

1. Fixed Design Regression

(a) We consider the distribution of $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, with

$$\underline{y} = X\theta + \underline{\varepsilon}, \quad \underline{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, I_n) \quad \text{let } X := \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$dP_\theta^n(\underline{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \langle \underline{y} - X\theta, \underline{y} - X\theta \rangle \right\}$$

$$dP_{\theta + h/\sqrt{n}}^n(\underline{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \langle \underline{y} - X(\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}), \underline{y} - X(\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}) \rangle \right\}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\langle \underline{y} - X\theta, \underline{y} - X\theta \rangle - 2 \langle \underline{y} - X\theta, X \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \langle Xh, Xh \rangle \right] \right\}$$

$$\log \left[\frac{dP_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^n}{dP_\theta^n} \right] = \langle \underline{y} - X\theta, X \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2n} \langle Xh, Xh \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \langle \underline{\varepsilon}, Xh \rangle + \frac{1}{2n} \langle h, X^T X h \rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \langle \underline{\varepsilon}, X^T h \rangle = \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \langle \underline{\varepsilon}, Xh \rangle \xrightarrow{d} N(0, h^T \Sigma h) \quad \text{by CLT}$$

$$\frac{1}{2n} \langle h, X^T X h \rangle \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{2} h^T \Sigma h \quad (\text{given})$$

$$\Rightarrow \log \left[\frac{dP_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^n}{dP_\theta^n} \right] \xrightarrow{d} N \left(\frac{1}{2} h^T \Sigma h, h^T \Sigma h \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dP_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^n}{dP_\theta^n} \xrightarrow{d} \exp(Z), \quad Z \sim N \left(\frac{1}{2} h^T \Sigma h, h^T \Sigma h \right)$$

$$\text{Since } P_\theta [\exp(Z) > 0] = 1, \quad \text{with } \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} := \frac{dP_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^n}{dP_\theta^n} \rightarrow \exp(Z),$$

by Le Cam's third lemma, $P_\theta \triangleleft P_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}$

Further, with $E[\exp(Z)] = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} h^T \Sigma h + \frac{1}{2} h^T \Sigma h\right] = 1$,

with $L_n = \frac{dP_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^1}{dP_\theta^n} \xrightarrow{P_\theta} L$, $E[Z] = 1$ so $P_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}} \triangleleft P_\theta$.

Hence $P_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}} \triangleleft \triangleright P_\theta$.

(b) We are interested in $\sup_\theta E_\theta [n \|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2]$ A.

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} -z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ -z_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Under } P_\theta, \quad \hat{\theta} &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X\theta + \underline{\varepsilon}) \quad , \quad \underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ &= (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T X) \theta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \underline{\varepsilon} \\ &= \theta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \underline{\varepsilon} \\ &= \theta + X^+ \underline{\varepsilon}, \quad X^+ = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2 &= \langle X^+ \underline{\varepsilon}, X^+ \underline{\varepsilon} \rangle = \text{tr}(X^+ \underline{\varepsilon} (X^+ \underline{\varepsilon})^T) = \text{tr}(X^+ (\underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^T) X^{+T}) \\ E[n \|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2] &= n \left(\text{tr}(X^+ E[\underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^T] X^{+T}) \right) = \text{tr}(X^+ X^{+T}) \text{ since } E[\underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^T] = I_n \\ \text{Since } \forall n \quad P_n \underline{\varepsilon}_i &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$X^+ X^{+T} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X (X^T X)^{-T}) = (X^T X)^{-1 T} = (X^T X)^{-1} \text{ by symmetry}$$

$$\text{so } \sup_\theta E[n \|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2] = \text{tr}\left[\left(\frac{X^T X}{n}\right)^{-1}\right] \rightarrow \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1})$$

by continuity of $x \mapsto \text{tr} x$.

