

Journal of Statistical Software

MMMMMM YYYY, Volume VV, Issue II.

doi: 10.18637/jss.v000.i00

singleRcapture: A Package for Single-Source Capture-Recapture Models

Piotr Chlebicki Stockholm University Maciej Beręsewicz

Poznań University of Economics and Business Statistical Office in Poznań

Abstract

Estimating population size is an important issue in official statistics, social sciences and natural sciences. One way to approach this problem is to use capture-recapture methods, which can be classified according to the number of sources used, the main distinction being between methods based on one source and those based on two or more sources. In this presentation we will introduce the **singleRcapture** R package for fitting SSCR models. The package implements state-of-the-art models as well as some new models proposed by the authors (e.g. extensions of zero-truncated one-inflated and one-inflated zero-truncated models). The software is intended for users interested in estimating the size of populations, particularly those that are difficult to reach or for which information is available from only one source and dual/multiple system estimation cannot be used.

Keywords: population size estimation, hidden populations, truncated distributuons, count regression models, R.

1. Introduction

Population size estimation is a~methodological approach employed across multiple scientific disciplines, serving as a~basis for research, policy formulation, and decision-making processes. In the field of statistics, particularly official statistics, precise population estimates are essential for developing robust economic models, optimizing resource allocation, and informing evidence-based policy formulation. Social scientists utilize advanced population estimation techniques to investigate hard-to-reach populations, such as homeless individuals or illicit drug users, thereby addressing the inherent limitations of conventional census methodologies. These techniques are crucial for obtaining accurate data on populations that are typically under-represented or difficult to access through traditional sampling methods. In ecology and

epidemiology, researchers focus on estimating the size of specific species or disease-affected populations within defined geographical regions, which is vital for conservation efforts, ecosystem management, and public health interventions.

Population size estimation can be approached through various methodologies, each with distinct advantages and limitations. Traditional approaches include full enumeration (e.g. census operations) and comprehensive sample surveys, which, while providing detailed data, are often resource-intensive and may result in delayed estimates, particularly for human populations. Alternative methods leverage existing data sources, such as administrative registers or carefully designed small-scale studies in wildlife research. Application of these sources often comes with statistical methods, known as *capture-recapture* or *multiple system estimation*, that utilizes data from multiple enumerations of the same population. This can be implemented using a~single source with repeated observations, two, or multiple sources.

In this paper we focus methods that utilize a~single data source with multiple enumerations of the same units. In human population studies, such data might be derived from police records, health system databases, or border control logs, while for non-human populations, veterinary records or specialized field data serve as analogous sources. These methods are often applied for hard-to-reach or hidden population where standard sampling methods may be inappropriate because of the costs or problems with identification of members of these populations.

While methods for two or more sources is implemented in various open-source software the single-source capture-recapture (SSCR) methods are less available or partially implemented in existing packages. The goal of the paper is to bridge this gap by the **singleRcapture** and **singleRcaptureExtra** packages which implements state-of-the-art methods in SSCR and provides user friendly API which mimics existing R software. In the next subsections we briefly describe the main approach used in SSCR and the available software that tan be used for estimating population size based on SSCR methods.

1.1. How do we estimate population size with a single register?

Let Y_k represent the number of times k-th unit was observed in a~register. Clearly, we only observe $Y_k >$ and we do not know how many units are missed (i.e. $Y_k = 0$) and to find the population size denoted by N we need to estimate it. In general, we assume that conditional distribution of Y_k given a~vector of covariates \boldsymbol{x}_k follows some version of zero-truncated count data distribution (and its extensions). Knowing the parameters of the distribution we may estimate the population size using Horowitz-Thompson type estimator given by

$$\hat{N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{I_k}{\mathbb{P}[Y_k > 0 | \mathbf{X}_k]} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{obs}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[Y_k > 0 | \mathbf{X}_k]},$$
(1)

where $I_k := \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}}(Y_k)$, and maximum likelihood estimate of N is obtained after substituting regression estimates for $\mathbb{P}[Y_k > 0 | \boldsymbol{x}_k]$ into (1).

The analytic variance estimation is then done by computing two parts of the decomposition due to the law of total variance given by

$$\operatorname{var}[\hat{N}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{N}|I_1,\dots,I_n\right]\right] + \operatorname{var}\left[\mathbb{E}[\hat{N}|I_1,\dots,I_n]\right],\tag{2}$$

where the first part can be estimated using the multivariate δ method given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{N}|I_{1},\ldots,I_{n}\right]\right] = \left(\frac{\partial(N|I_{1},\ldots,I_{N})}{\partial\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)^{T}\operatorname{cov}\left[\boldsymbol{\beta}\right]\left(\frac{\partial(N|I_{1},\ldots,I_{N})}{\partial\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\Big|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}},\tag{3}$$

while the second part of the decomposition in (2) is under the assumption of independence of I_k 's and after some omitted simplifications one sees that this is optimally estimated by

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\mathbb{E}(\hat{N}|I_1,\dots,I_n)\right) = \operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{I_k}{\mathbb{P}(Y_k > 0)}\right) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{N_{obs}} \frac{1 - \mathbb{P}(Y_k > 0)}{\mathbb{P}(Y_k > 0)^2},\tag{4}$$

which forms the basis for the interval estimation. Confidence intervals are usually constructed under the assumption of (asymptotic) normality of \hat{N} or asymptotic normality of $\ln(\hat{N}-N)$ (or log normality of \hat{N}). The latter of which is an attempt to address a common criticism of student type confidence intervals in SSCR, that is a possibly skewed distribution of \hat{N} , and results in the $1-\alpha$ confidence interval given by

$$\left(N_{obs} + \frac{\hat{N} - N_{obs}}{G}, N_{obs} + \left(\hat{N} - N_{obs}\right)G\right),\,$$

where:

$$G = \exp\left(z\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\sqrt{\ln\left(1 + \frac{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{N})}{\left(\hat{N} - N_{obs}\right)^2}\right)}\right).$$

where z is standardized normal distribution. The estimator \hat{N} is best interpreted as being an estimator for the total number of <u>observable</u> units in the population since we have no means of estimating the number of units in the population for which the probability of being included in the data is 0 (cf. van der Heijden, Bustami, Cruyff, Engbersen, and van Houwelingen 2003).

1.2. Software for capture-recapture

While population size estimation based on two or more source have many implementations in R (cf.) and other languages (cf.) methods for SSCR are only partially covered. For instance, **countreg** or **VGAM** covers selected number of truncated distributions and (pkg for distributions, e.g. distributions3). More advanced methods are not widely available and need to be implemented from scratch. Moreover, estimation of variance or diagnistics are not widely available in open-source software which limits possibilities of easy usage of these methods in comparison to multiple source capture-recapture methods.

Therefore, the goal of the **singleRcapture** is R language is to bridge this gap to provide scientists tool for estimation of population size based on SSCR methods. The package implements state-of-the-art methods such as and its extensions (full list of available methods is presented in section ...). The package implements variance estimation based on various methods as well as diagnostics plots (e.g. rootograms) with parameters estimated using a modified IRLS algorithm implemented by us to for estimation stability. Furthermore, as many R users are familiar with **countreg** or **VGAM** we have implemented

a~lightweight extension **singleRcaptureExtra** available through Github (https://github.com/ncn-foreigners/singleRcaptureExtra).

The remaning part of the paper is as follows

2. Basic usage

2.1. The estimatePopsize function

The main function that **singleRcapture** is built around is **estimatePopsize**. The leading design principle was to make using **estimatePopsize** as close to standard **stats::glm** as possible. The most important arguments are:

- formula the main formula (i.e for the Poisson λ parameter),
- data the data.frame (or data.frame coercible) object,
- model either a function a string or a family class object specifying which model should be used possible values are listed in documentation. The supplied argument should have the form model = "ztpoisson", model = ztpoisson or model = ztpoisson(lambdaLink = "log") the third way is the only one where the user may (but doesn't have to) select a link function.
- method numerical method used to fit regression IRLS or optim,
- popVar a method for estimating variance of \hat{N} and confidence interval creation (either bootstrap, analytic or skipping the estimation entirely),
- controlMethod, controlModel, controlPopVar control parameters for numerical fitting, specifying additional formulas (inflation, dispersion) and population size estimation respectively. We will tackle these arguments separately,
- offset a matrix of offset values with number of columns matching the number of distribution parameters providing offset values to each of linear predictors.

