

we are fortunate to have dr christopher
chris currently serves as a reviewer in
the division of neurology products at
the fda

his interests include rare neurological
diseases

he also teaches at johns hopkins and the
nih

chris received his md and his phd in
neurological science from the university
of chicago and completed his residency
and clinical fellowship at johns hopkins
he did postdoctoral studies in molecular
neurobiology where he also completed at
the university of chicago and johns
hopkins

im confident youre going to enjoy

todays lecture

good evening

thank you all for coming

um

especially uh

not only those who are here but those

who are online i understand theres

a lot of you um

my name is chris brader

we uh

selfintroduce now i guess so im one of

your colleagues from the fda

um

been there for about seven years before

that i was in industry for about and

im

both an anesthesiologist

and a neuroscientist by training

so like all good

fda talks heres my disclaimer

that this view is mine and actually i

have a lot of one of the benefits of my

industry background is i have a lot of

sort of business things in here as well

as fda things so this isnt your typical

drug development talk

so my objective

is to present to you one strategy

for

the process for submitting a us

marketing application or what many

people call

clinical or drug development

and unlike many talks on this topic i

will also talk about increasing the
value of the approved asset the other
name for that is
life cycle management or post marketing
strategy

this every year i tried to do something
a little novel this is my addition this
year so i typically just teach about
what you do and this is
sort of a quick introduction on how to
do it or how to start a drug development
program

so once
ill say for now once you have a drug
but well talk about the exact timing
later

but once you have a drug the first thing
you do is produce something known as a
target product profile

and that is a
document that contains the
attributes of that product
that are that differentiate it from your
competition and and other products
and from that you develop a clinical
development plan were going to talk

about the clinical development plan
today
if its not in your clinical development
plan its not going to be
something that you can really capitalize
on for your product
and then finally
once youre done your studies and you
have your data that goes into something
known as the labeling or that little
package that the pharmacist has that
unfolds to about this big with 0 size
font has anyone ever read one of those
before
theyre very
many people say that anesthesiologists
read the wall street journal while
theyre doing cases i actually used to
read package inserts which is sort of
geeky i guess thats why im here now
okay so this is
the general strategy of of how you do
that and this of course is an approval
letter its actually a drug i worked on
there so that in a certain sense is your
goal

many people want to know
when should i start
working on my target product profile
when do you think that would be
when does a drug development team start
this
any
any guesses
right
and even
before the beginning because once you
synthesize your drug
and you start testing it you cant
change it at all all you can do is study
it
so before you actually pick a drug
candidate you need to know what you want
what sort of attributes because the
the scientists the drug discovery folks
can actually do something to help you
pick a drug that actually does
what you want
while the intent of this lecture is not
to go into the discovery process in one
of my lifetimes
i worked with the discovery and

marketing folks

at that early stage and i thought it was
really fascinating so ill show you some
slides about how they do their
their business or essentially
you know where do new drugs come from

so

theres many is anyone here actually in
the industry does anyone work in
discovery or do you consul
so its really a fascinating
process at least one of the places where

i was had this

machine called the haystack i think it
was and they had millions of compounds
and you could develop an assay
and then screen all those compounds for

that

and then you would do structure activity

work

so

thats for new molecular entities
you do this sort of fishing you can do
structure activity relationships
etc its very chemistry heavy its very
exciting every week to have chemists

come in with 0 compounds and they show

you all the things theyve done

biologics

theres

just a wealth of places where drugs can

come from there

and reformulations are also a very

popular

type of drug development

and the formulation chemists come up

with all kind of things that make the

that modify the profile

of the bioavailability

of the drug

there so this is a picture of that high

throughput screening process

once a candidate

is selected

from there it goes through something

that different companies call it

different things

where i was they called it development

lead selection

lets say you have four candidates

so the first step it might go through

receptor binding

you might find it binds to a target you
don't like the serotonin b receptors
somewhat unpopular
so you might knock out certain
candidates from there
might do some early bioavailability
studies and in animals
and also
pharmacodynamic screens keep knocking it
out
you might do some preliminary toxicology
work
as well as
what's known as admin
which is the in vitro pk
absorption distribution metabolism and
excretion
and you might not want certain
admin profiles so you can knock that out
and according to this screen then
you would pick
molecule b
and then you would have a development
candidate
in industry the popular
expression is throwing it over the fence

