

3M1 Examples Paper 1 Notes

February 12, 2020

1 Question 5

Why does a Hermitian positive semi-definite (PSD) matrix \mathbf{A} have non-negative eigenvalues?

Consider any arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Assuming that \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable, there is a set of eigenvectors that is linearly independent – call it $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ and normalize them to have unit 2-norm. We know that they are orthogonal to each other because \mathbf{A} is Hermitian. We can expand \mathbf{x} in the *eigenbasis*:

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{u}_n. \quad (1)$$

Then, by hypothesis, $\mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{u}_i \right)^H \mathbf{A} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{u}_i \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{u}_i \right)^H \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i \mathbf{u}_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i^H \mathbf{u}_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can set $\alpha_i = 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ to get that $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i .

2 Question 6a

An alternative solution is proposed. Let \mathbf{x}_1 be an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} with eigenvalue λ_1 , and \mathbf{x}_2 be an eigenvector of \mathbf{A}^T with eigenvalue λ_2 , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_1 &= \lambda_1\mathbf{x}_1 \\
\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}_2 &= \lambda_2\mathbf{x}_2 \\
\implies \mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}_2 &= \lambda_2\mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{x}_2 \\
\text{and } (\mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}_2)^T &= \mathbf{x}_2^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_1 \\
&= \lambda_1\mathbf{x}_2^T\mathbf{x}_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

So if $\mathbf{x}_2^T\mathbf{x}_1 \neq 0$, then we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. I think this solution should be fine¹.

3 Question 12a

Showing the second equality of the displayed equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}^H\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{b}^H(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^H\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^H - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} \\
&= \mathbf{b}^H(\mathbf{A}\underbrace{(\mathbf{A}^H\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^H\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{I}} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{z} \\
&= \mathbf{b}^H(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{z} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We emphasize again that $(\mathbf{A}^H\mathbf{A})^{-1} \neq \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-H}$, since \mathbf{A} is not square and is hence not invertible.

4 Question 13

A few clarifications are in order:

- Why is the rank of \mathbf{A} necessarily equal to m ? Firstly, $n \geq m$ by the pigeonhole principle (convince yourself this is true...), so the rank of \mathbf{A} , $r(\mathbf{A}) \leq m$. However, The rows are linearly independent (LI), so the rank is equal to m .
- Why does the column space span all of \mathbb{C}^m ? If $n = m$, we must have all m of the columns be LI, because row rank = column rank, and thus the columns span all of \mathbb{C}^m . If $n > m$, we are adding more vectors to the column space, but the span will not be smaller. It stays as \mathbb{C}^m . *Exercise: The row space is NOT always \mathbb{C}^n ! Why?*
- Recall that the *left null space* is defined as the set of vectors:

$$\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x} = 0\} \tag{3}$$

¹The small print: My concern with this solution is that for any given λ , if the *geometric multiplicity* of that eigenvalue is 1, then if \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector then only vectors of the form $c\mathbf{x}$ are also eigenvectors of this eigenvalue. Therefore, in the specific case that both eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 have geometric multiplicity of 1, and $\mathbf{x}_1^T\mathbf{x}_2 = 0$, we cannot find another pair of eigenvectors that will allow us to dodge this zero, and we cannot show that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ for this case.

It is the orthogonal complement to the column space, which means that any vector in the left null space dotted with a vector from the column space is zero. *Exercise: Prove this fact.* When the column space is all of \mathbb{C}^m , it must be that the left null space is just the zero vector – It is the only vector that, when dotted with *any* vector in \mathbb{C}^m , gives zero.

Please go through this question again and make sure you understand every step.

5 Question 16d

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. If we substitute $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$, we can compute \mathbf{A}^+ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^+ &= (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \quad \text{because } \mathbf{A} \text{ is tall} \\ &= (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{U}^T \\ &= \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}_n^{-2} \mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{U}^T,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a diagonal matrix with the singular values of \mathbf{A} along its diagonal. It is different from $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ which is $\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Now, that is equal to

$$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^+ \mathbf{U}^T,$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma}^+ \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+ &= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^+ \mathbf{U}^T \\ &= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Sigma}^+ \mathbf{U}^T \\ &= \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{U}_n^T.\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{U}_n is the first n columns of $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. It is no longer an orthogonal matrix because it is not full-rank.

As we remarked in the supervision, the psuedoinverse takes two forms whenever \mathbf{A} is tall and whenever \mathbf{A} is wide:

- \mathbf{A} tall:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^+ &= (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \\ \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+ &= \mathbf{P} \quad (\text{Projector to column space})\end{aligned}$$

- \mathbf{A} wide:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^+ &= \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} \\ \mathbf{A}^+ \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{P} \quad (\text{Projector to row space}) \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+ &= \mathbf{I}\end{aligned}$$

Food for thought: 1. The formula for tall matrices cannot be applied to wide matrices (and vice versa). Why not? 2. Show that for a non-singular square matrix, the formulae are equivalent and reduce to \mathbf{A}^{-1}

6 The invertible matrix theorem

The *invertible matrix theorem* ([link](#)) lists 23 equivalent conditions for the invertibility of a square matrix. The extraordinary motivated student may try to go ahead to prove and convince themselves these are true!