With the formula, data, model being the three arguments which must be provided in estimatePopsize syntax.

Example with R code

The package should be installed from CRAN https://cran.r-project.org/package=singleRcapture with the usual code:

R> install.packages("singleRcapture")

To showcase the main function let us recreate the zero truncated Poisson model from van der Heijden et al. (2003) on the same data included in the package under the name netherlandsimmigrant:

```
R> library(singleRcapture)
R> head(netherlandsimmigrant)
```

```
capture gender
                                            nation
                    age
                              reason
1
        1
            male <40yrs Other reason North Africa
            male <40yrs Other reason North Africa
2
3
            male <40yrs Other reason North Africa
            male <40yrs Other reason
4
5
            male <40yrs Other reason
        1
                                              Asia
        2
6
            male <40yrs Other reason North Africa
```

This data set contains information about immigrants in four cities (Amsterdam, Rotterdam, The Hague and Utrecht) in Netherlands that have been staying in the country illegally in 1995 and have appeared in police records that year. The number of times each individual appeared in the records is included in the capture variable with the available covariates being gender, age, reason, nation being respectively the persons gender and age, reason for being captured and region of the world from which each person comes:

R> summary(netherlandsimmigrant)

```
capture
                   gender
                                  age
                                                     reason
       :1.000
                female: 398
                              <40yrs:1769
Min.
                                            Illegal stay: 259
1st Qu.:1.000
                male :1482
                              >40yrs: 111
                                            Other reason:1621
Median :1.000
Mean :1.162
3rd Qu.:1.000
Max. :6.000
                   nation
American and Australia: 173
North Africa
                      :1023
Rest of Africa
                      : 243
Surinam
                      : 64
Turkey
                         93
```

The basic syntax is indeed vary similar to that of glm with the output of the summary method being also quite simmilar except for the additional results of the population size estimates:

```
R> basicModel <- estimatePopsize(
+ formula = capture ~ gender + age + nation,
+ model = ztpoisson(),
+ data = netherlandsimmigrant
+ )

Warning in singleRcaptureinternalIRLSmultipar(dependent = y, covariates = X, :
Convergence at halfstepsize

R> summary(basicModel)
```

```
Call:
estimatePopsize.default(formula = capture ~ gender + age + nation,
     data = netherlandsimmigrant, model = ztpoisson())
Pearson Residuals:
      Min.
               1st Qu.
                             Median
                                            Mean
                                                     3rd Qu.
-0.486442 -0.486442 -0.298080 0.002093 -0.209444 13.910844
Coefficients:
______
For linear predictors associated with: lambda
                          Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
(Intercept)
                            -1.3411 0.2149 -6.241 4.35e-10 ***
gendermale
                            0.3972
                                         0.1630 2.436 0.014832 *
                                      0.4082 -2.387 0.016972 *
age>40yrs
                            -0.9746
nationAsia
                            -1.0926
                                         0.3016 -3.622 0.000292 ***

      nationNorth Africa
      0.1900
      0.1940
      0.979
      0.327398

      nationRest of Africa
      -0.9106
      0.3008
      -3.027
      0.002468
      **

      nationSurinam
      -2.3364
      1.0136
      -2.305
      0.021159
      *

      nationTurkey
      -1.6754
      0.6028
      -2.779
      0.005445
      **

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
AIC: 1712.901
BIC: 1757.213
Residual deviance: 1128.553
Log-likelihood: -848.4504 on 1872 Degrees of freedom
Number of iterations: 8
-----
Population size estimation results:
Point estimate 12690.35
Observed proportion: 14.8% (N obs = 1880)
Std. Error 2808.165
95% CI for the population size:
             lowerBound upperBound
               7186.449
                             18194.25
normal
logNormal
               8431.277
                             19718.31
```

95% CI for the share of observed population: lowerBound upperBound

26.16035

22.29793

10.332933

9.534288

normal

logNormal

One point which we should make while analysing this data set is that there is a disproportionate number of individuals who were observed only once (see table bellow):

R> table(netherlandsimmigrant\$capture)

```
1 2 3 4 5 6
1645 183 37 13 1 1
```

Since there is a reasonable suspicion that the act of observing a unit in the dataset may led to undesirable consequences from the point of view of the subject of the observation (here possible deportation, detainment or similar). For those reason one should

```
R> set.seed(123456)
R> modelInflated <- estimatePopsize(</pre>
      formula = capture ~ nation,
      model = oiztgeom(omegaLink = "cloglog"),
+
             = netherlandsimmigrant,
      controlModel = controlModel(
          omegaFormula = ~ gender + age
      popVar = "bootstrap",
      controlPopVar = controlPopVar(bootType = "semiparametric")
+ )
Warning in estimatePopsize.default(formula = capture ~ nation, model = oiztgeom(omegaLink
NOTE: Second derivative test failing does not
        necessarily mean that the maximum of score function that was found
        numericaly is invalid since R^k is not a bounded space.
Additionally in one inflated and hurdle models second derivative test often fails even on
Warning in estimatePopsize.default(formula = capture ~ nation, model =
oiztgeom(omegaLink = "cloglog"), : Switching from observed information matrix
to Fisher information matrix because hessian of log-likelihood is not negative
define.
R> summary(modelInflated)
Call:
estimatePopsize.default(formula = capture ~ nation, data = netherlandsimmigrant,
    model = oiztgeom(omegaLink = "cloglog"), popVar = "bootstrap",
    controlModel = controlModel(omegaFormula = ~gender + age),
    controlPopVar = controlPopVar(bootType = "semiparametric"))
Pearson Residuals:
    Min. 1st Qu.
                    Median
                               Mean 3rd Qu.
```

-0.41643 -0.41643 -0.30127 0.00314 -0.18323 13.88376

Variance: 7885790

```
Coefficients:
For linear predictors associated with: lambda
                           Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
                            -1.2552 0.2149 -5.840 5.22e-09 ***
(Intercept)

      nationAsia
      -0.8193
      0.2544
      -3.220
      0.00128
      **

      nationNorth Africa
      0.2057
      0.1838
      1.119
      0.26309

      nationRest of Africa
      -0.6692
      0.2548
      -2.627
      0.00862
      **

      nationSurinam
      -1.5205
      0.6271
      -2.425
      0.01532
      *

      nationTurkey
      -1.1888
      0.4343
      -2.737
      0.00619
      **

-----
For linear predictors associated with: omega
               Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.4577 0.3884 -3.753 0.000175 ***
gendermale -0.8738 0.3602 -2.426 0.015267 * age>40yrs 1.1745 0.5423 2.166 0.030326 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
AIC: 1677.125
BIC: 1726.976
Residual deviance: 941.5416
Log-likelihood: -829.5625 on 3751 Degrees of freedom
Number of iterations: 10
_____
Population size estimation results:
Point estimate 6699.953
Observed proportion: 28.1% (N obs = 1880)
Boostrap sample skewness: 1.621389
O skewness is expected for normally distributed variable
Bootstrap Std. Error 1719.353
95% CI for the population size:
lowerBound upperBound
   5001.409 11415.969
95% CI for the share of observed population:
lowerBound upperBound
   16.46816 37.58941
Methods
R> (popEst <- popSizeEst(basicModel))</pre>
Point estimate: 12690.35
```

95% confidence intervals:

lowerBound upperBound

normal 7186.449 18194.25 logNormal 8431.277 19718.31

the popEst object is of the popSizeEstResults class and list type and contains the following fields:

- pointEstimate, variance numerics containing point estimate and variance of this estimate.
- confidenceInterval a data.frame with confidence intervals.
- boot If bootstrap was performed a numeric vector containing the \hat{N} values from the bootstrap, a character vector with value "No bootstrap performed" otherwise.
- control a controlPopVar object with controls used to obtained the object.

