decision on numerous instances over the past six months where Fisher engaged in conduct detrimental to the Union, including acting in contravention of the players' best interests during collective bargaining, declining to follow the NBPA Constitution, and failing to uphold the duties of the Union President. To avoid further damage to the NBPA and its 450 members, the Executive Board again calls for Derek's resignation." Fisher refused to resign.
- 84. In the days that followed the vote of no confidence in Fisher's leadership, a flurry of press articles suddenly appeared that presented Hunter's stewardship of the Union in a negative light. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Wior orchestrated this press campaign designed to undermine Hunter and muddy his reputation. The excessive negative attention generated by the articles compelled the Executive Committee to form a Special Committee charged with supervising an internal investigation. On or about April 27, 2012, the Special Committee retained a New York law firm to conduct an internal investigation.

Defendants Use the Internal Investigation as a Pretext for Terminating Billy Hunter

- 85. Fisher was not on an NBA roster at the beginning of the 2012-2013 NBA season. Thus, according to the Constitution and By-Laws, Fisher was not eligible to serve as the President of the Executive Committee. The Dallas Mavericks signed Fisher to a one-year contract on November 29, 2012. Conveniently for Fisher, this gave him the basis to argue that he could continue to serve as NBPA President. Fisher promptly requested his release from the Mavericks contract approximately three weeks later. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Wior participated in devising and implementing this strategy and that, by this course of conduct, Fisher was able to remain as President of the NBPA and drive the Union's use of the internal investigation as a pretext for terminating Hunter.
- 86. On January 17, 2013, the report on the internal investigation (the "Report") was publicly disclosed via its release on a dedicated Internet homepage, ostensibly for the convenience

of NBPA members but also freely available to the public-at-large. No expectation of confidentiality or privilege attached to the Report or to the investigative process or the information on which the Report is based.

- 87. After a nine-month investigation which included an "exhaustive review of tens of thousands of pages of documents" and "evaluating statements made by more than three dozen witnesses," the drafters of the Report absolved Hunter of all serious allegations, concluding that Hunter never engaged in any criminal acts involving embezzlement or theft of Union funds, or violated Union policies or contractual provisions. Instead, the Report describes minor instances of what the drafters deem to be "missteps" *i.e.*, poor judgment or insufficient attention to avoiding the appearance of a conflict on Hunter's part although even then, the drafters "could not say that [Hunter] alone was responsible in all instances for these missteps" because "other Union representatives did not always satisfy their own responsibilities." One NBA player even conceded that "[t]here was absolutely nothing in the report that was new news."
- 88. For example, the Report criticizes Hunter for accepting a payout for his unused vacation time. But this only tells part of the story. In fact, the Union approached Hunter and requested that he take a pay-out on his unused vacation time, which the Employment Contract allowed him to accrue. The Union was concerned that the vacation days represented a "huge unsecured liability on the (Union's) balance sheet." Hunter then offered to accept a pay-out and even proposed an alternative calculation method that would have resulted in a smaller payout than what he received. Nonetheless, the Union settled on the method that produced a higher payout because, according to the Report, the members of the Executive Committee believed they owed Hunter the money and the valuation methodology was consistent with the Union's Employee Handbook and standard accounting procedures.
- 89. The Report also criticizes Hunter's hiring practices involving his adult children. The media seized on these statements to allege that Hunter had engaged in nepotistic hiring practices. However, the Report confirms that Hunter's children were highly qualified for the positions they held, fulfilled their job responsibilities, and were not excessively compensated; that the Union had no policy against hiring family members; and that, in any event, it is not a violation of fiduciary

27

28

duty for a Union officer to employ a family member. In fact, at the time this was a common and widely accepted practice in professional basketball.

