

Rice's Theorem

Chanathip Namprempre

Department of Computer Science
Reed College

Properties

Consider the class of all r.e. languages.

Take a subset \mathcal{C} of this class.

We can look at \mathcal{C} as specifying a **property** P of a language.

A language L has the property P iff $L \in \mathcal{C}$.

Example

① $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{L \mid L \text{ is non-empty}\}$

② $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{L \mid L \text{ is r.e.}\}$

Consider a language $L = \{0, 1, 00, 11, 01\}$. Does L have each of the properties above?

Intuition behind Rice's Theorem

Consider a set \mathcal{C} of r.e. languages. Recall that $L(M) = \{w \mid M \text{ accepts } w\}$.

Consider the language $L_{\mathcal{C}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

If \mathcal{C} is such that $L_{\mathcal{C}}$ is nothing (try $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$) or is everything (try \mathcal{C} = the set of all r.e. languages), then $L_{\mathcal{C}}$ is clearly decidable.

These \mathcal{C} 's represent trivial properties.

Rice's Theorem says that, for all nontrivial properties \mathcal{C} , the language $L_{\mathcal{C}}$ is undecidable!

Nontriviality of a property

Definition (Triviality of a property)

A property \mathcal{C} is **trivial** iff $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{C} = \text{set of all r.e. languages}$.

Lemma (Testing for nontriviality of a property)

*A property \mathcal{C} is **nontrivial** iff there is a language in \mathcal{C} and a language not in \mathcal{C} .*

Rice's Theorem

Theorem (Rice's Theorem)

*If \mathcal{C} is a set of r.e. languages that is nontrivial,
then $L_{\mathcal{C}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is undecidable.*

Example

- 1 $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{L \mid L \text{ is non-empty} \}$
- 2 $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{L \mid L \text{ is r.e.} \}$

What is $L_{\mathcal{C}}$ for each example above?

Which property is nontrivial?

Example: Proving E_{TM} undecidable using Rice's Theorem

Theorem

$E_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \emptyset\}$ is undecidable.

Proof.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{L \mid L = \emptyset\}$.

So $E_{TM} = L_{\mathcal{C}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Since the language $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ but the language $\{0\} \notin \mathcal{C}$, we have that \mathcal{C} is nontrivial.

So E_{TM} is undecidable. □

More examples

Try using Rice's Theorem to prove that these languages are undecidable.

- 1 $\text{AcceptsAll}_{\text{TM}}$
- 2 $\text{AcceptsSome}_{\text{TM}}$
- 3 $\text{Regular}_{\text{TM}}$
- 4 $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is finite} \}$
- 5 $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is context-free} \}$
- 6 $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts all even length inputs} \}$
- 7 $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts at least 100 distinct strings} \}$

Rice's Theorem is not always applicable

You **cannot** use Rice's Theorem to prove these languages undecidable.

If you try, you will fail to come up with either a language property or a nontrivial language property or a property \mathcal{C} such that $L_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the language in question.

- ❶ $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ has at least 5 states} \}$

[The property being considered is not a language property. In fact, this language is decidable!]

- ❷ $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is accepted by a TM with an even number of states} \}$

[The property being considered is trivial. In fact, this language is decidable!]

- ❸ $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } \varepsilon \text{ in a perfect square number of steps} \}$

[The property being considered is not a language property. Nonetheless, this language is undecidable. Try a reduction from $\text{BlankTape}_{\text{TM}}$.]

Proof of Rice's Theorem

Theorem

*If \mathcal{C} is a set of r.e. languages that is nontrivial,
then $L_{\mathcal{C}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is undecidable.*

Proof.

Suppose \mathcal{C} is a set of r.e. languages and is nontrivial.

Case 1: Suppose $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{C}$.

We prove that $\text{BlankTape}_{\text{TM}} \leq_m L_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Case 2: Suppose $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$.

We prove that $\text{BlankTape}_{\text{TM}} \leq_m \overline{L_{\mathcal{C}}}$.