R> dfb <- dfbeta(basicModel)
R> apply(dfb, 2, quantile)

```
(Intercept)
                                     age>40yrs
                                                 nationAsia nationNorth Africa
                     gendermale
0%
     -0.0099087522 -0.0905349870 -0.0200100686 -9.555875e-02
                                                                 -9.660498e-02
    -0.0015325874 -0.0007770048 0.0001792918 -5.288544e-04
                                                                 -8.417624e-04
50%
     0.0001906118 -0.0002829978
                                 0.0003789034 6.642632e-05
                                                                 -1.768274e-04
     0.0005208531 0.0010171840
                                 0.0006909682
                                                                   8.674555e-05
75%
                                               1.199821e-04
100% 0.0866193889 0.0221346454
                                 0.1600608767
                                               1.799137e-01
                                                                   3.125955e-02
    nationRest of Africa nationSurinam nationTurkey
0%
           -9.449682e-02 -9.313832e-02 -9.619821e-02
           -2.436010e-04 -6.484354e-05 -2.199798e-04
25%
50%
             2.984337e-05 2.101820e-05 7.918083e-05
             8.278833e-05 3.676223e-05 1.427685e-04
75%
100%
             1.097872e-01 9.933828e-01 3.209798e-01
```

R> dfp <- dfpopsize(basicModel, dfbeta = dfb)
R> summary(dfp)

```
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. -4236.407 2.660 2.660 5.445 17.281 117.445
```

2.2. Marginal frequencies

A popular method of testing the model fit in single source capture-recapture studies is comparing the fitted marginal frequencies $\sum_{j=1}^{N_{obs}} \hat{\mathbb{P}}\left[Y_j = k|\boldsymbol{x}_j, Y_j > 0\right]$ with the observed marginal

frequencies $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{I}_{\{k\}}(Y_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{obs}} \mathcal{I}_{\{k\}}(Y_k)$ for $k \geq 1$. If a fitted model bears sufficient resem-

blance to the real data collection process these quantities should be quite close and both G and χ^2 tests may be employed in order to test the statistical significance of the discrepancy with the following **singleRcapture** syntax:

```
R> margFreq <- marginalFreq(basicModel)
R> summary(margFreq, df = 1, drop15 = "group")
```

Test for Goodness of fit of a regression model:


```
Test statistics df P(>X^2) Chi-squared test 50.06 1 1.5e-12 G-test 34.31 1 4.7e-09
```


```
Cells with fitted frequencies of < 5 have been grouped Names of cells used in calculating test(s) statistic: 1 2 3
```

where the drop15 argument is used to indicate how to handle the cells with less than 5 fitted observations, note however that currently there is no continuity correction.

2.3. Plots

The singleRStaticCountData class has a plot method implementing several types of quick demonstrative plots such as the rootogram Kleiber and Zeileis (2016) for comparing the fitted and marginal frequencies which we can get with the syntax:






The comparison of deletion effect on population size estimate and inverse probability weights:

```
R> plot(basicModel, plotType = "dfpopContr", dfpop = dfp)
```

Observation deletion effect on point estimate of population size estimate vs observation contribution




and the plot that showcases stratisfied stimates:

R>
$$par(mar = c(2.5, 8.5, 4.1, 2.5), cex.main = .7, cex.lab = .6)$$

R> $plot(basicModel, plotType = "strata")$

Confidence intervals and point estimates for specified sub populations Observed population sizes are presented as navy coloured points



the information which was supplied to the plot function above comes from the $\verb|stratifyPopsize|$ method:

R> stratifyPopsize(basicModel)

	Observed	Estimated	ObservedPer	centage	StdError	normalLowerBound	
1	398	3811.0911	10	.443203	1153.9733	1549.34513	
2	1482	8879.2594	16	.690581	1812.0790	5327.64991	
3	1769	10506.8971	16	.836560	2017.2284	6553.20200	
4	111	2183.4535	5	.083690	1132.4502	-36.10819	
5	173	708.3688	24	.422308	132.8183	448.04969	
6	284	2742.3147	10	.356215	655.0929	1458.35623	
7	1023	3055.2033	33	.483860	201.2387	2660.78263	
8	243	2058.1533	11	.806701	493.2612	1091.37903	
9	64	2386.4513	2	.681806	2380.1835	-2278.62266	
10	93	1739.8592	5	.345260	1008.1794	-236.13602	
	normalUpperBound logNormalLowerBound logNormalUpperBound						
1	60	072.8372	2189	.0443		6902.133	
2	124	130.8689	6090	.7762	1;	3354.880	
3	144	160.5922	7359	.4155	1	5426.455	
4	44	103.0151	872	.0130	į	5754.876	
5	9	968.6878	504	.6086		1037.331	
6	40	026.2732	1755	.2548	4	4391.590	
7	34	149.6240	2697	.4900	;	3489.333	
8	30	024.9276	1318	.7466	;	3305.786	
9	70	051.5252	505	. 2457	1:	2287.983	
10	37	715.8544	638	.0497	į	5068.959	
	name confLevel						
1		geno	der==female	0.0)5		
2		ge	ender==male	0.0)5		
3		8	age==<40yrs	0.0)5		
4		ā	age==>40yrs	0.0)5		
5	nation==A	American and	d Australia	0.0)5		
6		na	ation==Asia	0.0)5		
7		nation==No	orth Africa	0.0)5		
8	r	nation==Rest	of Africa	0.0)5		
9		natio	n==Surinam	0.0)5		
10		nati	ion==Turkey	0.0	05		

The full list of plot types along with the list of optional arguments which may be passed from the call to the plot method down to base R and graphics functions is listed in the help file:

R> ?plot.singleRStaticCountData

$The \ {\tt stratifyPopsize} \ method$

As previously showcased the stratifyPopsize may be used to estimate the population sizes for different stratas using the same fitted regression model as previously computed using the call to the estimatePopsize function. The method for singleRStaticCountData class accepts three optional parameters stratas, alpha, cov which correspond to specification of sub populations, the significance levels and the covariance matrix that will be used to compute standard errors.

The full call is of the type:

R> library(sandwich)

```
Warning: package 'sandwich' was built under R version 4.3.3
R> stratifyPopsize(
    object = basicModel,
    stratas = ~ gender / (nation + age),
          = rep(c(.1, .2, .3, .4, .5),
                 length.out = 18),
           = vcovHC(basicModel, type = "HC4")
+ )
  Observed Estimated ObservedPercentage
                                          {\tt StdError\ normalLowerBound}
       398 3811.0911
                          10.443203 1282.09956
                                                    1702.22504
1
2
      1482 8879.2594
                              16.690581 1999.39209
                                                        6316.93533
3
        67 328.8780
                              20.372297
                                         84.81957
                                                         240.96814
       106 379.4908
                                        83.91530
4
                              27.932167
                                                         308.86588
                              7.990665 303.01257
        62 775.9054
5
                                                         571.52651
       222 1966.4093
                             11.289613 603.18021
                                                        974.26616
6
                             26.240014 116.46407
       169 644.0545
                                                         494.79982
7
       854 2411.1488
                             35.418801 172.33202
                                                        2232.53812
8
                              9.528310 218.28791
       65 682.1776
                                                         498.46186
9
      178 1375.9757
                            12.936275 369.03697
                                                        1127.06403
10
       20 931.4677
                              2.147149 985.54139
                                                        -689.60358
11
                              3.024089 1520.27163
12
        44 1454.9835
                                                        -493.32295
13
        15 448.6079
                              3.343677 300.30767
                                                        137.35901
14
       78 1291.2513
                              6.040652 733.06058
                                                         674.29194
15
       378 3169.8263
                             11.924944 937.42614
                                                        2537.54198
16
      1391 7337.0708
                             18.958520 1313.47160
                                                        5176.60226
17
        20 641.2648
                              3.118836 453.82492
                                                          59.66481
                               5.900705 887.97851
18
        91 1542.1886
                                                         621.85804
  {\tt normalUpperBound\ logNormalLowerBound\ logNormalUpperBound}
         5919.9573
                             2275.6416
                                               6602.1612
1
2
        11441.5835
                             6745.5675
                                                11877.8858
          416.7878
3
                              255.7692
                                                 430.3013
                                                 458.0301
4
          450.1157
                              318.4739
5
          980.2842
                              604.5269
                                                 1001.4205
6
         2958.5525
                             1225.6476
                                                 3253.9046
7
          793.3092
                             517.5628
                                                 816.4495
         2589.7594
                             2242.8856
8
                                                 2599.7970
                             527.2996
9
          865.8933
                                                 888.9423
10
         1624.8873
                            1155.7975
                                                 1645.7331
11
         2552.5391
                             234.3583
                                                 3895.6296
         3403.2900
12
                             502.1052
                                                 4389.8894
13
          759.8568
                             241.6446
                                                 844.5624
14
         1908.2106
                             836.6593
                                                 2018.2367
15
         3802.1106
                             2617.4717
                                                 3858.4164
16
         9497.5393
                             5543.4798
                                                 9905.3720
17
         1222.8649
                              288.7316
                                                 1456.2658
         2462.5192
18
                              899.8794
                                                 2694.5381
                                       name confLevel
1
                             gender==female
                                                 0.1
2
                               gender==male
                                                  0.2
  genderfemale:nationAmerican and Australia
                                                 0.3
4
    gendermale:nationAmerican and Australia
                                                 0.4
5
                    genderfemale:nationAsia
                                                  0.5
                      gendermale:nationAsia
6
                                                  0.1
            genderfemale:nationNorth Africa
                                                  0.2
8
              gendermale:nationNorth Africa
                                                  0.3
           genderfemale:nationRest of Africa
                                                  0.4
```

