

MADAM (/)

Signed in as Xin, Logout (/logout/)

[Mark This Student](#)

This assignment is in **preview**. No marks can be saved at the moment.

Assignment 5

Final report

FIT2101 - Software Engineering Process and Management - 2 - 2019

USER: **GROUP MEMBERS:**

Assessment last updated: No previous updates

Task 1: Content

Task 1.1: Scrum and Agile aspects of the process

The points below are guidelines rather than requirements; give "N/A" rather than "Poor" if not relevant to the project (and don't deduct marks). 5 marks: evidence of a solid understanding of how Scrum should work as outlined in the Scrum Manifesto and similar online resources; comprehensive insight into own team's practices and how they have been affected by the restrictions imposed by higher education. 3 marks: description of own process is vague, or grasp of Scrum seems limited, but a reasonable comparison of the two has been made. 1 mark: vague description of own process and/or Scrum but no attempt to compare them. Deduct one mark if the writing in this section is vague/nonspecific.

Description of process used ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

Comparison with Scrum/Agile as described elsewhere ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

Analysis of process ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

Identified opportunities for better practices ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

Task 1.1 Comment:

B **I** **H** **<PRE>** **</>**

<p></p>

Mark for task 1.1 : out of 5.0

Task 1.2: Teamwork

The points below are guidelines rather than requirements; give "N/A" rather than "Poor" if not relevant to the project (and don't deduct marks). 5 marks: description of project management techniques used is clear and all analyses are insightful. 3 marks: techniques used are well-described but analysis is shallow. 1 mark: analysis is missing entirely. Deduct one mark if the writing in this section is vague/nonspecific.

Description of coordination ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

Analysis of communication methods ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

Analysis of task allocation ☐ Poor ☐ OK ☐ Good ☐ N/A

[About MADAM \(/about/\)](#)

Analysis of method scalability

PoorOKGoodN/A

Task 1.2 Comment:

B

I

H

<PRE>

<p></p>

Mark for task 1.2 : out of 5.0

Task 1.3: Decision-making

The points below are guidelines rather than requirements; give "N/A" rather than "Poor" if not relevant to the project (and don't deduct marks). 5 marks: student demonstrates insight into problems with initial decision-making, any conflicts that arose, etc. and ideas about future practice are feasible and likely to help. 3 marks: problems (or their absence) are described but not analyzed in much depth -- perhaps the student has described problems with the toolchain but only suggested a better toolchain rather than reflecting on how the decision-making process could have been improved. 1 mark: decisions made were mentioned but not evaluated. Deduct one mark if the writing in this section is vague/nonspecific.

Evaluation of initial choices

PoorOKGoodN/A

Evaluation of requirements management

PoorOKGoodN/A

Evaluation of final product

PoorOKGoodN/A

Ideas for future projects

PoorOKGoodN/A

Task 1.3 Comment:

B

I

H

<PRE>

<p></p>

Mark for task 1.3 : out of 5.0

Task 1 Comment:

B

I

H

<PRE>

<p></p>

Mark for task 1 : out of 15.0

Task 2: Presentation

Spelling and grammar

PoorOKGoodN/A

Clarity of writing

PoorOKGoodN/A

Logical flow within each section

PoorOKGoodN/A

Structure of report

PoorOKGoodN/A

Layout of report

PoorOKGoodN/A

Referencing

PoorOKGoodN/A

Task 2 Comment:

B

I

H

<PRE>

</>

<p></p>

Mark for task 2 : out of 5.0

Task 3: Reality factor (penalty)

Deduction for reports that do not match with reality of submitted artifacts and observed practices. Do not deduct more than the student got for Task 1: if the presentation was good, the mark for it should stand.

Instructions to markers: Use only when confident, reserve maximum penalty for complete bollocks that makes you wonder if the student was even there (do check the git logs -- they might not have been!)

Task 3 Comment:

B

I

H

<PRE>

</>

<p></p>

Mark for task 3 : out of 15.0

Task 4: Lateness (penalty)

1/2 mark per working day. No penalty possible for failing to contribute; this is an individual assignment! Do not take any marks off without explaining it in the comments and speaking to your campus lecturer.

Task 4 Comment:

B

I

H

<PRE>

</>

<p></p>

Mark for task 4 : out of 20.0

Overall mark: /20.0 (%)
Total