## Project 1

```
function [q,r] = ndcgs(A)
[m, n] = size(A);
for j=1:n
    v(:,j)=A(:,j);
    for i=1:j-1
       r(i,j)=transpose(q(:,i))*A(:,j);
        v(:,j)=v(:,j)-r(i,j).*q(:,i);
    r(j,j)=norm(v(:,j)); %2norm for a matrix is just norm;
    q(:,j)=v(:,j)./r(j,j);
end
end
%modified gram Schmidt code
function [q,r] = ndmgs(A)
[m, n]=size(A);
for i=1:n
    v(:,i)=A(:,i);
end
for i=1:n
    r(i,i)=norm(v(:,i));
    q(:,i)=v(:,i)/r(i,i);
    for j=i+1:n
        r(i,j)=transpose(q(:,i))*v(:,j);
        v(:,j)=v(:,j)-r(i,j).*q(:,i);
    end
end
end
EDU>> A=rand(20,10);
EDU >> [Q,R] = ndcgs(A);
EDU>> norm(A-Q*R)
ans =
   3.5058e-16
EDU>> I=eye(10);
EDU>> norm(Q'*Q-I)
ans =
   1.8927e-15
EDU >> [Q,R] = ndmgs(A);
```

%classical gram Schmidt code

```
EDU>> norm(A-Q*R)

ans =

4.5616e-16

EDU>> norm(Q'*Q-I)

ans =
```

## 7.8309e-16

	A-QR			Q*Q-I		
	CGS	MGS	MatlabQR	CGS	MGS	MatlabQR
n=5	5.60E-17	6.58E-17	5.63E-16	9.36E-10	2.89E-13	6.71E-16
n=10	6.30E-17	8.01E-17	5.78E-16	2.850558	5.38E-06	6.11E-16
n=15	7.21E-17	9.01E-17	5.88E-16	7.817911	0.897559	9.32E-16
n=20	7.50E-17	9.19E-17	5.99E-16	12.80726	0.999221	1.53E-15

The modified and classical gram Schmidt perform worse for larger values of n (the classical gram Schmidt more so). The Matlab economy sized QR does not carry the least amount of error for all values of n compared to the classical and modified gram Schmidt, but it performs the most consistently for all values of n.

```
A=hilb(20);
a=[]; b=[];
n=5;
A=h(:,1:n);
[Q,R]=ndcgs(A);
x=norm(A-Q*R);
I=eye(n);
y=norm(Q'*Q-I);
a=[a,x];
b=[b,y];
%repeated for n=10, 15, 20 then repeated for MGS and qr(A,0).
```