Math 456: Mathematical Modeling

Tuesday, March 6th, 2018

Counting visiting times et cetera

Tuesday, March 20th, 2018

Today

Today we will see:

- 1. Where are we? A quick review of last few classes.
- 2. Some comments on Problem Set 4.
- 3. Proof of the Strong Markov Property.

Next class:

- 1. Counting how often a state is visited.
- 2. Statement of the convergence theorem.

Last couple of classes

- 1. Transient and recurrent states.
- 2. Stopping times and exit distributions.
- 3. Calculus on graphs and the Laplacian.
- 4. The Strong Markov Property.
- 5. Probabilities of returns.

Transient and recurrent states

In a Markov chain, we said first (informally) that a state x is **recurrent** if starting from x we are guaranteed to *eventually* return to x, and said to be **transient** if there is some chance of *never* returning to x.

In terms of the first visit time T_x , this is written as

$$x$$
 is said to be transient if $\mathbb{P}_x[T_x < \infty] < 1$, x is said to be recurrent if $\mathbb{P}_x[T_x < \infty] = 1$.

(recall that
$$T_x = \min\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n = x\}$$
)

Stopping times and exit distributions

For a set $A \subset \mathcal{S}$, the first arrival time T_A is an example of a **stopping time**.

We were interested in its exit distribution

$$G_y(x) = \mathbb{P}_x[X_{T_A} = y],$$

this defined for $y \in A$ and $x \in \mathcal{S}$.

Calculus on graphs and the Laplacian

Going back to the exit distributions, we found that

$$\Delta G_y(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \notin A,$$

$$G_y(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \in A, x \neq y,$$

$$G_y(y) = 1.$$

This system of equations is always sufficient to determine all the exit probabilities $G_y(x)!!$

Calculus on graphs and the Laplacian

Consider a Markov chain with state space $\mathcal S$ and transition probability $\mathbf p(x,y).$

Given $f: \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$, we defined

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum_{y} (f(y) - f(x))p(x, y).$$

Review The Strong Markov Property

Theorem (Strong Markov Property)

Let X_n denote a Markov Chain. If T is a stopping time and $Y_n := X_{T+n}$, then Y_n is also a Markov chain. Moreover, the transition probability for Y_n is the same as the one for X_n .

Probabilities of return

Using the Strong Markov Property, we were able to compute the probability of returning to x at least k times,

$$\mathbb{P}_x[T_x^{(k)} < \infty] = \rho_{xx}^k$$

where

$$\rho_{xy} = \mathbb{P}_x[T_y < \infty]$$

Today

Next

- 1. Some comments on Problem Set 4.
- 2. Proof of the Strong Markov Property.
- 3. Counting how often a state is visited.

Theorem (Strong Markov Property)

Let X_n denote a Markov Chain. If T is a stopping time and $Y_n := X_{T+n}$, then Y_n is also a Markov chain. Moreover, the transition probability for Y_n is the same as the one for X_n .

Theorem (Strong Markov Property)

Let X_n denote a Markov Chain. If T is a stopping time and $Y_n := X_{T+n}$, then Y_n is also a Markov chain. Moreover, the transition probability for Y_n is the same as the one for X_n .

What does this mean? Equivalently, it means that

$$\mathbb{P}[Y_{n+1} = \alpha_{n+1}, Y_n = \alpha_n, \dots Y_1 = \alpha_1]$$

is equal to $p(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1})p(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) \dots p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\mathbb{P}(Y_1 = \alpha_1)$.

Proof

Let us analyze first $\mathbb{P}[X_{T+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{T+1} = x_1, X_T = x].$

 $\mathbb{P}[X_{T+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{T+1} = x_1, X_T = x]$

To do this, we first note that

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = x, T = t]$$

Proof

Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the sets

$$H_t = \{ \bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t) \mid X_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, X_t = \alpha_t \Rightarrow T = t \}$$

$$H_{t,x} = \{ \bar{\alpha} \in H_t \mid \alpha_t = x \}$$

In words: H_t is the possible trajectories for the chain, up to time t, for which the T = t; and $H_{t,x}$ are those trajectories up to time t, with T = t, ending in x.

