we are pleased to have dr joseph ware

dr ware received his phd in

pharmaceutical science from wayne state

university and completed his

postdoctoral fellowship at nhlbi in the

laboratory of molecular medicine and

cellular toxicity

dr ware is the principal scientist in

the department of clinical pharmacology

at genentech please enjoy todays

lecture

hello my name is joseph ware
and i work at gen in tech in the
department of clinical pharmacology
today i will provide an overview of drug
transporters in admin drug action
and in particular im going to stress
more of an industrial perspective
the implications of drug transport in
drug development are multifactorial we
know that drug transporters are an
important determinant of oral drug

of drug distribution and drug elimination

absorption

moreover it is becoming increasingly
apparent that they are a major
determinant of drug drug
interactions and over the last 0 years
insight has been

found

through the use of pharmacogenetics as
to how important drug transporters are
as dr gottisman mentioned in his lecture
we know that drug transporters are an
else are an important factor in
multidrug resistance
and we also know that theres an
emerging role for drug transport and
toxicology

today ill primarily focus on the role
of drug transporters in ade
as drug drug interactions are a key

issue

to be addressed in the india
in nda of all drugs the fda ema and pmda
have published guidelines on how best to
evaluate drug drug interactions
these guidelines
propose the use of clinical drug drug
interactions where needed to actually

delineate the role of drug transporters
but more importantly the use of in vitro
systems are used to guide and steer
these drug interactions
it is important to recognize that in
order to understand when a drug drug
drug transporter interaction is
important we must understand
when the drug transporter represents the

rate limiting step

and this hypothesis was first presented

by yuichi tsugiyama

more than a decade ago

and i think thats a very very important

consideration for

all drug transport

work

so todays lecture objectives

ill

provide an overview of drug transporters that are important in drug absorption

and disposition

explain why transporters can be major determinants of drug drug interactions ill describe the process of transport

induction

and provide a time course of this induction well also examine the role of drug drug

interactions from the point of
inhibition of drug transport and how
that influences pharmacokinetics
and i will provide a few examples of
integrated drug transport strategy
to address drug absorption and drug
distribution limitations
so i work in oncology clinical

pharmacology

and its really important for me
to stress to you this is my perspective
and in how we actually examine drug

transporters

and in particular its the best of times
and the worst of times from the point of
making progress in the fight against

cancer

however only one in 0 of our oncology molecules survive pass from phase to

registration

moreover even if we work on molecular targeted agents the therapeutic endo or therapeutic index or window of a drug is

quite narrow

therefore a personalized approach is
needed to define optimal dose and
schedule to achieve efficacy with an
acceptable safety profile
and finally each patient
with cancer represents a special
population

cancer patients may take up to 0

medications

and also be receiving

complementary alternative medicines

moreover some patients may have had

gastric surgery or develop hepatic

metastases which also lead to altered

drug metabolism or clearance

so when is a drug drug interaction

really clinically significant

and in this case ive adapted used a

slide from

dr xiaomi wang of the fda

just iteratively showing within the
therapeutic window if you have a broad
window a small change in exposure will
not impact the safety
however on the figure on the right with

a narrow therapeutic index or narrow
therapeutic range drug a small change in
exposure greatly impacts your safety
profile

i was lucky to be part of the membrane transporter white paper published in

00

and this was a key collaboration between academia fda and industry

which helped to

provide a foundation for future work and research in the area of drug

transport

the key issues that were addressed in

this white paper were first which

transporter should we study

and how should we study them

and then how do we evaluate whats the

recommended outcome as we proceed from

in vitro experiments to the clinic

so the original international

transporter consortium seven

transporters of interest are shown on

this figure and this figures reproduced

from the nature medicine paper published

in 00 and

pgp which is abcb bcrp which is gene

name abcg oat oat oct

oatpv and oatpb

were the initial transporters that were

proposed to be studied because they

represented

case studies that demonstrated the

impact of these transporters on drug

disposition

from the second itc meeting additional

transporters were added

these were the multidrug resistance

proteins mrp

mrp mrp

the mates which kathy giacomini will

discuss

and

pista

psap itself is a major determinant of

hepatic injury and maybe something

thats needed to be screened for for

certain drugs that interact with this

hepatic cannonicular membrane

transporter

so ill describe some case studies first

where drug transporters are an important

factor of drug absorption
and ill focus on the expression of drug
transporters in the intestinal
epithelium