10	gendermale:nationRest of Africa	0.5
11	${\tt genderfemale:nationSurinam}$	0.1
12	gendermale:nationSurinam	0.2
13	<pre>genderfemale:nationTurkey</pre>	0.3
14	<pre>gendermale:nationTurkey</pre>	0.4
15	<pre>genderfemale:age<40yrs</pre>	0.5
16	<pre>gendermale:age<40yrs</pre>	0.1
17	<pre>genderfemale:age>40yrs</pre>	0.2
18	gendermale:age>40yrs	0.3

where we used the vcovHC method for singleRStaticCountData class from the sandwitch package, different significance levels for confidence intervals in each strata and a formula to specify that we wanted estimates for both males and females subdivided by nation and age. The stratas parameter may be specified either as:

- a formula with empty left hand side which we have seen here,
- a logical vector with number of entries equal to number of rows in the dataset in which case only one strata will be created,
- a (named) list where each element is a logical vector, names of the list will be used to specify names variable in returned object,
- a vector of names of explanatory variables which will result in every level of explanatory variable having its own sub population for each variable specified,
- or not supplied at all in which case stratas will correspond to levels of each factor in the data without any interactions (string vectors will be converted to factors for the convenience of the user).

For plotting only the logNormal type of confidence interval is used since the studentized confidence intervals often result in negative lower bounds.

3. Detailed information

3.1. Fitting method

As previously showcased the **singleRcapture** package supports modelling (linear) dependence on covariates of all parameters. To that end a modified IRLS algorithm is employed, full details are available in Yee (2015). In order to employ the algorithm a modified model matrix is created $X_{\rm vlm}$ at call to estimatePopsize. In the context of the models implemented in **singleRcapture** this matrix can be written as:

$$X_{vlm} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & X_p \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5)

where each X_i corresponds to a model matrix associated with user specified formula.

In the context of multi-parameter families we have a matrix of linear predictors η instead of

a vector, with the number of columns matching the number of parameters are then modified to be information matrices $\mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)}^T \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)}}\right]$ where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)}$ is the k'th row of η , while in the usual IRLS they are scalars $\mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial n_t^2}\right]$ which is often just $-\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial n^2}$.

- 1. Initialize with iter $\leftarrow 1, \eta \leftarrow$ start, $W \leftarrow I, \ell \leftarrow \ell(\beta)$.
- 2. Store values from the previous step: $\ell_- \leftarrow \ell, W_- \leftarrow W, \beta_- \leftarrow \beta$ (the last assignment is omitted during the first iteration), and assign values in current iteration $\eta \leftarrow X_{\text{vlm}}\beta$ +

$$oldsymbol{o}, oldsymbol{W}_{(k)} \leftarrow \mathbb{E}\left[-rac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial oldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)}^T \partial oldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)}}
ight], Z \leftarrow oldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)} + rac{\partial \ell}{\partial oldsymbol{\eta}_{(k)}} oldsymbol{W}_{(k)}^{-1} - oldsymbol{o}_{(k)}.$$

- 3. Assign current coefficient value: $\beta \leftarrow (\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{vlm}} \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{X}_{\text{vlm}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{\text{vlm}} \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{Z}$.
- 4. If $\ell(\beta) < \ell(\beta_-)$ try selecting the smallest value h such that for $\beta_h \leftarrow 2^{-h} (\beta + \beta_-)$ the inequality $\ell(\beta_h) > \ell(\beta_-)$ holds if this is successful $\beta \leftarrow \beta_h$ else stop the algorithm.
- 5. If convergence is achieved or iter is higher than maxiter end algorithm, else iter← 1+iter and return to step 2.

3.2. The estimatePopsizeFit function

```
R> X <- matrix(data = 0, nrow = 2 * NROW(farmsubmission), ncol = 7)
R> X[1:NROW(farmsubmission), 1:4] <- model.matrix(
+ ~ 1 + log_size + log_distance + C_TYPE,
+ farmsubmission
+ )
R > X[-(1:NROW(farmsubmission)), 5:7] <- X[1:NROW(farmsubmission), c(1, 3, 4)]
R> # this attribute tells the function which elements of the design matrix
R> # correspond to which linear predictor
R > attr(X, "hwm") < -c(4, 3)
R> start <- glm.fit(# get starting points
    y = farmsubmission$TOTAL_SUB,
    x = X[1:NROW(farmsubmission), 1:4],
    family = poisson()
+ )$coefficients
R> res <- estimatePopsizeFit(</pre>
                 = farmsubmission$TOTAL_SUB,
    У
    Χ
                 = X,
                 = "IRLS",
   method
   priorWeights = 1,
   family
                 = ztoigeom(),
                = controlMethod(silent = TRUE),
    control
    coefStart = c(start, 0, 0, 0),
```

```
= matrix(X %*% c(start, 0, 0, 0), ncol = 2),
    etaStart
    offset
                 = cbind(rep(0, NROW(farmsubmission)),
+
                         rep(0, NROW(farmsubmission)))
+ )# extract results
R> 11 <- ztoigeom() $makeMinusLogLike(y = farmsubmission $TOTAL SUB, X = X)
R> print(c(res$beta, -ll(res$beta), res$iter))
[1] -2.784523e+00 6.170270e-01 -6.455925e-02 5.346108e-01 -3.174491e+00
     1.280589e-01 -1.086452e+00 -1.727876e+04 1.500000e+01
R> # Compare with optim call
R> res2 <- estimatePopsizeFit(
   y = farmsubmission$TOTAL_SUB,
   X = X,
   method = "optim",
   priorWeights = 1,
  family = ztoigeom(),
   coefStart = c(start, 0, 0, 0),
   control = controlMethod(silent = TRUE),
    offset = cbind(rep(0, NROW(farmsubmission)), rep(0, NROW(farmsubmission)))
+ )# extract results
R> c(res2$beta, -11(res2$beta), res2$iter)
-2.640779e+00 6.258275e-01 -8.293688e-02 5.324707e-01 -1.243731e-01
                                                             gradient
                                               function
-1.629884e-01 -1.105502e+00 -1.728034e+04 1.002000e+03
                                                                    NA
```

3.3. Avaiable models

The full list of implemented models in **singleRcapture** along with the expressions for probability density functions and point estimates is found in the collective help file for all family functions:

R> ?ztpoisson

Here we limit ourselves to just listing the family functions:

• Zero-truncated and zero-one-truncated Poisson, geometric, NB type II regression where the untruncated distribution is parameterized as:

$$\mathbb{P}[Y = y | \lambda, \alpha] = \frac{\Gamma(y + \alpha^{-1})}{\Gamma(\alpha^{-1}) y!} \left(\frac{\alpha^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1} + \lambda}\right)^{\alpha^{-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \alpha^{-1}}\right)^{y}.$$

