

TOPICS IN TWO-SAMPLE TESTING

A DISSERTATION
SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES
OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Nelson C. Ray

201?

© Copyright by Nelson C. Ray 201?
All Rights Reserved

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Susan P. Holmes) Principal Adviser

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Persi W. Diaconis)

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Bradley Efron)

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Jerome H. Friedman)

Approved for the University Committee on Graduate Studies.

Contents

1	Stein's method	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Hoeffding combinatorial CLT	2
1.3	Exchangeable Pairs	7
1.4	Preliminaries	7
1.5	Main Theorem	9
2	Main Proof	15
2.1	Motivation	15
2.2	Set-up	16
2.3	Assumptions	17
2.4	Preliminaries	18
2.5	Proof	22
	References	35

Chapter 1

Stein's method

In this chapter we present an introduction to Stein's method of exchangeable pairs which we use to prove the core theoretical result of this thesis: a rate of convergence bound for the randomization distribution.

1.1 Introduction

Stein's method provides a means of bounding the distance between two probability distributions in a given probability metric. When applied with the normal distribution as the target, this results in central limit type theorems. Several flavors of Stein's method (e.g. the method of exchangeable pairs) proceed via auxiliary randomization. We reproduce Stein's proof of the Hoeffding combinatorial central limit theorem (HCCLT) with explicit calculation of various constants. It will be instructive to follow the proof of the HCCLT because our proof proceeds in a similar fashion but with the following generalizations: an approximate contraction property, less cancellation of terms due to separate estimation of various denominators, and non-unit variance of an r.v. in the exchangeable pair.

1.2 Hoeffding combinatorial CLT

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ be an $n \times n$ matrix of real-valued entries that is row- and column-centered and scaled such that the sums of the squares of its elements equals $n - 1$:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1,j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 = n - 1 \quad (1.3)$$

Let Π be a random permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ drawn uniformly at random from the set of all permutations:

$$P(\Pi = \pi) = \frac{1}{n!}. \quad (1.4)$$

Define

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)} \quad (1.5)$$

to be the sum of a random diagonal. Then

$$|P(W \leq w) - \Phi(w)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^4} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^3} \right]. \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. In order to construct our exchangeable pair, we introduce the ordered pair of random variables (I, J) independent of Π that represents a uniformly at random draw from the set of all non-null transpositions:

$$P(I = i, J = j) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq j. \quad (1.7)$$

Define the random permutation Π' by

$$\Pi'(i) = \Pi \circ (I, J) = \begin{cases} \Pi(J) & i = I \\ \Pi(I) & i = J \\ \Pi(i) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

We construct our exchangeable pair by defining

$$W' = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi'(i)} = W - a_{I\Pi(I)} + a_{I\Pi(J)} - a_{J\Pi(J)} + a_{J\Pi(I)}. \quad (1.9)$$

We now verify the contraction property:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W - W' | \Pi] &= \mathbb{E}[a_{I\Pi(I)} - a_{I\Pi(J)} + a_{J\Pi(J)} - a_{J\Pi(I)} | \Pi] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)} - \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(j)} \\ &= \frac{2}{n} W - \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i\Pi(j)} - \sum_i^n a_{i\Pi(i)} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} W + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} W - \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i\Pi(j)} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} W \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) - 0 \\ &= \frac{2}{n-1} W \end{aligned}$$

This satisfies our contraction property with

$$\lambda = \frac{2}{n-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

To bound the variance component, compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[(W - W')^2 | \Pi] &= \mathbb{E}[(a_{I\Pi(I)} - a_{I\Pi(J)} + a_{J\Pi(J)} - a_{J\Pi(I)})^2 | \Pi] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[a_{I\Pi(I)}^2 + a_{J\Pi(J)}^2 + a_{I\Pi(J)}^2 + a_{J\Pi(I)}^2 \\
&\quad - 2a_{I\Pi(I)}a_{I\Pi(J)} - 2a_{J\Pi(J)}a_{J\Pi(I)} - 2a_{I\Pi(I)}a_{J\Pi(I)} - 2a_{J\Pi(J)}a_{I\Pi(J)} \\
&\quad + 2a_{I\Pi(I)}a_{J\Pi(J)} + 2a_{I\Pi(J)}a_{J\Pi(I)} | \Pi] \\
&= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(j)}^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}a_{i\Pi(j)} - \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}a_{j\Pi(i)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}a_{j\Pi(j)} + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(j)}a_{j\Pi(i)} \\
&= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i\Pi(j)}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_{i\Pi(i)} \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{i\Pi(j)} + a_{j\Pi(i)}) - 2a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)}a_{j\Pi(i)} \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 + \frac{2}{n} \\
&\quad + \frac{8}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{i\Pi(i)}a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)}a_{j\Pi(i)}) - \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{n} + \frac{2(n+2)}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 + \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n (a_{i\Pi(i)}a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)}a_{j\Pi(i)})
\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Theorem 1.2 (The c_r -inequality). *Let $r > 0$. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}|X|^r < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|Y|^r < \infty$.*

∞ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}|X + Y|^r < c_r(\mathbb{E}|X|^r + \mathbb{E}|Y|^r), \quad (1.12)$$

where $c_r = 1$ when $r \leq 1$ and $c_r = 2^{r-1}$ when $r \geq 1$.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose that $\text{Var}(X) < \infty$ and $\text{Var}(Y) < \infty$. Then

$$\text{Var}(X + Y) < 2(\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)). \quad (1.13)$$

Proof. This follows immediately by applying Theorem 1.2 to the centered random variables $X' = X - \mathbb{E}[X]$ and $Y' = Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]$. \square

From (1.11) and corollary 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(W - W')^2 | \Pi] &= \text{Var} \left(\frac{2(n+2)}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n (a_{i\Pi(i)} a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)} a_{j\Pi(i)}) \right) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{4(n+2)^2}{n^2(n-1)^2} \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{4}{n^2(n-1)^2} \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n (a_{i\Pi(i)} a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)} a_{j\Pi(i)}) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{32}{n^2} \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \right) + \frac{32}{n^4} \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n (a_{i\Pi(i)} a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)} a_{j\Pi(i)}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

for $n \geq 2$ since $n-1 \geq n/2 \implies \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \leq \frac{4}{n^2}$ for $n \geq 2$.

