hi my name is jomie George I am the pharmacokinetics research lab here at the NIH clinical Center Pharmacy
Department today III actually be going through a case study focused on transportermediated drug drug interactions so lets get started with the patient case this is a year old male with a medical history significant for HIV hypertension and left lower leg deep vein thrombosis or DVT who presents to clinic today with a fiveday history of recurrent nosebleeds and feeling fatigued his current medications include the following

the antiretroviral regimen lvidegravir copassistat tenofovir aliphenamide and tricidine given as one tablet by mouth daily the antihypertensive amlodipine

0 milligrams by mouth daily and the oral anticoagulant used to treat his DVT provided at 0 milligrams by mouth twice daily and this started about a

so when we take a look at this

week ago

of steps at least to identify the

problem and identifying the problem is
important because we can come up with
logical Solutions on how to actually
best manage these challenges and provide
optimal care for this particular patient
so in looking at whats highlighted in

red

the statement thats provided there

pretty much provides a lot of
information thats extremely important
for management for this patient so this
individual has a medical history that
has a number of comorbidities that
require multiple modalities of drug
therapy which are implicated in a number
of drug drug interactions
also of note whats significant is that
this individual presents with side
effects presumably from his oral
anticoagulant that started about a week

ago

and when we take a look at his his
regimen his medication list
we really need to think about are any of

these particular drugs have clinically relevant drug drug interactions and this is important because this individual is actually presenting with side effects again presumably from his oral anticoagulant when we take a closer look in fact there is actually an interaction between kobasistat which is a PK booster or pharmacokinetic booster or enhancer thats provided as part of his antiretroviral regimen and his oral anticoagulant debigatrin so our next step is understanding how to go about figuring out what resources we need to use to provide and help support is is there data to support this drug interaction and is this drug interaction clinically relevant and could it possibly explain why this individual has developed this side effect from debigatran and what Ive pulled for you here are two resources that are reputable resources one being the from the University of Liverpool and the second being from lexicomp and these are simple searches that were provided using

their drug interaction Checker online so

if you take a look at these

recommendations theyre actually a

little bit different

however the data that is used to support these recommendations are similar by the recommendations provided by University of Liverpool as you can see here their recommendation is actually do not coadminister Medicaid these particular medications and the data here is to support it is based on a theoretical interaction that Kobe assist at can actually increase the exposure of debigatrend and well go through the mechanism of the interaction in a few slides if we look towards the other side of the slide where lexicomp provides also a drug interaction Checker the actual risk here is not to actually do not coadminister its actually to monitor therapy and really look at the patient and understand the benefit versus risk ratio and if this there needs to be any dosage modifications or avoidance of coadministration

so having said that lets take a look specifically at the drugs that are implicated in this particular drug interaction so kobus is dead as Id mentioned is a pharmacokinetic booster or enhancer it doesnt have antiretroviral properties per se but its really main focus or function if you will is to enhance the exposure of concomitant medications namely the antiretrovirals that are combined within the tablet and the bigotran as Id mentioned is the oral anticoagulant when you pull data on how these drugs are metabolized or transported you will note that Coba cystat actually goes through sip 0 mediated metabolism specifically as highlighted here its a strong inhibitor for sipa as well as a substrate for it it also inhibits sipd to some extent but when we take a look at Transporters and the Transporters that are implicated for this particular drug we actually see a number of Transporters that are involved for this particular medication including

pglycoprotein bcrp mate oatpb and b

and these are all different functions and these Transporters are located all throughout the body in different in different areas but whats important to understand and appreciate is that the bigotran happens to be a pretty sensitive substrate for pglycoprotein the FDA defines a sensitive substrate as one that in the presence of other pglycoproteins its exposure will increase more than twofold so in its drug development program there is data for the bigotrend in combination with other pgp Inhibitors however it has not been studied with cobisistat so the natural question again is is this a clinically relevant interaction and could this interaction be explaining the side effect profile for this particular medication in this patient so lets take a closer look at the actual mechanism and really breaking down what actually is happening what the picture here is depicting for you is the

uh the intestinal membrane specifically
in the enterocytes where p glycoprotein
is located and Peak liquor protein as
youve learned from the lecture is
located all throughout the body
pglycoprotein is indeed an efflux
transporter and it has its specific