• Zero-truncated one-inflated (ztoi) modifications distributions where the new probability \mathbb{P}^* measure is defined in terms of count data measure \mathbb{P} with support on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as:

$$\mathbb{P}^*[Y = y] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}[Y = 0] & y = 0, \\ \omega (1 - \mathbb{P}[Y = 0]) + (1 - \omega)\mathbb{P}[Y = 1] & y = 1, \\ (1 - \omega)\mathbb{P}[Y = y] & y > 1, \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbb{P}^*[Y = y|Y > 0] = \omega \mathcal{I}_{\{1\}}(y) + (1 - \omega)\mathbb{P}[Y = y|Y > 0].$$

• One-inflated zero-truncated (oizt) modifications distributions where the new probability

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}^*[Y = y] &= \omega \mathcal{I}_{\{1\}}(y) + (1 - \omega) \mathbb{P}[Y = y], \\ \\ \mathbb{P}^*[Y = y | Y > 0] &= \omega \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\{1\}}(y)}{1 - (1 - \omega) \mathbb{P}[Y = 0]} + (1 - \omega) \frac{\mathbb{P}[Y = y]}{1 - (1 - \omega) \mathbb{P}[Y = 0]}. \end{split}$$

• Generalized Chao's and Zelterman's estimators via logistic regression on variable Z defined as Z=1 if Y=2 and Z=0 if Y=1 with $Z\sim b(p)$ where $\mathrm{logit}(p)=\ln(\lambda/2)$ for poisson parameter λ ,

$$\hat{N} = N_{obs} + \sum_{k=1}^{f_1 + f_2} \left(2 \exp\left(\boldsymbol{x}_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) + 2 \exp\left(2\boldsymbol{x}_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \right)^{-1}, \qquad \text{(Chao's estimator)}$$

$$\hat{N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{obs}} \left(1 - \exp\left(-2 \exp\left(\boldsymbol{x}_k \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\right) \right)^{-1}. \qquad \text{(Zelterman's estimator)}$$

 Alternative approaches to modelling one-inflation that mimic hurdle models where the first type zero truncated hurdle model (ztHurdle) is defined as:

$$\mathbb{P}^*[Y=y] = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbb{P}[Y=0]}{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]} & y=0, \\ \pi(1-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]) & y=1, \\ (1-\pi)\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y=y]}{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]} & y>1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^*[Y=y|Y>0] = \pi \mathcal{I}_{\{1\}}(y) + (1-\pi)\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}}(y) \frac{\mathbb{P}[Y=y]}{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=0]-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]}$$

• The Hurdle zero truncarted (Hurdlezt) is defined as:

$$\mathbb{P}^*[Y=y] = \begin{cases} \pi & y=1, \\ (1-\pi)\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y=y]}{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]} & y \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^*[Y=y|Y>0] = \begin{cases} \pi \frac{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]}{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=0]-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]} & y=1, \\ (1-\pi)\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y=y]}{1-\mathbb{P}[Y=0]-\mathbb{P}[Y=1]} & y > 1. \end{cases}$$

Key takeaways of different models

 \mathbb{P}^* measure is defined as:

- The dispersion parameter α in the negative binomial type models is often interpreted as measuring the severeness of unobserved heterogeneity in the underlying poisson process cf. Cruyff and van der Heijden (2008). When using any truncated negative binomial model the hope is that due to the class of models considered the consistency is not lost despite the lack of information.
- While not discussed in the literature yet (to the best of the knowledge of the authors) the interpretation of α being heterogeneous across the population (specified in controlModel) would be that the unobserved heterogeneity affects the accuracy of the prediction for the dependent variable Y more severely than others.
- The geometric model (negative binomial with $\alpha=1$) is singled out in the package and often considered in the literature due to inherent computational issues with negative binomial models which are exasperated by the fact that data in SSCR is usually of somewhat low quality. Sparseness of the data is in particular a common issue in SSCR and a big issue for all numerical methods for fitting the (zero truncated) negative binomial model.
- The extra mass ω in the inflated models is an important addition to the researcher's toolbox in SSCR since the inflation at y=1 is likely to occur in many types of applications. For example in estimating the number active people who committed criminal acts in a given time period being observed naturally induces a risk of no longer being able to be observed for all units with possibility of arrest. One constraint present in modelling via inflated models is that trying to include both the possibility of one inflation and one deflation leads to both numerical and theoritical problems since the parameter space (of (ω, λ) or $(\omega, \lambda, \alpha)$) is then a much more complicated set.
- Hurdle models are another approach to modelling the one-inflation, they can also model
 deflation as well as both inflation and deflation simultaneously so they are more flexible
 and situationally the Hurdle zero truncated models seem to be more numerically stable.
- Although interpretation of regression parameters tends to be somewhat overlooked in SSCR studies we should point out that interpretation of the ω inflation parameter is more convenient that the interpretation of the π probability parameter. Additionally the interpretation of the λ parameter in (one) inflated models conforms to the intuition that given that unit k comes from the non-inflated part of the population then it follows a poisson distribution (respectively geometric or negative binomial) with the λ parameter (or λ , α), in hurdle models one loses that interpretation.
- It is somewhat interesting is that the estimates from Hurdle zero truncated and one inflated zero truncated models are "usually" quite close to one another.

3.4. Structure of a family function

• makeMinusLogLike - A factory function for creating the:

$$\ell(oldsymbol{eta}), rac{\partial \ell}{\partial oldsymbol{eta}}, rac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial oldsymbol{eta}^T \partial oldsymbol{eta}}$$

functions from y vector and X_{vlm} the argument deriv with possible values in c(0, 1, 2) provides which derivative to return with the default 0 being just the minus log-likelihood.

- links List with link functions.
- mu.eta, variance Functions of linear predictors that return expected value and variance. There is a 'type' argument with 2 possible values "trunc" and "nontrunc" that specifies whether to return $\mathbb{E}[Y|Y>0]$, var[Y|Y>0] or $\mathbb{E}[Y]$, var[Y] respectively, also the deriv argument with values in c(0, 1, 2) is used for indicating the derivative with respect to the linear predictors with is used for providing standard error in predict method.
- family Character that specifies name of the model.
- valideta, validmu For now only returns true. In near future will be used to check whether applied linear predictors are valid (i.e. are transformed into some elements of parameter space the subjected to inverse link function).
- funcZ, Wfun Functions that create pseudo residuals and working weights used in IRLS algorithm.
- devResids Function that given the linear predictors prior weights vector and response vector returns deviance residuals.
- pointEst, popVar Functions that given prior weights linear predictors and in the later case also estimation of $cov(\hat{\beta})$ and X_{vlm} matrix return point estimate for population size and analytic estimation of its variance. There is a additional boolean parameter contr in the former function that if set to true returns contribution of each unit.
- etaNames Names of linear predictors.
- densityFunction A function that given linear predictors returns value of PMF at values x. Additional argument type specifies whether to return $\mathbb{P}[Y|Y>0]$ or $\mathbb{P}[Y]$.
- simulate A function that generates values of dependent vector given linear predictors.
- getStart Expression for generating starting points.

3.5. Bootstrap algorithms

There are three types of bootstrap algorithms which the user may specify in controlPopVar controls with bootType argument which has three possible values "parametric", "semiparametric", "nonparametric" with the nonparametric being bootstrap being the usual bootstrap algorithm which as argued in Norris and Pollock (1996) and Zwane and Van der Heijden (2003). The idea of semiparametric bootstrap is to modify the usual bootstrap to include the additional uncertainty due to the sample size being a random variable. This type of bootstrap can be in short described as:

1. Draw the sample size $N'_{obs} \sim \text{Be}\left(N', \frac{N'-N_{obs}}{N'}\right)$, where $N' = \lfloor \hat{N} \rfloor + b \left(\lfloor \hat{N} \rfloor - \hat{N}\right)$.

- 2. Draw N'_{obs} units from the data uniformly without replacement.
- 3. Obtain new population size estimate using bootstrap data.
- 4. Repeat 1-3 B times.

In other words we first draw the sample size and then the sample conditional on the sample size. Note that in using semi-parametric bootstrap one implicitly assumes that the population size estimate \hat{N} is accurate. The last implemented bootstrap type is the parametric algorithm which in short first draws the finite population of size $\approx \hat{N}$ from the superpopulation model and then samples from this population according to the selected model:

- 1. Draw the number of covariates equal to $\lfloor \hat{N} \rfloor + b \left(\lfloor \hat{N} \rfloor \hat{N} \right)$ proportional to the estimated contribution $(\mathbb{P}\left[Y_k > 0 | \boldsymbol{x}_k\right])^{-1}$ with replacement.
- 2. Using the fitted model and regression coefficients $\hat{\beta}$ draw for each covariate the Y value from the corresponding probability measure on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- 3. Truncate units with drawn Y value equal to 0.
- 4. Obtain population size estimate based on the truncated data.
- 5. Repeat 1-4 B times.