First, we address the first term in (1.14):

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(a_{i\Pi(i)}^2) + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \text{Cov}(a_{i\Pi(i)}^2, a_{j\Pi(j)}^2),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \text{Cov}(a_{i\Pi(i)}^2, a_{j\Pi(j)}^2) &= \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{ik}^2 a_{jl}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_k a_{ik}^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_l a_{jl}^2 \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_{ik}^2 a_{jl}^2 - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_k \sum_l a_{ik}^2 a_{jl}^2 - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_k a_{ik}^2 a_{jk}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_{ik}^2 a_{jl}^2 - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \sum_k a_{ik}^2 a_{jk}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2(n-1)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

It will be convenient to express our bound as a multiple of $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^4$, so we establish a lower bound on that quantity. Our scaling is such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^2 = n-1$, so if we write $\mathbf{a} := [a_{11}^2 \ a_{12}^2 \ \dots \ a_{nn}^2]^T$ out as a vector, $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{1} = n-1$. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)^2 &= (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{1})^2 \\
&\leq \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{1}\|_2^2 \\
&= n^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^4 \geq 1$, so

$$\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \text{Cov}(a_{i\Pi(i)}^2, a_{j\Pi(j)}^2) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^4. \quad (1.15)$$

For the second term in (1.14) we again apply corollary 1.3:

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n (a_{i\Pi(i)} a_{j\Pi(j)} + a_{i\Pi(j)} a_{j\Pi(i)}) \right) < 2 \text{Var}(X) + 2 \text{Var}(Y),$$

where $X = \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(i)} a_{j\Pi(j)}$ and $Y = \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i\Pi(j)} a_{j\Pi(i)}$. We note that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n a_{j\Pi(j)} = W^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i\Pi(i)}^2. \quad (1.16)$$

TODO: ... Maybe finish this up later? □

1.3 Exchangeable Pairs

TODO: Add a lot of development for exchangeable pairs. For now, focusing on generalizing the theorems in “Normal Approximation by Stein’s Method.”

Theorem 5.5 in “Normal Approximation by Stein’s Method” concerns variance 1 exchangeable random variables. Our setting has the variance tending to 1, so we first prove a slight generalization of the theorem. Large parts of the proof are copied verbatim from the book.

1.4 Preliminaries

Definition 1.4 (Approximate Stein Pair). *Let (W, W') be an exchangeable pair. If the pair satisfies the “approximate linear regression condition”*

$$\mathbb{E}[W - W'|W] = \lambda(W - R) \quad (1.17)$$

where R is a variable of small order and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then we call (W, W') an approximate Stein pair.

Lemma 1.5. *If (W, W') is an exchangeable pair, then $\mathbb{E}[g(W, W')] = 0$ for all anti-symmetric measurable functions such that the expected value exists.*

Here is a slight generalization of Lemma 2.7:

Lemma 1.6. *Let (W, W') be an approximate Stein pair and $\Delta = W - W'$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \mathbb{E}[R] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\Delta^2] = 2\lambda\mathbb{E}[W^2] - 2\lambda\mathbb{E}[WR] \quad \text{if } \mathbb{E}[W^2] < \infty. \quad (1.18)$$

Furthermore, when $\mathbb{E}[W^2] < \infty$, for every absolutely continuous function f satisfying $|f(w)| \leq C(1 + |w|)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Wf(W)] = \frac{1}{2\lambda} = \mathbb{E}[(W - W')(f(W) - f(W'))] + \mathbb{E}[f(W)R]. \quad (1.19)$$

Proof. From (1.17) we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[W - W'|W]] = \mathbb{E}[\lambda(W - R)] = \lambda\mathbb{E}[W] - \lambda\mathbb{E}[R].$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[W - W'|W]] = \mathbb{E}[W] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[W'|W]] = \mathbb{E}[W] - \mathbb{E}[W'] = 0$$

using exchangeability. Equating the two expressions yields

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \mathbb{E}[R]$$

As an intermediate computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W'W] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[W'W|W]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[W\mathbb{E}[W'|W]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[W((1 - \lambda)W + \lambda R)] \quad \text{from (1.17)} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)\mathbb{E}[W^2] + \lambda\mathbb{E}[WR]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Delta^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(W - W')^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[W^2] + \mathbb{E}[W'^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[W'W] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}[W^2] - 2((1 - \lambda)\mathbb{E}[W^2] + \lambda\mathbb{E}[WR]) \quad \text{from (1.20)} \\ &= 2\lambda\mathbb{E}[W^2] - 2\lambda\mathbb{E}[WR]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

By the linear growth assumption on f , $\mathbb{E}[g(W, W')]$ exists for the antisymmetric

function $g(x, y) = (x - y)(f(y) + f(x))$. By Lemma 1.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathbb{E}[(W - W')(f(W') + f(W))] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(W - W')(f(W') - f(W))] + 2\mathbb{E}[f(W)(W - W')] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(W - W')(f(W') - f(W))] + 2\mathbb{E}[f(W)\mathbb{E}[(W - W')|W]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(W - W')(f(W') - f(W))] + 2\mathbb{E}[f(W)(\lambda(W - R))].
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the expression yields

$$\mathbb{E}[Wf(W)] = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}[(W - W')(f(W) - f(W'))] + \mathbb{E}[f(W)R]. \quad (1.22)$$

□

This is just a small part of Lemma 2.4:

Lemma 1.7. *For a given function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let f_h be the solution to the Stein equation. If h is absolutely continuous, then*

$$\|f_h\| \leq 2\|h'\|. \quad (1.23)$$

1.5 Main Theorem

Generalization of Theorem 5.5:

