

we are honored to have dr charles daniel

chuck is pharmacist in chief at the  
university of california san diego  
associate dean for professional practice  
and clinical professor in the skaggs  
school of pharmacy at the university of  
california san diego

chuck received his bachelors science  
degree in pharmacy from the university  
of arizona and completed a residency in

hospital pharmacy at the nih  
and earned a phd in social and  
administrative pharmacy at the  
university of minnesota

he has held pharmacy leadership  
positions at the university of minnesota  
and pharmacist in chief at the nih  
clinical center in bethesda

im confident you will enjoy todays  
lecture

hello im pleased to be with you here  
today and id like to introduce myself  
my name is charles daniels im the  
associate dean for professional practice  
here at university of california san

diego

skagg school of pharmacy and  
pharmaceutical sciences im also a  
clinical professor of pharmacy and the  
pharmacist in chief here at uc san diego  
health

im pleased to be able to  
share my ideas and thoughts with regard  
to

medication use and medication use

quality so i will be

presenting this

with the focus on

sort of trends and changes but with the

basic element around

how

medication use quality is tracked and

measured

i will talk about process and tools for  
monitoring and improving medication use

quality and outcomes

and ill just kind of launch into the  
topic by chatting a little bit about the  
medication use process first of all its

a complex system

well talk about that in a moment

because its complicated theres are  
there opportunities for error and error  
could mean  
mistakes or it could mean  
opportunities to improve medication use  
by better drug selection and prescribing  
in the end it impacts patient care and  
the outcomes of the patients that  
we are attempting to  
reach  
process improvement  
globally is requires a lot of focus on  
systems its data driven  
and typically it requires an iterative  
cycle process  
let me talk for just a moment about the  
medication process as its  
sketched out and then well  
chat some more about the uh the  
medication use process  
so  
when you look at this diagram  
essentially  
there are multiple  
general categories the first of them is  
gaining

history

the second is obtaining

and documentation of the medication

history and deciding on what prescribing

should be done

after that theres some

method of transferring that information

and that request

to the

people that will be administering or

moving forward with that order theres a

relatively complex process in pharmacy

that includes some manual and physical

related activities but also

some

pro activities that are related to

understanding the patient figuring out

whether or not the drug dose is correct

and whether or not it needs any

adjustment before its ready to go

following that theres another cycle of

both administrative and

clinical activity that includes patient

education all of that happens before the

patient gets their dose and thats only

on the inpatient setting if you look in

the ambulatory or clinic settings it can  
look even more complex the point of  
going through this is i want to  
demonstrate that because there are many  
steps it gives multiple opportunities  
for  
uh for analysis and multiple  
opportunities for improvement of the  
process now the shoe heart cycle uh in  
the quality improvement activity which  
is the slide that im looking at now uh  
has really four steps and this is  
classic its not related to health care  
but  
dr shoehart created a concept that is  
significantly has  
has  
worked well in multiple industries but  
the improvement process looks something  
like this the first step is a planning  
stage to find the data which is  
important and available  
define what new data might need to be  
collected in order to do this correctly  
plan the change or essentially what the  
test is the

interaction or the intervention that is  
going to presumably change the results

step two is implementation or pilot  
stage

um and during that time period its the  
uh when the change that is proposed to  
improve

uh the activity uh is goes in place  
step three is observation in other words

data collection and at that point in  
time uh the ability to look at whether  
or not the intervention that you created

uh is has done its job in step four  
essentially evaluate the data whats  
important about the shoe heart cycle is  
not exactly the steps but its the fact

that it is billed and  
implemented as a cycle so if at the end  
of the first cycle you get the results  
that you were looking for then good most  
times it requires a second cycle through

with either  
major or minor adjustments to the  
original uh  
intervention plan

so

in looking at  
this slide what i really wanted to share  
with you is that uh data is the the  
driver whether or not its medication  
errors which this uh set of slides or  
these two uh graphics uh include or  
whether or not its uh  
optimal outcome uh data is the is the  
critical piece and different ways to  
look at the data some of which are  
relatively uh standard and if you look  
at this simple diagram here  
uh youll see that its really just  
looking at whats going on  
not  
with no  
adjustment for  
uh either  
number of patients involved it just  
looks at how many incidents happened or  
how many activities events happened and  
that  
typically is a launch point but this  
slide which really is the shuhart  
run chart  
is designed to actually start bringing