- 90. Fisher was interviewed in connection with preparation of the Report. He actively manipulated the investigation by making false statements impugning Hunter's character and integrity to the interviewers. For example, Fisher falsely claimed to have been unaware that Hunter's daughter was employed by the prominent Washington D.C.-based law firm that Hunter retained to represent the NBPA, implying that Hunter was trying to conceal the fact that he had retained a law firm that employed his daughter. That statement is false. Fisher knew who Hunter's daughter was and where she worked. Hunter had informed the Executive Committee, including Fisher, that Hunter intended to retain the law firm and had named his daughter as one of the attorneys who would be working on the matter. A few weeks later, Fisher met personally with Hunter's daughter and others at the law firm's offices.
- 91. In a blatant attack on Hunter's integrity, Fisher falsely conveyed to the Report interviewers that Hunter had tried to bribe him to secure Fisher's support during the 2011 collective bargaining negotiations. This false allegation relates to the NBPA's gift of a watch to Fisher at the end of Fisher's first term as NBPA President. When Hunter began his service as Executive Director, he inherited a tradition of gift-giving. The tradition was in place long before he was hired. All Executive Committee members were aware of it, and no one had ever complained or suggested that the practice was a violation of anyone's duties to the Union. In keeping with this tradition, the NBPA continued the practice of giving gifts to the Executive Committee members to thank them for their service to the Union – a goal which the Report agreed was "appropriate." One such gift was the high-end watch presented to Fisher in June 2010, a gift that Fisher immediately accepted. Yet, two and one-half years later, in speaking to the Report interviewers, Fisher claimed that he had been "uncomfortable" with the gift and that "he felt the watch may have been a gesture timed to ensure his loyalty to Hunter during the upcoming collective bargaining negotiations." In other words, a bribe. Not only is Fisher's accusation inconsistent with the more than decade-long gift-giving tradition, but it is telling that, if Fisher had perceived the gift as a bribe, it was a bribe he immediately and unhesitatingly accepted.

92. What is particularly shocking in the sequence of events surrounding the preparation and publication of the Report is that, despite his seventeen years of exemplary service, Hunter was denied any opportunity to respond to the Report's threadbare insinuations. He was not allowed to review the statements made by the witnesses interviewed, question the drafters of the Report, or address the Executive Committee concerning the Report. When the Report's conclusions were presented to the players at their February 2013 All-Star Weekend meeting, Hunter was not allowed to address the players and, in fact, was barred from the meeting altogether.

Fisher and the NBPA Wrongfully Terminate Billy Hunter

- 93. After the release of the Report, two members of the Executive Committee, identified herein as Player #2 and Player #3, suggested to Hunter that if he terminated his children's employment, the Executive Committee would be willing to discuss Hunter's continued employment as Executive Director, strongly implying that such termination would resolve the issues between Hunter and the Union. After consultation with his family, and with great personal pain, Hunter fired his children. Hunter also instituted an anti-nepotism policy, something which the Union had never adopted previously.
- 94. It quickly became clear that the representations made by Players #2 and #3 were merely pretexts for purging all members of Hunter's family from affiliation with the NBPA. After Hunter terminated his children as requested, Players #2 and #3 refused to discuss Hunter's employment as Executive Director with him. Instead, Fisher and the NBPA moved to summarily terminate his employment. Given that Fisher and the Union had apparently intended all along to terminate Hunter, there could have been no nepotism concern with respect to the Union's employment of Hunter's children going forward. Rather, these actions were merely a pretext for retaliating against Hunter's children as well as against him, and caused Hunter and his family members grievous emotional distress, mental anguish, and disruption of their familial relationships.
- 95. On or about February 1, 2013, an "Interim Executive Committee" of the NBPA placed Hunter on administrative leave. In the following days, Wior moved into the NBPA's Harlem headquarters and communicated by her words and actions that she had been empowered by Fisher

to direct the Union's affairs. Wior's presence in the Union's offices was inappropriate and unsettling as she held no formal position with the Union and carried no official title.