Theorem 1.8. *If T, T' are mean 0 exchangeable random variables with variance $\mathbb{E}[T^2]$ satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E}[T' - T|T] = -\lambda(T - R)$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and some random variable R , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(T \leq t) - \Phi(t)| &\leq (2\pi)^{-1/4} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[|T' - T|^3]}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(T' - T)^2|T])} \\
&\quad + |1 - \mathbb{E}[T^2]| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[T^2]\mathbb{E}[R^2]} + \mathbb{E}[|R|]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$ let f be the solution to the Stein equation

$$f'(w) - wf(w) = h_{z,\alpha}(w) - \Phi(z) \quad (1.24)$$

for the smoothed indicator

$$h_{z,\alpha}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & w \leq z \\ 1 + \frac{z-w}{\alpha} & z < w \leq z + \alpha \\ 0 & w > z + \alpha. \end{cases} \quad (1.25)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| &= |\mathbb{E}[(f'(W) - Wf(W))]| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[f'(W) - \frac{(W' - W)(f(W') - f(W))}{2\lambda} + f(W)R \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[f'(W) \left(1 - \frac{(W' - W)^2}{2\lambda} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{f'(W)(W' - W)^2 - (f(W') - f(W))(W' - W)}{2\lambda} + f(W)R \right] \right| \\ &:= |\mathbb{E}[J_1 + J_2 + J_3]| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[J_1]| + |\mathbb{E}[J_2]| + |\mathbb{E}[J_3]|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

It is known from Chen and Shao (2004) that for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq f(w) \leq 1$ and $|f'(w)| \leq 1$. Then

$$|\mathbb{E}[J_3]| \leq \mathbb{E}[|J_3|] = \mathbb{E}[|f(W)R|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|R|] \quad (1.27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}[J_1]| &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[f'(W) \left(1 - \frac{(W' - W)^2}{2\lambda} \right) \right] \right| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| f'(W) \left(1 - \frac{(W' - W)^2}{2\lambda} \right) \right| \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| 1 - \frac{(W' - W)^2}{2\lambda} \right| \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}[|2\lambda - \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W]|] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}[|2\lambda(\mathbb{E}[W^2] - \mathbb{E}[WR]) - \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W] + 2\lambda(1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2] + \mathbb{E}[WR])|] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}[|2\lambda(\mathbb{E}[W^2] - \mathbb{E}[WR]) - \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W]| + \mathbb{E}[|(1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2] + \mathbb{E}[WR])|] \\
&\hspace{15em} (1.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W]] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta^2] = 2\lambda(\mathbb{E}[W^2] - \mathbb{E}[WR]), \quad (1.29)$$

so

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}[|2\lambda(\mathbb{E}[W^2] - \mathbb{E}[WR]) - \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W]|] \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])}. \quad (1.30)$$

Combining with (1.28),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}[J_1]| &\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])} + \mathbb{E}[|1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2] + \mathbb{E}[WR]|] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])} + \mathbb{E}[|1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2]|] + \mathbb{E}[|WR|] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])} + |1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2]| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[W^2]\mathbb{E}[R^2]}.
\end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

Lastly, we bound the second term,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} (f'(W) - f'(t))dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} \int_t^W f''(u)dudt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} (W' - u)f''(u)du.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

To show the final equality, consider separately the cases $W \leq W'$ and $W' \leq W$.
For the former,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} \int_W^t f''(u)dudt &= -\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} \int_u^{W'} f''(u)dtdu \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} (W' - u)f''(u)du.
\end{aligned}$$

For the latter,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_W^{W'} \int_t^W f''(u)dudt &= -\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_{W'}^W \int_t^W f''(u)dudt \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_{W'}^W \int_{W'}^u f''(u)dtdu \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\lambda}(W' - W) \int_{W'}^W (u - W')f''(u)du.
\end{aligned}$$

Since W and W' are exchangeable,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}[J_2]| &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2\lambda} (W' - W) \int_W^{W'} (W' - u) f''(u) du \right] \right| \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2\lambda} (W' - W) \int_W^{W'} \left(\frac{W + W'}{2} - u \right) f''(u) du \right] \right| \\
&\leq \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\|f''\| \frac{1}{2\lambda} |W' - W| \int_{\min(W, W')}^{\max(W, W')} \left| \frac{W + W'}{2} - u \right| du \right] \right| \\
&= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\|f''\| \frac{1}{2\lambda} \frac{|W' - W|^3}{4} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|W' - W|^3]}{4\alpha\lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

where the final inequality follows from the fact that $|h'_{z,\alpha}(x)| \leq 1/\alpha$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and Lemma 1.7.

Collecting the bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
P(W \leq z) &\leq \mathbb{E}[h_{z,\alpha}(W)] \\
&\leq Nh_{z,\alpha} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[|W' - W|^3]}{4\alpha\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])} \\
&\quad + |1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2]| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[W^2]\mathbb{E}[R^2]} + \mathbb{E}[|R|] \\
&\leq \Phi(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[|W' - W|^3]}{4\alpha\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])} \\
&\quad + |1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2]| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[W^2]\mathbb{E}[R^2]} + \mathbb{E}[|R|]
\end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

The minimizer of the expression is

$$\alpha = \frac{(2\pi)^{1/4}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[|W' - W|^3]}{\lambda}}. \tag{1.35}$$

Plugging this in, we get the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned}
P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) &\leq (2\pi)^{-1/4} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[|W' - W|^3]}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2|W])} \\
&\quad + |1 - \mathbb{E}[W^2]| + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[W^2]\mathbb{E}[R^2]} + \mathbb{E}[|R|]
\end{aligned} \tag{1.36}$$

Proving the corresponding lower bound in a similar manner completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Chapter 2

Main Proof

In this chapter, we prove the core theoretical result of this thesis, a rate of convergence bound for the randomization distribution, using the theorem of chapter 1.

2.1 Motivation

Motivated by concerns regarding normality assumptions in the hypothesis being tested, Fisher [5] proposed a nonparametric randomization test. Also known as a permutation test, Fisher applied this novel test to Charles Darwin's *Zea mays* data and noted that the achieved significance level was very similar to that observed in the parametric test. Indeed, Diaconis and Holmes [3] used efficient Gray code based calculations to show that the randomization distribution looked remarkably normal. For more history on the development of randomization procedures, see Zabell [12] or David [2]. Diaconis and Lehmann [4] in their comment on Zabell's paper further expanded on some properties of these randomization tests.

Ludbrook and Dudley [7] have written about the advantages of permutation tests, especially in biomedical research, and outlined two models of statistical inference: the so-called population model, formally introduced by Newman and Pearson [8], and Fisher's randomization model [5]. Add some more on these two models...

