

Decision Trees: Evaluation

Mauro Sozio*

*slides adapted from the course: Introduction to data mining, Steinbach, Kumar

Model Evaluation

- | Metrics for Performance Evaluation
 - How to evaluate the performance of a model?
- | Methods for Performance Evaluation
 - How to obtain reliable estimates?
- | Methods for Model Comparison
 - How to compare the relative performance among competing models?

Model Evaluation

- | Metrics for Performance Evaluation
 - How to evaluate the performance of a model?
- | Methods for Performance Evaluation
 - How to obtain reliable estimates?
- | Methods for Model Comparison
 - How to compare the relative performance among competing models?

Metrics for Performance Evaluation

- | Focus on the predictive capability of a model
 - Rather than running time, scalability, etc.
- | Confusion Matrix:

| ACTUAL Class | PREDICTED Class | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Class=Yes | Class=No |
| | Class=Yes | TP | FN |
| | Class=No | FP | TN |

TP (true positive)
FN (false negative)
FP (false positive)
TN (true negative)

Data Mining: Decision Trees

Metrics for Performance Evaluation...

| | PREDICTED Class | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Class=Yes | Class=No |
| | Class=Yes | TP | FN |
| | Class=No | FP | TN |

- | Most widely-used metric:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{TN} + \text{FP} + \text{FN}}$$

Data Mining: Decision Trees

Limitation of Accuracy

- | Consider a 2-Class problem
 - Number of Class 0 examples = 9990
 - Number of Class 1 examples = 10
- | If model predicts everything to be Class 0, accuracy is $9990/10000 = 99.9\%$
 - Misleading: model does not detect any Class 1 example

Cost Matrix and Weighted Accuracy

$C(i|j)$: Cost of misclassifying Class j record as Class i

| ACTUAL Class | PREDICTED Class | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | $C(i j)$ | Class=Yes | Class=No |
| | Class=Yes | $C(\text{Yes} \text{Yes})$ | $C(\text{No} \text{Yes})$ |
| | Class=No | $C(\text{Yes} \text{No})$ | $C(\text{No} \text{No})$ |

$$\text{Weighted Acc.} = \frac{\text{TP} \times C(\text{Yes}|\text{YES}) + \text{TN} \times C(\text{No}|\text{No})}{\text{TP} \times C(\text{Yes}|\text{YES}) + \text{FN} \times C(\text{No}|\text{yes}) + \text{FP} \times C(\text{Yes}|\text{No}) + \text{TN} \times C(\text{No}|\text{No})}$$

Data Mining: Decision Trees

Cost of Classification

| Cost Matrix | PREDICTED Class | | |
|--------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| ACTUAL Class | C(i j) | + | - |
| | + | -1 | 100 |
| | - | 1 | 0 |

Cost Matrix Penalizing FN

$$Cost = TP \times c(TP) + TN \times c(TN) + FP \times c(FP) + FN \times c(FN)$$

| Model M_1 | PREDICTED Class | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| ACTUAL Class | | + | - |
| | + | 150 | 40 |
| | - | 60 | 250 |

Accuracy = $400 / 500 = 80\%$
 Cost = 3910

| Model M_2 | PREDICTED Class | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| ACTUAL Class | | + | - |
| | + | 205 | 65 |
| | - | 30 | 200 |

Accuracy = $405 / 500 = 81\%$
 Cost = 6325

Data Mining: Decision Trees

Precision, Recall, F-measure

$$\text{Precision (p)} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{Recall (r)} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Easy to get only high precision: ‘Yes’ only for instances we are “sure” about (e.g. easy to classify “robot” if 1000 pages/sec. retrieved) => low recall

Trivial to get only high recall: classify all instances as ‘Yes’ => low precision

Data Mining: Decision Trees

Precision, Recall, F-measure

F-measure is the harmonic mean of p and r, which penalizes very small recall or very small precision

$$\text{F-measure (F)} = \frac{2rp}{r+p} \quad (\text{Harmonic Mean of p and r})$$

E.g. : Harmonic Mean (1,2,4) = $1 / (1/3 (1+1/2+1/4)) = 12/7$
(reciprocal of the arithmetic mean of the reciprocals)

It can be shown $\min (x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq H(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq n * \min (x_1, \dots, x_n)$
 \Rightarrow min is very small then harmonic mean is very small.

Model Evaluation

- | Metrics for Performance Evaluation
 - How to evaluate the performance of a model?
- | **Methods for Performance Evaluation**
 - How to obtain reliable estimates?
- | Methods for Model Comparison
 - How to compare the relative performance among competing models?

Methods for Performance Evaluation

- | How to obtain a reliable estimate of performance?
- | Performance of a model may depend on other factors besides the learning algorithm:
 - Class distribution
 - Cost of misclassification
 - Size of training and test sets

Methods of Estimation

- | Holdout
 - Reserve random 2/3 for training and 1/3 for testing
- | Random subsampling
 - Repeated holdout (compute the average)
- | Cross validation
 - Partition data into k disjoint subsets of the same size
 - k -fold: train on $k-1$ sets, test on the remaining one. Repeat k times, each subset being used exactly once for testing. Compute the average of the results.
 - Leave-one-out: $k=n$, ($n-1$ sets training test, rest test set)
- | Bootstrap
 - Sampling with replacement