Note however that for this type of algorithm to result in consistent standard error estimates it is imperative that the estimated model for the entire superpopulation probability space is consistent which may be much less realistic than semiparametric bootstrap. The parametric bootstrap algorithm is the default in **singleRcapture**.

Additional arguments accepted by the **contorlPopVar** function which are relevant to bootstrap are:

- alpha, B significance level and number of bootstrap samples to be performed respectively with 0.05 and 500 being the default options.
- cores number of process cores to use in bootstrap (1 by default) parallel computing is done via **doParallel**, **foreach**, **parallel** packages.
- keepbootStat logical value indicating whether to keep a vector of statistics produced by bootstrap.
- traceBootstrapSize, bootstrapVisualTrace-logical values indicating whether sample and population size should be tracked (FALSE by default) these work only when cores = 1.
- fittingMethod, bootstrapFitcontrol fitting method (by default the same as
 used in the original call) and control parameters (controlMethod) for model fitting
 in bootstrap.

4. Integration with the VGAM, countreg packages

The singleRcaptureExtra extensions allows for converting objects created by vglm, vgam, countreg functions from packages VGAM, countreg to a singleRStaticCountData

via the respective estimatePopsize methods for their classes. The help files for all the methods and all the controll functions are accessed by:

```
R> ?estimatePopsize.vgam
R> ?controlEstPopVgam
```

R> summary(modelBase)

Pearson Residuals:

Min. 1st Qu.

Call:

Using the fitted zerotrunc, vglm, vgam class objects in population size estimation such as the one additive models with smooth terms for dataset from Böhning, Vidal-Diez, Lerdsuwansri, Viwatwongkasem, and Arnold (2013):

```
R> library(VGAM)
Warning: package 'VGAM' was built under R version 4.3.3
Loading required package: stats4
Loading required package: splines
R> library(singleRcaptureExtra)
R> modelVgam <- vgam(</pre>
    TOTAL\_SUB \sim (s(log\_size, df = 3) +
                    s(log_distance, df = 2)) / C_TYPE,
    data = farmsubmission,
    # Using different link since
    # VGAM uses parametrisation with 1/alpha
    family = posnegbinomial(
      lsize = negloglink
+ )
can be a complished with the following syntax simple syntax:
R> modelVgamPop <- estimatePopsize(modelVgam)</pre>
Compare with a simmilar linear model from base singleRcapture:
R> modelBase <- estimatePopsize(</pre>
   TOTAL_SUB ~ (log_size + log_distance) * C_TYPE,
   data = farmsubmission,
   model = ztnegbin()
+ )
```

estimatePopsize.default(formula = TOTAL_SUB ~ (log_size + log_distance) *

Mean 3rd Qu.

Max.

C_TYPE, data = farmsubmission, model = ztnegbin())

Median

```
-0.729357 -0.317558 -0.152482 0.000609 0.148985 6.604269
Coefficients:
For linear predictors associated with: lambda
                    Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
(Intercept)
                     -1.77609 0.45894 -3.870 0.000109 ***
                     0.49391 0.02521 19.594 < 2e-16 ***
log_size
                    log_distance
C_TYPEDairy
log_distance:C_TYPEDairy 0.08568 0.04874 1.758 0.078762 .
  _____
For linear predictors associated with: alpha
         Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
(Intercept) 0.57673 0.07267 7.936 2.09e-15 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
AIC: 34481.99
BIC: 34533.76
Residual deviance: 17611.16
Log-likelihood: -17233.99 on 24065 Degrees of freedom
Number of iterations: 9
Population size estimation results:
Point estimate 38877
Observed proportion: 31% (N obs = 12036)
Std. Error 1749.448
95% CI for the population size:
        lowerBound upperBound
normal
         35448.14 42305.85
logNormal 35661.32 42530.37
95% CI for the share of observed population:
        lowerBound upperBound
normal
          28.44996 33.95382
logNormal 28.29978 33.75085
R> summary(modelVgamPop)
Call:
estimatePopsize.vgam(formula = modelVgam)
Population size estimation results:
Point estimate 37760.01
Observed proportion: 31.9% (N obs = 12036)
Std. Error 1630.429
95% CI for the population size:
        lowerBound upperBound
         34564.42 40955.59
normal
```

```
34757.77
                      41158.93
logNormal
95% CI for the share of observed population:
         lowerBound upperBound
           29.38793
                      34.82193
normal
logNormal
           29.24274
                      34.62823
   ._____
-- Summary of foreign object --
_____
Call:
vgam(formula = TOTAL_SUB ~ (s(log_size, df = 3) + s(log_distance,
   df = 2))/C_TYPE, family = posnegbinomial(lsize = negloglink),
   data = farmsubmission)
Names of additive predictors: loglink(munb), negloglink(size)
Dispersion Parameter for posnegbinomial family:
Log-likelihood: -17214.62 on 24063.17 degrees of freedom
Number of Fisher scoring iterations: 11
DF for Terms and Approximate Chi-squares for Nonparametric Effects
                                                 Df Npar Df Npar Chisq
(Intercept):1
                                                  1
(Intercept):2
                                                  1
s(log_size, df = 3)
                                                        1.8
                                                                51.949
s(log_distance, df = 2)
                                                        1.0
                                                                 3.503
s(log_size, df = 3):s(log_distance, df = 2):C_TYPE 2
                                                   P(Chi)
(Intercept):1
(Intercept):2
                                                 0.000000
s(log_size, df = 3)
s(log_distance, df = 2)
                                                 0.063835
s(log_size, df = 3):s(log_distance, df = 2):C_TYPE
```

Acknowledgements

The authors' work has been financed by the National Science Centre in Poland, OPUS 20, grant no. 2020/39/B/HS4/00941. Codes to reproduce simulations from the paper are available at ...

A. Implementing custom singleRcapture family function

Suppose we want to implement a very specific zero truncated family function in the

singleRcapture which corresponds to the following "untruncated" distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}[Y = y | \lambda, \pi] = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\pi & \text{when: } y = 0\\ \frac{1}{2}\pi & \text{when: } y = 1\\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda & \text{when: } y = 2, \end{cases}$$
 (6)