Under the randomization model and using the language of triangular arrays, Lehmann [6] proved a weak convergence result of the randomization distribution

of the t -statistic to the standard normal distribution, however, there is no known Berry-Esseen type bound for this rate of convergence.

Introduced by Stein [11] (cite earlier one?), the eponymous technique provides a powerful means with which to handle dependencies among collections of random variables, a common criticism of classical Fourier analytic methods. In addition, one can easily obtain bounds on rates of convergence. Bentkus and Götze [1] first obtained a Berry-Esseen bound for Student's statistic in the independent but non-identically distributed setting with additional work by Shao [10].

We use Stein's method of exchangeable pairs to prove a conservative bound of $O(N^{-1/4})$ on the rate of convergence of the randomization t distribution to the standard normal distribution.

2.2 Set-up

We observe two samples with equal sample size: $S_1 = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $S_2 = \{u_i\}_{i=N+1}^{2N}$. Since we consider the t -statistic under different permutations, it will be convenient to re-write the sample values relative to the null permutation π_0 : $S_1 = \{u_{\pi_0(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ and $S_2 = \{u_{\pi_0(i)}\}_{i=N+1}^{2N}$. Student's two-sample t -statistic is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Pi}(\{u_{\Pi(i)}\}_{i=1}^N, \{u_{\Pi(i)}\}_{i=N+1}^{2N}) &= \frac{\bar{u}_{1,\Pi} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{1,\Pi})^2 + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N u_{\Pi(i)} - \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{1,\Pi})^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N}} \frac{q_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q_{\Pi} = \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq I}^N u_{\Pi(i)} + u_{\Pi(I)} - \sum_{i=N+1, i \neq J}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} - u_{\Pi(J)} \right)$$

$$d_{\Pi} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{1,\Pi})^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2}.$$

In order to perform hypothesis testing, we compute the observed value of $T_{\Pi=\pi_0}$ and compare that with the randomization distribution of T_{Π} . We shall create an exchangeable pair (T, T') by considering a uniformly random transposition (I, J) . WLOG, take $I \leq J$. We apply this transposition to the group labels. Note that if $I, J \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ or $I, J \in \{N+1, \dots, 2N\}$ then $T' = T$, where T' is the t -statistic under this random transposition. That is, the t -statistic is invariant to within-group transpositions: the only changes occur when $1 \leq I \leq N$ and $N+1 \leq J \leq 2N$. With this in mind, let's redefine our transposition to be uniformly at random over the N^2 cases where $1 \leq I \leq N$ and $N+1 \leq J \leq 2N$. Thus,

$$T'_{\Pi}(\{u_{\Pi(i)}\}_{i=1}^N, \{u_{\Pi(i)}\}_{i=N+1}^{2N}) = \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N}} \frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d'_{\Pi}}$$

$$q'_{\Pi} = \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq I}^N u_{\Pi(i)} + u_{\Pi(J)} - \sum_{i=N+1, i \neq J}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} - u_{\Pi(I)} \right)$$

$$= q_{\Pi} - 2u_{\Pi(I)} + 2u_{\Pi(J)}$$

$$d'_{\Pi} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}'_{1,\Pi})^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}'_{2,\Pi})^2}.$$

2.3 Assumptions

Recall that the t -statistic is invariant up to sign under linear transformations, so we can mean-center and scale so that $\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_i^2 = 2N$. The proper transformation is

$$z_i = \sqrt{\frac{2N}{\sum (u_i - \bar{u})^2}} (u_i - \bar{u}), \quad (2.1)$$

so we just consider the u_i 's as having been transformed. This can be seen as a very mild assumption of disallowing the case where all our data are constant.

We also assume that the pooled sample standard deviation is non-zero for all permutations:

$$d_\Pi = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{1,\Pi})^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2} > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

The estimate is zero if and only if there is a grouping that is constant in each group. The condition also implies that the sample mean for any group is strictly less than 1.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{1,\Pi})^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} - N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} - N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 \\ &= 2N - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 \\ &= 2N(1 - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 < 1$. Let

$$B = \max_{\Pi} \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 < 1. \quad (2.3)$$

2.4 Preliminaries

Here we collect useful bounds and other results. In order to bound various moments of $\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}$ under the permutation distribution, we use a result of Serfling's [9]:

Proposition 2.1. *Consider sampling without replacement from a finite list of values*

u_1, \dots, u_{2N} . Let $a = \min_i u_i$ and $b = \max_i u_i$. Then for $p > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^p] &\leq \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + 1)}{2^{p/2+1}} \left[\frac{N+1}{2N} (b-a)^2 \right]^{p/2} (2N)^{-p/2} \\
&\leq \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + 1)}{2^{p/2+1}} \left[\frac{N+1}{4N} (b-a)^2 \right]^{p/2} (N)^{-p/2} \\
&\leq \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + 1)}{2^{p/2+1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (b-a)^2 \right]^{p/2} N^{-p/2} \\
&:= f_{c_1}(p) N^{-p/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

By assumption (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{-p} &= \frac{1}{(2N(1 - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2))^{p/2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(2N(1 - B^2))^{p/2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2(1 - B^2))^{p/2}} N^{-p/2} \\
&:= f_{c_2}(p) N^{-p/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The transposition (I, J) also affects the denominator of T' , and we need to quantify the difference between the denominators of T and T' .

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\Pi}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{1,\Pi})^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\Pi(i)} - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^2 - N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 \\
d'_{\Pi}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^2 - N\bar{u}'_{1,\Pi}{}^2 - N\bar{u}'_{2,\Pi}{}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{u}'_{1,\Pi} = \bar{u}_{1,\Pi} - \frac{1}{N} u_{\Pi(I)} + \frac{1}{N} u_{\Pi(J)} \text{ and } \bar{u}'_{2,\Pi} = \bar{u}_{2,\Pi} - \frac{1}{N} u_{\Pi(J)} + \frac{1}{N} u_{\Pi(I)}.$$

So,

$$\bar{u}'_{1,\Pi}{}^2 = \bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 + \frac{2\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}}{N} (u_{\Pi(J)} - u_{\Pi(I)}) + \frac{1}{N^2} (u_{\Pi(J)} - u_{\Pi(I)})^2$$

and

$$\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}'^2 = \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 + \frac{2\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}}{N}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)}) + \frac{1}{N^2}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)})^2.$$