or something like that it actually takes

a lot

for a molecule to be born to get into

the clinic

for all those that fail in the clinic

thousands fail

before this step

so this is your time

before you do this to think about what

assays do i want

so i can get closer to my target product

profile for example

back when i was doing this antipsychotic

drug development was very big and there

was a theory at least that binding to

histamine receptors led to obesity so if

that was in fact true you might put a

histamine receptor screen

in here and throw out everything that

binds a certain histamine receptor or

what have you but if you dont do that

once you pick that drug

youre stuck with it um

so the time to work on your target

product profile

is

at literally at the beginning soon as
you say i want to develop a drug thats
when you do it
so
like most most lectures they say
say what you want to say say it and then
say it again well this is the punch line
for the whole
lecture this is
a map of a strategy for
drug development its sort of the most
typical map it gets modified extensively
depending on what type of drug youre
developing
but if you understand why this is the
typical map
its very easy to modify to shorten you
might need to add something what have
you so what im going to do
in the bulk of the lecture is to derive
this map
theres a wisdom
in drug development lecturing that you
should start at the end
okay and work your way forward when i
first gave this lecture i used to do it

from the beginning and work my way the

other way

so now i do it i go backwards and all

which makes sense too its uh

whats the least that i need to do

to march backwards from each step

but im not going to talk through it now

but

slowly but surely were going to build

this map youre going to get a sense

of how to

develop most

most drug candidates

so these are the aims for drug

development

if you had a lecture

on

how to apply

for a marketing application

someone would tell you that the

applications either called a bla

biological license application or an nda

new drug application

and theres a common

form that companies fill out in that

application its called a common

technical document and the three
major functions
that
review
that at the fda
are the chemis
cmc
the pharmacology
toxicology folks and the clinical folks
and since my lecture is on clinical
development programs i am only going to
talk about clinical
so heres the goal for clinical
you need to establish efficacy
and safety for a drug or biologic
in a dose range and schedule
that provides an acceptable risk benefit
relationship so well talk about each of
these
components
and
before we do i thought i would show you
where the bar is where is it
where is that derived you know how high
do i have to jump to get over this bar
and this is from

the actual law
upon
which almost all of drug development is
based
the food
drug and cosmetic act
of 9
um
and theres actually six
six ways to not six ways to fail
and this he or she refers to the
commissioner if they always refer to the
commissioner will do this or that
or their designee
so there are six ways for the
commissioner to refuse it and if you
look at this
one two and four
are all different ways of saying you
have to demonstrate that the drug is
safe
and
number three
is a chemistry rule
number five
is the rule that talks about efficacy

were going to talk a bunch
about this phrase here substantial
evidence and what that means
and then six is about patent stuff and
well leave that to the lawyers
so first lets talk about efficacy its
the first part of that statement you
know
i think of all the phrases
in
in the regulatory field the one you
would think is the most discussed the
most talked about
is efficacy
because you actually cant study safety
unless your drug is efficacy you can
always pick a dose for your drug
thats safe right if you have a
billionth
of a pharmacologically effective dose
thats not going to have many side
effects
but if you bring that dose up to where
its actually
affecting the pharmacodynamics you want
thats when you start to see toxicities

so efficacy is very important
and as it turns out probably the least
defined and discussed word in the
regulatory literature is efficacy its
actually very hard
to find a definition
that really pins it down
in fact when it does discuss it it talks
more about something called
effectiveness which
you're actually taught
you know when you're at the agency is
something different
but
efficacy
essentially is just the power for the
drug to produce an effect
and the effect that it has to produce is
the one that you propose in your drug
labeling
okay
and we'll get to that more when we look
at the substantial evidence
wording
effectiveness on the other hand is a
more realworld

definition how well the drug really
works
in real life situations that something
that the
the payers and the
pharmacies are actually more concerned
with and can you in some staged event
demonstrate
that you can produce pharmacodynamic
effect
so why do we care about efficacy
well
you need to make sure the drug works
and importantly
much of drug promotion is based on the
labeling
so
so efficacy is very important
and as i just mentioned you need to
assess safety in the context of an
efficacious dose here are there any
chemists here
i actually my first life was a chemist
paracelsus was like the
father of chemistry
there and the first uh credited with

being the father of toxicology also
and then as i mentioned some authorities
are particularly interested in
effectiveness
even if you can get a drug approved that
doesn't mean they want it on their
formulary it really needs to add
something
so this is the actual legal definition
around
efficacy and as i mentioned this term
substantial evidence is very important
and the law
which believe it or not
this part didn't come around till the
60s around the thalidomide
events for those who are fans of history
said that you need evidence from
adequate and well-controlled
investigations with a test is very
prominent here including clinical
investigations
that the drug will have the effect it
purports
under the conditions in the labeling
and then later it was added

that if the secretary so my apologies
its not the commissioner its always
the secretary or the secretaries
designee
uh if the secretary determines
based on relevant science one
trial
plus something known as confirmatory
evidence which is evidence which can add
to a clinical trial
such that you believe that the drug
actually has the effect
it purports this came around