applied statistics into your quality metrics and in this particular case using an upper and lower control limit helps define when particular counts are statistically significant or whether or not it happens to be just the normal variation that you would see in data so with that in mind uh this becomes the beginning of how to implement a statistically driven quality process now im going to take one quick look at a couple of document slides that are related to computerization of the medication order and administration process so one of the things that came out of some of the early studies into medication safety and quality were designed to look at ways to reduce variation by forcing uh the process to have fewer choices one of the presumed saviors of that was uh computerizing the order entry process uh



designed and we'll talk more about this  
later but designed to improve the um  
process reduce the improve the  
standardization and reduce the  
variations that could be avoided so  
there were multiple studies in not  
going to go through all of these but  
there were multiple studies that looked  
significantly at medication errors  
specifically related to computer order  
entry  
and  
in fact a particular study that goes  
back to 00 really identified that  
while there may be some improvement  
associated with the use of computerized  
order entry as a  
medication quality improvement activity  
that it also generated new types of  
errors and this study  
was a reminder to all of us that  
sometimes there are unintended  
consequences and the more times that you  
look at that cycle and ways to improve  
it the more likely you are to be better  
now there was an interesting corollary

to this one and that's the  
this study that was about the simulation  
of technology impact now that was again  
a prospective modeling type of an  
approach but based on the data that they  
had available at the time they  
identified that computer  
computer uh implementation as part of  
the medication order entry process was  
likely to save uh approximately 00  
days of excess hospitalization for this  
particular study site and they  
identified as million in associated  
costs and again this is for one  
particular site so  
the important point is  
uh that there are opportunities to make  
things better  
the computer process does help in some  
of the variation but it also creates  
some  
opportunities for failure  
so I'd like to speak for a short while  
about medication use evaluation the  
reason I use this as a central part  
central part of quality of medication

use is because it stands as the  
performance improvement method of choice  
to focus on evaluating improving  
medication use processes and improving  
patient outcomes  
so theres a  
long list of categories of things that  
might trigger a medication use  
evaluation  
uh this slide gives a list of those ill  
just point out that some of the examples  
might be  
new drugs things a bit that have been  
added that may uh be associated with uh  
disease states that are prone to uh  
problems  
uh if it impacts a large number of  
patients uh those are all categories and  
last but certainly not least is  
the cost or the expense of the  
medication to either the patient or to  
the  
uh the system  
so  
with that in mind ill just mention that  
there are clearly some opportunities

by looking at pretty basic information  
that tells you that there might be a  
change in what's going on or how the  
drugs being used change may be good or  
it may be legitimate or it may  
represent a breakdown somewhere but in  
these particular cases on this slide if  
you look at either antifungal  
antibiotics and the change  
between those two years the last two  
years on the chart and antivirals and  
the change there those are the areas  
where you might be inclined to say with  
a change like that something's different  
so remember what we're really looking  
for  
are  
items that will that would highlight us  
to particular areas of interest  
the ready access to evidence-based  
guidelines is an important element that  
has changed a little bit of the  
landscape of being able to do medication  
use evaluation  
the reason is that evidence-based  
evidence-based guidelines provide the

foundation for whether or not medication  
is being used effectively in whatever  
the organization is large small or very  
small the question is are you using it  
the way  
uh the clinical evidence supports so  
this um  
uh national guideline clearinghouse  
within ahrq is an important source and  
they capture not just uh  
governmentbased  
guidelines but uh things done by uh many  
of the major uh clinical academic  
units uh  
chest surgeons and uh  
internal medicine from around the  
country and around the world  
so this is an example of one of the  
existing uh  
evidencebased guidelines that is  
available online right now and the  
reason i bring that up is not because  
im going to speak more about vte and  
nonsurgical patients but just because  
its a typical kind of an item that  
frequently

uh begins to create the foundation for  
what is perceived what is expected to be  
the best evidencebased use of a  
medication  
those become the criteria that can be  
used by  
any organization that wishes to do a  
medication use evaluation  
and uh it provides a  
a source that can be used to create the  
criteria  
so i will go now into a couple of  
specific examples of mue  
activities from different organizations  
and i started with this slide because  
this is a snapshot from  
the  
results or the presentation of the  
results in this one and  
what is important is that  
this  
guideline starts out by identifier this  
mue starts out by identifying that the  
guideline recommendations from cdc from  
the world health organization and from  
the infectious disease society of