Since $\sum u_{\Pi(i)} = 0$, $\bar{u}_{1,\Pi} = -\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Pi} &= d_{\Pi}^2 - d_{\Pi}'^2 \\ &= -N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 + N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}'^2 + N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}'^2 \\ &= 2\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}(u_{\Pi(J)} - u_{\Pi(I)}) + 2\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)}) + \frac{2}{N}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)})^2 \\ &= 4\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)}) + \frac{2}{N}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[h_{\Pi}^p] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left|4\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)}) + \frac{2}{N}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)})^2\right|^p\right] \\ &\leq 2^{p-1}\left(\mathbb{E}[|4\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)})|^p] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{2}{N}(u_{\Pi(I)} - u_{\Pi(J)})^2\right|^p\right]\right) \\ &\leq 2^{p-1}\left[(4(b-a))^p\mathbb{E}|\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}|^p + \left(\frac{2}{N}(b-a)^2\right)^p\right] \\ &\leq 2^{p-1}(4(b-a))^p f_{c_1}(p)N^{-p/2} + 2^{p-1}(2(b-a)^2)^p N^{-p/2}N^{-p/2} \\ &\leq (2^{p-1}(4(b-a))^p f_{c_1}(p)N^{-p/2} + 2^{p-1}(2(b-a)^2)^p)N^{-p/2} \\ &:= f_{c_3}(p)N^{-p/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now we establish a bound on the difference $d_{\Pi} - d_{\Pi}'$ via a bound on the remainder of a zeroth order Taylor approximation. Write

$$d_{\Pi}' = \sqrt{d_{\Pi}^2 - h_{\Pi}} = f(h_{\Pi}) = f(0) + R_0(h_{\Pi}) = d_{\Pi} + R_0(h_{\Pi})$$

By Taylor's theorem, the remainder of the zeroth-order expansion takes the form

$$R_0(h_{\Pi}) = \frac{f'(\xi_L)}{1}h_{\Pi} = \frac{-h_{\Pi}}{2\sqrt{d_{\Pi}^2 - \xi_L}}, \quad \text{where } \xi_L \in [0, h_{\Pi}].$$

Here, we are approximating d_{Π}' by a constant and bounding the error by using the

first derivative, but it's okay because the square root function flattens out and the difference inside the square root is probabilistically small.

Now

$$|d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}| \leq |R_0(h_{\Pi})| \leq \frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2\sqrt{d_{\Pi}^2 - \xi_L}} \leq \frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2\sqrt{d_{\Pi}^2 - \max(0, h_{\Pi})}}$$

Recall that $h_{\Pi} = d_{\Pi}^2 - d_{\Pi}'^2$, so

$$d_{\Pi}^2 - \max(0, d_{\Pi}^2 - d_{\Pi}'^2) = \begin{cases} d_{\Pi}^2 & \text{if } d_{\Pi}^2 - d_{\Pi}'^2 \leq 0 \\ d_{\Pi}'^2 & \text{if } d_{\Pi}^2 - d_{\Pi}'^2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$|d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}| \leq \frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2\min(d_{\Pi}, d'_{\Pi})} \leq \max\left(\frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2d_{\Pi}}, \frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2d'_{\Pi}}\right) \leq \frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2d_{\Pi}} + \frac{|h_{\Pi}|}{2d'_{\Pi}}.$$

The important thing to do is to isolate $|h_{\Pi}|$, which is small in expectation, but not absolutely.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{h_{\Pi}}{2d_{\Pi}} \right|^p + \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{h_{\Pi}}{2d'_{\Pi}} \right|^p \right) \\ &\leq 2^{-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{h_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}} \right|^p + \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{h_{\Pi}}{d'_{\Pi}} \right|^p \right) \\ &\leq 2^{-1} (\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[h_{\Pi}^{2p}] \mathbb{E}[d_{\Pi}^{-2p}] + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[h_{\Pi}^{2p}] \mathbb{E}[d_{\Pi}'^{-2p}]}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[h_{\Pi}^{2p}] \mathbb{E}[d_{\Pi}^{-2p}]} \\ &\leq \sqrt{f_{c_3}(2p) N^{-2p/2} f_{c_2}(2p) N^{-2p/2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{f_{c_3}(2p) f_{c_2}(2p)} N^{-p} \\ &:= f_{c_4}(p) N^{-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

With $q_\Pi = N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi} - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi} = -2N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}$, and noting that q_Π and q'_Π are exchangeable,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[q'_\Pi{}^p] &= \mathbb{E}[q_\Pi{}^p] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(-2N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^p] \\
&= (-2N)^p \mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^p] \\
&\leq 2^p N^p f_{c_1}(p) N^{-p/2} \text{ from (2.4)} \\
&= 2^p f_{c_1}(p) N^{p/2} \\
&:= f_{c_5}(p) N^{p/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_\Pi}{d_\Pi d'_\Pi} \right)^p \right] &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|q'_\Pi|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|d_\Pi d'_\Pi|^{-2p}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|q_\Pi|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|d_\Pi|^{-4p} \mathbb{E}|d'_\Pi|^{-4p}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|q_\Pi|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|d_\Pi|^{-4p} \mathbb{E}|d_\Pi|^{-4p}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|q_\Pi|^{2p} \mathbb{E}|d_\Pi|^{-4p}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{f_{c_5}(2p) N^{2p/2} f_{c_2}(4p) N^{-4p/2}} \text{ from (2.8) and (2.5)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{f_{c_5}(2p) f_{c_2}(4p) N^{-p/2}} \\
&:= f_{c_6}(p) N^{-p/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

2.5 Proof

T_Π and T'_Π are exchangeable by construction. T_Π (and thus T'_Π by exchangeability) has mean zero by symmetry ($P(T_\Pi = t) = P(T_\Pi = -t)$ by switching groups).

Proposition 2.2. $|\text{Var}(T_\Pi) - 1| \leq cN^{-1}$ for some $c > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(T_\Pi) &= \mathbb{E}[T_\Pi^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{N-1}{N} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^N u_{\Pi(i)} - \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^2 - N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{N-1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi} - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^2 - N\bar{u}_{1,\Pi}^2 - N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2} \right] \\
&= (N-1) \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{4N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2}{2N - 2N\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2} \right] \\
&= 2(N-1) \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2}{1 - \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2} \right] \\
&= 2(N-1) \mathbb{E}[g(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi})], \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $g(x) = \frac{x^2}{1-x^2}$.