so as we know most drugs that are
administered orally must first
undergo disintegration dissolution
and after theyre in solution then they
have to trust several membranes before
they actually reach the target of
desired action
and in this case right here

to the left is that in the intestine

both pgp or mdr and bcrp

are apical efflux transporters and these

on the figure shown

will

transporters will help

keep drugs out of systemic circulation once the drug enters the enterocyte it

can

pass to the basal lateral or blood side to be absorbed systemically to the liver and then from there it enters systemic

circulation

so inhibition both induction and

inhibition of intestinal transporters

can have consequence on the oral

bioavailability of some drugs

this is a partial list of p glycoprotein

some of these substrates are known drugs
or all of these substrates are known
drugs

substrates

and some of these substrates are
administered orally
so suffice it to say independent of
which therapeutic area that you work in
its important to understand
whether or not your molecule has a pgp
liability

now whats interesting and it became apparent

in the uh

mid000s is that many pgp substrates

are also substrates or inhibitors of

cytochrome p0 sub a

and in this situation you can end up

with a double or nothing impact in terms

of a drug interaction

and this consequence itself is important

when youre running a drug interaction

study whereby you use itraconazole to inhibit civa you may also be inhibiting peach glycoprotein

if we think about impacting the
expression of pgp where theres drug
a drug or a xenobiotic that induces the
expression a peak like a protein the
expression of pglycoprotein on the

in the enterocyte

increases this would have a net effect of decreasing systemic bioavailability

of the drug

this was nicely demonstrated in 999

by a group

where they measured
intestinal expression of pglycoprotein
both before and after rifampicin
administration rifampicin as everyone is
aware is an inducer of cytochrome p0
it also induces pglycoprotein
and as shown by the red color

the

expression of pglycoprotein increases

after the administration of rifampicin

what if you inhibit pglycoprotein

if you inhibit pglycoprotein

and you are administering a substrate
which is a sensitive substrate for pgp
eflux in the gut you can actually
increase systemic auc
and this is nicely shown
by the administration of lopinovir and
retonavir with the victim digoxin

as theres

approximately doubling of exposure to healthy volunteers who were treated with digoxin

the digoxin label has many interactions listed and attributed to p glycoprotein

and i would

turn your attention to this label and even this is probably somewhat updated

outdated

so its important at least with digoxin first because of the narrow therapeutic

index

and the significant safety concerns

whereby

the therapeutic window for digoxin is

0 to nanograms per milliliter

and if you exceed nanograms per

milliliter there can be significant av

nodal conduction disturbances

as well as other toxicities

therefore change in exposure might be

clinically significant

this figure shows a number of drugs

that

have been shown to have been studied to
interact with digoxin well digoxin is
not the perfect peak like a protein
substrate it represents a p glycoprotein
substrate a drug with the p glycos
protein substrate a liability and is
therefore of great safety concern when
youre administering
new medications with it

exposure in either cmax or auc

utilizing in vitro data we know that some of the

so less than a twofold change in

the predictions are actually correct
however theres still a high rate of
false negatives when comparing in vitro

to in vivo

for digoxin or other enemies that have a narrow therapeutic index its important

to understand the relationship and the interaction between a new molecular

entity

and digoxin

and many times

its important to really understand the

limitations of the victim substrate

which in this case is digoxin

for this reason our group started to

understand a little more about rifamixin

and rifamixin itself is a gut targeted

antibiotic

which has a very low
absolute ba which is 0 percent
it was found in the development of this
drug

that when administered with cyclosporine
there was almost an to 00 fold
increase in cmax and auc
we wondered whether or not
pglycoprotein is the only transporter
interacting with this drug
to this end we study
in vitro the transport of rifa mixin in
the presence of a victim or a
perpetrator pgp inhibitor