99

this rule right here
so the critical
thing here is that to have substantial
evidence which is
essentially the evidence you need
to be convincing in a marketing
application
with no other evidence you need two
adequate and wellcontrolled
trials
so
and this is what adequate and

wellcontrolled means and this right
here the last slide was from the law
this is
from the regulations which are derived
from the law so
from this document right here
so this defines the type of trials that
one looks for
to provide substantial evidence
and as you read this has anyone here
ever helped write or read a clinical
protocol
so you can
youll see this in all the protocols
right so you have
different treatments objectives
inclusion exclusion criteria
uh methods of randomization
also
other
ways to minimize bias like blinding
singleblind doubleblind etc
and
the the outcome measures or endpoints
are welldefined
and then a

analysis plan so these are all things
you sort of take for granted in a
protocol but they have their legal
basis

so now we've covered the first of those
requirements efficacy

so the rule is unless you have
contributory evidence which can be other
clinical evidence sometimes

nonclinical evidence suffices you
should plan on doing two adequate and
well-controlled trials especially for a

brand new drug

reformulated drugs

and of course there are many other
exceptions but if all you're doing is
making the drug a controlled release and

it's already been approved as an
immediate release

of course you know that the molecule
itself has an effect so that would be
contributory evidence so you more than

likely would not

need to do two trials

so

we've established that so now we're

going to find out how do i get to that
stage of two adequate and
wellcontrolled phase iii trials
before we do
there is anyone here in clinical
pharmacology
no one this time well youll recall
was anyone here for dr pecks lecture i
think i recognize some you know from
last week well hes hes a clinical
pharmacologist very
big advocate of studying clinical
pharmacology
there are some
clinical pharmacology studies that you
need even to get the ball rolling
okay the very first things you do and
well talk about them in a minute but
there are some that you typically
dont do until the very end
and
one reason is because you want to make
sure the drug actually works before you
do some of these
because these are horrifically expensive
studies

and also if these patients aren't your
primary patient
that the indication is for
you may not need these studies to
conduct the phase study but you'll
eventually want the information in your
labeling
and chief among them are these studies
the renal and hepatic
impairment studies
drug interaction studies
so if your early nonclinical
data suggests you have a drug
interaction you're going to want to do
those
those studies and
many act many people actually say
you want to do that before your phase
threes because if you're worried about a
drug interaction
you have to exclude those people from
your phase study and you don't want to
exclude anyone that you don't have to
from a phase study because that will
limit the population you can study which
will slow you down

and also give you a more restricted
labeling
okay but very often they are excluded
from phase studies because you aren't
sure if the drug
works yet so to do these
programs would be
you know not a good business
decision
usually unless the exceptions are
if your drug
is for these patients you want to
know that beforehand
and also if you have a strong signal
for any of these
it's best to study it as soon as
possible if you have if you're
developing a drug for psychiatry
and you suspect that it interacts with
cytochrome P450 many other
psychiatry drugs were metabolized by
that so you would be well advised to
study that
very soon
so you could either you might even kill
the drug

based on that

because

if your competitors dont have that and

you need to have a very complicated

dosing

you want to know that right up front

so now we have this part of the map

were going to sort of draw the map

backwards

all right so

new drug application filing

two adequate and wellcontrolled phase

three studies

and then these clint farm studies

so going

back here the next part of the map well

talk about

is this concept of a dose range and

schedule

very important

so

question is how do i get to my two

adequate and wellcontrolled studies

optimally you would do phase two studies

and

to be specific phase to be and for me

personally

um

phase two is any study that involves
patients where the object is to study
the effect of dose on either safety or

efficacy

and

the b refers to

uh

studying typically studying efficacy is

is the primary objective

phase a studies tend to be for

safety questions

all right so phase two i often say its

the lone wolf

very unloved

because its not really strictly

required

in the regulations no one says you have

to do a phase two

um

but

it could be very its

it could be very wise to do it its

always good to know more

about your drug before you spend about

three or four hundred million dollars on
your phase program but i would have to

say

many programs dont do phase studies

so

and they dont do them because these
trials are almost as long and expensive

oops

as phase studies

the question is can they be
registrational and the answer is you
know they can if you prespecify your
analysis plan

the difference typically is that you
dont have as many subjects
in them and you really are
exploring but if your drug really works
well and you happen to get a positive
study