Proof

In particular, this means that

$$\{X_T = x, T = t\} = \bigcup_{\bar{\alpha} \in H_{t,x}} \{X_1 = \alpha_1, X_2 = \alpha_2, \dots, X_t = \alpha_t\}$$

Proof

Therefore, the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = x, T = t]$$

is given by the sum of the probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = \alpha_t, \dots, X_1 = \alpha_1]$$

The sum being over $\bar{\alpha} \in H_{t,x}$

Proof

Therefore, the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = x, T = t]$$

is given by the sum of the probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = \alpha_t, \dots, X_1 = \alpha_1]$$

for all $\bar{\alpha} \in H_{t,x}$

Proof

Now, we know that thanks to the Markov property,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = \alpha_t, \dots, X_1 = \alpha_1]$$

is equal to

$$p(x, x_1)p(x_1, x_2) \dots p(x_{n-1}, x_n)\mathbb{P}(X_t = \alpha_t, \dots X_1 = \alpha_1)$$

Proof

Adding up the $\bar{\alpha}$'s we see that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{t+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{t+1} = x_1, X_t = x, T = t]$$

is equal to

$$p(x, x_1)p(x_1, x_2) \dots p(x_{n-1}, x_n)\mathbb{P}[X_t = x, T = t]$$

Proof

... adding up in t, and dividing by $\mathbb{P}(X_T = x)$ we see that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{T+n} = x_n, \dots, X_{T+1} = x_1 \mid X_T = x]$$

is equal to

$$p(x,x_1)p(x_1,x_2)\dots p(x_{n-1},x_n)$$

and it follows that X_{T+n} is a Markov Chain with same transition probability as X_n .

Math 456: Mathematical Modeling

Tuesday, March 6th, 2018

Counting times, periodicity, and convergence

Thursday, March 22th, 2018

Given a state $y \in S$, let

$$N(y) := \#\{n \ge 1 \mid X_n = y\}$$

That is, N(y) is the random variable given as the number of times n for which the Markov chain is at the state y. In particular, if y is visited infinitely many times, then $N(y) = \infty$.

We are going to prove a few useful facts about N(y).

First, note that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(N(y) \ge 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}_x(N(y) = 0) = \rho_{xy}$$

First, note that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(N(y) \ge 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}_x(N(y) = 0) = \rho_{xy}$$

Recall that $\rho_{xy} = \mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty)$, the probability of eventually visiting y starting from x.

First, note that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(N(y) \ge 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}_x(N(y) = 0) = \rho_{xy}$$

Recall that $\rho_{xy} = \mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty)$, the probability of eventually visiting y starting from x.

Let us computer the probabilities for the other values of N(y)!

We showed that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{P}_x[N(y) \ge k] = \mathbb{P}_x[T_y^{(k)} < \infty] = \rho_{xy}\rho_{yy}^{k-1}.$$

From here, one can compute the distribution of N(y)

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}[N(y) = k] = \mathbb{P}_{x}[N(y) \ge k] - \mathbb{P}_{x}[N(y) = k+1]
= \rho_{xy}\rho_{yy}^{k-1} - \rho_{xy}\rho_{yy}^{k}
= \rho_{xy}\rho_{yy}^{k-1}(1-\rho_{yy})$$

There is a simple formula for the **expected** number of visits

Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \frac{\rho_{xy}}{1 - \rho_{yy}}$$

We use the following general (and useful!) fact about the expectation of a real variable Y

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y \ge k).$$

Why is this true?

We use the following general (and useful!) fact about the expectation of a real variable Y

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y \ge k).$$

Why is this true?

Let χ_A denote the random variable which is equal to 1 if the even happens, and 0 otherwise

$$\chi_A := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ happens} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

If a random variable Y takes only the values k = 1, 2, ..., we may write it as

$$Y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\{Y \ge k\}}$$

In which case, taking expectation on both sides

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\chi_{\{Y \ge k\}}]$$

But, it is clear that

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi_A] = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

and we obtain the formula.

Proof of the Lemma.

We use the formula for expectation we just obtained, it yields

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(N(y) \ge k)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_{xy} \rho_{yy}^{k-1}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \rho_{xy} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{yy}^k$$

Proof of the Lemma.

We use the formula for expectation we just obtained, it yields

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(N(y) \ge k)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_{xy} \rho_{yy}^{k-1}$$

Proof of the Lemma.