and what we found was

the ba transport of this molecule was

significant in cells that were

transfected with pgp or mdr

and that this ratio of efflux could be

brought down by administration or adding

an inhibitor of p glycoprotein

so in other words

we could demonstrate the presence of

eflux as a liability

we then studied rifamixin in

we then studied rifamixin in

pgp and vcrp knockout mice

and what we found was

there was a significant increase in

in mice where pgp had been removed what we found though however was that

exposure

for at least vcrp

and pgp knockout mice

the the addition of an additional

knockout did not impact the auc

wild type ratio

and this wild type ratio was greater

than 0 to one for both

pgp and pgv and bcrp knockout mice

i want to discuss now bcrp as it relates

to drug absorption

bcrp or abcg was first identified
as a pluripotent marker for stem cells
and at the same time investigators at
the nih were investigating its role
in mitosanthrone resistance
and this transporter is an abc

transporter

of the seventh family

and its a

known by many names but well call it

bcrp

the substrates that are known to
interact with abcg or bcrp
are the topoisomerase inhibitors
also many drugs in nononcology interact

with this

including

po byte a estrogen sulfate

odipiperazoson there are also many
inhibitors of which molecular targeted
agents have been shown including
many drugs that are commonly known so
its theoretically possible that if
youre administering a targeted
molecular targeted inhibitor in

combination with the chemotherapy there
could be bcrp interactions
originally i started studying bcrp our
lab started studying bcrp
when this work was published by the
netherlands cancer institute
and what they found was when topo is
topatekin was administered
in mice it had very poor oral absorption
and after the administration
of what was known to be a pan pgp vcrp
inhibitor the area under the curve for
this molecule increased about eight fold
and then they studied the presence of

of

drug transport in knockout mice
so they used a knockout mouse construct
in the presence of a pgp and vcrp

inhibitor

and thats shown in figures

top right bottom left

that there was an increase in exposure
and what they demonstrated was
this was actually related to pgp and
vcrp but they defined it to be a bcrp
only interaction

this experiment was then conducted
in healthy or in cancer patients where
they administered topetekin
in the presence of gf09
and they could increase the exposure of

this chemo

approximately eightfold

so why study this

in the olden literature there was even
examples of high variability so
variability after the administration of
an orally administered drug is a major
problem

in drug discovery and development and even with old drugs such as cell phosalazine

theres

a wide range of pk exposure
and this was a published paper from
the developers of cell phosalazine in

the late 90s

sulfasalazine itself though is not metabolized by cytochromes p0 the rate limiting step of metabolism is an azo reduction that occurs by bacteria

in the distal

small intestine in the proximal large

intestine

after the drug is cleaved theres a nonabsorbable fragment which is five amino salicylic acid which is thought to benefit patients with crohns disease

and ibd

and then sulfa pyridine

we hypothesize that interindividual

differences in intestinal expression of

abc g or bcrp could contribute to the

variability absorbed with sulfasalazine

and also this pk variability would

translate to pharmacologic response

variability

to understand how bcrp could contribute to sulfasalasine absorption we use the

bcrp knockout mouse

and whats shown in the top figure is the oral administration of sulfasalazine

out red triangle mice

to both wildtype blue circles and knock

we found over a hundred fold increase in

the ac of sulfus alazine

iv administration of sulfusalazine

demonstrated that there is a small difference

but not anything as significant as what was shown

now to answer the question whether or
not bcrp only or pgpm vcrp interacts
with sulfasalazine clearance we
administered selfestablishing to knock
out mice and we found only a twofold

increase in aec

and no difference in iv clearance
therefore selfestablishing we believe
was a sensitive substrate

for bcrp

we studied selfestablishing pk in north american healthy volunteers and at the

time there was

we were the first to report

pharmacogenetic differences in abc g

expression

and an impact on a model substrate
sulfasalazine pk and healthy japanese
volunteers also demonstrated
pharmacogenetic variability
and in this situation there were low and
high differences in sulfasalazine with

the intermediate zygotes also falling into place

so what about drugs that could interact

or

xenobiotics that could interact we found that in collaboration with sunit shukla

and

the nih we found that cucumberin could interact with bcrp cucumber in itself is a drug that the nci has studied in over 9 clinical trials its antiinflammatory antioxidant and its being investigated and marketed many places for its chemo preventive antiinflammatory activity