especially in rare diseases no ones
going to quibble between whether it was

called phase two and three

so they can be

registrational

and there are cases where
it doesnt make too much sense to do

phase studies such as when you're
doing modified release
formulations with well-behaved
pk in your molecule if you have a drug
that typically doses at 100 milligrams a
day
for the immediate release
um
you're probably safe not doing a phase
two study you're going to want about the
same
the same dosage now there have been some
modified release drugs
where
they only had like 10 percent of the
bioavailability
and so after they did their studies they
bumped it up but that was all based on
pk
pk results
the other thing you might consider is
one of the main reasons to make a
modified release drug is to sort of cut
off the cmax to make it more tolerable
so you might actually be able to put
more

drug into the
into the pill than was in the immediate
release it might be more tolerable with
a slower
absorption but you need to make sure
you have all the nonclinical
work that supports that
this is a
fairly famous example of when phase two
was not done in this
uh
study for this drug chlorthalidone
um
it was actually marketed before much
dose exploration was done and had very
bad side effects and then a dose
response study was done
and it was seen its that chlorthalidone
as an antihypertensive drug
uh was actually seen that there is a
sealing effect
on the fall
in blood pressure and just pushing the
dose higher was just increasing the
toxicity so
um the time you

whats the expression

never

a better you know you need to make a

very good first impression its very bad

to launch a drug twice

especially if the first time you

launched it it had a bad safety profile

given the cost of it it makes sense to

do it wisely

so this is

this is a good rationale for doing phase

two so you understand the dose of your

drug

these are different types of dose

response

measurements you can look for one is the

maximal tolerated dose

which well talk about in a little bit

thats often gotten in the second study

of the whole series which ill describe

called the mad study

you might also look for the minimal

efficacious dose

you want to look at the curve

that leads up to that is it a very

steep curve or a very flat sort of curve

and also titration is incredibly
important and well talk about
the cases that it seems most important
almost toward the end of the lecture
so now we have this part of our map

built

right nda

from phase three

and weve done our phase two b studies

and these sort of late

clin phase

studies

so the question is now how do i get to

my phase two

so what happens

at phase two that i need to prepare for

well phase two

[Music]

has extended exposure of drug
can have many subjects into the hundreds
people theyre actually patients right
phase two so theyll have different
diseases and concomitant medications
and
so to do all this you need to really
understand

your drug and also some people don't
think it's wise to go from a two week to
a year

exposure of the drugs so they put
something
intermediate and in case there's some
toxicity

associated with extended exposure
but but especially since this is a
clindfarm course I'll emphasize that
it's very important to really understand
your drug before you go into patients
because they can be very
unpredictable

so now we've been working backwards in
the scheme now I'm going to do a
an end around and we're going to
actually start from the very beginning
all right and this is the beginning
of all the clinical pharmacology studies
did you talk at all about first in human
studies

before all right so I'll not go too slow
on that so of course the first study in
humans

sometimes called the first in human

study also called the single ascending

dose studies

and to do that

at least in the united states if thats

your first study you need to submit an

investigational new drug application

which includes

for the most part nonclinical studies

this is the guidance

carl peck talked about guidances this is

a great read even a clinician like me

can get through that document its

written very well

you also need the supporting chemistry

documentation

and it gives you some safety and

tolerability

uh information only for a single dose

and

also data for pk modeling so as dr peck

explained modeling

has a very important place in drug

development you really start getting

data right here

and what it allows

is

this study is called the sad study after
you're sad then you can get mad multiple

ascending dose study

and

this you typically pick three or four

dose levels

and

push the dose

until it's not really tolerated and the
reason you do that is because you don't
want to go into phase three not having
known what the top of your tolerability
curve is you don't want to under dose
you know because you'll never be able to
go you will be able to go back again but
phase three studies are terrifically
expensive

and when you tell your boss that you

didn't pick the optimal dose you

probably won't be the one who's

repeating this

all right just I'm sure you've had this

what you get from a single ascending

dose study this is a

time

curve for exposure you get the area

under the curve also known as exposure

the C_{max} concentration max

the t_{max} right here various metrics

you get

as i mentioned

typically you go on the next study is a

multiple ascending dose study

prerequisite would be a single ascending

dose study plus supporting nonclinical

information

and this really gives this is one of the
most valuable pieces of information your

