

I certify that this document contributes to the mission of NRCS, that it conforms to USDA and NRCS policy, and that the text, illustrations, and references are scientifically and technically correct and up to date.

Document Title:

Soil & Plant Science Division Primary Author:

Where will the document be presented or posted?

Submission Deadline (if applicable):

English Editor Approval:

---

---

Signature of Supervisor

---

Signature of Regional Director or National Leader\*

---

Signature of Associate Director

---

Signature of Research or Technical Review Committee Chair

---

Signature of Soil and Plant Science Division General Review Committee Chair

---

Signature of Soil and Plant Science Division Director

---

Signature of Soil Science and Resource Assessment Deputy Chief

*\*Mandatory for documents from authors whose supervisor is not a Regional Director or National Leader  
Please read the routing instructions on Page 2 for clarification on what signatures are needed.  
Contact John Andreoni ([john.andreoni@usda.gov](mailto:john.andreoni@usda.gov)) with questions*

The Soil and Plant Science Division's Document review process is intended to assess any future public-facing document for sound science, novel contribution to understanding and support for agency and division missions. In general, the complexity of the document determines the level of review required within the Division. This review will also check for agreement with the USDA disclaimer and public access policies but *is not a substitute for an External Affairs Division review*. Please contact your supervisor or the SPSP Document Review Coordinator for more information on these policies.

As guidance on the order of operations, an English edit is required *before* a document is submitted for review. Once an English edit is complete and the editor has signed this form, the author then solicits supervisor approval and then submits the document to the SPSP Document Review Coordinator for routing. It is also important to note that the author of the document can check in on review progress with the SPSP Document Review Coordinator at any time during the process.

Reviewers, please sign above the line that describes your role. For reviewers who fulfill multiple roles for an author, please sign only once in the "Supervisor" box if that applies or the signature box furthest down the page if not.

**Powerpoints, posters, and short articles** generally require either 3 or 4 signatures which are the Supervisor, Regional Director/National Leader, NSSC Director or Associate Director, and SPSP Director. For documents authored by Center staff, the NSSC Director is the 2<sup>nd</sup> level reviewer. For Field staff, the Associate Director for Operations is the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> level reviewer depending on if the Regional Director is or is not the author's supervisor. According to policy, these reviews can take up to *7 working days*.

**Abstracts, Ecological Site Descriptions, TSS Reports, Maps, and Documents that don't fit into a specified category** generally require either 4 or 5 signatures. Abstracts, TSS Reports and Other Documents require Supervisor, Regional Director/National Leader, Associate Director for Operations (Field or Regional Staff), General Review Committee Chair, and SPSP Director. Ecological Site Descriptions require Supervisor, Regional Director, Associate Director for Operations, National Leader for Ecological Sites, General Review Committee Chair, and SPSP Director. Review times can vary. According to policy, abstracts can take up to *7 working days*. ESDs can take up to 2 - 3 months for review.

**Scientific publications** are more complex and involve an additional step from the author. The author or co-author of a manuscript for scientific publication must solicit **three** peer reviewers' comments and signatures using the form provided on Page 3 *before* submitting the manuscript for the review process. Peer reviewers can be from within NRCS or outside the agency and *must be cleared with the National Leader for Research*. Once **three** signed peer review forms have been collected by the author then the signatures required are Supervisor, Regional Director/National Leader, Associate Director for Operations (Field or Regional Staff), Research Review Committee Chair, General Review Committee Chair, SPSP Director, and potentially the SSRA Deputy Chief. Manuscript review can take up to 2 - 3 months for review.

**Book chapters, Technical Notes, Soil Surveys, Soil Interpretations** will generally require 5 or 6 signatures which are Supervisor, Regional Director/National Leader, Associate Director for Operations (Field or Regional Staff), Technical Review Committee Chair, General Review Committee Chair, and SPSP Director.

## Internal NRCS-SPSD Technical or Research Peer Review

Manuscript Title:

Soil & Plant Science Division Primary Author:

Publication Name:

Submission Deadline (if applicable):

Review the manuscript for the following content and check if acceptable as is:

- ☐ contributes to the mission of NRCS
- ☐ conforms to USDA and NRCS policy
- ☐ the text, illustrations, and references are scientifically and technically correct and up to date.

Comments (indicate which content area is of concern):

I certify that this document contributes to the mission of NRCS, that it conforms to USDA and NRCS policy, and that the text, illustrations, and references are scientifically and technically correct and up to date.

This manuscript was reviewed by:

\_\_\_\_\_ NRCS Peer Reviewer

## **Internal NRCS-SPSD Technical or Research Peer Review - Author's Response**

Manuscript Title:

Soil & Plant Science Division Primary Author:

Publication Name:

Submission Deadline (if applicable):

Author's response to Reviewer 1's comments

Author's response to Reviewer 2's comments

Author's response to Reviewer 3's comments