sunit shukla

in collaboration with trash ambikar and

we found that cucumberin increases the bioavailability of sulfasalazine in the

mouse

and that cucumber in itself was
selective inhibitor of bcrp
whereby there was no impact of curcumin
on the efflux of pgp
dr sukiyamas lab or kushiharasan

japan studied

the impact of curcumin and there was
several interesting facts

first there was a dose dependence when
sulfasalazine was administered as a
victim and curcumin was administered as
a perpetrator so a lowdose
sulfasalazine displayed about a twofold
increase in ac

where

high dose sulfusalizine therapeutic dose

which is grams

there was an increase of approximately

volt ac

most recently from ucsf and other

most recently from ucsf and other
investigators have demonstrated
that uric acid is a substrate of vcrp
and uric acid itself and its
administered drug allopurinol both can
be impacted by pharmacogenetic
differences in avcg
so im going to speak now about
intrinsic and extrinsic factors
impacting drug absorption we know that
intrinsic are host dependent which

include disease age gender

physiochemical and cmc properties are

also important in the absorption of

small molecule drugs

extrinsic factors related to drug drug

interactions ive provided you some

examples im just going to digress here

shortly where im going to go into ph

dependent absorption issues these are

not transporter mediated but they impact

the ability of a drug to interact with

drug transporters its also very

important to recognize that food effect

is an important determinant of pk

variability

we found or reported that many of the
molecular targeted agents display ph
dependent solubility and in this format
many of the commonly administered
targeted molecular targeted agents such
as desatinib or lotinib gemfetinim
all have ph solubility
issues and in this situation because the
molecules are weak bases
an increase in ph from your normal
gastric ph of two to five

could

decrease the solubility sometimes over

ten thousand fold

we also found that many cancer patients
take a large number of acid reducing
agents and in this situation we found
that patients with glioblastoma

actually between 0 and 0 percent of

and gastrointestinal stromal cancers

those patients take ppis

we ran a healthy volunteer study in collaboration with dr les bennett and

his graduate student at the time mark

yago and we reported that to satniv in

the presence of rebeprazole which is a

model ppi

inhibitor decreased the exposure of

the satinib over eightfold

we came up with this reactivification

hypothesis whereby we could administer a

small amount of acid to subjects to

overcome the pharmacologic

hypochlorhydria

and in this situation we could increase

the dasatinib exposure in the presence

of rebeprazole within 0 percent of the

baseline values

im going to just slowly go through the role of drug transporters and drug

distribution

the role of pgp in the bloodbrain barrier in the placenta was first

characterized in mice

alfred schenkel during his postdoc at
the netherlands cancer institute knocked
out mdra and b and found that the
offspring of these mice were viable
fertile and without an observable
phenotype however the mice developed a
mite infection and were treated with

ivermectin

after the mice were treated with

ivermectin

this dose response and survival curve
dramatically shows that the mice that
did not have mdr were greatly impacted
by the administration of ivermectin
and the mice with an intact mdr at the
bloodbrain barrier there they were
relatively resistant to uh that this

toxicity

investigators at merck sharp and dome at

the time were investigating ivermectin
in cd mice or cf mice and were found
to actually have clap pallet
the mdr in this situation was actually
demonstrated to have an impact at the
blood placental barrier
theres not a human equivalent of this

knockout

certainly dogs

have a for base pair deletion and collies in particular are known not to have functional pgp at the blood brain barrier therefore if you have a collie

or

dogs in the shelti family its important that their dose of hydrobactin be greatly reduced

how this relates to drug discovery and development

is in oncology

we believe that glioblastoma is highly

unmet

in medical need and patients with
glioblastoma theres over 000 new
diagnosis per year and once a patients
diagnosed with glioblastoma their median

survival is less than a year and a half
one of the best types of cancers
characterized at a molecular and genetic
level

however due to the heterogeneity of genetic and morphological differences
diffuse infiltrate of disease all are
challenges that are encountered there
are relatively limited treatment options
including surgery radiation temozolomide
there are a number of clinical trials

but however this is an area where there are multiple targeted agents that have

that are unprocessed

failed

and part of the reason that they have failed

is because the molecular targeted agents are not unable to cross the bloodbrain

barrier

i add this slide as a review
just to state that theres three ways
that a drug can cross the bloodbrain

barrier

first it can permeate the cell through trans cellular transport there can be

in particular from the slc family
or small molecule drugs can traverse
between the tight junctions but these
molecules have to be extremely small
specifically molecular weight less than