maximal tolerated dose so you go up to

where you have a toxicity you dont want

and go down

and thats your maximal tolerated dose

its not the dose that gave you the

toxicity

and

critical pk data one of the most

critical ones here is known as C_{min} you

notice i didnt have that on the single

dose the C_{min} is also known as the

trough level its the lowest level

before you take the pill again

and for many

drugs and classes is thought to be
really the critical
plasma level like antiepileptic drugs
the semen
needs to stay above a certain
floor level once it goes below that you
start having seizures again
whereas other drug classes you think
about different things like
antimicrobials
you think about the c_{max} more you want
the drug to go up and spike kill the
bugs
come down like that
and thats one reason why some modified
release
antibiotics dont always work as well
because they dont have that
spike
oops
so what the mad study gives you is it
helps you select the dose for phase two
and there are some studies you need to
do in clean form where you need to know
the top clinical dose
such as a food effects study

and a qt study i think youve been
introduced to the qt study before is

that right yeah

and this is what the data looks like

this is actually

data from two different types of
experiments here we see with the

unfilled circles what a

four time a day drug looks like

heres the cmax here are the cmins

and this is a modified release drug

so it has its taken once a day

and it has

one peak

see how the semen

is a little lower than that

so that could be a little concerning

depending on what this drug is for

if this is an analgesic drug youre

typically not as worried the pain relief

level is often more related to the auc

but if this is an antiepileptic drug

your

difference is about

0 to 0

okay so

that amount may or may not be critical

this is your mad study

all right so now we have our map again

all right and these are actually this is

the beginning

and this actually isn't quite the end

um we'll get to the end soon

does anyone know

the phrase for what the

the shortest series of studies to go

from beginning to end is called

it's an expression people like to sort of

throw around these days

it's called the critical path

so the critical path those are the

studies that define your

your timeline

all right because

these studies here you can do almost any

time right you can shove them forward or

back but you start with sad

and you go to NDA there's actually one

more thing here so it's not really your

critical path but

any slip whoops

any slip up

of the timeline of any of these studies
and the whole project timeline
shifts forward whereas if your drug
interaction timeline slips its not
going to have as big an effect
so when youre the person responsible
for the critical path theres a lot of
pressure on you
so other supporting clin farm studies
are listed here
well
sort of quickly go through them
the thorough qt study which i think dr
peck may have explained
this is the arrhythmia torsade
right here
generally if you see that on tv
thats not a good thing you dont want
your heart to do this it should really
look like this
right here
so the qt
goes from here to here and that gets
prolonged and then the heart just
decides to do what it wants to do and
this is one thing it does and there are

certain drug interactions that are known
to cause this so the fda is very focused
on that

others food effect study

food effect study is a type of study

called a

bioequivalent study bioequivalent

studies

are hypothesis

driven studies where you want to compare

one condition to another so the

condition here

is fed versus fasting youre actually

testing a hypothesis

the hypothesis is that theyre no

different

okay

dose linearity

you want to know that theres a

predictable increase in exposure

with dose this is another bio

equivalence type study you actually

normalize

the data for the dose and then do bio

equivalence calculations between the

different dose levels because they

should be the same

dose proportionality

very often theres if you have three
pill sizes one two and four milligrams
you want to make sure that four times
one is the same as one times four
and youd think why wouldnt they be
well some pills have such a dose range
that you actually need to make the ones

at the top end a little different than
the ones at the bottom end you put
different uh things in there called
excipients which are the nonactive

parts

um

because of the

the difference in the strength they
could actually be different formulations

metabolism studies

um

if you were in my it also teach drug
development at hopkins in their online
program and usually i have a slide
before this that shows a rat in a little
metabolism cage and they collect its
feces and urine and all that

and while we don't quite do that with
humans this is sort of the analogy that
they actually give humans radioactive
drug

and they collect various fluids
and they look at the metabolism of the
drug and this is done very early in the
process because you want to have a
handle

on how the drug gets metabolized
actually this is something you've
probably seen from experiments here

whole body autoradiography
in an animal usually done as tissue

autoradiography

and this is the analogous
situation in humans it's not a required
part

of any development program but it may
inform about whether the drug penetrates
into the brain

all right so now

we've added a few more ornaments onto
our map

right here and we're going to get to
the very last part of this map and that

is

safety

so what is required for safety

i wont read this to you but there are

actual numbers

that are given for how many patients

need to be exposed and this is not

an fda specific thing these are actually

part of rules

from a group called

ich or international conference on

harmonization thats

really an international

agreement which is good because now drug

development is more global so it allows

material from one application to be

usable

throughout the world

you can see though

that theres or for chronic drugs you

need to be treated for at least a

hundred

for

a year and all this

is subject to some reason i did

anesthetic drugs for a while the

regulation of them and as you can
imagine something you're only going to
get once
or twice
you don't need to keep giving for a year
so
generally use some clinical sense in
these numbers
so
but as a base case for chronic drugs
diabetes rheumatoid arthritis pain what
have you
you need 100 subjects exposed to a drug
100 for 6 months 100 for a year
and the number can change it's usually
not in the direction you want depending
on if you find something that needs to
be studied a little
more and very often people do these
studies following their phase three
studies called longterm extension
studies that are tacked on open label
studies as compared to doubleblind
it is actually very expensive to blind
medications clinical supplies for a
clinical trial are very expensive and