(c) Consider $\theta = 0$. Since $P_0^n [\tilde{\theta} \neq 0] \rightarrow 0$, by $P_0^n \triangleq P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}$
 $P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^n [\tilde{\theta} \neq 0] \rightarrow 0$. Hence $P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}^n [\tilde{\theta} = 0] \rightarrow 1$

$$E_0 [n \|\tilde{\theta} - \theta\|^2] \geq E_{h/\sqrt{n}} [n \|\tilde{\theta} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}\|^2]$$

$$\geq E_{h/\sqrt{n}} \left[n \left\| \frac{-h}{\sqrt{n}} \right\|^2 \right] P(\tilde{\theta} = 0)$$

$$= E_{h/\sqrt{n}} [\|h\|^2] P(\tilde{\theta} = 0) = \|h\|^2 P_{h/\sqrt{n}}^n(\tilde{\theta} = 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_0 [n \|\tilde{\theta} - \theta\|^2] \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h\|^2 P_{h/\sqrt{n}}^n(\tilde{\theta} = 0) = \|h\|^2$$

Take $\|h\| \rightarrow \infty$, we get the desired result.

2. Testing Lower Bounds in QMD families via Hellinger distance

(a) In HWH, we showed

$$H^2(P^{\otimes n}, Q^{\otimes n}) = 1 - (1 - H^2(P, Q))^n$$

Define $g_0 := \sqrt{P_0} \sqrt{P_0} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\ell}_0 \sqrt{P_0}$

$$R_0(h) := \sqrt{P_{0+h}} - \sqrt{P_0} + h^T g_0$$

Consider $H^2(P_{0_0}^n, P_{0_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h}^n) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\sqrt{P_{0_0}} - \sqrt{P_{0_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h}})^2 d\mu$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int (-R_0(h/\sqrt{n}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} h^T g_0)^2 d\mu$$

QMD implies $\int R_0^2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h) d\mu = o(\frac{\|h\|^2}{n})$,

also $\int (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} h^T g_0)^2 d\mu = \frac{1}{4n} \int (h^T \dot{\ell}_0 \sqrt{P_0})^T (h^T \dot{\ell}_0 \sqrt{P_0}) d\mu$

$$= \frac{1}{4n} \int (h^T \dot{\ell}_0 \sqrt{P_0}) (h^T \dot{\ell}_0 \sqrt{P_0})^T d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{4n} \int h^T (P_{0_0} \dot{\ell}_0 \dot{\ell}_0^T) h d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{4n} h^T \int P_{0_0} \dot{\ell}_0 \dot{\ell}_0^T d\mu h$$

$$= \frac{1}{4n} h^T I_0 h$$

$$\text{Also, } \int (R_0(h/r_n)) \left(\frac{1}{n} h^T g_{0_0} \right) d\mu$$

$$\leq \|R_0(h/r_n)\|_{L_2} \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{n} h^T g_{0_0} \right\|_{L_2}$$

$$\int (R_0(h/r_n))^2 d\mu = o\left(\frac{\|h\|^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} h^T g_{0_0} \right\|_{L_2}^2 = \int \left(\frac{1}{n} h^T g_{0_0} \right)^2 d\mu$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{n} h^T \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{p_{0_0}} d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} h^T \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 p_{0_0} = O(1)$$

$$\text{Hence } \int R(h/r_n) \left(\frac{1}{n} h^T g_{0_0} \right) d\mu = O(1) \cdot o(1) = o(1)$$

$$\text{Then } H^2(p_{0_0}, p_{0_0 + \frac{1}{n}h}) = \frac{1}{2n} h^T I_{0_0} h + o\left(\frac{\|h\|^2}{n}\right)$$

$$H^2(p_{0_0}^n, p_{0_0 + \frac{1}{n}h}^n) = 1 - \left(1 - H^2(p_{0_0}, p_{0_0 + \frac{1}{n}h}) \right)^n$$

$$= 1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{h^T I_{0_0} h}{2} + o(\|h\|^2) \right) \right]^n$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} h^T I_{0_0} h\right)$$

(b) By the previous HW,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(P_{\theta_0} [T_n = 0] + P_{\theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h} [T_n = 1] \right) \geq 1 - \|P_{\theta_0}^n - P_{\theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h}^n\|_{TV}$$

Enough to show $\|P_{\theta_0}^n - P_{\theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h}^n\| < 1$.