0 to 0

we investigated and developed one
specific molecule
for the treatment or the investigation
of the treatment of glioblastoma
this molecule gdc0
was found to have similar pharmacologic

potency of gdc09

however this molecule had greatly
enhanced bloodbrain plasma
increases on the order of a hundredfold

over pictilosim

and the free fraction that actually
crossed the bloodline barrier was
greater than seven percent
this was this investigation was led by
laurent salvati with shireen shaldi

latham

and in particular mirroring models of glioblastoma were

in

and developed and gdc0

was administrated orally at

milligrams per kilo

and what you see on the left are the

lesions of the tumor itself

and then on the right is actually for

each figure is how much the drug

actually crosses and these figures are

maldi imaging of the cells where

gdc0 is present

gdc09

shown on the righthand figure
is known to not cross the bloodbrain
barrier so even pharmacologically it
hits the right target was very poor
activity and was never studied in the

clinic

therefore

through the use of chemistry and
selective reduction of
pgp interactions there was over a
hundred fold increase in the brain to
plasma ratio for this molecule
what was interesting was that because

many glioblastoma patients actually need

to have surgery

the measurement of

gdc00 was determined in one clinical

cancer patient

and in this situation

the brain to tumor plasma ratio was

greater than

and in the brain to tissue ratio itself

was

so what we learned about this molecule

and this specific patient was

that we could trust our nonclinical

approach

to actually getting drugs into the brain

more importantly into the tumor

im going to speak briefly about solute

carrier superfamily now these

transporters are primarily involved with

uptake

of substrates in organs of elimination

such as the kidney and the liver

this sub this uh the slc sub family is a

super family so theres over 00

approximately 00 genes in this family

of transporters so its much greater

than the abc transport family

and

all of these molecules are named through

slc

slco nomenclature

i wanted to just review simply impact of

slcs and

renal clearance

and its important to recognize that
renal clearance is a summation of gfr
plus secretion minus reabsorption
and in situations where renal clearance
equals gfr we can only say the net
effect of secretion and reabsorption are

equal

if renal clearance is much less than gfr
we would say theres net reabsorption
and if renal clearance is much greater
than gfr we would say we have net

secretion

and the transporters that are shown in the single isolated proximal tubule of the transporters ill show you our oet

oat oct

and on the basolateral side of the transport

they are also abc and other slc

transporters

so renally mediated drug drug

interactions

are some of the earliest examples
of drug drug interactions that were
discovered to be mediated by active
transport during world war ii penicillin
was in short supply so the quest to
understand an inhibitor of drug
transport of penicillin was undertaken
it took almost eight years to identify
that probenocid could inhibit the active
tubular secretion of penicillin
drugs that have specific labeling
precautions related to
drug drug interactions are those with
the narrow therapeutic index such as

dofadelide

and dopalide is tycosin which is known as an antiarrhythmic but at higher doses

it has very

simple very pronounced cardiac toxicity
sedovavir it was found that in the
presence of an oat inhibitor you could
prevent

the the development of nephrotoxicity
these examples are are clinical examples
of drugs that have high renal clearance
and in the situation of mirapex tycosyn
and metformin the renal clearance ranges

from anywhere from

uh four to fivefold gfr

in in the situation where you administer
a prototypical inhibitor of organic
cation transport which is semedidine or
probenocid which inhibits organic anion

transport

you can end up with either an effect on the oet or oct transporter

dovetalite again

because of its narrow therapeutic index and range its important to avoid

cementidine

and also

ketoconazole is known to interact with

dofetalide

metformin which kathy giacomini will

discuss more

is a molecule thats eliminated entirely unchanged in the urine with the gfr being much much greater or with renal

clearance being much much greater than
gfr the most common adverse effects with
metformin are gi toxicity such as severe
diarrhea