america

have provided the foundation for what  
represents quality or appropriate use of  
of this medication in this patient group

the second point ill ill make right

now is that

it includes two elements one is  
uh who it should be used in and the  
other is what should be the correct  
dosing that goes with that and as we get  
uh a couple of slides up well see the  
implications of knowing that there are  
multiple criteria that go with this  
particular set of guidelines

so

without going through all of these  
documents i will make the case that

the objectives

for an mue are probably similarly  
designed set up and the study design  
appropriately created to test the  
questions

in the particular population so

frequently

maybe almost all the time

these mues are not large large databases

they're frequently  
what would be seen as a smaller  
well-defined database it could be  
defined by the number of patients in a  
given health system  
it could be the number of patients that  
were hospitalized it could be the number  
of patients that were seen in a clinic  
over a particular period of time but it  
typically is not uh very large numbers  
they're typically smaller  
so in terms of setting the objectives  
and designing  
the study itself it requires uh  
appropriate  
rigor and it should be done in a way  
that allows the organization to be  
comfortable with the results but they  
may or may not be uh as fully  
scientifically grounded as one might  
like to see for a uh for a uh  
double blind study  
so I'm gonna just go through a couple of  
the pre the  
findings from this particular study  
because



because i want to talk about i want you  
to understand  
the reason that these studies imply ways  
to  
improve the quality of the prescribing  
so in this particular um slide youll  
see a pie diagram that basically says  
that  
seven percent of the 9 patients that  
they  
um  
that they looked at seven percent uh had  
empiric therapy there was not a test a  
diagnostic  
and seven percent had targeted therapy  
because they were diagnosed with uh they  
had diagnostic data that said that this  
patient did truly of influenza  
the um  
remaining uh large percentage percent  
of this study were patients that would  
have qualified for  
uh for  
oseltamivir therapy  
but did not receive it during their  
hospitalization so that i think will uh

help set up the conversation about  
whats next this second uh  
slide here from the same  
data set i really looked at the question  
of if they used oscil tamivir  
did they use it correctly  
and i think again it looks like from the  
data that youll see here on  
this part of the chart that largely the  
answer was when they used it they used  
it pretty well on the other hand there  
was still a fairly large population  
from as pointed out in the last slide  
that may have qualified that did not get  
it so  
this becomes for an organization that  
wants to improve the quality of their  
medication use this becomes sort of a  
goto point of the slide youve studied  
the data youve looked at the results  
youve  
youre now at a spot of saying so what  
and thats what this  
type of slide is designed to do and if  
youll look here in this corner youll  
see strategies to improve and that

becomes the uh the next steps for the  
organization in order to be able to be  
successful in their in their process or  
their activity to improve the use  
so im going to go through one more  
example mue related example  
again to give you a sense of how this  
can be used to set up improved  
medication use activity  
so this was a study looking at  
liposomal  
bupivacaine  
xperel  
and this has been a somewhat  
controversial product uh across the  
country and i think the question is so  
if its controversial then why dont you  
study it and look at what youve done  
with it so  
the study objectives that were presented  
here  
really focused on whether or not if you  
used  
this particular product the x perel  
versus the  
alternative therapies that have been in

standard use for a while whether or not  
you improved uh post  
operative opioid requirements improved  
pain scores or impacted the length of  
stay after surgery all of which are  
important in terms of whether or not you  
wish to use this drug which adds more to  
the uh cost of the of the  
hospitalization  
so i wont go through this sliding up uh  
in a lot of detail ill just point out  
that the key point on this slide is this  
duration both of the other um more  
traditional uh local anesthetics  
bupivacaine or pivocaine have a  
substantially shorter duration  
and the rationale for use of this more  
expensive agent  
is that um  
you the  
duration of the of the anesthesia  
provided after the surgery  
is  
uh provides longer duration less pain  
the ability for patients to get up and  
move faster

less need to use opioids to treat pain  
during that therapy so that yellow or  
that red highlighted box  
up to hours versus  
two to eight for the other alternatives  
becomes sort of the critical question  
so again this study design while  
with limitations based on  
the number of patients  
was designed to try and answer the  
questions with the level of  
statistical confidence that could be  
generated out of the data  
ill go through briefly uh just a couple  
of the results slides because i think  
they really speak to the question so we  
looked on this slide  
if if we look at the four categories num  
the amount of hour opioid use  
um the  
stretch between and and the median  
hour opioid use i think that  
if the question is does  
addition of  
liposomal bupivacaine to the  
postsurgical procedure