Recalling, with $H_n := H^2(P_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h})$ that

$$\|P_{\theta_0}^n - P_{\theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h}^n\|_{TV} < \sqrt{2H_n(1 - H_n/2)},$$

$$\sqrt{2H_n(1 - H_n/2)} < 1 \iff 2H_n(1 - H_n/2) < 1$$

$$\iff H_n(2 - H_n) < 1$$

$$\iff H_n^2 - 2H_n + 1 > 0$$

$$\iff (H_n - 1)^2 > 0$$

$$\iff |H_n - 1| > 0$$

$$\iff H_n \neq 1$$

$H_n = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} h^T I_0 h + o(\|h\|^2) \right) \right]^n$ decreasing in n , and attains

Since $H_n \rightarrow 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma} h^T I_0 h\right)$ here $H_n \neq 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(P_{\theta_0} [T_n = 0] + P_{\theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h} [T_n = 1] \right) > 0.$$

3. QMD implies LAN

$$(a) \log \frac{dP_{\theta+h_n}^n}{dP_\theta^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(\frac{P_{\theta+h_n}(x)}{P_\theta} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left((1 + B_i^{(n)})^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \log (1 + B_i^{(n)})$$

$$(b) \text{ Using } B_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_\theta}} (\sqrt{p_{\theta+h_n}} - \sqrt{p_\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_\theta}} \left(\frac{1}{2} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta \sqrt{p_\theta} + R(h_n) \right)$$

By QMD, $\int (R(h_n))^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{p_\theta} [(B_i^{(n)})^2] &= \int \left(\sqrt{\frac{p_{\theta+h_n}}{p_\theta}} - 1 \right)^2 p_\theta d\mu \\ &= \int \left(\left(\sqrt{p_{\theta+h_n}} - \sqrt{p_\theta} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_\theta}} \right)^2 p_\theta d\mu = 2H^2(p_{\theta+h_n}, p_\theta) \\ &= \int \left(R_\theta(h_n) + h^T \frac{1}{2} \dot{\ell}_\theta \sqrt{p_\theta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p_\theta} \cdot p_\theta d\mu \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\left(R_\theta(h_n) + h^T \frac{1}{2} \dot{\ell}_\theta \sqrt{p_\theta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p_\theta} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\frac{R_\theta^2(h_n)}{p_\theta} \right] + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}_\theta [h_n^T \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T h] + \mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\frac{R_\theta(h_n) \cdot h^T \frac{1}{2} \dot{\ell}_\theta}{\sqrt{p_\theta}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\int R_\theta^2(h_n) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ by QMD} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\frac{R_\theta^2(h_n)}{p_\theta} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta R_\theta(h_n)}{\sqrt{p_\theta}} p_\theta d\mu &\leq \left(\int h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T h d\mu \cdot \int R_\theta^2(h_n) d\mu \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \\ &= o(\|h_n\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathbb{E}_0 \left[(Z_i^{(n)})^2 \right] = \frac{1}{4} h_n^T I_0 h_n + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{P_0} [Z_i^{(n)}] &= \int P_0 \left(\sqrt{\frac{P_0 + h_n}{P_0}} - 1 \right) d\mu \\ &= \int (\sqrt{P_0 P_0 + h_n} - P_0) d\mu \\ &= \int \sqrt{P_0 P_0 + h_n} - \frac{1}{2} P_0 - \frac{1}{2} P_0 + h_n d\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int (\sqrt{P_0 P_0 + h_n} - \sqrt{P_0 P_0})^2 d\mu \\ &= -H^2(P_0 + h_n, P_0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} h_n^T I_0 h_n + o(\|h_n\|^2) \quad \text{by } 2(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{i \in [n]} |Z_i^{(n)}| \geq \varepsilon \right]$$

$$\leq n \mathbb{P} [|Z_i^{(n)}| \geq \varepsilon]$$

$$\leq n \mathbb{P} \left[\left| Z_i^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2} h_n^T \dot{\ell}_0(X_i) \right| \geq \varepsilon/2 \right] + \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \frac{1}{2} h_n^T \dot{\ell}_0(X_i) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right]$$

$$\text{Let } G_i^{(n)} := Z_i^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2} h_n^T \dot{\ell}_0(X_i)$$

$$\leq \frac{4n}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}[(C_i^{(n)})^2] + P[|h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i)| \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}]$$

$$B_i^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2} h_n^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_\theta}} \left(\sqrt{p_{\theta+h_n}(x_i)} - \sqrt{p_\theta(x_i)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle h_n, \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) \rangle \sqrt{p_\theta(x_i)} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\theta[(C_i^{(n)})^2] = \int R(h_n)^2 d\mu = o(\|h_n\|^2) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ by QMD}$$

$$P[|h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i)| \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{n}] \leq \frac{1}{n\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}_\theta[(h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i))^2] = \frac{1}{n\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}_\theta[h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T h]$$

$$\text{by } P_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T < \infty \text{ (given), } \frac{1}{n\varepsilon^2} h^T (P_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T) h = o(1) = O(1) = o(1)$$

$$\hookrightarrow P\left[\max_{i \in [n]} |B_i^{(n)}| \geq \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0$$