lactic acidosis which is particularly
rare is still a very severe side effect
moreover recent evidence suggests
that theres an anticancer effect or
benefit with metformin
finishing up with hepatic uptake in
eflux i wish to discuss the role of
oatpv and v in the uptake of some
drugs but suffice it to say hepatic
permeability is an important factor
interaction with basolateral slc
transporters is an important factor to
determine whether or not your drug will
have rapid clearance

also uptake metabolism efflux energy is
something thats known to occur
the hepatic transporters themselves
are very important for many of the
statins that are administered
and they serve to actually target the
liver and in this situation its
actually a good thing

that the target for the statins and also the transporters work in harmony

to increase the

efficacy of these drugs
rezuvastatin itself is one of the newer
hmgcoa reductase inhibitors and early
in the development of this molecule it
was found that patients of asian

heritage

of rosuvastatin that was not related to
the metabolism therefore its important
for resume statin is with other statins
that patients be started at the lowest

dose possible

the role of slcovo

in this mutation actually was

specifically known to increase

the exposure to patients who are on

resume statin

moreover rosuvastatin is also a

substrate of bcrp

so bcrp is expressed in the gut so

patients mutant in the qk

would also have increased exposure to

rezubastatin

this figure is from the new england
journal of medicine and it just
demonstrates that patients who are
treated with a statin who are mutant in

oatpv

have an increased prevalence of myopathy
after treatment with highdose

symphostatin

if you review the labels of hmdcoa

reductase inhibitors

its nicely highlighted

that oatpb

is the

important transporter for

the movement of these drugs into the

liver and that if you inhibit these

transporters with cyclosporine

this can be a significant impact this

can have a significant impact on the

exposure to patients

both in the liver but also in the

systemic circulation which then you end
up with the more with more of a risk for
skeletal muscle injury
over the last few years actually in the
last two years the discovery of

endogenous biomarkers have been proposed
first it was discovered in patients with
rotor syndrome that they had

over

they had high levels of copropriation and this could be a biomarker for

oatpvv

and in the study

where rifampicin was studied on

resuvastatin

theres a significant increase on the

left

and if you look at coproportion
the two actually parallel each other
from baseline to inhibited situation

so

one idea is could we replace clinical

drug drug interactions through the

knowledge of endogenous drug

transporters

substrates

however this needs further validation
especially in mild to moderate
situations where your inhibitor is
not a significant or potent inhibitor of
oatpb or v

we had such molecule with gdc00
where we studied the impact of this
molecule on pravastatin and we found a
very modest or slight interaction
with pravastatin pk
and when we studied the impact on
coporforfern

the

increase was about fold
so we believe that in essence that
coporporin or cp cp could be an
important biomarker

for

understanding the role of small molecule drug interactions with hepatic uptake

transporters

other key endogenous transport biomarkers which could serve as victim

substrates include

creatinine which is always measured in can in cancer and also internal medicine

patients

uh oatp oat one substrate taurine
and then also six beta hydroxy cortisol
so theres a large
in itc effort to characterize

endogenous transport

biomarkers with the hope that drug drug

interaction studies could be understood

quite early in the clinic when a

molecule is first studied in human or

first inpatient

so summary and conclusions

we believe that many drug transporters

are important for moving drugs in

xenobiotics into or out of cells in the

body

transport or mediated drug drug

interactions

caused by induction or inhibition have

the potential to influence pk and pd

transport or mediated drug drug

interactions are routinely taken into

account in drug development therefore

its important to have robust in vitro

assays to steer the clinical

pharmacology plan

the pharmacogenetics of drug transporter

function is important consideration for

many oatpb and bcrp substrates

and an integrated approach which

consists of in vitro vivo pvpk and

clinical ddis

are really needed to define the impact
of drug transport on pk pd and ddi risk
much of this work has all been supported
by collaborators both within the itc
ic and the original itc

and

my department at genon tech id like to
thank specifically kenta yoshida
and sharmila rajan for the slides carrie
morrissey also for her work on her
projects along with lauren silvati
thank you for listening to this lecture
and if you have any further questions
please reach out to the program
coordinator at the nih principles of
clinical pharmacology thank you

you