reduce the amount of opioids that need  
to be used it does not appear to be a  
statistically significant difference and  
in fact

as you can see there they are  
essentially the same from our data but  
certainly no statistical significance so  
thats one question that we felt  
comfortable uh being able to resolve the  
second category uh slide set that i  
wanted to share is related to pain  
scores and if you look here across there

with the darker

blue and the more

aqua color

bars being the liposomal buffane  
and the alternative standards that  
we talked about earlier you can see that  
theres no data to support the fact that  
this particular liposomal product  
provides a

better pain score if postoperative pain  
scores are what are used and theres no  
statistical significance to this  
difference on any of these

now this is the one that actually caught

a lot of attention  
as it was presented and that is  
associated with the hospital length of  
stay and so if you go  
down to this  
uh final row here and look at  
median post postsurgical length of stay  
there is a difference between the  
liposomal bupivacaine group and the  
standard of care now its not  
statistically significant so in this  
particular case the mue design  
uh what would not be allow us to answer  
that question but it does provide an  
opportunity  
uh for the organization to look at it  
and say  
maybe we need to look a little deeper  
into that particular question  
so in looking at the results from the  
liposomal bupivacaine mue um one of the  
important things  
that we want to draw out of it is that  
if you define the objectives well up  
front and you  
follow the allow the data to be able to

drive your  
uh your  
conclusions that you can make  
the opportunity uh a useful way to  
improve the use of and decisions about  
whether or not particular drugs are  
elements that are important for patient  
care or whether or not they have a  
different uh  
role in therapy  
so uh it would be its important to  
make sure that i share the concept of  
the formulary its highly  
misunderstood process and essentially  
the definition that you see here also  
has not changed for some long period of  
time and its continuously updated list  
of medications and related information  
representing the clinical judgment of  
physicians pharmacists and other experts  
the reason thats important to keep in  
mind is because formulary is an  
important way to be able to  
apply quality  
decisions whether its about  
evidence that supports the use of a



particular product or whether or not  
its about the results of particular  
mues that have been concluded and so  
effective use of the formulary is an  
important tool  
to implement uh quality uh medication  
use

within whatever size organization youre  
talking about

so i wont go through the list but i  
wanted to share with you a longstanding  
list of thats called the safeguards  
against errors on highrisk meds and  
while not all of these items apply to  
every situation one of the things that  
is important is that as you learn from  
your medication use activity as you  
learn

where the risks might be  
wrong decisions unknown or holes in the  
data it allows you to be able to start  
filling in how medications are used in  
those settings and you might be able to  
for instance change the order entry  
process for a prescriber or you may be  
able to change the required

information that has to be submitted  
to an information system before you can  
give a patient their medications at  
whether its hospitalized or in the  
community pharmacy so this list is  
an opportunity to look at  
risks and it works well along with both  
the mue as well as the information  
system the ordering process used by  
prescribers  
to get the best optimal use out of it  
so i want to talk now for the rest of  
the slides that were going to go  
through today about uh the changes that  
have occurred so if the last  
several examples of  
use of  
of localized data to try to improve  
quality  
the  
expanded  
use and availability  
of  
emr electronic medical recordrelated  
data is opening some new horizons for  
improvements in medication use so the

examples that ill use here ill talk  
about each of them specifically but they  
represent essentially  
benchmarking not only  
across  
a given organization but  
to look more carefully at how  
organizations are using medications  
compared to other similar types of  
organizations so in this particular  
example that we have here  
this is really a  
[Music]  
a measure a very high level measure of  
observed mortality versus expected  
mortality and  
its pretty high level but as it relates  
to medication use or anything else  
in the end the question is what are the  
important outcomes ive underlined here  
this particular category general surgery  
and if you run across this uh this list  
what youll see  
is that  
for this particular observed mortality  
in this particular organization

percent compares to a  
uh compares to a  
observed or expected of 0 and that  
puts the um  
and that compares to the uhc which is a  
comparator group in this case median of  
0 so for this particular organization  
looking at  
the  
results of this particular multiuh  
hospital comparator shows them to be in  
the th rank out of that reported  
so  
in that this particular  
measure is  
not medication specific id like to go  
more into some of the examples that are  
out there that are more  
focused on medication related issues now  
this is data from a  
a stroke  
category within this particular database  
and  
in this particular case ive underlined  
discharge on statin medications so this  
is a measure of whether or not at

