

AP Statistics

2019-03-29 10.1 Assignment

By: Noah Overcash

Pg. 621-626 1,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,21,23,29-32

Question 1

Part A

Proportions as the potential responses are binomial (proportion of responses yes vs no) and not numerical (so means are impractical)

Part B

Observational

Question 5

Part A

Child: $p[1] = 0.30$, $n[1] = 50$

Adult: $p[2] = 0.15$, $n[2] = 100$

Checks

Normal

$$n[1]p[1] = 15$$

$$n[1](1-p[1]) = 35$$

$$n[2]p[2] = 15$$

$$n[2](1-p[2]) = 85$$

Independent

Yes, it can be reasonably assumed that there are more than 1000 adult jelly beans and 500 children's jelly beans.

Random:

Stated

$$\hat{p}[1] - \hat{p}[2] = 0.15$$

$$\text{stddev} = \sqrt{(0.3 \cdot 0.7)/50 + (0.15 \cdot 0.85)/100} = 0.074$$

For there to be a smaller proportion of red in the children's sample than the adult sample, $\hat{p}[1] - \hat{p}[2]$ would need to be ≤ 0 .

$$z = (0 - 0.15)/\text{stddev} = -2.03$$

$$P(\hat{p}[1] < \hat{p}[2]) = P(\hat{p}[1] - \hat{p}[2] < 0) = P(Z < -2.03) = 0.0212$$

Part B

Yes. There is only a 2.12% chance that this or a more extreme result would occur. This is less than 5% and thus gives us sufficient evidence to reject the company's claim.

Question 7

Looking only at Woburn, $n = 228$ and $p = 0.012$

$$np = 2.7$$

The distribution is not normal as np is ≤ 10 .

Additionally, randomness is not specified

Question 9

In the control group:

$$n = 12, p = 0.67$$

$np = 8$ which is ≤ 10 , therefore, the distribution is not normal.

Question 11

$$\bar{x}[1] = 73, n[1] = 158, \hat{p}[1] = 0.462$$

$$\bar{x}[2] = 26, n[2] = 143, \hat{p}[2] = 0.182$$

$$z[1-(0.1/2)] = 1.645$$

$$\text{stddev} = \sqrt{\hat{p}[1](1-\hat{p}[1])/n[1] + \hat{p}[2](1-\hat{p}[2])/n[2]} =$$

$$ME = 1.645 * \text{stddev} = 0.0841$$

$$CI = (\hat{p}[1] - \hat{p}[2]) \pm ME$$

$$CI = (0.1959, 0.3641)$$

We are 90% confident that the difference between the proportions of the IM usage of these age groups is between 0.1959 and 0.3641

Question 13

$$\bar{x}[1] = 986, n[1] = 2253, \hat{p}[1] = 0.438$$

$$\bar{x}[2] = 923, n[2] = 2629, \hat{p}[2] = 0.351$$

$$z[1-0.01/2] = 2.575$$

$$\text{stddev} = \sqrt{\hat{p}[1](1-\hat{p}[1])/n[1] + \hat{p}[2](1-\hat{p}[2])/n[2]} = 0.014$$

$$ME = \text{stddev} * z = 0.036$$

$$CI = (\hat{p}[1] - \hat{p}[2]) \pm ME = (0.051, 0.123)$$

We are 99% certain that the true difference between the proportions is between 0.051 and 0.123.

Part B

Yes as we are 99% certain that the true value is inside this interval (and this interval does not contain zero).

Question 15

$$n[1] = 800, \hat{p}[1] = 0.79$$

$$n[2] = 400, \hat{p}[2] = 0.67$$

$$H[0]: p[1] - p[2] = 0$$

$$H[a]: p[1] - p[2] \neq 0$$

$p[1]$ = the true population proportion of teens who own an iPod or MP3 player

$p[2]$ = the true population proportion of young adults who own an iPod or MP3 player

Question 17

Part A

Normalcy is met

Randomness is met (stated)

Independent is met

$$\hat{p}[p] = \text{sample proportion} = 0.79 \cdot (800/1200) + 0.67 \cdot (400/1200) = 0.75$$

$$z = 4.53$$

$$P = 0.0002$$

Yes, we can reject the null hypothesis as P is less than 0.05.

Part B

$$z[0.025] = 1.96$$

$$CI = (0.066, 0.174)$$

This is consistent as we are 95% confident that the true $\hat{p}[1] - \hat{p}[2]$ is between 0.066 and 0.174. This interval does not contain 0 and thus we are 95% confident that the true difference does not lie in this range.

Question 21

Part A

$$\bar{x}[1] = 3396, n[1] = 19541, \hat{p}[1] = 0.174$$

$$\bar{x}[2] = 4929, n[2] = 29294, \hat{p}[2] = 0.168$$

$$H[0]: p[1] - p[2] = 0$$

$$H[a]: p[1] - p[2] \neq 0$$

$$\hat{p}[p] = (3396 + 4929)/(19541 + 29294) = 0.17$$

$$z = (0.174 - 0.168)/(\sqrt{0.17*0.83}*\sqrt{1/19541^2 + 1/29294^2}) = 1.74$$

$$P(Z < -1.74 \cup Z > 1.74) = 0.0818$$

This is lower than the default significance level α (0.05), therefore, we cannot reject the null hypothesis.

Part B

A type I error would cause for us to reject the null hypothesis (and say that there was a poor distribution) when that is not the case while a type II error would cause us to accept the null hypothesis (and say there was a valid distribution) when that was not the case either.

A type two error is worse as it would cause the sampling to seem legitimate when it was not and cause false impressions about the breast cancer treatment.

Question 23

Part A

Two-proportion z test

Random \checkmark

Normal \checkmark (per np and n(1-p) for each sample)

Independent \checkmark

Part B

This shows that the probability of receiving this result or a more extreme one when the null hypothesis is true is only 0.0007.

Part C

They should reject the null hypothesis and support that the pregnancy rate is higher for women who received intercessors' prayers.

Question 29: **B**

Question 30: **D**

Question 31: **B**

Question 32: **E**