function and role in
mitigating or facilitating a transporter
diffusion across different membranes
so looking at the intestinal membrane
there is an apical side which faces the
intestinal tract or the Lumen the basol
lateral side which faces the blood
now when an individual ingests the
bigotrend in the absence of any
transporter inhibition or induction the
bigotrend sits as a p glycoprotein

but in the presence of kobasistat

kobusastad actually inhibits this efflux

of dibigatran so essentially Whats

Happening Here is that youve got almost

a stoppage if you will of this

carriermediated efflux and keep in mind

that there is always this constant

substrate

concentration gradient that can go from the apical to the basol lateral side which really determines the absorption or the intestinal absorption of a medication or it can go from the basal lateral to the apical side which really determines its excretive gradient what happens when you inhibit this particular transport you increase the concentrations or you actually increase the intestinal absorption and bioavailability of oral de bigotran and what happens you have an accumulation of the drug within the blood which then really translates to higher concentrations higher exposure of the

blood

but again the question is is this relevant is this exposure high enough such that this requires a dosage modification or a recommendation in avoiding these medications altogether so Ive pulled for you here the FDA approved label for de bigatran and Ive specifically highlighted for you the the section on drug interactions if you take

a look these labels are actually quite complicated to go through and as clinicians wed have to make sure that were looking at these medications for the right indication and we understand what data is available and if that data can be extrapolated to Other Drugs of interest and other Target populations it should be noted that most of the drug interaction studies that are included within a drug label are conducted in a healthy volunteer population and not within the target population so things to consider are other patient covariates that could not that are not actually accounted for in in the actual clinical trials so what this section actually outlines for you are particular recommendations based on the absence or presence of the concomitant medication that could be interacting or interfering with drug transport it also highlights for you in the in the presence of kidney dysfunction or renal impairment if those recommendations change and specifically for debigatran the bigotrend is actually

eliminated via glomerular filtration about 0 percent of it so from a clinical standpoint this is actually very very important to appreciate and to incorporate into our final recommendation for this particular

patient

so Id like to focus right here where it says the use of pgp Inhibitors specifically whats called out our varampamil amiodarone quinidine Clarithromycin and ticagrelor all of these particular medications were studied with dibigatrend and the exposure although there were increased in the presence of these pgp Inhibitors they were deemed to have a there was a margin if you will that was of efficacy and safety within that for for that particular exposure that was deemed to be clinically irrelevant or really what that means is it did not require a dosage adjustment but whats important is the next

statement also these results should not be extrapolated to other pgp Inhibitors

so then the question comes up in this

particular case Kobe assist at which
happens to be a pgp inhibitor what do
you do how do you manage this patient
appropriately is it appropriate to
continue to dose this individual in the
presence of this side effect or do we
need to dose adjust perhaps the

medication

and this leaved a essentially a research Gap in data and in uh in response if you will to help fill this research Gap there was a publication that was put out by Gordon at AI in circulation in about in 0 which really sought out to help fill this Gap and to study the drug interaction impact of cob assist at on De bigotran I should note here that the study actually looks at this particular interaction in healthy volunteers but this is a comparison between the impact of retonovir which is also a PK booster but this is an older PK booster that has fallen out of favor namely because of side effects and because of the fact that kobuss Dad is now available and is

better tolerated

so this particular study actually looks at both ratonavir and kobusistat as you can see here panel a focuses on ratonavir and panel b or arm B is on cob assist out these are very simple concentration versus time curves where the bigotran plasma concentration is plotted for you on the yaxis the the time of administration post the bigotran administration is plotted for you on the xaxis both of these arms had three different phases the first phase in both arms was to provide healthy volunteers to bigotran alone the second phase included providing or giving dibigatran two hours before either retonovir or cobesistat and the thought here is that because this drug interaction is mediated or modulated by pglycoprotein perhaps separating their Administration to mitigate this interaction would help in perhaps being able to provide these medications together so that was actually studied if two hours was actually enough and if that separation

actually did mitigate that interaction and that third phase was simultaneous administration of dibigatran with ratonavir or Cove assista and as you can see here you can visually appreciate that there are significant differences between both of these arms particularly in the in the setting of simultaneous administration of dibigatran and cobisistat versus dibigatran and ratonavir you can see a significantly increased CMax and overall exposure of the bigotran in the presence of Cobe assistant whats interesting though here is that actually retoniver and Coba system are both pgp Inhibitors so this actually speaks to what the label actually indicates as well that the ability to extrapolate data to other pgp Inhibitors may not be appropriate in all patient populations and this really focuses and calls out that in the absence of data extrapolation may not be entirely appropriate and you need to take a casebycase benefit versus risk ratio

