

Group Part

Criteria	Marks (in %)	Fail	Pass 50%-59%	Credit 60%-69%	Distinction 70%-79%	High Distinction 80%-100%	Marks (in %)
Introduction & Conclusion	20	The presentation has an unclear introduction and purpose. The presentation does not have any clear conclusion.	The presentation has clear introduction including purpose but does not have any clear conclusion and introduces new points.	The presentation has clear introduction including purpose and conclusion summarises findings but introduces new points.	The presentation has clear introduction including purpose and conclusion summarises findings without introducing any new points. <hr/> The presentation includes problem statement without any background on selected topics.	The presentation has clear introduction including purpose and conclusion summarises findings without introducing any new points. <hr/> The presentation includes problem statement with background on selected topics.	
Literature search & references	20	Didn't include four interrelated papers and missing references in the video.	Included four interrelated papers but weak coherence between selected papers exists with/without references in the video.	Included four interrelated papers and strong coherence between selected papers exist but only covered one topic with/without references in the video.	Included four interrelated papers and strong coherence between selected papers exist and covered both topics with/without references in the video.	Included four interrelated papers and strong coherence between selected papers exist and covered both topics with references in the video.	
Clarity and depth of explanation (topic 1)	20	Irrelevant topic selected.	Relevant topic selected but findings from selected papers are not clear.	Relevant topic selected and findings from selected papers are clear but analysis doesn't link back to problem statement.	Relevant topic selected and findings from selected papers are clear including detailed analysis linked back to problem statement.	Relevant topic selected and findings from selected papers are clear including excellent analysis linked back to problem statement.	
Clarity and depth of explanation (topic 2)	20	Irrelevant topic selected.	Relevant topic selected but findings from selected papers are not clear.	Relevant topic selected and findings from selected papers are clear but analysis doesn't link back to problem statement.	Relevant topic selected and findings from selected papers are clear including detailed analysis linked back to problem statement.	Relevant topic selected and findings from selected papers are clear including excellent analysis linked back to problem statement.	
Proof of Concept Implementation in the video	20	Didn't include PoC implementation.	Included a partial PoC implementation demonstration with/without algorithm level narratives.	Included a working PoC implementation demonstration with/without algorithm level narratives.	Included a working PoC implementation demonstration with algorithm level narratives.	Included a working PoC implementation demonstration with full code level narratives.	

Student 1 ID:

Individual Part

Quality of delivery in the video	20	The presenter didn't appear in the video.	The presenter appeared in the video but has significant issues (low volume, poor/no eye contact, too fast/too slow, no usage of non-verbal communication)	The presenter appeared in the video but has some issues (low volume, poor/no eye contact, too fast/too slow, no usage of non-verbal communication)	The presenter appeared in the video and no issues observed (low volume, poor/no eye contact, too fast/too slow, no usage of non-verbal communication) <hr/> The presenter made some attempt to make the delivery engaging.	The presenter appeared in the video and no significant issues observed (low volume, poor/no eye contact, too fast/too slow, no usage of non-verbal communication) <hr/> The presenter made excellent attempt to make the delivery engaging.	
Proof of concept demonstration Interview only in Week 8 Lab session	30	Didn't attend or the selected PoC is not from one of the four interrelated papers.	The interview demonstrates no understanding of the selected PoC from one of the four interrelated papers. The PoC demonstration code compile with many errors and shows no insight, critical reasoning and understanding of code.	The interview demonstrates some understanding of the selected PoC from one of the four interrelated papers. The PoC demonstration code compile with some errors but shows some insight, critical reasoning and understanding of code.	The interview demonstrates good understanding of the selected PoC from one of the four interrelated papers. <hr/> The PoC demonstration code compile with some errors but shows significant insight, critical reasoning and understanding of code.	The interview demonstrates an excellent understanding of the selected PoC from one of the four interrelated papers. <hr/> The PoC demonstration code compile without errors and shows significant insight, critical reasoning and understanding of code.	