

Final Project for Exam

Marius Lindauer



Winter Term 2021/22

Reminder: Final Grading

- ▶ Implement a larger project (worth 1 — 2 weeks full time)
 - ▶ You can propose your own project idea!
 - ▶ Hand-in a short summary of the idea and we will provide feedback regarding feasibility
 - ▶ Teamwork (at most 3) again possible
 - ▶ Larger team → larger scope of the project
- ▶ "Exam"
 - ▶ First ~ 15 min: Present your project idea and results
 - ▶ Of course, everyone will present the project on their own
 - ▶ Second 15min: We will ask further questions about your project and how it relates to stuff you learned in the lecture.
- ▶ You will have the choice between a virtual and on-site exam.

Requirements

- ▶ 2 different options for the scope of the project
 - ▶ see next slides

Requirements

- ▶ 2 different options for the scope of the project
 - ▶ see next slides
- ▶ Proposal should include:
 - ▶ Scope and main objective(s)
 - ▶ Which open-source frameworks you plan to use
 - ▶ both for RL agents and for benchmark envs
 - ▶ some presented in the next (last) exercise
 - ▶ Amount for compute resources you will use
 - ▶ Rough time frame / milestones
- ↪ At most 1 page

Requirements

- ▶ 2 different options for the scope of the project
 - ▶ see next slides
- ▶ Proposal should include:
 - ▶ Scope and main objective(s)
 - ▶ Which open-source frameworks you plan to use
 - ▶ both for RL agents and for benchmark envs
 - ▶ some presented in the next (last) exercise
 - ▶ Amount for compute resources you will use
 - ▶ Rough time frame / milestones
- ↪ At most 1 page
- ▶ Sound scientific workflow
 - ▶ Fill out reproducibility check list (see studip)

Requirements

- ▶ 2 different options for the scope of the project
 - ▶ see next slides
- ▶ Proposal should include:
 - ▶ Scope and main objective(s)
 - ▶ Which open-source frameworks you plan to use
 - ▶ both for RL agents and for benchmark envs
 - ▶ some presented in the next (last) exercise
 - ▶ Amount for compute resources you will use
 - ▶ Rough time frame / milestones
- ~ At most 1 page
- ▶ Sound scientific workflow
 - ▶ Fill out reproducibility check list (see studip)
- ▶ Hand in: source code, ML reproducibility check list and PDF presentation with at most 7 slides (excl. title slide)
 - ▶ Proper code documentation; PEP8
 - ▶ Send us an invitation to a GitHub Repo
 - ▶ To both: eimer@tnt.uni-hannover.de, schubert@tnt.uni-hannover.de

Option I: New Env

- ▶ Propose a new & interesting benchmark environment / application for RL (incl. state, action, reward, transition, ...)
 - ▶ Use OpenAI-Gym env API
 - ▶ Should be somehow related to preventing climate change
 - ▶ Minimal requirement: An RL agent (of your choice) can learn something reasonable
 - ▶ That is, it performs better than a static or random policy
 - ▶ You can use already implemented RL algorithms
 - ▶ We will provide a list of recommended RL agents
 - ▶ Further goals could include:
 - ▶ Impact of state or action space (e.g., size or encoding)
 - ▶ Reward signal or reward shaping
 - ▶ Does the Markov assumption hold true?
- Environment should not be too trivial (e.g., a small maze)

Option II: RL Agent

- ▶ Implement an RL agent from scratch
 - ▶ Pick a (recent) RL paper and re-implement it
 - ▶ Don't use existing implementations!
- ▶ Minimal requirement: Your RL agent can learn a reasonable policy on a RL benchmark of your choice
 - ▶ That is, it performs better than a static or random policy
 - ▶ You can use already implemented RL benchmark envs
 - ▶ We will provide a list of recommended RL envs in the next exercise session
- ▶ Further goals could include:
 - ▶ Variants of different algorithm components (e.g., experience replay)
 - ▶ Hyperparameter sensitivity study
 - ▶ Comparison against other baselines

Score Distribution¹

- ▶ Idea, Topic & Results: at most 25
(sufficiently challenging, interesting beyond lecture, gained insights, results, ...)
- ▶ Implementation: at most 25
(correctness, reproducibility, code documentation, code quality, ...)
- ▶ Presentation: at most 25
(concise slides, clear message, structure, citations, plots, ...)
- ▶ Answering of questions: at most 25
(correct, concise, knowledge, own ideas, ...)

¹Not the same scoring as in iML

Score Distribution¹

- ▶ Idea, Topic & Results: at most 25
(sufficiently challenging, interesting beyond lecture, gained insights, results, ...)
- ▶ Implementation: at most 25
(correctness, reproducibility, code documentation, code quality, ...)
- ▶ Presentation: at most 25
(concise slides, clear message, structure, citations, plots, ...)
- ▶ Answering of questions: at most 25
(correct, concise, knowledge, own ideas, ...)
- ▶ 100-90: 1.0; 89 - 85: 1.3; 84 - 80: 1.7
- ▶ 79 - 75: 2.0; 74 - 70: 2.3; 69 - 65: 2.7
- ▶ 64 - 60: 3.0; 59 - 55: 3.3; 54 - 50: 3.7
- ▶ 49 - 45: 4.0; < 45 : failed

¹Not the same scoring as in iML

Deadlines

- ▶ Proposal Deadline: Jan 27th (AoE²)
 - ▶ Submit earlier to get feedback sooner!
- ▶ Feedback for proposal: latest Feb 3rd
- ▶ Results Deadline: March 4th (AoE)
- ▶ Exam: March 14th – March 18th (in the mornings)
 - ▶ We will send you a link for registration to one of the possible slots

²Anywhere on Earth

Questions?