with $\lambda, \pi \in (0,1)$ being dependent on covariates. The following would be one way of implementing it, with lambda, pi in the code meaning $\frac{1}{2}\lambda, \frac{1}{2}\pi$ in the equation above:

```
 \begin{tabular}{ll} R> myFamilyFunction <- function(lambdaLink = c("logit", "cloglog", "probit"), \end{tabular} 
                                piLink
                                           = c("logit", "cloglog", "probit"),
                                 ...) {
    if (missing(lambdaLink)) lambdaLink <- "logit"</pre>
                                piLink <- "logit"
    if (missing(piLink))
    links <- list()
    attr(links, "linkNames") <- c(lambdaLink, piLink)</pre>
    lambdaLink <- switch(lambdaLink,</pre>
      "logit" = singleRcapture:::singleRinternallogitLink,
      "cloglog" = singleRcapture:::singleRinternalcloglogLink,
      "probit" = singleRcapture:::singleRinternalprobitLink
    piLink <- switch(piLink,</pre>
      "logit" = singleRcapture:::singleRinternallogitLink,
      "cloglog" = singleRcapture:::singleRinternalcloglogLink,
      "probit" = singleRcapture:::singleRinternalprobitLink
    links[1:2] <- c(lambdaLink, piLink)</pre>
    mu.eta <- function(eta, type = "trunc", deriv = FALSE, ...) {</pre>
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2
      if (!deriv) {
        switch (type,
          "nontrunc" = pi + 2 * lambda,
          "trunc" = 1 + lambda / (pi + lambda)
      } else {
        # Only necessary if one wishes to use standard errors in predict method
        switch (type,
          "nontrunc" = {
           matrix(c(2, 1) * c(
              lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2,
                  piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
            ), ncol = 2)
          },
          "trunc" = {
           matrix(c(
              pi / (pi + lambda) ^ 2,
              -lambda / (pi + lambda) ^ 2
              lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2,
```

```
piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
            ), ncol = 2)
          }
        )
      }
    variance <- function(eta, type = "nontrunc", ...) {</pre>
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      switch (type,
      "nontrunc" = pi * (1 - pi) + 4 * lambda * (1 - lambda - pi),
      "trunc" = lambda * (1 - lambda) / (pi + lambda)
+
    Wfun <- function(prior, y, eta, ...) {
          <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      G01 \leftarrow ((lambda + pi) \land (-2)) * piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) *
        lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) * prior / 4
      G00 <- ((lambda + pi) ^ (-2)) - (pi ^ (-2)) - lambda / ((lambda + pi) * (pi ^ 2))
      G00 \leftarrow G00 * prior * (piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) ^ 2) / 4
      G11 <- ((lambda + pi) ^ (-2)) - (((lambda + pi) * lambda) ^ -1)
      G11 \leftarrow G11 * prior * (lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) ^ 2) / 4
      matrix(
        -c(G11, # lambda
          GO1, # mixed
           GO1, # mixed
           G00 # pi
        ),
        dimnames = list(rownames(eta), c("lambda", "mixed", "mixed", "pi")),
        ncol = 4
+
    7
    funcZ \leftarrow function(eta, weight, y, prior, ...) {
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2
lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      weight <- weight / prior
      GO <- (2 - y) / pi - ((lambda + pi) ^ -1)
      G1 \leftarrow (y - 1) / lambda - ((lambda + pi) ^ -1)
      G1 <- G1 * lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
                  piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
      uMatrix \leftarrow matrix(c(G1, G0), ncol = 2)
      weight <- lapply(X = 1:nrow(weight), FUN = function (x) {</pre>
        matrix(as.numeric(weight[x, ]), ncol = 2)
```

```
})
     pseudoResid <- sapply(X = 1:length(weight), FUN = function (x) {</pre>
       #xx <- chol2inv(chol(weight[[x]])) # less computationally demanding</pre>
       xx <- solve(weight[[x]]) # more stable</pre>
       xx %*% uMatrix[x, ]
     pseudoResid <- t(pseudoResid)</pre>
     dimnames(pseudoResid) <- dimnames(eta)</pre>
     pseudoResid
   minusLogLike <- function(y, X, offset,</pre>
                              weight
                                       = 1.
                              NbvK
                                       = FALSE,
                              vectorDer = FALSE,
                              deriv
                                       = 0.
                              ...) {
     y <- as.numeric(y)</pre>
     if (is.null(weight)) {
       weight <- 1
     if (missing(offset)) {
       offset <- cbind(rep(0, NROW(X) / 2), rep(0, NROW(X) / 2))
     if (!(deriv %in% c(0, 1, 2))) stop("Only score function and derivatives up to 2 are supported.")
     deriv <- deriv + 1 # to make it conform to how switch in R works, i.e. indexing begins with 1
     switch (deriv,
       function(beta) {
          eta <- matrix(as.matrix(X) %*% beta, ncol = 2) + offset
                       piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2
          lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
          -sum(weight * ((2 - y) * log(pi) + (y - 1) * log(lambda) - log(pi + lambda)))
       },
+
       function(beta) {
          eta <- matrix(as.matrix(X) %*% beta, ncol = 2) + offset
         pi <-
                        piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2
         lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
          GO \leftarrow (2 - y) / pi - ((lambda + pi) ^ -1)
          G1 \leftarrow (y - 1) / lambda - ((lambda + pi) ^ -1)
          G1 <- G1 * weight * lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
          GO <- GO * weight * piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
         if (NbyK) {
            XX <- 1:(attr(X, "hwm")[1])</pre>
           return(cbind(as.data.frame(X[1:nrow(eta), XX]) * G1, as.data.frame(X[-(1:nrow(eta)), -XX])
         if (vectorDer) {
            return(cbind(G1, G0))
         as.numeric(c(G1, G0) \%*\% X)
        },
```

```
function (beta) {
      lambdaPredNumber <- attr(X, "hwm")[1]</pre>
      eta <- matrix(as.matrix(X) %*% beta, ncol = 2) + offset
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
     res <- matrix(nrow = length(beta), ncol = length(beta),</pre>
                     dimnames = list(names(beta), names(beta)))
      # pi^2 derivative
      dpi <- (2 - y) / pi - (lambda + pi) ^ -1
      G00 \leftarrow ((lambda + pi) ^ (-2)) - (2 - y) / (pi ^ 2)
      G00 \leftarrow t(as.data.frame(X[-(1:(nrow(X) / 2)), -(1:lambdaPredNumber)] *
      (G00 * ((piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2) ^ 2) +
      dpi * piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 2) / 2) * weight)) %*%
      as.matrix(X[-(1:(nrow(X) / 2)), -(1:lambdaPredNumber)])
      # mixed derivative
      G01 \leftarrow (lambda + pi) ^ (-2)
      G01 <- t(as.data.frame(X[1:(nrow(X) / 2), 1:lambdaPredNumber]) *</pre>
      G01 * (lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2) *
      (piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2) * weight) %*%
      as.matrix(X[-(1:(nrow(X) / 2)), -(1:lambdaPredNumber)])
      # lambda^2 derivative
      G11 \leftarrow ((lambda + pi) ^ (-2)) - (y - 1) / (lambda ^ 2)
      dlambda <- (y - 1) / lambda - ((lambda + pi) ^ -1)
      G11 <- t(as.data.frame(X[1:(nrow(X) / 2), 1:lambdaPredNumber] *</pre>
      (G11 * ((lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2) ^ 2) +
      dlambda * lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 2) / 2) * weight)) %*%
      X[1:(nrow(X) / 2), 1:lambdaPredNumber]
      res[-(1:lambdaPredNumber), -(1:lambdaPredNumber)] <- G00</pre>
      res[1:lambdaPredNumber, 1:lambdaPredNumber] <- G11</pre>
      res[1:lambdaPredNumber, -(1:lambdaPredNumber)] <- t(G01)</pre>
      res[-(1:lambdaPredNumber), 1:lambdaPredNumber] <- G01</pre>
      res
}
validmu <- function(mu) {</pre>
  (sum(!is.finite(mu)) == 0) \&\& all(0 < mu) \&\& all(2 > mu)
# this is optional
devResids <- function(y, eta, wt, ...) {</pre>
  0
7
pointEst <- function (pw, eta, contr = FALSE, ...) {</pre>
  pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
  lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
  N \leftarrow pw / (lambda + pi)
  if(!contr) {
```

```
N \leftarrow sum(N)
      }
      N
    }
    popVar <- function (pw, eta, cov, Xvlm, ...) {</pre>
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2
      lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      bigTheta1 <- -pw / (pi + lambda) ^ 2 # w.r to pi
      bigTheta1 <- bigTheta1 * piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2
      bigTheta2 <- -pw / (pi + lambda) ^ 2 # w.r to lambda
      bigTheta2 <- bigTheta2 * lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE, deriv = 1) / 2# w.r to lambda
      bigTheta <- t(c(bigTheta2, bigTheta1) %*% Xvlm)</pre>
      f1 <- t(bigTheta) %*% as.matrix(cov) %*% bigTheta
      f2 <- sum(pw * (1 - pi - lambda) / ((pi + lambda) ^ 2))
      f1 + f2
    dFun <- function (x, eta, type = c("trunc", "nontrunc")) {
      if (missing(type)) type <- "trunc"</pre>
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      switch (type,
        "trunc" = {
          (pi * as.numeric(x == 1) + lambda * as.numeric(x == 2)) / (pi + lambda)
        },
        "nontrunc" = {
         (1 - pi - lambda) * as.numeric(x == 0) +
          pi * as.numeric(x == 1) + lambda * as.numeric(x == 2)
+
+
      )
+
    }
    simulate <- function(n, eta, lower = 0, upper = Inf) {</pre>
      pi <- piLink(eta[, 2], inverse = TRUE) / 2
lambda <- lambdaLink(eta[, 1], inverse = TRUE) / 2</pre>
      CDF <- function(x) {</pre>
        ifelse(x == Inf, 1,
        ifelse(x < 0, 0,
        ifelse(x < 1, 1 - pi - lambda,
        ifelse(x < 2, 1 - lambda, 1))))
      }
      1b <- CDF(lower)</pre>
      ub <- CDF(upper)</pre>
      p_u <- stats::runif(n, lb, ub)</pre>
      sims \leftarrow rep(0, n)
      cond <- CDF(sims) <= p_u</pre>
      while (any(cond)) {
       sims[cond] <- sims[cond] + 1</pre>
        cond <- CDF(sims) <= p_u</pre>
```

```
sims
    }
   getStart <- expression(</pre>
     if (method == "IRLS") {
        etaStart <- cbind(
          family links[[1]] (mean (observed == 2) * (1 + 0 * (observed == 2))), # lambda
         family links[[2]] (mean (observed == 1) * (1 + 0 * (observed == 1))) # pi
        ) + offset
     } else if (method == "optim") {
       init <- c(
         family$links[[1]](weighted.mean(observed == 2, priorWeights) * 1 + .0001),
+
         family$links[[2]](weighted.mean(observed == 1, priorWeights) * 1 + .0001)
+
        )
+
       if (attr(terms, "intercept")) {
         coefStart <- c(init[1], rep(0, attr(Xvlm, "hwm")[1] - 1))</pre>
        } else {
         coefStart <- rep(init[1] / attr(Xvlm, "hwm")[1], attr(Xvlm, "hwm")[1])</pre>
       if ("(Intercept):pi" %in% colnames(Xvlm)) {
          coefStart <- c(coefStart, init[2], rep(0, attr(Xvlm, "hwm")[2] - 1))</pre>
          coefStart <- c(coefStart, rep(init[2] / attr(Xvlm, "hwm")[2], attr(Xvlm, "hwm")[2]))</pre>
+
     }
    )
+
   structure(
        makeMinusLogLike = minusLogLike,
        densityFunction = dFun,
       links
                 = links,
       mu.eta
                 = mu.eta,
       valideta = function (eta) {TRUE},
       variance = variance,
+
       Wfun
                 = Wfun,
       funcZ
                 = funcZ,
       devResids = devResids,
       validmu = validmu,
pointEst = pointEst,
        popVar
                 = popVar,
                 = "myFamilyFunction",
       family
        etaNames = c("lambda", "pi"),
        simulate = simulate,
        getStart = getStart,
        extraInfo = c(
                    = "pi / 2 + lambda",
        mean
         variance = paste0("(pi / 2) * (1 - pi / 2) + 2 * lambda * (1 - lambda / 2 - pi / 2)"),
         popSizeEst = "(1 - (pi + lambda) / 2) ^ -1",
                    = "1 + lambda / (pi + lambda)",
          varianceTr = pasteO("lambda * (1 - lambda / 2) / (pi + lambda)")
        )
      ),
      class = c("singleRfamily", "family")
+ }
```

