

# Formalizing inference systems in Coq by means of type systems for Curry

Niels Bunkenburg

Programming Languages and Compiler Construction  
Department of Computer Science  
Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel

29.09.2016

# Motivation

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

- Programming Languages
- Theory

## 2 CuMin

- Modeling
- Typing

## 3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin
- Typing

## 4 Conclusion

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

### ■ Programming Languages

### ■ Theory

## 2 CuMin

### ■ Modeling

### ■ Typing

## 3 FlatCurry

### ■ Differences to CuMin

### ■ Typing

## 4 Conclusion

# Coq - Data Types and Functions

## ■ Inductive definitions

```
Inductive list {X : Type} : Type :=  
  | nil    : list X  
  | cons   : X -> list X -> list X.
```

# Coq - Data Types and Functions

## ■ Inductive definitions

```
Inductive list {X : Type} : Type :=  
  | nil    : list X  
  | cons   : X -> list X -> list X.
```

## ■ (Recursive) functions

```
Fixpoint app {X: Type} (l1 l2: list X) : (list X) :=  
  match l1 with  
    | nil => l2  
    | cons h t => cons h (app t l2)  
  end.
```

# Coq - Propositions

## ■ Equations

$1 + 1 = 2.$

**forall** (X : **Type**) (l : **list** X), l ++ [] = l.

## ■ Inductively Defined Propositions

```
Inductive inInd : nat -> list nat -> Prop :=
| head: forall n l, inInd n (n :: l)
| tail: forall n l e, inInd n l -> inInd n (e :: l).
```

# Curry

- Syntax similar to Haskell

- Nondeterminism

`(?) :: a -> a -> a`

**x** `? _ = x`

`_ ? y = y`

- Free variables

`1 + 1 == x where x free`



# Outline

## 1 Introduction

- Programming Languages

- Theory

## 2 CuMin

- Modeling

- Typing

## 3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin

- Typing

## 4 Conclusion

# Typing

# Context

# Inference rules

$$\frac{}{\text{In } n \ (n :: l)} \text{In\_H}$$

$$\frac{\text{In } n \ l}{\text{In } n \ (e :: l)} \text{In\_T}$$

# Outline

## 1 Introduction

- Programming Languages
- Theory

## 2 CuMin

- **Modeling**
- Typing

## 3 FlatCurry

- Differences to CuMin
- Typing

## 4 Conclusion

# Syntax - Backus–Naur Form

$$P ::= D; P \mid D$$

$$D ::= f :: \kappa\tau; f\overline{X_n} = e$$

$$\kappa ::= \forall^\epsilon \alpha. \kappa \mid \forall^* \alpha. \kappa \mid \epsilon$$

$$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \mathbf{Bool} \mid \mathbf{Nat} \mid [\tau] \mid (\tau, \tau') \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau'$$

$$e ::= x \mid f_{\overline{\tau_m}} \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 \overset{\circ}{=} e_2$$

$$\mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle (x, y) \rightarrow e_1 \rangle$$

$$\mid \mathbf{True} \mid \mathbf{False} \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \mathbf{True} \rightarrow e_1; \mathbf{False} \rightarrow e_2 \rangle$$

$$\mid \mathbf{Nil}_\tau \mid \mathbf{Cons}(e_1, e_2) \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \mathbf{Nil} \rightarrow e_1; \mathbf{Cons}(x, y) \rightarrow e_2 \rangle$$

$$\mid \text{failure}_\tau \mid \text{anything}_\tau$$

$\text{fst} :: \forall^* \alpha. \forall^* \beta. (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \alpha$  $\text{fst } p = \text{case } p \text{ of } \langle (u, v) \rightarrow u \rangle$  $\text{one} :: \text{Nat}$  $\text{one} = \text{fst}_{\text{Nat}, \text{Bool}} (1, \text{True})$

# Syntax - Coq

```
Inductive quantifier : Type :=  
  | for_all : id -> tag -> quantifier.
```

```
Inductive ty : Type :=  
  | TVar    : id -> ty  
  | TBool   : ty  
  | TNat    : ty  
  | TList   : ty -> ty  
  | TPair   : ty -> ty -> ty  
  | TFun    : ty -> ty -> ty.
```

```
Definition program := list func_decl.
```

```
Inductive func_decl : Type :=  
  | FDecl : id -> list quantifier ->  
    ty -> list id -> tm -> func_decl.
```



# Context

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Programming Languages
  - Theory

- 2 CuMin
  - Modeling
  - Typing

- 3 FlatCurry
  - Differences to CuMin
  - Typing

- 4 Conclusion

# Typing rules

# Examples

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Programming Languages
  - Theory
- 2 CuMin
  - Modeling
  - Typing
- 3 FlatCurry**
  - Differences to CuMin**
  - Typing
- 4 Conclusion

# Syntax

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Programming Languages
  - Theory

- 2 CuMin
  - Modeling
  - Typing

- 3 FlatCurry
  - Differences to CuMin
  - Typing

- 4 Conclusion

# Summary



# Future Work