

# AP Statistics

## 2019-03-26 9.3 Assignment

**By: Noah Overcash**

Pg. 587-593 71,73,75,77,89,94-97,99-104

### Question 71

$$\bar{x} = 1.02$$

$$s = 1.1961$$

$$H[0]: \mu = 0$$

$$H[a]: \mu > 0$$

The sample is normal and random, as stated.

The sample is independent as we can assume that more than ten batches of the soda exist.

The one-sample t statistic test can help us reject a hypothesis.

$$t = (\bar{x} - \mu) / (s / \sqrt{n}) = 2.697$$

$$df = 10 - 1 = 9$$

Table B determines that the P-value is between 0.01 and 0.02. As our standard confidence interval  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $P < \alpha$ . This gives us sufficient evidence to reject  $H[0]$  and support  $H[a]$ , the claim made in the original question.

### Question 73

#### Part A

Outliers lay between  $(Q1 - IQR * 1.5)$  and  $(Q3 + IQR * 1.5)$  which evaluates to -55 to 1777.8.

The minimum and maximum are within this range so we can prove that there are no outliers within the sample.

#### Part B

This P value (0.000) shows that it the probability for this sample to occur while  $\mu$  equals 1200 is 0.000. If  $\mu$  truly does equal 1200 mg, the chance of obtaining a different sample of 36 women with a mean of 856.2 or lower is impossible.

#### Part C

$$H[0]: \mu = 1200$$

$$H[a]: \mu < 1200$$

$$p = 0.000$$

This is less than the standard confidence interval  $\alpha$  of 0.05.

Therefore, we have sufficient evidence to reject  $H[0]$  and support the researchers' claim.

#### Question 75

$$H[0]: \mu = 0$$

$$H[a]: \mu > 0$$

**Independent: Unsure??**

**Random: No, entire population sampled??**

Normal: Yes, stated

$$t = (0.34)/(0.83/\sqrt{10}) = 1.295$$

$$df = 9$$

Table B shows that  $0.10 < P < 0.15$

Since  $P > \text{the confidence level } \alpha = 0.05$ , we can not reject  $H[0]$ .

#### Question 77

##### Part A

Type I: It is concluded that  $H[0]$  is false when it is true.

Type II: It is concluded that  $H[0]$  is true when it is false.

We could have committed a Type II error.

##### Part B

The power could be increased using a higher sample size or significance level.

#### Question 89

##### Part A

If they were not randomly assigned then the subjects could have shown bias/"first try" issues, confounding with the easiness of the knobs.

##### Part B

The sample was mapped to a single set of data points by taking the time for the right thread and subtracting the time for the left thread.

$$\bar{x} = -13.36$$

$$s = 22.9236$$

$H[0]: \mu = 0$

$H[a]: \mu < 0$  (we want right threads to be easier, so right is smaller making the difference negative).

$t = -2.914$

$df = 24$

Table B shows that P is between 0.0025 and 0.005. This value is below the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  so we have sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis and support the claim that the right threads are easier to use.

#### Question 94

With such a large sample, the p-value will be very small. This means that a statistically significant difference may not correlate to a large real difference.

#### Question 95

A convenience sample was used

#### Question 96

Joe knows the entire population's data and thus has the population mean. Therefore, a significance test makes no sense as we already know the population mean.

#### Question 97

##### Part A

At the significance level 0.01, we expect 5 of the 500 subjects to do better from pure chance. Therefore, we can not confidently conclude that these people have ESP.

##### Part B

The procedure should be repeated again and determine whether they perform significantly better again (potentially above a more significant threshold as well)

Question 99: **B**

Question 100: **A**

Question 101: **D**

Question 102: **C**

Question 103: **A**

Question 104: **A**