they're really hard to coordinate if you
might imagine especially if you're
making drug cards up for the whole world
right drug cards in Russia England US
it's just an extremely complex process
so these long-term extensions are for
the most part open label
and therefore
finding
if you have
side effects which we call adverse
effects
that occur with prolonged exposure
so I note that right here
visits are often less frequent you often
have
you know
you don't do all the pharmacodynamic
assessments
and actually these are not good for
uh studying efficacy or pharmacodynamics
because there's no blinding so everyone
knows who's on
what drug so there's a lot of
subjectivity there
this is a schematic diagram of what that

these studies look like you go from a
doubleblind randomized control trial
to an open label longterm extension
study

these little lollipops are the visits
you can see

during the study active versus placebo

the visits are very regular

and very frequent

and then at the end of the randomized
control period

and this is just one way to do it

theres many ways to do it

you down titrate the actives you up

titrate the placebos to a common dose

now you can break the blind

right because everyone is on a common
dose

and then

once they get once everyones on that

dose

then its up to the physician whos

their actual doctor to adjust the dose

they can go up or down or whatever they
want

so this is how these trials work you can

also feed more
drug naive subjects in at this point
because you may need more subjects to
meet that 00 subject exposure
so now we have the complete map all
right this is the map you had
at the beginning it has the critical
path
and you can see this is the element that
was missing
and the clin farm studies these two
clint farm studies are critical path
studies the others typically
are not
notice i put a little asterisk by
thorough qt
if theres a signal somewhere in your
nonclinical
that you need to be worried about the qt
prolongation
that study is moved forward but if
youre not
so concerned about it from your
nonclinical data that like the these
studies here
is done later because this is also a

terrifically expensive

uh study and also if its supposed to be

done at the top of the clinical dose

range you dont want to go in with a

dose early on that may not be your top

dose because then it wont be as useful

for what you need it

for so heres

my one slide on biologics biologic

development is

to a large extent like small drug

development but there are some important

differences because they are biologics

and

the difference is so first you have a

first in human

you often

well you should have a multiple

ascending dose study

this is a very subtle difference the

first

study

of small drugs

is based on animal studies based on the

no observed adverse effect level or no

ael

okay but for various reasons
in biologics use a much more sensitive
level than no observed effect level so
its not just an adverse effect its any
effect does anyone know why
we have that difference
may have happened before your time
so
i dont even know how many years it go
it was now but i remember hearing about
it on the radio so maybe like seven
eight something like that
years ago theres a
an english study i think and they dosed
everybody at once on something where the
noel predicted no toxicity and everyone
went into organ failure
in that study
the
you could look it up its called the
tejanero case
tegen something
well that also recently happened
and why it was is because the toxicity
studies were done in monkeys
and they werent they didnt have the

same immune
response that humans had so
if you based it on the effect level it
was way higher than it should have been
so ever since then
its been based on
the noel and instead of reducing the
level by 0 which you do with the no al
its reduced by a hundred so
everything is meant to be safer of
course
we still have things like the
the france
event
so ive actually put two additional
studies in here based on a general
principle
and that is that
if you give biologics well biologics
have two special properties
one is that they tend to
outlast their presence in the
bloodstream
whereas small drugs generally by the
time theyre eliminated which is five
halfives

plus or minus some
the effect goes down but biologics
may have a
prolonged effect so you really need to
understand what is the duration of
effect
and the second thing you need to
understand is
that
a lot of the toxicity of biologics
happens when you're just giving the drug
when you're administering it
and the faster you put it in the more
likely you are to have these nasty
infusion reactions with cytokines
released and
people draw these charts with arrows all
over and
it's just really ugly one class I have I
actually show the pictures and it's its
not pleasant before dinner
so you really need to understand how
fast you can put the drug in and how
high
you can put the drug in because just
like a small molecule

if you can put it in safer you might be
able to put in a higher level also
but its definitely bad news if you put
these drugs in too fast because you get