We use the formula for expectation we just obtained, it yields

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(N(y) \ge k)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_{xy} \rho_{yy}^{k-1}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \rho_{xy} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{yy}^k$$

Proof (continued).

Recall that if $t \in (0,1)$, then

$$\frac{1}{1-t} = 1 + t + t^2 + t^3 + \dots$$

Proof (continued).

Recall that if $t \in (0,1)$, then

$$\frac{1}{1-t} = 1 + t + t^2 + t^3 + \dots$$

We obtain, using the above with $t = \rho_{yy}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \frac{\rho_{xy}}{1 - \rho_{yy}} \quad \text{if } \rho_{yy} < 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \infty \quad \text{if } \rho_{yy} = 1$$

$$G_x[N(y)] = \infty$$
 if $\rho_{yy} = 1$



A few takeaways from this formula: as long as $x \to y$, $\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)]$ gives us information about whether y is recurrent or not.

There is a completely different, formula for $\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)]$.

Lemma

For any states x and y we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n(x, y)$$

Proof.

Notice that we may write,

$$N(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\{X_n = y\}}$$

But

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\chi_{\{X_n=y\}}] = p^n(x,y)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[N(y)] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n(x, y)$$



Combining these two formulas tell us the following. If y is a transient state, then for any state x

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n(x, y) < \infty$$

and in particular, for a **transient** y the n-step transition probability from x to y goes to zero as n goes to infinity!

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p^n(x, y) = 0$$

Combining these two formulas tell us the following. If y is a transient state, then for any state x

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n(x, y) < \infty$$

and in particular, for a **transient** y the n-step transition probability from x to y goes to zero as n goes to infinity!

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p^n(x, y) = 0$$

Question:

Does $p^n(x, y)$ have a limit as $n \to \infty$ for **recurrent** y?

Convergence Theorem

Theorem

Consider an irreducible, aperiodic chain, and let $\pi(y)$ denote its stationary distribution.

Then, for any $y \in S$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p^n(x, y) = \pi(y) \ \forall \ x \in S.$$

Convergence Theorem

Theorem

Consider an irreducible, aperiodic chain, and let $\pi(y)$ denote its stationary distribution.

Then, for any $y \in S$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p^n(x, y) = \pi(y) \ \forall \ x \in S.$$

This is great, but what does this **aperiodicity** refer to?!.

Period of a state

Definition: Given a Markov Chain, the **period** of a state x is the largest common divisor of the numbers in the set

$$I_x := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^n(x, x) > 0 \}$$

Period of a state

Definition: Given a Markov Chain, the **period** of a state x is the largest common divisor of the numbers in the set

$$I_x := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^n(x, x) > 0 \}$$

Example: Remember the Ehrenfest chain? For any state in this chain $p^n(x,x) > 0$ if and only if n is even. Thus, the period of every state is 2.

Example: If x is such that $p^2(x,x) > 0$ and $p^3(x,x) > 0$ then the period of x is 1.

• The set I_x is closed under sums, that is, if $n \in I_x$ and $m \in I_x$, then $n + m \in I_x$.

- The set I_x is closed under sums, that is, if $n \in I_x$ and $m \in I_x$, then $n + m \in I_x$.
- If p(x, x) > 0, then x has period equal to 1.

- The set I_x is closed under sums, that is, if $n \in I_x$ and $m \in I_x$, then $n + m \in I_x$.
- If p(x, x) > 0, then x has period equal to 1.
- If $x \mapsto y$ and $y \mapsto x$ then x and y have the same period. In particular, in an irreducible chain, all states have the same period.

- The set I_x is closed under sums, that is, if $n \in I_x$ and $m \in I_x$, then $n + m \in I_x$.
- If p(x, x) > 0, then x has period equal to 1.
- If $x \mapsto y$ and $y \mapsto x$ then x and y have the same period. In particular, in an irreducible chain, all states have the same period.
- If x has period 1, then there is a number n_0 such that $p^n(x,x) > 0$ for every number $n \ge n_0$.

Definition: If every state in a chain has period equal to 1, the chain is said to be **aperiodic**.

• If a chain with N states is irreducible and aperiodic, then $p^n(x,y) > 0$ for all $n \ge N$ and any states x and y.