

Formalizing inference systems in Coq by means of type systems for Curry

Niels Bunkenburg

Programming Languages and Compiler Construction
Department of Computer Science
Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel

29.09.2016

Motivation

Outline

1 Introduction

- Programming Languages
- Theory

2 CuMin

- Modeling
- Typing

3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin
- Typing

4 Conclusion

Outline

1 Introduction

■ Programming Languages

■ Theory

2 CuMin

■ Modeling

■ Typing

3 FlatCurry

■ Differences to CuMin

■ Typing

4 Conclusion

Coq - Data Types and Functions

■ Inductive definitions

```
Inductive list {X : Type} : Type :=  
  | nil    : list X  
  | cons   : X -> list X -> list X.
```

■ (Recursive) functions

```
Fixpoint app {X: Type} (l1 l2: list X) : (list X) :=  
  match l1 with  
    | nil => l2  
    | cons h t => cons h (app t l2)  
  end.
```

Coq - Propositions

■ Equations

$1 + 1 = 2.$

forall (X : **Type**) (l : **list** X), l ++ [] = l.

■ Inductively defined propositions

```
Inductive inInd : nat -> list nat -> Prop :=
| head: forall n l, inInd n (n :: l)
| tail: forall n l e, inInd n l -> inInd n (e :: l).
```

Curry

■ Syntax similar to Haskell

■ Nondeterminism

(?) :: a -> a -> a

x ? _ = x

_ ? y = y

■ Free variables

> 1 + 1 == x where x free

{x = (-_x2)} False

{x = 0} False

{x = 1} False

{x = 2} True

{x = (2 * _x3 + 1)} False

{x = (4 * _x4)} False

{x = (4 * _x4 + 2)} False

Outline

1 Introduction

- Programming Languages

- Theory

2 CuMin

- Modeling

- Typing

3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin

- Typing

4 Conclusion

Typing

- **Type:** Set of values that determines properties and meaning of its elements. For example `Int`, `Maybe` or `[]`.
- **Expression:** Combination of literals, variables, operators and functions. E.g. `1 + 1` or `map double [1,2,3]`.
- **Context:** Contains information about variables and the program.
- **Typing:** Assigning a type to an expression in a context.

Inference rules

$\frac{p_1 \dots p_n}{c}$ where p_i are premises and c is the conclusion of the rule.

■ Typing: **If** $p_1 \dots p_n$ **then** $\Gamma e \vdash \tau$

$$\frac{}{\text{In } n \ (n :: l)} \text{In_H}$$

$$\frac{\text{In } n \ l}{\text{In } n \ (e :: l)} \text{In_T}$$

Outline

1 Introduction

- Programming Languages
- Theory

2 CuMin

- **Modeling**
- Typing

3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin
- Typing

4 Conclusion

Syntax – Backus-Naur Form

$$P ::= D; P \mid D$$

$$D ::= f :: \kappa\tau; f\overline{X_n} = e$$

$$\kappa ::= \forall^\epsilon \alpha. \kappa \mid \forall^* \alpha. \kappa \mid \epsilon$$

$$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \mathbf{Bool} \mid \mathbf{Nat} \mid [\tau] \mid (\tau, \tau') \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau'$$

$$e ::= x \mid f_{\overline{\tau_m}} \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 \overset{\circ}{=} e_2$$

$$\mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle (x, y) \rightarrow e_1 \rangle$$

$$\mid \mathbf{True} \mid \mathbf{False} \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \mathbf{True} \rightarrow e_1; \mathbf{False} \rightarrow e_2 \rangle$$

$$\mid \mathbf{Nil}_\tau \mid \mathbf{Cons}(e_1, e_2) \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \mathbf{Nil} \rightarrow e_1; \mathbf{Cons}(x, y) \rightarrow e_2 \rangle$$

$$\mid \text{failure}_\tau \mid \text{anything}_\tau$$

$\text{fst} :: \forall^* \alpha. \forall^* \beta. (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \alpha$ $\text{fst } p = \text{case } p \text{ of } \langle (u, v) \rightarrow u \rangle$ $\text{one} :: \text{Nat}$ $\text{one} = \text{fst}_{\text{Nat}, \text{Bool}} (1, \text{True})$

Syntax – Coq

```
Inductive quantifier : Type :=  
  | for_all : id -> tag -> quantifier.
```

```
Inductive ty : Type :=  
  | TVar    : id -> ty  
  | TBool   : ty  
  | TNat    : ty  
  | TList   : ty -> ty  
  | TPair   : ty -> ty -> ty  
  | TFun    : ty -> ty -> ty.
```

```
Definition program := list func_decl.
```

```
Inductive func_decl : Type :=  
  | FDecl : id -> list quantifier ->  
    ty -> list id -> tm -> func_decl.
```

Context

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Programming Languages
 - Theory

- 2 CuMin
 - Modeling
 - Typing

- 3 FlatCurry
 - Differences to CuMin
 - Typing

- 4 Conclusion

Typing rules

$$\Gamma, x \mapsto \tau \vdash x :: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{True} :: \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{False} :: \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash n :: \text{Nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{Nil}_\tau :: [\tau]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 :: \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau_1 \quad \Gamma, x \mapsto \tau_1 \vdash e_2 :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 :: \tau} \quad \frac{(f :: \forall^{v_1} \alpha_1. \dots \forall^{v_m} \alpha_m. \tau; \overline{f x_n})}{\Gamma \vdash \overline{f_{\tau_m}} :: \tau[\tau_m / \alpha_m]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \text{Nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: \text{Nat}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \text{Nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: \text{Nat}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \overline{f_{\tau_m}} :: \tau[\tau_m / \alpha_m]}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) :: (\tau_1, \tau_2)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Cor}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: [\tau'] \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau \quad \Gamma, h \mapsto \tau', t \mapsto [\tau'] \vdash e_2 :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \text{Nil} \rightarrow e_1; \text{Cons}(h, t) \rightarrow e_2 \rangle :: \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: (\tau_1, \tau_2) \quad \Gamma, l \mapsto \tau_1, r \mapsto \tau_2 \vdash e_1 :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle (l, r) \rightarrow e_1 \rangle :: \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 :: \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \text{True} \rightarrow e_1; \text{False} \rightarrow e_2 \rangle :: \tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{failure}_\tau :: \tau \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau \in \text{Data}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{anything}_\tau :: \tau}$$

★ if for all i with $v_i = *$ we have $\Gamma \vdash \tau_i \in \text{Data}$

Figure: Typing rules for CuMin

Examples

Outline

1 Introduction

- Programming Languages
- Theory

2 CuMin

- Modeling
- Typing

3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin
- Typing

4 Conclusion

Syntax

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Programming Languages
 - Theory

- 2 CuMin
 - Modeling
 - Typing

- 3 FlatCurry
 - Differences to CuMin
 - Typing

- 4 Conclusion

Summary

Future Work