(d) Recalling from above

$$B_i^{(n)} = C_i^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[(C_i^{(n)})^2] = \int (R(h_n))^2 d\mu = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

By (a), and using the Taylor Expansion at $x \mapsto \log(1+x)$,

$$\log \left(\frac{dP_{\theta+h}^n}{dP_{\theta}^n} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \log(1 + B_i^{(n)})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n 2 B_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2 + o\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} h^T \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(x_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2 + o\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2\right) \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} h^T \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(x_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2 + o\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} h^T \dot{\ell}_{\theta}(x_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^{(n)} - \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^n h^T \dot{\ell}_{\theta} \dot{\ell}_{\theta}^T h$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2n} h^T \dot{\ell}_{\theta} \cdot C_i - \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2$$

$$+ o\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2\right)$$

$$\bullet \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (C_i^{(n)})^2 \xrightarrow{P} E[(C_i^{(n)})^2] = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ by QML} \Rightarrow n P_n (C_i^{(n)})^2 = o(1)$$

$$\bullet \quad o\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(n)})^2\right) = 2 o\left(\sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{1}{2} h_n^T I_{\theta} h_n + o\left(\frac{\|h\|}{n}\right)\right)$$

$$= 2n o\left(\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} h^T I_{\theta} h + o(\|h\|)\right)\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{2} h^T I_{\theta} h + o(\|h\|)\right)$$

$$\bullet \quad P_n \dot{\ell}_{\theta} \rightarrow P_{\theta} \dot{\ell}_{\theta} = 0, \text{ and } C_i^{(n)} = o_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h^T \dot{\ell}_{\theta} o_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \left(\frac{d^n P_{\theta+h_n}}{d^n P_\theta} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_i + \frac{1}{2n} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^n h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T h + o_{P_\theta}(1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) + 2n \left(P_n \left(B_i + \frac{1}{2n} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) \right) \right) + o_{P_\theta}(1) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4} h^T P_n \dot{\ell}_\theta \dot{\ell}_\theta^T h + o_{P_\theta}(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} h^T \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) - \frac{1}{4} h^T I_\theta h - \frac{1}{4} h^T I_\theta h + o(1) + o_{P_\theta}(1) \\
 &= \left\langle h, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\ell}_\theta(x_i) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} h^T I_\theta h + o_{P_\theta}(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \text{ Using } P_n B_i \rightarrow E_\theta B_i = -\frac{1}{2} h_n^T I_\theta h_n + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

This is what we wanted to show.

4 AMP Tests?

(a) Since $h \in \mathbb{R}$, we are in 1 dimension.

Under necessary assumptions the asymptotic normality of the MLE holds.

(Ed post says we can assume "nice" family)

We can construct a Wald Test: Under $\theta = 0$,

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_0^{-1}) \Rightarrow (n I_0) \hat{\theta}_n^2 \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$$

$$\text{Consider } R_\alpha := \{ \hat{\theta}_n : |\hat{\theta}_n| > \sqrt{(n I_0)^{-1} F_{\chi_1^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha)} \}$$

$$(1\text{-dim}) = \{ \hat{\theta}_n : (\sqrt{n} I_0^{-1/2} \hat{\theta}_n)^T (\sqrt{n} I_0^{-1/2} \hat{\theta}_n) > F_{\chi_1^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha) \}$$

$$\text{Then } P_0 [n I_0 \hat{\theta}_n^2 > F_{\chi_1^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha)] \rightarrow 1 - F_{\chi_1^2} [F_{\chi_1^2}^{-1}(1-\alpha)] = \alpha$$

with $F_{\chi_1^2}^{-1}$ the $1-\alpha$ quantile of χ_1^2 .