cytokine release

and its not not too good

and then after that

some of the

clint farm studies are different so

um

you know you dont typically need to
worry about a food effect because youre

not eating the biologic

like the pill its generally going in iv

and many of these are broken down

like the proteins in a manner different

than small molecules so you may not need

to do the hepatic impaired study

but its a case by case really

so thats

basic drug development that is one

scheme

of how to do it and

you can do drug development a million

ways but it helps to understand why the

basic scheme is laid out the way it is

and then you can decide what you want to

take out or add

now ill talk a little bit about

postmarketing development its really

when much of the work actually starts

in a drug development i was on a one

team that had about 0 people

before the nda and about 00 people

after the nda

you know i started five trials at once

on this drug its just

its very exciting

so these ill talk now about some of the

different options

oops

these are just some you dont see a lot

of data

about the business of postmarketing

development i think people dont like to

talk about

drug development in general

it just seems like something they want

to keep in the back but heres

what little information i could find i

throw on a slide here these are the

different ways

you can prolong the life
of your drug
first always of course the litigation
citizens petitions you can have pricing
strategies
pediatrics new indications new
formulations
you need to start on your next
generation of drug
and combination drugs and this shows
based on a survey
how different companies attempt to do
this you can see one of the main ones is
new formulations
okay something the patient can actually
benefit from
this shows return on investment
pediatric exclusivity now especially
that its given six months of pediatric
exclusivity
can amount to a pretty good chunk of
money
lipitor made about 10 billion dollars a
year so five billion dollars will buy
you something right itll fund
at least two or three new chemical

entities if spent well

uh modified release formulations are
very popular there are some companies

that do nothing

but this

right very

cool if youre a chemist to be involved

in this they have these

drug with different

types of polymers around it and they can

pretty much reproduce

any profile you want they can even make

a controlled release profile that looks

on a daily basis like an immediate

release profile

so instead of taking two or three pills

in a day to get the immediate release

profile you take one

and they have like five or six different

beads in them and then all of a sudden

that bead does its thing and releases

drug and

and so forth its actually

out on the market now

and the reason you might want to do that

is because there is evidence especially

with some gprotein coupled
receptor effects
like for amphetamines with adhd
and opioids with analgesia
that a sort of smooth release profile
actually causes receptor down regulation
so you dont want something nice and
flat otherwise your receptors go to
sleep you want to kind of spike it up
and down
this is the picture i showed you before
so we went from a four time a day to a
once a day
your main concern is this drop in the
semen
sometimes thats critical and sometimes
its not but believe me any difference
between the two
the
clinical reviewer the clin farm reviewer
is going to note and youll have to
rationalize why thats not an issue
here i just have some notes on how
the development program for a modified
release is different
generally you need to do less toxicology

work especially if the immediate release

was done

before

your real goal in

modified release development is either

convenience because it is important to

its much easier to have a once a day

drug than a four time a day drug

if you have a kid and you try to give

them a pill even a once a day drug is a

pain four times a day will put you on

the mat

but

also important you generally get

increased tolerability

for the side effects that are pk

dependent

now if my drug has a warning

about something like hyponatremia or

something like that thats generally not

a side effect thats sensitive to the pk

of the drug its just a property of the

molecule so if i have a warning for that

with the immediate release theres a

good chance im going to have that with

a controlled release

also things like anaphylaxis and things
like that
but certain things
uh especially with cns and gi drugs like
nausea headache dizziness those seem to
those side effects seem to have a very
nice response to controlled release
drugs
and they are also important for the
patients because no one wants to feel
nauseous
or get a headache
is just a chart
with more detailed information one of
the
some differences ill point out you
dont generally do a single ascending
dose in a multiple ascending dose
because you already know
what the tolerability and safety of the
drug are
but you need to test a number of
formulations
so you do that with a single dose with a
bunch of formulations you might have
one arm for each that has a pilot fed

because having a food effect with a
modified release drug can be a drug
killer so you want to know if theres a
big one youre not going to have much
sensitivity here but if theres a
whopping food effect you want to know on
the first study so some people do that
and then
you dont need to do a
multiple ascending dose but you do with
the final formulation you typically
do a multiple dose
and
you might even put as one arm the
immediate release comparator
which takes care of this requirement
which is actually a regulatory
requirement to study that
and then youll see
these are
are things you had to do before with the
immediate release
and this is a very important one
does anyone know the story about alcohol
dumping
turned out with one of the

one of the first uh controlled release
opioids
that if grandma had her opiate with a
scotch it dumped
okay so all the opiate came out
and thats thats not a good thing
so now the alcohol dumping is tested as
a regular feature
of a controlled release
of formulation chemistry first its done
um in the
in the dish
you know with paddles and different
concentrations of alcohol and then if
theres a signal you actually do a
study in humans with the pill and
alcohol which i guess probably gets a
lot of volunteers
pediatric development
is based on two
laws
and actually they should be reversed
this ones known as priya thats the
stick
okay thats the law that says you have
to do studies in pediatrics if your