hospital discharge patients had  
been  
asked or had been prescribed a statin  
medication following their mi and this  
is a came again from standardized  
evidencebased  
criteria that say that patients are  
better served and so if the intent is to  
make sure that all of your patients at  
discharge after mi  
started with a statin then having this  
benchmark to be able to compare uh this  
particular organizations  
effectiveness doing that compared to a  
larger group that is uh has a similar  
type of character is an important way to  
find out whether or not um this  
organization is meeting its uh uh its  
target in this particular case its  
obviously its uh better than the  
average and i think  
the idea is look and see if youre not  
where you want to be then you need to  
figure out what the best practice is and  
start implementing change this is um  
theres two versions of this one and

ill just the this is what the slide

looks like

uh as it is and this is benchmarking  
medication use associated with kidney  
transplant uh drg and in this particular  
hospital

it allows one to look at  
the high level numbers but also how  
theyve implementation or implemented  
use of specific drugs

along with the important piece  
that is available now that historically  
was not and that is uh medication use in  
comparison to patient outcomes and so  
this is the same slide but if you look  
here at the top ive got ive just taken  
that piece that was at the top of the  
previous slide and ive blown it up a  
little bit so in this particular case  
the target hospital is compared to  
vision benchmark group which are  
identified as hospitals that have the  
same profile in terms of patient care  
etc

and then also all vision participants in  
this particular

database so if you look across it  
the observed mean length of stay of  
days the expected which is to say some  
variety of the risk adjusted  
number for  
for all the reporting hospitals was  
and  
when you look at this you get a  
mortality index that is reported here  
but  
critically what you're looking at is um  
that the  
defined daily dose cost per case which  
is a standardizing tool to find a daily  
dose looks like you're getting about the  
same length of stay  
not as strong of performance on  
mortality and the price of this therapy  
for these patients is  
substantially higher than the benchmark  
group so this becomes an opportunity to  
look at it and say  
here is something that we need to have a  
look at and if you drill down into the  
bottom half of this  
of this

chart you see some opportunities that  
are out there but this is the intent of  
doing this type of benchmarking is  
to look at  
actual data on not only how much  
medications were used but  
impact on outcomes like the stay  
mortality  
there are multiple others  
so heres another type of an example  
that is now available out there  
so  
if you are have if youve identified the  
hospital that youre interested in uh  
within this database you can even get a  
list of patients that are identified in  
your  
medication use activity that had um in  
this case  
potentially inappropriate for patients  
over years of age and so  
the column on the  
lefthand side is related to the beers  
criteria and i have underlined  
benzodiazepines and in this particular  
institution



you can see that

theres uh would appear to be an  
opportunity to use less benzodiazepines  
compared to the uh the vision which is  
the reference group target in this one  
again the intent is to look at this  
these types of data and say is there an  
opportunity for us to improve so in this  
particular case

uh if this data is presumed to be  
relevant and appropriate  
then one might want to go back and have  
a look at uh criteria for use of  
benzodiazepines in  
in geriatric patients and decide whether  
or not the additional risk is well  
suited or whether or not  
there ought to be an intervention to try  
to reduce that  
a couple more examples  
from  
different  
globally available or some cases  
proprietary databases  
look at  
opportunities in this particular case

its liver transplant and ive  
underlined uh rabbit atg  
and if you look across there you can  
have a look at  
the hco is the individual hospital the  
uhc benchmark  
group  
and then which would be those that are  
have best practices and then the all uhc  
and if you look across there again  
youll see that there are some  
differences in the frequency of the use  
of that particular drug and if you run  
down through the rest of the table  
youll see more cases where theres  
variability and while variability isnt  
always bad its always something to have  
you look at it especially if you have a  
a  
target group in this case the benchmark  
groups that really is  
expected to  
uh have performed high at a higher level  
than uh than the average  
so a couple of real quick points  
that i wanted to make so if the question