Mean-centering the $u_{\Pi(i)}$ has the effect of mean-centering $\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}] = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} \right] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \mathbb{E}[u_{\Pi(i)}] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(j)} = 0$$

Under independence, $\text{Var}(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi})$ would be $\frac{1}{N}$ given the scaling. However, the negative dependence induced by the permutation structure approximately halves this value. The scaling is such that $\text{Var}(u_{\Pi(i)}) = 1$. Under independence and with $i \neq j$,

$\text{Var}(u_{\Pi(i)} + u_{\Pi(j)}) = 2$. Summing only 2 (out of $2N$) values under permutation dependence, $\text{Var}(u_{\Pi(i)} + u_{\Pi(j)}) = 2 - \frac{2}{2N-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}) &= \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{j=N+1, j \neq i}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)} u_{\Pi(j)} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(j)}^2 + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{j=N+1, j \neq i}^{2N} \mathbb{E}[u_{\Pi(i)} u_{\Pi(j)}] \\
&= \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{j=N+1, j \neq i}^{2N} \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \sum_{l=1, l \neq k}^{2N} u_k u_l \\
&= \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{j=N+1, j \neq i}^{2N} \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2N} u_k \right)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{2N} u_k^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{j=N+1, j \neq i}^{2N} \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} (0^2 - 2N) \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{N} (N^2 - N) \left(-\frac{1}{2N-1} \right) \\
&= 1 + (1 - N) \left(\frac{1}{2N-1} \right) \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2N-1}{4N-2} + \frac{2-2N}{4N-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4N-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2N-1}
\end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's theorem, we expand the function $g(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}) = \frac{\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2}{1-\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2}$ around $\mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}] = 0$:

$$g(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}) = \frac{\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2}{1-\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2} = g(0) + g'(0)\bar{u}_{2,\Pi} + \frac{g''(0)}{2!}\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 + \frac{g^{(3)}(0)}{3!}\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^3 + R_3(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}),$$

where $R_3(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}) = \frac{g^{(4)}(\xi_L)}{4!}\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^4$, with $\xi_L \in [0, \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}]$.

From (2.10) and evaluating the Taylor series, we have

$$\frac{\text{Var}(T_\Pi)}{2(N-1)} = \mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 + R_3(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi})].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\text{Var}(T_\Pi)}{2(N-1)} - \mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2] \right| &= \left| \frac{\text{Var}(T_\Pi)}{2(N-1)} - \frac{1}{2N-1} \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}|R_3(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi})| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{24(5\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^4 + 10\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^2 + 1)}{4!(\bar{u}_{2,\Pi} - 1)^5} \bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^4 \right|, \quad \bar{u}_{2,\Pi} \leq B < 1 \\ &\leq \frac{5B^4 + 10B^2 + 1}{|B-1|^5} \mathbb{E}[\bar{u}_{2,\Pi}^4] \\ &\leq \frac{5B^4 + 10B^2 + 1}{|B-1|^5} f_{c_1}(4)N^{-2} \quad \text{by (2.4)} \\ &:= c_1 N^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Var}(T_\Pi) - 1| - \frac{1}{2N-1} &\leq \left| \text{Var}(T_\Pi) - 1 + \frac{1}{2N-1} \right| \\ &= \left| \text{Var}(T_\Pi) - \frac{2(N-1)}{2N-1} \right| \\ &= 2(N-1) \left| \frac{\text{Var}(T_\Pi)}{2(N-1)} - \frac{1}{2N-1} \right| \\ &\leq c_1 2(N-1)N^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|\text{Var}(T_\Pi) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{2N-1} + c_1 \frac{2N-2}{N^2} \leq \frac{1+2c_1}{N}$$

□

Proposition 2.3. *B is $O(N^{-1})$.*

Proof. With two applications of the c_r inequality, we can bound the variance of the

sum by a constant times the sum of the variances. Suppose X , Y , and Z are centered random variables with finite variances. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(X + Y + Z) &= \mathbb{E}[|(X + Y) + Z|^2] \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}[|X + Y|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[|Z|^2] \\
&\leq 2(2\mathbb{E}[|X|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[|Y|^2]) + 2\mathbb{E}[|Z|^2] \\
&\leq 4(\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + \text{Var}(Z))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(T'_\Pi - T_\Pi)^2|\pi]) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)}}{d_\Pi} + T'_\Pi \frac{d_\Pi - d'_\Pi}{d_\Pi}\right)^2 \middle| \pi\right]\right) \\
&\leq \text{Var}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)}}{d_\Pi} + T'_\Pi \frac{d_\Pi - d'_\Pi}{d_\Pi}\right)^2 \middle| \pi\right]\right) \\
&\leq 4(\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + \text{Var}(Z))
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)}}{d_\Pi}\right)^2 \middle| \pi\right] \\
Y &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(T'_\Pi \frac{d_\Pi - d'_\Pi}{d_\Pi}\right)^2 \middle| \pi\right] \\
Z &= 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)}}{d_\Pi} T'_\Pi \frac{d_\Pi - d'_\Pi}{d_\Pi}\right) \middle| \pi\right]
\end{aligned}$$

The first term is going to dominate.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(X) &= \text{Var} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)}}{d_{\Pi}} \right)^2 \middle| \pi \right] \right) \\
&= \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)})^2 | \pi] \mathbb{E}[d_{\Pi}^{-2} | \pi]) \\
&= \text{Var}(d_{\Pi}^{-2} \mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)})^2 | \pi]) \\
&\leq (f_{c_2}(2)N^{-2/2})^2 \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)})^2 | \pi]) \text{ from (2.5)} \\
&= (f_{c_2}(2))^2 N^{-2} \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)})^2 | \pi]),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)})^2 | \Pi = \pi]) &= \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[u_{\Pi(J)}^2 + u_{\Pi(I)}^2 - 2u_{\Pi(J)}u_{\Pi(I)} | \Pi = \pi]) \\
&= \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{I=1}^N \sum_{J=N+1}^{2N} (u_{\pi(J)}^2 + u_{\pi(I)}^2 - 2u_{\pi(J)}u_{\pi(I)}) \right) \\
&= \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} \left(N \sum_{K=1}^{2N} u_K^2 - \sum_{I=1}^N \sum_{J=N+1}^{2N} 2u_{\pi(J)}u_{\pi(I)} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{4}{N^4} \sum_{I=1}^N \sum_{J=N+1}^{2N} \sum_{K=1}^N \sum_{L=N+1}^{2N} \text{Cov}(u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}, u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)})
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{K=1}^{2N} u_K^2 = 2N$ is a constant. We proceed by calculating

$$\text{Cov}(u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}, u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)}) = \mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}]\mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)}].$$

