

Hello my name is Barry Goldspiel and I will be talking about FDA approval considerations

I have no disclosures

The objectives of my lecture are to review key concepts from Dr Kluetz's presentation and then introduce a new clinical trial endpoint: metastasis-free survival using some of the concepts from Dr Kluetz's presentation

The oncology endpoints for clinical trials are a good example of how the FDA uses other than overall survival as an endpoint

Dr Kluetz reviewed response rate, progression-free survival, symptom-related events and overall survival as possible endpoints

The ultimate endpoint is overall survival and the other endpoints are surrogates for overall survival and surrogate markers depend on whether or not they predict for overall survival in terms of whether a drug can be approved

The strongest of endpoints for oncology trials is overall survival

Any endpoint other than overall survival either measures how a patient feels, functions or survives which are referred to as direct measures or a surrogate endpoint which predicts clinical benefit and ultimate results in overall survival if it's a good surrogate marker

Often surrogate markers are radiographic or other imaging findings particularly used in cancer clinical trials and it depends on the accuracy, timing and magnitude of changes as to whether a surrogate endpoint is a good predictor of overall survival

I refer you to several FDA guidances that have been published on clinical trial design over the last two years

The FDA has really made a concerted effort to change the way they look at oncology clinical trials and hasten the approval of oncology drugs where approval is warranted

If we look at clinical trial endpoints and the types of study designs the randomized study design is the best for any trial

In some cases you may not need to do a randomized trial if you're using a surrogate endpoint under the accelerated approval pathway if the endpoint in an accelerated approval pathway drug has to be confirmed in a later trial that is often randomized

A few years ago investigators dealing with prostate cancer found that metastasis-free survival may be a good surrogate endpoint for critical trials

And why did they think that they needed a different endpoint?

For nonmetastatic prostate cancer there's a very long survival period

That would mean clinical trials would have to go for a long time

Five six seven eight years or more to reach the endpoint

That would make the availability of potential medications delayed

There are also many drugs that have been approved for various stages of prostate cancer mostly late-stage prostate cancer that have been moved up to early-stage prostate cancer thus making the availability of drugs more so for early-stage prostate cancer and therefore doing a comparison of new drugs to the old drugs makes the trials more complicated

Metastasis-free survival is defined as the time from randomization to confirmed evidence of distant metastases on imaging or death from any cause

Several investigators have shown that progression to detectable metastatic disease is a clinically relevant event that often results in pain, illness and/or intervention in prostate cancer

There have been several studies that have looked at the correlation between metastasis-free survival and overall survival

This is an example of one of the studies that looked at trials with over 1000 participants

At the patient level there was a 0.09 correlation

And if you look at the Kaplan-Meier curves shown in this diagram there's almost complete overlap between metastasis-free survival in the yellow line and overall survival in the blue line

Now is metastasis-free survival perfect for predicting overall survival?

No

And when the FDA decided to use or investigate this in clinical trials one of the important factors besides overall survival is whether or not metastasis-free survival showed a substantial difference between nonintervention or placebo

There have been three trials in nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer using androgen receptor blockers with metastasis-free survival as the primary endpoint

Remember this is a surrogate endpoint

However for all of these trials another concept that Dr Kluetz reviewed is these drugs were not approved under accelerated approval

They were given full approval which means that no further trials need to be completed

The first drug that was approved was Apalutamide in the PROSPER trial and I will define these acronyms in the next slide

That trial used several secondary and exploratory endpoints to confirm whether metastasis-free survival is a good surrogate endpoint

Enzalutamide in the SPARTAN trial also used metastasis-free survival as the primary endpoint and several secondary endpoints

And the latest which is not yet approved but most likely will be soon Darolutamide in the ARAMIS trial

In these cases to predict metastasis-free survival radiography was performed every weeks

And as I mentioned because radiology is often used and is the important measure of metastasis-free survival the assessment of the radiographic events was done both locally and also independently. Patients on these trials also received gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog with the androgen receptor blocker

The trial acronyms in this has an important point that for any drug to be approved you have to have a catchy acronym often created from some of the words of either the type

of mechanism of action of drug or the trial

So Apalutamide which is the SPARTAN trial Selective Prostate Androgen Receptor Targeting

with ARN09 which was the number of the drug when it was first in clinical trials

Enzalutamide was the PROSPER trial and Darolutamide was the ARAMIS trial Androgen Receptor Agent

for Metastasis-free Survival

Sometimes I think they think more about the acronym than the trial design

Here are the results of the three trials

Shown on the gold or brown bars are the placebo arm and the blue bar are the

drug treatment arm

All of these trials were done as a : randomization which they often do when you expect the intervention

to have significant benefit

And if you look at the difference between metastasis-free survival each of these trials

was about 10 months difference in favor of the medication

And the FDA believe that 10 months almost two years was a significant difference

between the drug and the placebo to approve them

If we look at the adverse effects seen in the trials which is important because whenever you approve a drug it's a risk-benefit determination that the adverse effects are many that you

can predict for when you take androgens away from male patients

And each of the drugs has their own unique set of adverse effects

In particular Enzalutamide has several cardiovascular effects and this was interesting because

the patients were excluded from this trial if they had any predetermined cardiovascular

abnormalities

Darolutamide the main adverse effect was fatigue

So if you look at the indications these are pretty broad indications

Apalutamide treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer

Enzalutamide treatment of patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer

So that has several indications beyond the nonmetastatic setting

And Enzalutamide its approval is pending by priority review

To review what priority review means from Dr Klutetz's presentation this is a designation by the FDA for a medication where it is predicted to have significant benefit either in terms of efficacy or improved safety

The difference is six months versus a 0 month review time

So in conclusion metastasis-free survival has been established as a new endpoint in trials for nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer which means from a trial design standpoint that you need an active control arm in the trial

Placebo is probably not ethically acceptable at this point

And whether metastasis-free survival for other malignancies is uncertain

Remember surrogate endpoints have to be evaluated in context of the disease and in some cases the stage to make sure it is an appropriate surrogate endpoint that would ultimately predict survival

Thank you for listening to this lecture for the Principles of Clinical Pharmacology course