(b) As showed in HW4 Q4e,

varying $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ a "nice" family and hence $P_0^{(D_n)} \triangleleft P_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}h}^{(D_n)}$

$$\log L_n = \sqrt{n} P_h h^T \ell_0 - \frac{1}{2} h^T I_0 h + o_{P_0}(1)$$

$$\xrightarrow[\theta=0]{d} N(-\frac{1}{2} h^T I_0 h, h^T I_0 h) \quad (\text{CLT})$$

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\theta}_n = (P_0 V^T l_0 + o_p(1))^{-1} (-\sqrt{n} P_n V l_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_0^{-1})$$

under consistency assumption of MLE

Since $g: x \mapsto (I_0^{-1} x, h^T x - \frac{1}{2} h^T I_0 h + o_p(1)) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
 by Slutsky / CLT with g applied at $x = \sqrt{n} P_n V l_0$, we obtain
 and with $g' = (I_0^{-1}, h)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{n} \hat{\theta}_n \\ \text{Log } L_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{P_0} N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} h^T I_0 h \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} I_0^{-1} & \tau \\ \tau & h^T I_0 h \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$\tau = \text{cov} \left(\sqrt{n} I_0^{-1} P_n V l_0, \sqrt{n} h^T P_n V l_0 - \frac{1}{2} h^T I_0 h \right) = h$$

Using Le Cam's 3rd Lemma,

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow[\substack{P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}} \\ \oplus_n}]{d} N(h, I_0^{-1}).$$

c) Recall def: asymptotic power $:= \liminf_{\theta_0 \in \Theta_0} P_{\theta_0} [T_n = 1]$

Because $\{P_{\theta_0}\}_{\theta_0 \in \Theta_0}$ is LAN, it is AMP if

we can show that $P_{\theta_0} [T_n = 1] \rightarrow \alpha$, which we checked in (a), and that

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{h/\sqrt{n}} [T_n = 1] = 1 - \Phi \left(\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha) - \sqrt{h^T I_0 h} \right)$$

$$\text{We have } P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}} [T_n = 1] = P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}} \left[\left| I_{\hat{\theta}_n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \hat{\theta}_n \right| > \sqrt{F_{\chi^2_1}^{-1}(1-\alpha)} \right]$$

$$\rightarrow P_{Z \sim N(0,1)} \left[Z + I_0^{1/2} h > \sqrt{F_{\chi^2_1}^{-1}(1-\alpha)} \right]$$

$$\text{Remark: } \sqrt{F_{\chi^2_1}^{-1}(1-\alpha)} = z_{1-\alpha/2} \quad \square$$

$$P_{h/\sqrt{n}} [T_n = 1] \rightarrow 1 - \Phi \left(\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2) - I_0^{1/2} h \right) + \Phi \left(-\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2) - I_0^{1/2} h \right)$$

However, by testing for real values, this quantity is different from $1 - \Phi \left(\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha) - \sqrt{h^T I_0 h} \right)$, in the worst but is not AMP.

5. A Generalization of Anderson's Lemma

(a) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

• if $x \notin C$ or $y \notin C$

$$\text{Then } \lambda \log(g(x)) + (1-\lambda) \log(g(y)) = -\infty$$

$$\text{So } \lambda \log(g(x)) + (1-\lambda) \log(g(y)) \leq \log(g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y))$$

• if $x \in C$ and $y \in C$.

Then C is convex so $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in C$. So

$$\lambda \log(g(x)) + (1-\lambda) \log(g(y)) = \lambda + 1-\lambda = 1 = \log(g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y))$$

Hence g is log-concave

(b) WIS: $f, g \stackrel{\log}{\text{concave}} \Rightarrow \log\left(\int f(x-y)g(y)dy\right)$ concave

$$\text{i.e. } \lambda \log((f * g)(x_1)) + (1-\lambda) \log((f * g)(x_2)) \\ \leq \log((f * g)(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \log\left((f * g)(x_1)^\lambda \cdot (f * g)(x_2)^{1-\lambda}\right) \leq \log((f * g)(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (f * g)(x_1)^\lambda \cdot (f * g)(x_2)^{1-\lambda} \leq (f * g)(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\int f(x_1 - y) g(y) dy \right)^\lambda \left(\int f(x_2 - y) g(y) dy \right)^{1-\lambda} \\ \leq \int f((\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) - y) g(y) dy$$

This will be implied by Prékopa-Leindler inequality, if we can show

$$f((\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) - y) g(y) \geq f(x_1 - y) g(y)^\lambda \cdot f(x_2 - y) g(y)^{1-\lambda} \\ = f(x_1 - y)^\lambda f(x_2 - y)^{1-\lambda} g(y).$$

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \leq f(x_1 - y)^\lambda f(x_2 - y)^{1-\lambda}$$

$$\text{and } g(y) \leq g(y)^\lambda g(y)^{1-\lambda}$$

because f, g log-concave (take log on both sides and result is immediate.)