The index sets for variables I and J (and K and L) are disjoint, so

$$\mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}] = \mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)}] = \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)} = -\frac{1}{2N-1}$$

for all values of I, J, K, L in the sum. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}]\mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)}] = \frac{1}{(2N-1)^2}.$$

However, K could equal I and L could equal J , which changes the mass assigned by the permutation distribution. This necessitates considering each case separately.

Case $I \neq J \neq K \neq L$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} \sum_{K=1, K \neq I, J}^{2N} \sum_{L=1, L \neq I, J, K}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}u_{\pi(K)}u_{\pi(L)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)} \sum_{K=1, K \neq I, J}^{2N} u_{\pi(K)}(-u_{\pi(I)} - u_{\pi(J)} - u_{\pi(K)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)}((-u_{\pi(I)} - u_{\pi(J)})(-u_{\pi(I)} - u_{\pi(J)}) + (u_{\pi(I)}^2 + u_{\pi(J)}^2 - 2N)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)}(2u_{\pi(I)}^2 - 2N + 2u_{\pi(J)}^2 + 2u_{\pi(I)}u_{\pi(J)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)} \left((2u_{\pi(I)}^2 - 2N)(-u_{\pi(I)}) + 2 \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)}^3 + 2u_{\pi(I)}(2N - u_{\pi(I)}^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)} \left(-4u_{\pi(I)}^3 + 6Nu_{\pi(I)} + 2 \left(\sum_{J=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)}^3 - u_{\pi(I)}^3 \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \frac{1}{2N-3} \left(-6 \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^4 + 12N^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $N^2(N-1)^2$ choices.

Case $I = K, J = L$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}^2 u_{\pi(J)}^2] &= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^2 u_{\pi(J)}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^2 (2N - u_{\pi(I)}^2) \\
&= \frac{2N}{2N-1} - \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^4
\end{aligned}$$

with N^2 choices.

Case $I = K, J \neq L$ or $I \neq K, J = L$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[u_{\pi(I)}^2 u_{\pi(J)} u_{\pi(K)}] &= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} \sum_{K=1, K \neq I, J}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^2 u_{\pi(J)} u_{\pi(K)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \sum_{I=1}^{2N} \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^2 u_{\pi(J)} (0 - u_{\pi(I)} - u_{\pi(J)}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \left(\sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^3 \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)} + \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^2 \sum_{J=1, J \neq I}^{2N} u_{\pi(J)}^2 \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \left(\sum_{I=1}^{2N} -u_{\pi(I)}^4 + \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^2 (2N - u_{\pi(I)}^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \left(2 \sum_{I=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(I)}^4 - 4N^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $2N^2(N-1)$ choices.

Putting it all together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)})^2] | \Pi = \pi) \\
&= 4 \frac{N^2(N-1)^2}{N^4(2N)(2N-1)(2N-2)(2N-3)} \left(-6 \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(i)}^4 + 12N^2 - \frac{1}{(2N-1)^2} \right) \\
&+ 4 \frac{N^2}{N^4} \left(\frac{2N}{2N-1} - \frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(i)}^4 - \frac{1}{(2N-1)^2} \right) \\
&+ 4 \frac{2N^2(N-1)}{N^4} \left(\frac{1}{2N} \frac{1}{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N-2} \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(i)}^4 - 4N^2 \right) - \frac{1}{(2N-1)^2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{48}{4N^2} + \frac{8}{N^2} + \frac{16 \sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\pi(i)}^4}{N^4} \\
&= \frac{20}{N^2} + 16 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_i^4}{N^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var}(X) = (f_{c_2}(2))^2 N^{-2} \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(I)})^2 | \pi]) \leq (f_{c_2}(2))^2 N^{-4} \left(20 + 16 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_i^4}{N^2} \right).$$

Because the latter two terms are much smaller in order, we can apply coarser techniques. In particular, we use the following bound:

$$\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[U|V]) = \text{Var}(U) - \mathbb{E}(\text{Var}(U|V)) \leq E[U^2]$$

Applying to the second term,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) &= \text{Var} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(T'_{\Pi} \frac{d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}} \right)^2 \middle| \Pi = \pi \right] \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi} d'_{\Pi}} (d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}) \right)^4 \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi} d'_{\Pi}} \right)^8 \right] \mathbb{E}[(d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi})^8]} \\ &\leq \sqrt{f_{c_6}(8) N^{-8/2} f_{c_4}(8) N^{-8}} \text{ from (2.9), (2.7)} \\ &= \sqrt{f_{c_6}(8) f_{c_4}(8)} N^{-6}. \end{aligned}$$

And to the third,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(Z) &= 4 \text{Var} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)}}{d_{\Pi}} T'_{\Pi} \frac{d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}} \right) \middle| \Pi = \pi \right] \right) \\
&\leq 4 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{d_{\Pi}} \frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi} d'_{\Pi}} (2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)}) (d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}) \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq 4 f_{c_2}(2) N^{-2/2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi} d'_{\Pi}} \right)^4 \right] \mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)})(d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}))^4]} \text{ from (2.5)} \\
&\leq 4 f_{c_2}(2) N^{-1} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi} d'_{\Pi}} \right)^4 \right] \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)})^8] \mathbb{E}[(d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi})^8]}} \\
&\leq 4 f_{c_2}(2) N^{-1} \sqrt{f_{c_6}(4) N^{-4/2} \sqrt{2^9 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^4}{2N} f_{c_4}(8) N^{-8}}} \text{ from (2.9), (2.7)} \\
&\leq 2^{4.25} f_{c_2}(2) (f_{c_6}(4))^{-1/2} (f_{c_4}(8))^{-1/4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2N} u_{\Pi(i)}^4 \right)^{1/4} N^{-4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.4. $(2\pi)^{-1/4} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}|T' - T|^3}{\lambda}}$ is $O(N^{-1/4})$.