- On or about February 17, 2013, Fisher and other members of the Executive Committee wrote to Hunter terminating his employment, effective immediately ("Termination Letter"). In the Termination Letter, Fisher and the NBPA repudiated the Employment Contract, taking the position that no valid contract had been formed. The Termination Letter states in relevant part, "Because the Contract of Employment dated June 21, 2010 (the "Proposed Employment Contract") [referring to the 2010 Extension] was not properly negotiated, executed, or approved, that contract is null, void, invalid, and unenforceable." A true and correct copy of the Termination Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit E and incorporated herein by reference.
- 97. Similarly, the Report, referring to the 2010 Extension, concluded that Hunter's employment contract with the Union was never properly approved under the Constitution and By-Laws.
- 98. The Termination Letter was signed by Fisher as well as by two other Executive Committee members. Despite Fisher's express representation and warranty that he was authorized to enter into the 2010 Extension, by signing the Termination Letter Fisher contradicted his representation and warranty and denied having had the authority to agree to the 2010 Extension on behalf of the Union.
- 99. In addition, the Termination Letter purported to terminate Hunter's employment "for cause," without specifying any facts or circumstances on which a "for cause" termination might be based, and despite the fact that the Report had not identified any such reason.
- 100. Fisher further compounded the injury caused to Billy's reputation by holding a press conference at which he accused Hunter of dividing, misleading, and misinforming the Union and players and of propounding threats and lies against the Union.
- 101. Hunter is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Fisher's campaign to retaliate against Hunter for having confronted Fisher about his secret negotiations with the NBA and/or Certain Owners led to and was a substantial cause of Hunter's termination.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Express Contract - NBPA and Fisher)

- 102. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 103. As alleged more particularly above, in 1996 Hunter and the NBPA entered into the written Employment Contract. The term of the Employment Contract was extended several times, most recently by Fisher in 2010 for an additional four years, effective July 1, 2011 and ending on June 30, 2015. The 2010 Extension further provided that Hunter, at his sole discretion, could extend the Employment Agreement for an additional one-year term, to June 30, 2016. Hunter has given notice to Fisher and the NBPA in writing of his intention to exercise this option.
- 104. At all times prior to February 17, 2013, Hunter performed all duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 1999, 2005, and 2010 Extensions.
- 105. All conditions required by the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension for Fisher's and the NBPA's performance have occurred.
- 106. Fisher and the NBPA breached the Employment Contract by discharging Hunter before the end of his employment term under the Employment Contract and 2010 Extension, including the additional one-year option period provided for in the 2010 Extension.
- 107. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's and the NBPA's breach. Fisher's and the NBPA's breach entitles Hunter to judgment against them for the full amount of his damages, according to proof.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Express Contract by Repudiation - NBPA and Fisher)

- 108. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 109. As alleged more particularly above, in 1996 Hunter and the NBPA entered into the written Employment Contract. The term of the Employment Contract was extended several times, most recently by Fisher in 2010 for an additional four years, effective July 1, 2011 and ending on June 30, 2015. The 2010 Extension further provided that Hunter, at his sole discretion, could extend the Employment Agreement for an additional one-year term, to June 30, 2016. Hunter has given notice to Fisher and the NBPA in writing of his intention to exercise this option.

- 110. At all times prior to February 17, 2013, Hunter performed all duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 1999, 2005, and 2010 Extensions.
- 111. Fisher and the NBPA have asserted without qualification that they never entered into a contract with Hunter and denied the very existence of the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 112. Fisher and the NBPA clearly and positively indicated to Hunter that they would not meet the requirements of the contract, thereby expressly repudiating the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 113. Hunter would have been able to continue to fulfill all the duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension but for Fisher's and the NBPA's repudiation.
- 114. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's and the NBPA's breach. Fisher's and the NBPA's breach entitles Hunter to judgment against them for the full amount of his damages, according to proof.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Implied-in-Fact Contract - NBPA and Fisher)

- 115. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 116. Fisher and the NBPA, on the one hand, and Hunter, on the other have engaged in an intentional and unchanged course of conduct with respect to Hunter's employment as Executive Director since 1996. At all times prior to February 17, 2013, Fisher and the NBPA continued to compensate Hunter as provided under the Employment Contract and the 1999, 2005, and 2010 Extensions and to manifest its expectation that Hunter would perform the duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 1999, 2005, and 2010 Extensions.
- 117. At all times prior to February 17, 2013, Hunter performed all duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 1999, 2005, and 2010 Extensions.
- 118. The conduct and relationship between Hunter and Fisher and the NBPA, including the assent of the NBPA to three different contract extensions and Fisher's assent to the 2010 contract extension, constitute a ratification of the contract extension process and establish that the

Employment Contract between Hunter and the NBPA together with the 2010 Extension entered into by Fisher were at all times valid, binding, and enforceable.