is

knowing that you have the kind of data

that we've looked at in the last few

slides

is this something you can act on this is

a summary from a study that was done a

few years back uh by some of our

colleagues at the university of kansas

um medical center and the the point of

this slide is that um the intervention

that they used if you will is they

started providing feedback of the types

of uh

similar types of presentations of the

last few slides that i presented to you

they shared them with the service

leaders and as a result of that they

were able to change the pattern with the

uhc line the red line being sort of the

global benchmark that uh

that for all people reporting

and the blue

line representing this particular

medication use

in that target hospital university of

kansas

so i want to make the case that once you  
know where the opportunities are which  
is where some of the big data  
can help as well as the mue data that we  
talked about earlier can help this is  
theres data out there that says that  
you can use that information to change  
behaviors  
just a couple of last examples um that i  
wanted to share with you um  
the the value of some of the databases  
that are out there allow you to be able  
to  
look exactly at a small group of  
hospitals a cluster that are of  
particular interest to  
the group and so over on the far  
left hand column that you cant see  
has been removed for to protect the uh  
the privacy of the individual hospitals  
but  
uh each of these rows then across here  
represents  
um a different hospital that has  
uh similar characteristics and if you  
look into the red box area i think what

you can see is again using that defined  
daily dose uh standardizing tool  
what you can see is that not only are is  
the cost of the drugs used this these  
high impact drugs which is a defined by  
this particular  
group vision  
and if you look at that you can see that  
there are differences in the amount of  
drugs used  
for this particular uh  
diagnosis  
group so these are all for the same  
patient group and i dont recall right  
now  
what the thera what the  
disease state was but you can see that  
there was a substantial amount of  
variability in terms of  
the cost of the drugs that were used and  
some variability in terms of length of  
stay now somebody pointed out to me  
a few days ago  
that length of stay is influenced by  
many things and its true but it may  
also be influenced in part by medication

use so im not um

im not uh

insensitive to the fact that length of stay is a pretty crude measure but i will say its one of the measures that are being widely used and we need to look at that as well as some others now

there are this is

a different

metric that

for a study that was done really some years ago but the reason i pointed out is because when you look on here what

youll see is the the purpose of this study in this particular diagram that youve got in front of you the chart is

um

to look at um the number of patients

that are

that were using novo a high cost high

risk drug

for

prevention of bleeding for which it is

not um

indicated theres minimal data on that

versus

those where its used for  
treatment of active bleed for which  
there is um  
good data on and so this allows each of  
the hospitals in this compare group to  
be able to decide  
if  
uh where they sit so these are all  
um you know  
specifically  
numbered so that no names of the  
institutions are there but this kind of  
data would tell you if you happen to be  
the hospital that is  
right here number one  
um on the  
on the use of prevention of bleed that  
you may have an opportunity to improve  
your use of that drug which impacts not  
only outcomes and patient  
results but also impacts the cost  
lest you think that  
cost might not be a fair item to include  
in medication use quality all i will say  
is that it is widely  
incorporated into payer metrics now and

failure to  
be able to provide costeffective care  
including  
results  
patient outcomes length of stay food and  
be able to be competitive in that one  
does impact reimbursement rates so  
organizations typically whether or not  
theyre  
accountable care organizations or hmos  
or hospital systems are looking  
carefully at that data  
so  
and again there is this is a another  
example of pay for performance related  
material  
so um  
just a couple of last items i think were  
down to the last few slides now that i  
wanted to share and that is when you get  
into this question of how much can you  
draw out of  
some of the larger data sets that are  
now available large that frequently and  
widely available to through proprietary  
or



or group settings  
the ability to look at  
data and the flexibility to dig into  
this  
becomes uh  
substantially broader than weve ever  
had before so let me summarize real  
briefly right now what i wanted to share  
with you and hopefully ive given you  
some pointers toward  
medication use and the quality  
associated with medication use is fairly  
complicated process it is errorprone  
its been shown over the years to not be  
easy in spite of the fact that it seems  
that it should be  
medication use evaluation uh helps  
direct  
uh toward  
opportunities to improve the use of that  
medication and drug use can be improved  
and weve demonstrated that through some  
of the slides and studies that have been  
presented to you today  
there are substantially more pieces of  
data that are out there

that are transparent visible to the

public i

dont i think in the in the

interest of time

well

not talk about those today what i will

say is this um

its been my pleasure to

share these uh several minutes with you

i hope youve enjoyed and learned from

the lecture

if you have questions about this or any

of the content

please go to the course coordinator and

be very

confident that

feedback will get to me if there are

some things that

you saw are opportunities that you would

suggest for improvement thank you