indication

is amenable to that so that's part of

the nda process you need a plan

in your adult nda

you know to either have the data then or

say that those studies are ongoing

are going to be

done

and then the carrot is best

pharmaceutical act for children this is

the law that gives the

six months of exclusivity

they have different

regulatory features between the two

the two laws

that i've listed here

for example differences not required for

orphan indications for pediatric

etc this was written response this is how

the fda requests

these uh bpcas studies

these get reviewed in a standard fashion

these are

priority etc slightly different

regulatory features

one way to think about pediatric studies

i often say they come in three flavors
some are expected
with your nda its hard to make a case
for a drug
for epilepsy or asthma that you dont
need that labeling for kids
on the other hand
als or parkinsons its hard to make a
case that you need to do those studies
although
i didnt
know this until today it turns out
theres a pediatric variant of
guillainbarre syndrome so
you know it depends on the epidemiology
of the situation
and then something in the middle
are diseases that
kids do get
but its not
you know necessarily the fact that they
typically start in childhood
so you can generally defer these studies
till after
the adult
indication is approved youll just have

to do them afterwards
and then of course you need to do
juvenile talk studies typically
the typical program you would do
heres that phrase i mentioned earlier a
phase a study theres many ways to do
this though
where your main goal is to get pk
intolerability
and
then
a phase study
and some of the issues you need to be
concerned with weightbased dosing is
always difficult
giving placebos to kids is
you know its really a casebycase
basis that needs to be worked on
theres a whole part of the ethics laws
that deal with
using children in studies thats very
complicated so you need an ethics
consultant
to wade through that
overthecounter drugs i always thought
overthecounter drugs were pretty cheap

and didnt really net a lot of money but

uh it turns out

if you look at the

over time

you know they actually make

you know quite a bit toothpaste alone

makes billion dollars

all right which is sort of like liquid

sandpaper

and all its its amazing so thats why

the companies and

generally its a lot less work

overthecounter drugs than a

prescription drug so theres you know

less payoff at the end but much less

heartburn in the beginning

speaking of heartburn

theres a billion dollars in it

generally overthecounter drugs need to

be selfdiagnosable

um patient needs to

be able to determine when the drug is

appropriate needs to be able to give it

to themselves

and need to know when they need to talk

to a physician one thing ill say about

overthecounter drugs has anyone ever
gone to the drug store and read like the
box

and all its imminently more readable
than a prescription drug label its
almost in a geeky way its sort of
enjoyable to read because you can really
understand it its

much harder to read a prescription drug
package insert so ill give
give some kudos and credit to the folks

in
in otc because its very usable
uh yeah most of the studies

in
overthecounter
are related to

how much does the patient understand the
labeling versus does the drug work a lot
of label comprehension things
in a development program

generic drugs again you always think you
know generico must be pretty cheap they
dont make money and all that and all
oops

lots this isnt even a very new

slide its probably much higher than

this

but generics is a pretty good business

and the deal with generic drugs the

labeling will be the same

as whats known as the innovator

drug

sometimes though

there exists like a patent thats still

going on and then the fda will do whats

called carving out that part of the

labeling

lets say a drug has two indications and

a generic once to go on the market you

know its possible to carve out the one

indication from the labeling and itll

look identical to it except not with

that indication

if its a use patent

for example

all right

okay so in summary

clinical development is the part of the

program where we talk about the dose

relationship to safety and efficacy

and evaluate the risk benefit

considerations i really didnt talk

about risk

benefit considerations its a whole

lecture unto its own

because this is a clint farm course

the clint farm part of the program as

carl peck said

its a driven by specific questions

about how the drug and the patient

interact

they allow

all the other studies to go on because

if you dont really understand your drug

you really shouldnt be dosing hundreds

of people

efficacy

actually didnt even address this

efficacy

you can produce an effect and prove it

but that doesnt mean that you really

have a drug

um

it really needs to be a clinically

meaningful

thing okay clinically meaningful

hypothesis okay

and then um also i didnt explicitly
talk about this but postmarketing
planning
should begin in parallel with the
registrational program
and the oops and actually that fell off
but once your drug is approved is not
the time to start your postmarketing
program because these trials take years
and the planning takes years as soon as
you know your drug has an effect
at all
then all of the post marketing planning
and execution should be started
at that point
just like the tpp you front load
everything and then
by the time your first indication gets
approved you have all your other trials
ongoing
and in rapid succession youll be giving
your sales force more and more to talk
about
um
and so that thats what leads to a very
successful drug development program

and with that ill take questions

[Applause]

you