Proof. The strategy is to break apart the remainder term from the main piece.

From (??),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|T'_\Pi - T_\Pi|^3 &= \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^{3/2} \mathbb{E} \left[d_\Pi^{-3} \left| 2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)} + q'_\Pi \frac{d_\Pi - d'_\Pi}{d'_\Pi} \right|^3 \right] \\
&\leq 8 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)}|^3}{d^3} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| q'_\Pi \frac{d_\Pi - d'_\Pi}{d_\Pi d'_\Pi} \right|^3 \right] \right) \\
&\leq 8 \left(\mathbb{E} [|2u_{\Pi(J)} - 2u_{\Pi(i)}|^3] \mathbb{E}[d_\Pi^{-3}] + \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_\Pi}{d_\Pi d'_\Pi} \right)^6 \right] \mathbb{E}[(d_\Pi - d'_\Pi)^6]} \right) \\
&\leq 8 \left(128 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} |u_{\Pi(i)}|^3}{2N} \right) f_{c_2}(3) N^{-3/2} + 8 \sqrt{f_{c_6}(6) N^{-6/2} f_{c_4}(6) N^{-6}} \text{ from (2.5), (2.9), (2.7)} \\
&\leq 1024 f_{c_2}(3) \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} |u_{\Pi(i)}|^3}{2N} \right) N^{-3/2} + 8 \sqrt{f_{c_6}(6) f_{c_4}(6) N^{-3}} N^{-3/2} \\
&\leq \left(1024 f_{c_2}(3) \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} |u_{\Pi(i)}|^3}{2N} \right) + 8 \sqrt{f_{c_6}(6) f_{c_4}(6)} \right) N^{-3/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(2\pi)^{-1/4} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}|T'_\Pi - T_\Pi|^3}{\lambda}}$ is $O(N^{-1/4})$. □

Proposition 2.5. $\mathbb{E}|R| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f_{c_6}(2) f_{c_4}(2)} N^{-1/2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|R| &= \mathbb{E} \left| \left(\frac{N}{2} \right) \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N}} \frac{1}{d_\Pi} \mathbb{E} \left[q'_\Pi \frac{(d_\Pi - d'_\Pi)}{d'_\Pi} \middle| T_\Pi \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{q'_\Pi}{d_\Pi d'_\Pi} (d_\Pi - d'_\Pi) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{q'_\Pi}{d_\Pi d'_\Pi} \right|^2 \mathbb{E}[d_\Pi - d'_\Pi]^2} \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{f_{c_6}(2) N^{-2/2} f_{c_4}(2) N^{-2}} \text{ from (2.9), (2.7)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f_{c_6}(2) f_{c_4}(2)} N^{-1/2}
\end{aligned}$$
□

Proposition 2.6. $\mathbb{E}|T_{\Pi}R| \leq \frac{1}{2}(f_{c_6}(4)f_{c_4}(4))^{1/4}\sqrt{2+2c_1}N^{-1/2}.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|T_{\Pi}R| &= \mathbb{E} \left| T_{\Pi} \left(\frac{N}{2} \right) \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N}} \frac{1}{d_{\Pi}} \mathbb{E} \left[q'_{\Pi} \frac{(d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi})}{d'_{\Pi}} \middle| T_{\Pi} \right] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| T_{\Pi} \frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}d'_{\Pi}} (d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi}) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}T_{\Pi}^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}d'_{\Pi}} \right)^2 (d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi})^2 \right]} \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}T_{\Pi}^2 \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{q'_{\Pi}}{d_{\Pi}d'_{\Pi}} \right)^4 \right]} \mathbb{E}[(d_{\Pi} - d'_{\Pi})^4]} \\
&\leq \frac{N}{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}T_{\Pi}^2 \sqrt{f_{c_6}(4)N^{-4/2}f_{c_4}(4)N^{-4}}} \text{ from (2.9), (2.7)} \\
&= \frac{N^{-1/2}}{2} (f_{c_6}(4)f_{c_4}(4))^{1/4} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}T_{\Pi}^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} (f_{c_6}(4)f_{c_4}(4))^{1/4} \sqrt{2+2c_1} N^{-1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

because $\mathbb{E}T_{\Pi}^2 \leq 1 + \frac{1+2c_1}{N} \leq 2 + 2c_1.$

□

References

- [1] V. Bentkus and F. Götze. The berry-esseen bound for student's statistic. *The Annals of Probability*, 24(1):491–503, 1996.
- [2] H.A. David. The beginnings of randomization tests. *The American Statistician*, 62(1):70–72, 2008.
- [3] P. Diaconis and S. Holmes. Gray codes for randomization procedures. *Statistics and Computing*, 4(4):287–302, 1994.
- [4] P. Diaconis and E. Lehmann. Comment. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 103(481):16–19, 2008.
- [5] R.A. Fisher. *The design of experiments*. Oliver & Boyd, 1935.
- [6] E.L. Lehmann. *Elements of large-sample theory*. Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [7] J. Ludbrook and H. Dudley. Why permutation tests are superior to t and f tests in biomedical research. *American Statistician*, pages 127–132, 1998.
- [8] J. Neyman and E.S. Pearson. On the use and interpretation of certain test criteria for purposes of statistical inference: Part i. *Biometrika*, 20(1/2):175–240, 1928.
- [9] R.J. Serfling. Probability inequalities for the sum in sampling without replacement. *The Annals of Statistics*, 2(1):39–48, 1974.
- [10] Q.M. Shao. An explicit berry-esseen bound for students t-statistic via steins method. *Steins Method and Applications (AD Barbour and LHY Chen eds). Lecture Notes Series, Institute for Mathematical Sciences, NUS*, 5:143–155, 2005.

- [11] C. Stein. Approximate computation of expectations. *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series*, 7, 1986.
- [12] SL Zabell. On Student's 1908 Article The Probable Error of a Mean. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 103(481):1–7, 2008.