(c) f log-concave probability density

Let C be a convex set. Let $y = x + v$

$$h(v) = \mathbb{P}[x + v \in C] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \mathbb{1}_{\{x+v \in C\}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y-v) g(y) dy \quad (*)$$

This is different from the earlier convolution, but the same argument holds with signs flipped. The functions in the Prékopa-Leindler inequality

$$\text{will be } h'(y) = f(y - \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) g(y)$$

$$f'(y) = f(y - x_1) g(y), \quad g'(y) = f(y - x_2) g(y)$$

By our previous argument with f is by assumption, log-concave,
 so by (b), the convolution $(*)$ is log-concave.

(d) WTS: f log-concave & symmetric $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

If $L: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is quasiconvex and symmetric,
 then for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,

$$\inf_v \mathbb{E}[L(AX-v)] = \mathbb{E}[L(AX)]$$

We know for $v=0$, equality achieved. So WTS

$$\mathbb{E}[L(AX-v)] \geq \mathbb{E}[L(AX)] \quad \forall AX-v \in \text{dom } L.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nob: } \mathbb{E}[L(AX-v)] &= \int_0^\infty y_v f_Y(y_v) dy_v, \quad y_v = L(AX-v) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^y ds \right) f_Y(y_v) dy_v \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^y f_Y(y_v) ds dy_v \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty f_{Y_v}(y_v) dy_v ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_s^\infty f_{Y_v}(y_v) dy_v \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y_v > s] ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty 1 - \mathbb{P}[Y_v \leq s] ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty 1 - \mathbb{P}[Ax - v \in G_s] ds
\end{aligned}$$

So if we show $\mathbb{P}[Ax - v \in G_s] \stackrel{\downarrow v}{\leq} \mathbb{P}[Ax \in G_s]$, we are done.

Define $h(v) = \mathbb{P}[Ax - v \in G_s]$, $g(x) := \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in G_s\}}$

Let us show h is log-concave.

$h(v) = \int f(x) g(Ax - v) dx$, f is log-concave by

and $g: x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in G_s\}}$ is log-concave by (b).

Let us define $\phi(x, v) := f(x) g(Ax - v)$

Take $(x_1, v_1) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $(x_2, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $\lambda \in (0, 1]$

Note that $(x, v) \mapsto g(Ax - v)$ is log-concave by pre-composition with affine function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log(\phi(\lambda(x_1, v_1) + (1-\lambda)(x_2, v_2))) \\
 &= \log(f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2)) + \log g(A(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) - \lambda v_1 - (1-\lambda)v_2) \\
 &\geq \lambda \log(\lambda x_1) + (1-\lambda) \log(x_2) + \lambda \log(g(Ax_1 - v_1)) + (1-\lambda) \log(g(Ax_2 - v_2)) \\
 &= \lambda (\log(f(x_1)) + \log(g(Ax_1 - v_1))) + (1-\lambda) (\log(f(x_2)) + \log(g(Ax_2 - v_2))) \\
 &= \lambda \log \phi(x_1, v_1) + (1-\lambda) \log \phi(x_2, v_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence ϕ is log-concave.

By Prékopa-Leinder inequality, so is h .

$$\text{hence } h(0) \geq h(-v)^{1/2} h(v)^{1/2} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\mathbb{P}[Ax \in C_S] \geq \mathbb{P}[Ax - v \in C_S]^{1/2} \mathbb{P}[Ax + v \in C_S]^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{But } f, g \text{ symmetric so } & \mathbb{P}[Ax - v \in C_S] \\
 &= \mathbb{P}[-Ax + v \in C_S] \quad \text{L symmetric} \\
 &= \mathbb{P}[Ax + v \in C_S] \quad \text{f symmetric}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\& P [Ax \in Q_S] \leq P [Ax - v \in Q_S] \quad \forall v \in \text{dom}$$

$$\Rightarrow E [L(Ax - v)] \geq E [L(Ax)]$$

This is what we wanted to show.