A quick tests shows us that this implementation in fact works:

```
R> set.seed(123)
R> Y <- simulate(</pre>
     myFamilyFunction(lambdaLink = "logit", piLink = "logit"),
     nsim = 1000, eta = matrix(0, nrow = 1000, ncol = 2),
     truncated = FALSE
+ )
R> mm <- estimatePopsize(</pre>
     formula = Y \sim 1,
     data = data.frame(Y = Y[Y > 0]),
     model = myFamilyFunction(lambdaLink = "logit",
                              piLink = "logit"),
+
+
     # the usual observed information matrix
     # is ill-suited for this distribution
      controlPopVar = controlPopVar(covType = "Fisher")
+ )
R> summary(mm)
Call:
estimatePopsize.default(formula = Y ~ 1, data = data.frame(Y = Y[Y >
    0]), model = myFamilyFunction(lambdaLink = "logit", piLink = "logit"),
    controlPopVar = controlPopVar(covType = "Fisher"))
Pearson Residuals:
  Min. 1st Qu. Median
                          Mean 3rd Qu.
-0.8198 -0.8198 0.8099 0.0000 0.8099 0.8099
Coefficients:
For linear predictors associated with: lambda
           Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
                      0.20253 0.06 0.952
(Intercept) 0.01217
_____
For linear predictors associated with: pi
           Estimate Std. Error z value P(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.01217 0.08926 -0.136 0.892
AIC: 687.4249
BIC: 695.8259
Residual deviance: 0
Log-likelihood: -341.7124 on 984 Degrees of freedom
Number of iterations: 2
_____
Population size estimation results:
```

```
Point estimate 986
Observed proportion: 50% (N obs = 493)
Std. Error 70.30092
95% CI for the population size:
          lowerBound upperBound
            848.2127
normal
                       1123.787
logNormal
            866.3167
                       1144.053
95% CI for the share of observed population:
          lowerBound upperBound
            43.86951
                       58.12221
normal
            43.09241
                       56.90759
logNormal
```

Where the link functions such as singleRcapture:::singleRinternalcloglogLink are just internal functions in singleRcapture that compute link functions their inverses and derivatives of both links and inverse link up to third order:

```
R> singleRcapture:::singleRinternalcloglogLink
```

```
function (x, inverse = FALSE, deriv = 0)
    deriv <- deriv + 1
    if (isFALSE(inverse)) {
        res <- switch(deriv, log(-log(1 - x)), -1/((1 - x) *
            log(1 - x)), -(1 + log(1 - x))/((x - 1)^2 * log(1 - x))
            x)^2, (2 * log(1 - x)^2 + 3 * log(1 - x) + 2)/(log(1 - x)^2)
            x)^3 * (x - 1)^3)
    }
    else {
        res <- switch(deriv, 1 - exp(-exp(x)), exp(x - exp(x)),
            (1 - \exp(x)) * \exp(x - \exp(x)), (\exp(2 * x) - 3 *
                 exp(x) + 1) * exp(x - exp(x))
    }
    res
<br/><bytecode: 0x15bb3c5e0>
<environment: namespace:singleRcapture>
```

one might of course include code for computing them manually.

References

Böhning D, Vidal-Diez A, Lerdsuwansri R, Viwatwongkasem C, Arnold M (2013). "A Generalization of Chao's Estimator for Covariate Information." *Biometrics*, **69**(4), 1033–1042.

Cruyff MJLF, van der Heijden PGM (2008). "Point and Interval Estimation of the Population Size Using a Zero-Truncated Negative Binomial Regression Model." *Biometrical Journal*, **50**(6), 1035–1050.

Kleiber C, Zeileis A (2016). "Visualizing Count Data Regressions Using Rootograms." The American Statistician, 70(3), 296–303. doi:10.1080/00031305.2016.1173590.

Norris JL, Pollock KH (1996). "Including model uncertainty in estimating variances in multiple capture studies." *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, **3**(3), 235–244.

van der Heijden PG, Bustami R, Cruyff MJ, Engbersen G, van Houwelingen HC (2003). "Point and interval estimation of the population size using the truncated Poisson regression model." *Statistical Modelling*, **3**(4), 305–322.

Yee TW (2015). Vector Generalized Linear and Additive Models: With an Implementation in R. 1st edition. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated.

Zwane E, Van der Heijden P (2003). "Implementing the parametric bootstrap in capture–recapture models with continuous covariates." Statistics & probability letters, 65(2), 121–125.

Affiliation:

Piotr Chlebicki Stockholm University Matematiska institutionen Albano hus 1 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail: piotr.chlebicki@math.su.se

URL: https://github.com/Kertoo, https://www.su.se/profiles/pich3772

Maciej Beręsewicz Poznań University of Economics and Business Statistical Office in Poznań Poznań University of Economics and Business Department of Statistics Institute of Informatics and Quantitative Economics Al. Niepodległosci 10 61-875 Poznań, Poland

Statistical Office in Poznań ul. Wojska Polskiego 27/29 60-624 Poznań, Poland

E-mail: maciej.beresewicz@ue.poznan.pl

Journal of Statistical Software

published by the Foundation for Open Access Statistics

 MMMMMM YYYY, Volume VV, Issue II

doi:10.18637/jss.v000.i00

http://www.jstatsoft.org/
http://www.foastat.org/

Submitted: yyyy-mm-dd Accepted: yyyy-mm-dd