- 119. Fisher and the NBPA knew, and had reason to know, that Hunter would interpret their conduct as an agreement to enter into and to extend the Employment Contract.
- 120. All conditions required by the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension for Fisher's and the NBPA's performance have occurred.
- 121. Fisher and the NBPA breached the Employment Contract by discharging Hunter before the end of his employment term under the Employment Contract and 2010 Extension, including the additional one-year option period provided for in the 2010 Extension.
- 122. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's and the NBPA's breach. Fisher's and the NBPA's breach entitles Hunter to judgment against them for the full amount of his damages, according to proof.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing - NBPA and Fisher)

- 123. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 124. As alleged more particularly above, in 1996 Hunter and the NBPA entered into the written Employment Contract. The term of the Employment Contract was extended several times, most recently by Fisher in 2010 for an additional four years, effective July 1, 2011 and ending on June 30, 2015. The 2010 Extension further provided that Hunter, at his sole discretion, could extend the Employment Agreement for an additional one-year term, to June 30, 2016. Hunter has given notice to Fisher and the NBPA in writing of his intention to exercise this option.
- 125. At all times prior to February 17, 2013, Hunter performed all duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 1999, 2005, and 2010 Extensions.
- 126. All conditions required by the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension for Fisher's and the NBPA's performance have occurred.
- 127. Fisher and the NBPA breached the Employment Contract by discharging Hunter before the end of his employment term under the Employment Contract and 2010 Extension, including the additional one-year option period provided for in the 2010 Extension, thus interfering

with and preventing Hunter from receiving the benefits he was entitled to receive under the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.

- 128. Fisher's and the NBPA's conduct was a failure to act fairly and in good faith and a breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 129. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's and the NBPA's actions and breach. Fisher's and the NBPA's actions and breach entitle Hunter to judgment against them for the full amount of his damages, according to proof.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Inducing Breach of Contract - Fisher, Wior, and Does 1-10)

- 130. As to Fisher, this claim is pleaded in the alternative to the First through Fourth Claims alleged herein.
 - 131. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 132. As alleged more particularly above, Hunter and the NBPA entered into the Employment Contract and 2010 Extension with a term ending on June 30, 2015, and an additional one-year term, to June 30, 2016, exercisable at Hunter's sole discretion, which option Hunter has exercised.
- 133. At all times relevant hereto Fisher and Wior knew of the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 134. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior specifically intended to cause, and did in fact cause, the NBPA to breach the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 135. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of the NBPA's actions and breach.
 - 136. Fisher's and Wior's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Hunter's harm.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations - Fisher, Wior, and Does 1-10)

137. As to Fisher, this claim is pleaded in the alternative to the First through Fourth Claims alleged herein.

- 138. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 139. As alleged more particularly above, Hunter and the NBPA entered into the Employment Contract and 2010 Extension with a term ending on June 30, 2015, and an additional one-year term, to June 30, 2016, exercisable at Hunter's sole discretion, which option Hunter has exercised.
- 140. At all times relevant hereto Fisher and Wior knew of the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 141. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior specifically intended to disrupt, and did in fact disrupt, the NBPA's performance under the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 142. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior prevented the NBPA's performance under the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension.
- 143. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of the NBPA's actions and breach.
 - 144. Fisher's and Wior's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Hunter's harm.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Relations - Fisher, Wior, and Does 1-10)

- 145. As to Fisher, this claim is pleaded in the alternative to the First through Fourth Claims alleged herein.
 - 146. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 147. As alleged more particularly above, Hunter and the NBPA were in an economic relationship that would have resulted in an economic benefit to Hunter.
- 148. At all times relevant hereto Fisher and Wior knew of the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA.
- 149. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior specifically intended to disrupt, and did in fact disrupt, the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA.

