Automatic Contextual Bug Report Modelling

Andrei-Mihai Nicolae (2147392)

1 Research Problem

Background

In recent years, software engineering has been shaping many industries that were previously considered unrelated to technology, ranging from healthcare to public transportation and education. As software has grown and inflicted itself into so many fields, it has inherently become more complex and it now involves teams of even hundreds of developers working remotely or in the same office on applications that can shape even the face of a nation, such as Estonia's data exchange layer called X-Road[2].

Therefore, having such a high number of developers working on complex applications, there has been a need for software engineers to plan, track and manage the workload for increased efficiency. Thus, issue tracking systems have been created, which usually incorporate multiple components into a single, unified interface. The main component, however, of any issue tracking system is called a ticket. A ticket is comprised of all the necessary information for either fixing a bug (i.e. specific malfunction of the application) or implementing a new feature (i.e. new functionality for the software). However, after an application is released and it starts to get used by actual end users, the most common ticket found in issue tracking systems is a bug report, stating what went wrong inside the application, how it can be reproduced, even possible locations in the source code where the malfunction might originate from.

Typically, the people who file the bug reports are actual end users who go onto the project's issue tracking system website and complete the necessary data required by that system. Once the bug report is filed in the tracking systen, triagers are responsible for assigning that ticket to developers to get fixed. Bettenburg et. al [1] have conducted interviews with developers and they have gathered valuable data related to what makes for a good bug report and what are the key characteristics that help them fix the bug as quickly as possible. One result presented by this research is that having stack traces (i.e. list of methods in the source code which were called when an exception was thrown) in the description field of the bug report helps developers fix the bug quicker. However, even with this information available, the fields displayed in the bug report for the end users to complete (e.g. summary of the bug, description, attachments) are standard across all the different issue tracking systems.

Having the optimal bug report model/design for end users to complete would be beneficial for both the soft-

ware development team, as well as the overall costs incurred by the company producing the application. Therefore, the main goal of this proposed project is to create a tool that can automatically generate the optimal bug report model for any specific project taking into account the characteristics of that project or the team behind it (e.g. size of the development team, technologies used, mobile/desktop application). Even though there is state-of-the-art research in this field, such as the work of Zimmermann et al.[3], they only look into what can be done and propose only advice to issue tracking systems developers. However, our work goes one step further and we want to conduct the first research in this area that actually gives the community a tool which can automatically fix this issue.

Key Ideas in a Nutshell Research Objectives State of the Art Intended Solutions Innovative Aspects

2 Methodology

...(WP1)

...(WP2)

...(WP3)

3 Measurable Outcomes

4 Impact and National Importance

References

- N. Bettenburg, S. Just, A. Schröter, C. Weiss, R. Premraj, and T. Zimmermann. What makes a good bug report? pages 308–318, 2008.
- [2] Cybernetica. X-road a secure data exchange layer for building egovernments. Technical report, M\u00e4ealuse 2/1, Tallinn 12618, Estonia, 2014
- [3] T. Zimmermann, R. Premraj, J. Sillito, and S. Breu. Improving bug tracking systems. In Software Engineering-Companion Volume, 2009. ICSE-Companion 2009. 31st International Conference on, pages 247–250. IEEE, 2009.