Today: \* The fast Fourier Transform

\* Symmetric matrices and orthogonality

Fast time one talked about the Discrete Favier transform  $D_m$ , defined to

 $(D_m)_{ij} = \omega_m$  ) where  $\omega_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$  ) (j,j=1,...,m)

We sow how this unitary matrix is extremely useful when analyzing 1-6 function (after discretizing them to a m-point grid).

Given a vector  $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , computing  $D_m \overline{x}$  in the usual way takes about  $O(m^2)$  FLOP's (as an known for matrix-vector multiplication).

In the mid 20th-century it was observed that if  $m=2^K$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  then the multiplication  $D_m \overline{x}$  could be reduced to two multiplications.

involving Dm repeating this procedure one can perform The multiplicat Dm 2 not in O(mosm) FLOP's. This is a by enough difference that for many application the only practical way of computer Dm X is in this manner. This is known as the Fast Disease Former Transfer algorith or yest fast Foorier Transfer

## The fast Fourier Transform

Let's see how computs  $D_m \times is$ The same as computs  $D_m \times i''$ ,  $D_m \times i''$  for some well chosen vector Z''',  $Z''' \in \mathbb{C}^{m_Z}$ .

Then, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be even, m = 2m'Here is the Key observation:

$$\omega_{2m'}^2 = \omega_{m'} \qquad \text{(24)}$$

(recall com = e m for every w FIN)

Why is Or one? It's elementary:

$$\omega_{2n'}^{2} = \left(e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{1}}{2n'}}\right)^{2} = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{1}}{n'}} = \omega_{n'}^{2}$$

With this is had, what does the i-th component of Dm & look like?

$$(D_{m}x)_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (D_{m})_{ij} x_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\omega_{m}^{i})^{j} x_{j}$$

Observe that  $\omega_{m}^{j} = \omega_{2m}^{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{m}^{j} & \text{if } j \text{ is even} \\ \omega_{m}^{j} & \omega_{m}^{j} & \text{if } j \text{ is} \\ \omega_{m}^{j} & \omega_{m}^{j} & \text{if } j \text{ is} \\ \omega_{m}^{j} & \omega_{m}^{j} & \text{if } j \text{ is} \end{pmatrix}$ 

Let's write  $j=2\kappa$  is the first son, and  $j=2\kappa-1$  in the second sun, where  $\kappa=1,...,m'$ . Then

We see that  $D_{m}x$  is the sum of two vectors that look a lot like  $D_{\frac{m}{2}}=D_{m}$ , applied to something like.

First, local of  $i=1,\dots, m'$  only, Define  $\chi^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2 \\ \chi_4 \\ \vdots \\ \chi_{2m'} \end{pmatrix}, \chi^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_3 \\ \vdots \\ \chi_{2m'-1} \end{pmatrix}$ 

If we take only the part is composets of  $D_m \times (E(\mathbb{Z}^{2m'}))$ , but's duck this  $PD_m \times$ , We see ther

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\bigcap_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{X} &=& \bigcap_{\mathbf{M}^{1}} \mathbf{Z}^{(1)} &+& \bigwedge_{\mathbf{m}^{1}} \bigcap_{\mathbf{m}^{1}} \mathbf{X}^{(2)} \\
& \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{\mathbf{M}^{1}} \mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{M}^{1}} \mathbf{X}_{2\mathbf{K}} \\ \mathbf{x}_{=1} \end{array} \right) & \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\omega}_{2\mathbf{M}^{1}} & \sum_{\mathbf{K}^{1}} \mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{M}^{1}} \mathbf{X}_{2\mathbf{K}^{-1}} \\ \mathbf{x}_{=1} & \mathbf{x}_{=1} \end{array} \right) \\
& i = (\gamma - \gamma^{M}) & i = (\gamma - \gamma^{M})
\end{array}$$

Notice that computing PDnx amonds to compute two nultiplications of Dmg and a nultiplication by a maximal diagonal metrix (this takes O(mg) FLOP'S). What about the other m' companies of Dmx?

Well, it is m's then i = m'+2, l=1,...,m'.

Then  $\omega_{m'} = \omega_{m'} = (\omega_{m'})^* \omega_{n'}$   $= \omega_{m'}^{k} + \ell \kappa$   $= \omega_{m'}^{k} + \ell \kappa$ 

and likewise

$$\omega_{m'} = \omega_{m'} = \omega_{m'} = \omega_{m'} \omega_{m'}$$

$$= \omega_{m'} = \omega_{m'}$$

$$=$$
  $(D_{m}X)_{i} =$ 

 $= \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m'} \omega_{m'}^{\ell \kappa} \times_{\kappa} + \omega_{n'}^{1-2\lambda} \underbrace{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{m'} \omega_{m'}^{l \kappa} \times_{2\kappa-1}^{2\kappa-1}}_{\kappa=1}$ 

This shows the remains m' coordinates of Don't are the some as the first m'.

The above show bow coupling Dm is reduced to two complate of Dm/2, a williplicate by a singent of metrix, and some post processing.

If  $M = 2^{K}$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , we complete this K times. Reduces the complete of  $2^{K}$  Complete of  $2^{K}$  D2's, plus  $2^{K}$  diagonal metric multiplicature

The  $2^{\kappa}$  miliplican by  $0_2$ 's takes  $O(2^{\kappa}) = O(\kappa)$ FLOP's, whole the  $2^{\kappa}$  diagonal near-ix multiplican take more time in modes metrics of the  $2^{\alpha}$  for  $l=1,...,\kappa$ . This takes  $O(\kappa 2^{\kappa})$  Flop's. that is  $O(\kappa \log m)$  FLOP's.