for each of your your patients so again even though this PK study provided quantitative data it provides you a percentage increase it provides you metrics as far as how much the drug is is increased in the in the in the setting of cob assist at and retonovir but again the question is is that exposure increase still clinically relevant would that put the would this exposure put individuals at risk for bleeds namely so in this healthy volunteer study its apparent that Kobe did have a much more profound effect as a pgp inhibitor but extrapolation of this data may not be entirely appropriate to all target populations staggering the dose actually did not have a an expected pronounced effect it actually did not mitigate the interaction and likely the mechanism is that Kobe is indeed a potent intestinal pgp inhibitor whereas return of your may be acting as an inducer a mixed inducer an inhibitor of piglike protein the clinical relevance right now at this

moment is really unknown however because our particular patient is experiencing side effects this really cannot be ruled out that possibly the cop assist that is propagating or perpetuating perpetuating the the drug interaction with the

bigotran

the therapeutic options for this for this individual really any individual based on this PK data is either to avoid its concomitant use altogether provided that there is an appropriate alternative option for the patients second would be to space apart perhaps the medications for more than two hours in the case of debigatran it should be noted that the bigotran has already been being given twice a day in this particular patient and really all patients for the bigotran is typically dosed twice a day so really spacing these drugs apart for more than

two hours really doesnt

provide

a chance for patients to be actually adherent to their medications and actually could negatively impact

optimal Choice and then lastly does it make sense to reduce the debate Trend dose but the question then is how much do you reduce the dose and would that actually have negative impacts impact namely on efficacy and remember that theres a delicate balance between efficacy and safety this is a drug thats being given to an individual to essentially or anticoagulate them after having identified thrombosis so we do need to carefully figure out the balance between efficacy and safety and if that reduction of dose is truly therapeutically appropriate and all for all of those reasons this really provides or or introduces many different management challenges Ive pulled for you here a number of FDA US product labels for other direct oral anticoagulants select ones that are listed here for you riveroxaban apixaban dibigatran and edoxaban if you look across the drug interaction potential actually both River Rocks have been in a

adherence so may not be the the best

pixel van plausibly actually pose a higher drug interaction potential because theyre substrates for both cytochrome a as well as pglycoprotein the label recommendations essentially provide guidance saying that with riveroxaban drugs like homocystat should be avoided altogether apixaban you could use it but you need may need a dosage reduction depending upon the indication for the drug and the patient population and other patient covariates the bigotran we already went through the the label recommendation for that and edoc the band which is a fairly newer direct oral anticoagulant that thats available the data actually with the docs event if you note here for in the product label is that with specific pgp Inhibitors you actually might be able to use it without any dosage adjustments but I would caution or advise that we have a patient here thats developed a side effect plausibly because of the oral anticoagulant so the question of being being able to safely

administer in the presence of his antiretroviral regimen really should be questioned and Im not entirely sure if really switching him to a docs event would be the most appropriate option but having said that we have to do something for our patient we have to make a decision so we do we did identify the the relevant drug interaction were essentially deeming this to essentially be clinically relevant for this particular patient case as hes developed side effects presumably from his debigatran and because hes feeling fatigued remember he could have lost actually quite a bit of blood but we dont have other laboratory markers to really support that but really it it should cause concern given that he has had recurrent nosebleeds really temporally associated with the time of initiation of his debugatran and really what we need to do is to think about and ask advice from Specialists and really take a multi multidisciplinary approach in really

really it would be great to work with
the his HIV provider to discontinue his
Cove assist at based antiretroviral
therapy and really construct an
alternative regimen which poses a much
lesser drug interaction risk and in this
situation you could actually continue
his debugitran and treat him for his DVT

or

other options could potentially be if for whatever reason he cannot tolerate or cannot be switched to an alternate alternative antiretroviral regimen we do need to think about switching him to another oral anticoagulant but would need very frequent monitoring to ensure that he doesnt continue to have side effects from his oral anticoagulant so in summary drug Transporters are implicated in many clinically relevant drug interactions it may not be appropriate to extrapolate drug interaction data generated from healthy volunteers to a Target population or rather for a drug of Interest other

drugs of Interest it is important to
practice a multidisciplinary approach
in the management of these patients who
do present with rather complex
comorbidities which end up having very
complex drug drug interactions thank you
and I hope this presentation was helpful