

# TA Follow-up Guide

---

- **In-Class Goal (Workshop):** Your #1 priority is to ensure the self-hosted runner is **active and connected** and to help teams diagnose any YAML/pathing issues in the `main.yml` file to achieve the **Initial Green Check**  . Any team that doesn't get green by the end of class is blocked on the homework.
- **Final DoD (Due 11:59 PM):** The final submission must be a single team PR (`ice2-ci-workshop`) that is "**Approved**" and has a **Green Check**, and whose `CONTRIBUTIONS.md` links out to a passing PR from every team member.
- **Common Pitfalls & Coaching:**
  1. **Self-Hosted Runner Offline:**
    - **Symptom:** The CI run is stuck in a "Waiting for a self-hosted runner" state.
    - **The Fix:** The student must run `./run.sh` (or `run.cmd`) in their `actions-runner` directory. Remind them to keep the terminal window open!
  2. **Improper CR Workflow:**
    - **Symptom:** The `Repo Admin` simply leaves a comment or `Merges` the PR without the formal "**Request Changes**" (Part 4) or "**Approve**" (Part 6) steps.
    - **The Fix:** Coach the team that the CR status badge in GitHub (Required/Approved/Changes Requested) is the **evidence** the system requires. The comment alone isn't enough.
- **Coaching Questions (for next team meeting):**
  - "You just practiced rejecting a PR. What's the trade-off: is it better to **Request Changes** on a small fix or to let a small, safe-looking failure slip into `main` and then revert?"
  - "The `CONTRIBUTIONS.md` log lists all the steps your team took. How could you turn this log into an **onboarding checklist** for a new developer joining MoJ next week?"
- **Feed-Forward Prompts (to prep for the next ICE):**
  - "You now have a safety net for code quality. Next week, we introduce **databases** (SQLAlchemy). What will you need to add to your CI pipeline (`main.yml`) to ensure your tests can successfully connect to and tear down a database instance?"

## TA Grading Rubric (10 Points)

The final `ice2-ci-workshop` PR (due 11:59 PM) will be graded using this rubric.

Criteria	Points	Description
<b>In-Class Demo Evidence</b>	4 pts	The PR's history clearly shows the team's <b>Green</b>  $\rightarrow$ <b>Red</b>  $\rightarrow$ <b>Green</b>  loop, the <b>CR-Approve</b> loop, and <b>comments from all members</b> .
<b>Process Log &amp; Links</b>	3 pts	<code>CONTRIBUTIONS.md</code> is complete and—most importantly—contains <b>working links</b> to every team member's individual demo PR.

Criteria	Points	Description
Individual Homework	3 pts	Each linked individual PR also correctly shows the <b>Green <math>\rightarrow</math> Red <math>\rightarrow</math> Green</b> loop and a <b>CR-Approve</b> loop. (Partial credit if some are missing).
Total	10 pts	