- 150. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior accomplished the disruption of the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA through fraudulent and deceitful actions.
- 151. As the result of Fisher's and Wior's wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA was disrupted.
- 152. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of the disruption of the economic relationship between himself and the NBPA.
 - 153. Fisher's and Wior's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Hunter's harm.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Negligent Interference with Prospective Economic Relations - Fisher, Wior, and Does 1-10)

- 154. As to Fisher, this claim is pleaded in the alternative to the First through Fourth Claims alleged herein.
 - 155. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 156. As alleged more particularly above, Hunter and the NBPA were in an economic relationship that would have resulted in an economic benefit to Hunter.
- 157. At all times relevant hereto Fisher and Wior knew of the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA.
- 158. Fisher and Wior knew or should have known that this economic relationship would be disrupted if they failed to act with reasonable care.
- 159. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior failed to act with reasonable care.
- 160. By their wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, Fisher and Wior accomplished the disruption of the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA through fraudulent and deceitful actions.
- 161. As the result of Fisher's and Wior's wrongful actions as alleged more particularly above, the economic relationship between Hunter and the NBPA was disrupted.
- 162. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of the disruption of the economic relationship between himself and the NBPA.

163. Fisher's and Wior's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Hunter's harm.

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Intentional Misrepresentation - Fisher)

- 164. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 165. As alleged more particularly above, Fisher represented to Hunter both directly and through his public statements that an important fact was true, to wit, that Fisher was not and had not been secretly negotiating the 2011 CBA terms with the Certain Owners.
 - 166. Fisher's representation was false.
- 167. Fisher knew that the representation was false when he made it, or Fisher made the representation recklessly and without regard for its truth.
 - 168. Fisher intended that Hunter rely on the representation.
- determining his position in the negotiation of the 2011 CBA with the NBA and team owners. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's representation weakened Hunter's negotiating power, sabotaged his negotiating efforts, and made it impossible for Hunter to perform his role effectively, ultimately leading Hunter to agree to a new, less lucrative CBA. The less favorable 2011 CBA diminished Hunter's credibility with the players and with the Executive Committee and ultimately contributed to his termination.
- 170. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's intentional misrepresentation.
- 171. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's representation was a substantial factor in causing his harm.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Intentional Misrepresentation - Fisher)

- 172. This claim is pleaded in the alternative to the remaining claims alleged herein except for the Twelfth Claim.
 - 173. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.

	174.	As alleged more particularly above, Fisher expressly represented and warranted to
Hunter in writing that an important fact was true, to wit, that Fisher had the authority to sign the		
2010 E	Extensio	on on behalf of the NBPA and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement.

- 175. If it is found by a trier of fact that Fisher did not have the authority to sign the 2010 Extension on behalf of the NBPA and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement, then Fisher's representation was false.
- 176. In such event, Fisher knew that the representation was false when he made it, or Fisher made the representation recklessly and without regard for its truth.
 - 177. Fisher intended that Hunter rely on the representation.
- Extension with the NBPA and continuing to perform all duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's representation prevented Hunter from insisting that the 2010 Extension should be submitted to the NBPA Board of Player Representatives for approval and ultimately substantially contributed to his termination.
- 179. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's intentional misrepresentation.
- 180. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's representation was a substantial factor in causing his harm.

ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Concealment - Fisher)

- 181. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 182. As alleged more particularly above, prior to and during the negotiations with the NBA and team owners on terms of the 2011 CBA, Fisher intentionally failed to disclose to Hunter an important fact that was known to Fisher and that Hunter could not have discovered, to wit, that Fisher, acting without authority, was and had been secretly negotiating the 2011 CBA terms with the Certain Owners.
 - 183. Hunter did not know of the concealed fact.

- 184. Fisher intended to deceive Hunter by concealing the fact.
- 185. Hunter reasonably relied on Fisher's deception in proceeding with and determining his position in the negotiation of the 2011 CBA with the NBA and team owners. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's deception weakened Hunter's negotiating power, sabotaged his negotiating efforts, and made it impossible for Hunter to perform his role effectively, ultimately leading Hunter to agree to a new, less lucrative CBA. The less favorable 2011 CBA diminished Hunter's credibility with the players and with the Executive Committee and ultimately contributed to his termination.
 - 186. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's deception.
 - 187. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's deception was a substantial factor in causing his harm.

TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Negligent Misrepresentation - Fisher)

- 188. This claim is pleaded in the alternative to the remaining claims alleged herein except for the Tenth Claim.
 - 189. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 190. As alleged more particularly above, Fisher expressly represented and warranted to Hunter in writing that an important fact was true, to wit, that Fisher had the authority to sign the 2010 Extension on behalf of the NBPA and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement.
- 191. If it is found by a trier of fact that Fisher did not have the authority to sign the 2010 Extension on behalf of the NBPA and to bind the NBPA to the terms of the agreement, then Fisher's representation was false.
- 192. Although Fisher may have honestly believed that the representation was true, Fisher had no reasonable grounds for believing the representation was true when he made it.
 - 193. Fisher intended that Hunter rely on the representation.
- 194. Hunter reasonably relied on Fisher's representation in entering into the 2010 Extension with the NBPA and continuing to perform all duties and obligations required of him under the Employment Contract and the 2010 Extension. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's representation prevented Hunter from insisting that the 2010 Extension should be submitted to the

NBPA Board of Player Representatives for approval and ultimately substantially contributed to his termination.

- 195. Hunter has suffered great financial and other harm as a result of Fisher's representation.
- 196. Hunter's reliance on Fisher's representation was a substantial factor in causing his harm.

THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Defamation Per Se - Fisher)

- 197. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 198. Fisher made defamatory and unprivileged statements about Hunter, including:
 - a. Claiming to be aware of conduct by Hunter that might be subject to, and might subject others to, criminal liability;
 - b. Claiming to have been unaware that Hunter's daughter was employed by the prominent Washington D.C.-based law firm that Hunter retained to represent the NBPA, thus implying that Hunter was trying to conceal the fact that he had retained a law firm that employed his daughter;
 - c. Claiming that the gift given to him by Hunter on behalf of the NBPA at the close of Fisher's first term as NBPA President was intended to ensure his loyalty to Hunter during the upcoming collective bargaining negotiations; and
 - d. Stating at a press conference that Hunter had divided, misled, and misinformed the Union and players and propounded threats and lies against the Union.
- 199. Fisher made these defamatory statements to persons other than Hunter, including but not limited to the NBPA Executive Committee, NBA executives, owners, and players, the Report interviewers, the media, and the public.
- 200. The persons reading and/or hearing these statements reasonably understood that the statements were about Hunter.

- 201. The persons reading and/or hearing these statements reasonably understood the statements to mean that Hunter had committed criminal acts.
- 202. The statements tended to directly injure Hunter in his position as NBPA Executive Director by imputing to him general disqualification in those respects which the position peculiarly requires.
 - 203. The statements by their natural consequence cause actual damage.
 - 204. The statements were false.
- 205. Fisher knew the statements were false or had serious doubts about the truth of the statements.
- 206. Hunter has suffered great harm to his employment, property, business, occupation, reputation, shame, mortification, and/or hurt feelings as a result of Fisher's statements.
- 207. Fisher's wrongful conduct was a substantial factor in causing harm to Hunter's employment, property, business, profession, occupation, reputation, shame, mortification, and/or hurt feelings.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Defamation Per Quod - Fisher)

- 208. Paragraphs 1 to 101 of the Complaint are incorporated by reference.
- 209. Fisher made defamatory and unprivileged statements about Hunter, including:
 - a. Claiming to be aware of conduct by Hunter that might be subject to, and might subject others to, criminal liability;
 - b. Claiming to have been unaware that Hunter's daughter was employed by the prominent Washington D.C.-based law firm that Hunter retained to represent the NBPA, thus implying that Hunter was trying to conceal the fact that he had retained a law firm that employed his daughter;
 - c. Claiming that the gift given to him by Hunter on behalf of the NBPA at the close of Fisher's first term as NBPA President was intended to ensure his loyalty to Hunter during the upcoming collective bargaining negotiations; and

For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper. 5. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP Dated: May 16, 2013 David L. Anderson Marie L. Fiala Joshua Hill Attorneys for Plaintiff G. WILLIAM HUNTER

COMPLAINT FOR COMPENSATORY, ASSUMED, AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES