

we are fortunate to have dr ashley
dr greene is currently a chemist at the
national institute of standard and
technology and her research primarily
focuses on the standardization of
protein measurement and science
dr green received a bachelor of science
degree in biochemistry from spelman
college in 00 followed by a phd in
pharmacology from johns hopkins
dr green completed a postdoctoral
training at nist

please enjoy todays lecture
hello my name is dr ashley beasley green
and i am a staff scientist at the
national institute of standards and
technology and today i will talk to you
about biochemical mechanisms of drug
toxicity

heres a brief outline of the
presentation ill start with the adverse
drug reactions the impact
the economic and the prevalence of these
reactions some common mechanisms of
adverse drug reactions the type a the

intrinsic and type v the be the
idiosyncratic reactions
and also well discuss the risk
assessment of these drug reactions
the world health organization define
defines a an adverse drug reaction as
being a response to a drug which is
unintended and which occurs at doses
normally used in man for prophylaxis
diagnosis or therapy of disease or
modification of physiological function
in the 0 national action plan for the
adverse drug event prevention which was
drafted by the us department of health
and human services its noted that
adverse drug events account for roughly
two million
inpatient hospital stays annually and
roughly
a little more than million office
outpatient office visits
and approximately million emergency
department visits
in addition to the high incidence of
adverse events
these events also pose a large economic

burden contributing to roughly

billion

of the

us health care costs

therefore it is extremely important to
understand basic drug mechanism of
action but also understand potential
adverse reactions that can occur during
drug therapy

in this first section i will highlight
several known adverse drug reactions and
examples of these reaction types

this is a very simplistic view
of drug metabolism here we have a drug
thats converted to nontoxic
metabolites and is then excreted through
urine

when bioactivation enzymes convert this
drug to reactive metabolites then we see

some of the adverse drug reactions
listed here are the two major reactions
we have the type a which is an intrinsic
reaction the type b which is the
idiosyncratic reactions in the type a/b
reactions these reactions are dose
dependent therefore theyre predictable

and they also can occur at high
incidence
with low mortality however in the type b
case

these reactions are not dose dependent
therefore they're unpredictable
and they're dependent on the individual
genetic and also physiological
conditions so there is low incidence
of these types of reactions however
these types of reactions occur with high
mortality rates

so for um the first example ill
highlight a type a um adverse reaction
using the acetyl acetaminophen on drug
this is a wellknown and highly
characterized drug that is linked to
liver toxicity via the formation of
reactive metabolites

acetaminophen is a popular
overthecounter pain reliever and the
mode of action is the inhibition of the
prostaglandin h synthetase enzyme which
is used to convert arachidonic acid to
prostaglandin h

acetaminophen induced liver toxicity is

dose dependent as with most type a

adverse drug reactions

this drug is safe at therapeutic

concentrations

however severe liver toxicity occurs

above the therapeutic range

in data collected from the us acute

liver failure study group

in january 0

it was defined it was identified that

acetaminophen was the leading cause of

acute liver

failure in most instances all occurred

as a result of a suicide attempt via a

single dose over the therapeutic dose or

from extended use by patients seeking

pain relief these were the unintentional

overdoses

in 0 the us food and drug

administration

fda issued a final guidance to

manufacturers of the overthecounter

counter drug here is the guidance

and it can be located at this

web address

products

encouraging products to provide

alternative language to the liver

warning section of the products label

and here the warning states

liver warning this product contains

acetaminophen severe liver damage may

occur if you take either one with more

than 000 milligrams of acetaminophen

within hours

two with other drugs contained in

acetaminophen or

three with three or more alcoholic

beverages

drinks every day while using this

product

here is the

biochemical mechanism of acetaminophen

metabolism

we see the detoxification and

bioactivation pathways

approximately percent of the major

metabolites of acetaminophen

metabolism are in the inactive forms of

the glucuronide and the

sulfate conjugates

these conjugates are undergo renal

elimination um

and so then the this is the nonuh toxic

pathway of acetaminophen

however roughly ten percent of

acetaminophen is then converted through

the enzymatic activity of the p0

enzymes listed here to produce a

reactive metabolite as illustrated in

this very simplistic view of drug

metabolism

this this reactive metabolite is napqi

napqi is then further detoxified by

glutathione conjugation

this conjugate is then formed into a

cysteine or mercapturic acid adduct

these adducts are then eliminated

in urine

and doses higher than the therapeutic

dose

a known mechanism for drug induced liver

injury can occur via oxidative stress

in this case the reactive metabolite

which is the napqi

accumulates in glutathione is depleted

which prevents the

production of the
cysteine and mercapturic acid adducts
leading to urine excretion
so in the absence of sufficient
glutathione the napqi reactive
metabolite covalently binds to
liver proteins
this binding to the liver proteins
inhibits the function of these proteins
leading to further oxidative stress
increasing
oxidative stress and liver cell necrosis
leading to liver toxicity
although acetaminophen liver toxicity is
dose dependent there are several factors
that can enhance apap
susceptibility causing liver toxicity
alcohol consumption while taking
acetaminophen can increase the risk of
liver toxicity by increasing
bioactivation
of the acetaminophen molecule
when aloe when ethanol is present
it becomes an a competitive substrate
for the p0 enzyme illustrated here
this stabilizes the enzyme which then

induces the production of more of this
enzyme

when more of this enzyme is present it

increases the production of the
reactive metabolite the napqi

further leading to

further inducing the liver toxicity

effects of

acetaminophen so this is why the fda

illustrated in their guidance to

um

to reduce the consumption of

three or more alcoholic beverages in the

presence of acetaminophen because liver

toxicity is induced

under these conditions

although acetaminophen liver toxicity is

dose dependent

theres also

acetaminophen can also induce an immune

response

as you can see here there are several

interconnected mechanisms that can lead

to liver cell necrosis

liver toxicity following the

administration of acetaminophen at

certain levels

unlike type a

type b adverse drug reactions are not
dose dependent and the mechanism of
liver toxicity is not linked to the mode
of action

idiosyncratic means specific to an
individual

so these adverse reactions are
unpredictable

idiosyncratic drug reactions can affect
any organ the most common of these
organs being the liver skin and also
blood cells

therefore liver toxicity is
unpredictable it accounts for a large
percentage of drugs eliminated during
the preclinical testing
clinical trials and also the
postmarketing phase of the drug
discovery and development
process

because its unpredictable there is
limited mechanism mechanistic
understanding

there are several theories determined

via animal models for type b induced

liver toxicities

and i will discuss six of these eight

theyre highlighted here um

with the underlying

as previously discussed in the

acetaminophen example

in the reactive intermediate hypothesis

the drug is converted to the reactive

intermediate via bioactivation enzymes

and then can bind to

liver proteins eliciting a

drug induced liver injury

the reactive metabolite can also

increase oxidative stress leading to

mitochondrial dysfunction which can then

lead to liver dis liver dysfunction and

toxicity

also genetic variations of these

bioactivation enzymes can affect the

conversion of the drug to reactive

metabolites increase or either decrease

the production of these reactive

metabolites

an example of these three hypotheses is

the

isoniazid

this is the first line agent in the

treatment of active or latent

tuberculosis the mode of action is the

inhibition of mycolic acid formation

which is an essential

component of my

mycobacterial cell wall synthesis

an animal model was used to determine

the mechanism and approximately 0

percent of treated patients developed

elevated liver enzymes and bilirubin

the reactive metabolite in this

mechanism

or reactive intermediate in this

mechanism is the hydrazine

is then converted through the cytochrome

P-450 enzymes to the toxic

metabolite which then increases

oxidative stress leading to liver

toxicity

acetyl transferase

is illustrated here and here

acts at several points to reduce the

concentration of the reactive

intermediate hydrazine

however genetic variations of this enzyme can decrease the activity leading to an increase in the concentration of the reactant intermediate hydrazine then increasing the liver toxicity effects of this drug

another hypothesis in the type b adverse drug reactions is the hapten hypothesis

in this hypothesis the drug or reactive metabolite as seen here the drug or its reactive form

covalently binds to a protein forming the drug modified protein the drug modified protein then acts as an antigen and is processed and presented on the antigen presenting cells via the mhc restrict in a mhc restricted manner you can see that here

the antigen is subsequently presented to t cells which can elicit immune tolerance however in the danger hypothesis the similar cascade happens once the drug modified protein is formed it is presented by the

hpc

however two signals are required in

order to activate the t cells

eliciting

an immune response from the drug

an example of a drug that forms drug

modified proteins and can elicit an

immune response is halothane

halothane is a volatile general

anesthetic administered via inhalation

it was introduced in the 90s and has

been associated with two types of

adverse liver events by different

pathways

the first pathway

this is type one here

presents mild liver toxicity halothane

undergoes reduction by the cytochrome

p0 enzyme illustrated here to produce

a radical

this radical

can form stable metabolites it can

inactivate the cyto cytochrome p0

enzymes or most importantly it can

initiate lipid peroxidation and it is

the lipid peroxidation that can elicit

the mild liver toxicity effects of this
particular
pathway the type pathway
or the severe liver toxicity pathway
in this pathway the mode of toxicity is
thought to be mediated by an immune
system
response via the formation of a drug modified protein so here in
this particular pathway halothane
undergoes oxidation by the cytochrome
p450 enzyme illustrated here
to produce a reactive metabolite that
can either form the
trifluoroacetic acid or can be
conjugated to liver proteins eliciting
an immune response as illustrated in the
previous slide
unlike halothane penicillin induced
adverse reactions or the anaphylaxis
reaction is classic is a classic example
of a hapten
penicillin is an antibiotic
and the beta-lactam ring
ring illustrated here
is the chemically reactive component of

this molecule

unlike many other drugs that require
metabolic activation in order to elicit
a response penicillin
directly binds to covalently binds to
proteins
generating the drug protein
conjugate

and it is this conjugate that is the
major antigen for the ige mediated
allergic reaction

there are several reactions several
tiers of reactions that can occur from
this drug protein conjugate the common
reactions such as diarrhea nausea rash
occurs roughly greater than one percent
of the population however

the true anaphylaxis response
only has an incidence of 00 percent

in order to test an individual for
sensitivity to penicillin the skin test
is administered a positive reaction
suggests that the individual should
avoid penicillin

this is
indicated by the presence of ig ige

antibodies to the penicillin compound
if needed desensitization desensitization
therapy can be
conducted further
however if a negative
result
is generated from the skin test
it indicates that the person is not
allergic to penicillin
or
we are unable to come with a actual
definitive
result so therefore a further analysis
is needed and one of those is the graded
dose challenge
in this challenge the individual
receives four to five doses of the
penicillin molecule
starting with a small dose and
increasing to the desired therapeutic
dose
if
the patient reaches the therapeutic dose
and there is no reaction then that drug
is administered and deemed that the
person does not have

a

allergic reaction to the penicillin

molecule

another

hypothesis for

a mechanism

leading to type b adverse reactions is

the inflammatory stress hypothesis

an idiosyncratic adverse drug reaction

is initiated in this type of hypothesis

when a drug therapy is coupled to an

acute inflammation episode

it is thought that the inflammatory

episode could lower the threshold for

toxicity therefore rendering a toxic

response at the safe and effective dose

examples of these inflammatory stresses

could be infection

a disturbance in the intestines or some

type of cell death

an example drug of this type of

hypothesis is trovan

tvx

this is a broad spectrum antibiotic and

it was strict restricted in

in 999 and withdrawn in 00 due to the

severe liver toxicity

it has been shown shown in mice models

that modest inflammatory stress renders

safe doses of tvx toxic

this is the mode of action of tvx

on the um bacterial dna

in the gram negative the

drug

interacts with the bacterial dna dna

gyrase

in the grampositive

organism the drug reacts with the

tesomerase

for

enzyme and so actually what happens is

the drug

binds to the

a component of the gyrase

enzyme therefore preventing the

separation and religation of the

doublestranded bacterial dna during

replication so this prevents the

replication of the bacterial dna during

the growth and production process

in this inflammatory drug interaction

mouth model which was developed by the

roth group and here you can
see the um
the reference for the data presented in
this slide
an inflammatory response is induced by
lipopolysaccharide
lps
in the mouse
and then the mouse is treated with tvx
following the lps exposure to determine
the effect of the drug on liver toxicity
in the presence of inflammation so this
is really to
prove that
tv
tvx administered in the presence of an
inflammatory
stress condition can elicit liver
toxicity
and here we see
alt actually is a biomarker for liver
health so thats how were measuring
liver health using a the alt a alt
marker
and the vehicle is just the sterile
saline

material

so in the saline system we don't see an
increase in liver in alt production or
liver injury however as we increase
as we administer the tvx in the presence
of the lps or the inflammatory stress

condition

we see an increase in alt
concentration leading to leading us to
suggest that at about 0 milligrams per

kilogram there is

liver

injury

with the administration of the tvx

molecule in

parallel with an lps induced

inflammatory response

and over time we can see here that

um roughly about after nine hours of

exposure to the lps

or the in or inducing the inflammatory

response we see an increase of liver in

injury or an increase in alt here at

about nine hours

so what does this really mean and how

can we really associate this increased

liver toxicity to
this inflammatory response in the
presence of this of this drug
so
the scientists here have looked at
the tumor necrosis factor alpha which is
tnf alpha tnf alpha is a mediator of
inflammation and is involved as
a major factor in various liver injury
models
so it's a good marker for
induced
it's a good marker for inflammation
so what we see here is the vehicle and
also um
the vehicle with the tvx in the presence
of the lps so
tvx administered during an inflammatory
response
can increase the tnf alpha
so there definitely is
liver injury associated with this
inflammatory response
and we can see that here by looking at
alt so in the presence of tvx and lps we
see an increase of alt and an increase

of tnf tnf alpha meaning that the liver
injury is induced by the inflammatory
response associated with the
administration of
tvx and lps
however we see a reduction in tnf alpha
or inflammation and also alt or
liver injury in the presence of the
etanercept
drug etanercept is a specific inhibitor
of tnf alpha activity
so therefore were able to reduce the
inflammatory response so as we reduce
tnf alpha we also reduce the
inflammatory response in the presence of
the tvx
so
we can conclude that with this mouse
model when tvx is administered with an
inflammatory response which is induced
by the lps we see the
liver injury
further
confirming the hypo the inflammatory
stress hypothesis
in addition to the mild studies

the the team also did gene expression in
order to identify pathways that are up
regulated in the presence of the tvx and
lps system

the findings were the several pathways
associated with inflammation were
upregulated during treatment
with the tvx molecule

i want to highlight here that
in the analysis of drug candidates
during the drug discovery process gene
expression could be a potential
mechanism in order to identify drugs
that can elicit adverse reactions or
these type b reactions that are
somewhat unpredictable
in subjects especially with acute
inflammation

so with all of this information
about the type a type b
adverse drug reactions how do we develop
safe drugs

to address the high incidence and also
the economic impact risk assessment
strategies must be integrated earlier in
the drug discovery and development

process

extensive safety testing is conducted

during the preclinical phase

however to reduce attrition during this

phase and also increase patient

safety during the clinical trials

and the postmarketing phases the risk

of drug candidates should be addressed

during the basic research and drug

discovery phase

so the earlier that we can identify

candidates that can elicit

adverse reactions

the safer we the patients will be

and we won't spend as much money because

this process is extremely expensive

here in 2010 the costs were estimated

to be roughly 1 billion dollars for

this entire process so to have a

target go

all the way to post marketing and then

be restricted that is a huge economic

loss so we want to be able to

enhance patient safety but also reduce

the risk of losing money by a

drug inducing some of these

unpredictable adverse reactions

hazard identification and risk

assessment of reactive metabolites is

one way

that we can identify candidates that are

potential that pose potential hazards to

patients

the major difficulty

of identifying these drugs that can

elicit these type b reactions is the

complexity of the mechanisms involved

and also the gaps in our scientific

understanding of these drug induced type

b reactions

illustrated here is an adverse outcome

pathway you have the chemical insult the

macromolecular interaction

cellular tissue

and the organ response and then the

individual response so they are these

are different tiers of risk of drug

responses

this is a nice representation of the

various approaches that are currently

being

utilized to identify

drug targets that can elicit these
reactions
formation of reactive metabolite
approach
the metabolite formation combined with
the with the dose approach
and also combining the reactive
metabolite to
measuring the cellular response approach
so were looking at these first three
tiers of the adverse outcome pathway
the first
way
or
mode to assess the risk of drug
potential drug candidates is to remove
structural motifs
from the chemical library
that can elicit
or form these reactive metabolites
the elimination of these candidates
based on in silico assessment of
structure motifs reduces cost because no
synthesis of the compound is required
this approach minimizes risk of
developing a drug that can generate a

reactive metabolite therefore leading to

patient toxicity or patient injury

however

by eliminating these structural motifs

we reduce the chemical library of interest for

drug candidates

for example

if the phenol and thiophene structural

motifs were eliminated from the chemical

library

many drugs that are safe and effective

would not be accessible to patients such

as aripiprazole which is our antipsychotic

therapy or citalopram

which is used to treat depression or

singulair which is used in the

maintenance treatment of asthma and also

to relieve symptoms of seasonal

allergies so we can't eliminate

all of these potential

toxic structural motifs but we also we

are we can eliminate some

another approach in identifying the

formation of these reactive metabolites

is a trapping approach

because of the instability of some of

these metabolites we need to stabilize
the metabolite in order to i to
characterize and detect them using these
trapping agents
and detecting the
trapping agent drug conjugate using
liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
approaches
this is an example of the trapping
approach used to identify
the primary intermediate of the
glycolysis pathway
which is the bispho
bisphosphoglycerate
or bpg for short
in
in the pathway glucose is converted to
gap
under the enzymatic activity of the gap
dh enzyme
gap is converted to bpg
this metabolite is extremely labile
it
simultaneously will convert or none
enzymatically will convert
to the pg or bpg

metabolites

therefore in order to

identify the bpg metabolite we must

find a way to stabilize this component

without it undergoing hydrolysis or

isomerization

one way one approach that um

[Music]

a group decided to

utilize the trapping approach in order

to capture the

bpg

molecule

hydroxylamine

reacts with bpg forming a stable

metabolite that is then able that that

were then able to identify using mass

spectrometry

this stable analog has a unique tandem

mass spectrometry profile

therefore allowing

the identification of the

therefore indirectly allowing the

identification of the bpg metabolite

here we see the bpg metabolite

without

any modification
and were unable to detect using mass
spectrometry
however when the bpg is
trapped or
conjugated to the hydroxylamine trapping
agent were able to identify the
modified
metabolite using mass spectrometry
and the removal of the phosphate group
um generates a nice peak at the 99 to
9
and then the 9
product precursor
product ion transition of 99 to 9 so
were able to detect the modified
molecule here
to assess the in vivo detection of the
analog or the conjugated bpg molecule
the group
looked at
cell culture
they modified the cell culture with the
trapping agent and then just added dmso
as our control
and then

extracted the
bpg
unmodified and modified virgins versions
and analyzed using mass spectrometry
here in this
bar graph we can see that the unmodified
version in the control
system compared to the modified versions
have similar
relative levels
however when we add
the naf
molecule which inhibits the activity of
inolase which leads to the accumulation
of bpg
we should expect to see an increase in
the bpg
metabolite
theres a slight increase over
um
in the naf system compared to the
control in the unmodified version
however in the modified version in the
naf system we see a huge increase a
significant increase in the modified
bpg metabolite so therefore modifying

the metabolite we get a
a more accurate view of the
concentration of the bp bpg metabolite
here we see the um mass spectrometry
spectra of the
modified versus the
unmodified in the presence of the naf
molecule and we we dont see
the peak in the
unmodified version of bpg but when
modified with the trapping agent we see
a peak
representing
the modified metabolite
they also looked at the concentration of
the modified metabolite with increasing
glucose and we can see here that as you
increase your glucose you increase the
amount of the modified bpg versus the
unmodified metabolite
so what does this all mean we can
actually
utilize trapping
agents in order to detect
highly unstable reactive metabolites
therefore allowing us to determine the

formation of these reactive metabolites

from drug candidates early on

there are other

methods that also can be used such as

the electrochemical approach and also

the time dependent inactivation approach

in order to identify these highly

unstable reactive metabolites

the information obtained from the

detection of these reactive metabolites

can be used to eliminate these drug

candidates or structural motifs that can

form these reactive species

however the detection of reactant

species does not provide information

about the major mechanism of action

therefore more work is required to

assess the major and minor reactions

during drug metabolism so a drug so a

reactive metabolite may form but

through these approaches is unable to

determine if this is a major or minor

form of the mechanism

also

currently the assessment of reactive

metabolites using these approaches is

not compatible with the high throughput
flat platforms which are used during the
drug screening process therefore these
approaches cannot be used in these high
throughput settings during the early
stages of drug development
in addition to the formation of these
reactive metabolites we can also combine
the formation with the actual dose
incorporating the drug dose component to
the detection of these species and also
incorporating the formation of the drug
protein conjugates is an important is
important to identify toxic drug
candidates however due to the complexity
of the toxicity mechanism and in vivo
biological interactions that occur with
the drug it is difficult to establish
cut off values that would distinguish
safe versus unsafe drugs at early stages
in the development process
to address the complexity of the toxic
toxicity mechanism we can couple the
identification of these reactive
metabolites
with cellular responses

one mode to do that is using utilizing a
multifactorial approach
this can be used as a prediction tool in
order to distinguish between drugs that
have a high or low tendency to cause
these type b adverse reactions
in this approach we combine the covalent
binding burden
which is the quantitative estimate of
the ability of the drug to form a drug
protein conjugate
with in vitro panel
the in vitro panel consists of a series
of assays that are used to profile the
biological effects of drugs
this is an example of this
multifactorial tutorial approach
here we have a integrated in vitro
hazard matrix thats used in order to
detect
the
molecules that
elicit no hazard or have an extreme
extreme or severe
toxicity
in this particular experiment drugs

were screened in this in vitro panel and
also
the covalent binding burden was analyzed
in order to
generate a score that is then used to
plot this hazard matrix and out of the

were deemed severe or marked
idiosyncratic adr concern
so they had severe toxicity or um
teetering on the uh no hazard and
concern level
and nine it showed a no hazard result so
this particular approach can distinguish
between safe and unsafe drugs with high
sensitivity and specif specificity so
unlike the previous approaches where we
were just identifying the
reactive metabolite
or i
identifying the reactive metabolite
coupled to dose in this particular
approach were actually combining the
formation of this reactive metabolite
and also the effect of the drug on
various in vitro

components

the type b adverse reactions are complex
and unpredictable and in some cases they

can involve the immune system

therefore

to model these complex systems we need

models that show the interconnected
mechanisms that can occur within the

tissue or organs

so these existing

approaches

although here were looking at some of

the cellular responses we dont get to

capture

the inner

connection or interrelated mechanisms
associated with these tissues and higher

order

components such as organs

but in this micro physiological model

were able to capture some of these

complex biological interactions and the

effect that the drug has on these

interactions in order to identify

candidates early on in the drug

discovery process

that
can have toxicity to individuals
a free a few examples of these models
are listed here you can have a d
culture or liver culture model you can
have a cold culture
you can utilize bioreactors or media
flow
ill highlight one of these models in
order to
demonstrate how utilizing a more
sophisticated model to identify
drug toxicity at some of these lower or
basic research levels
would enhance the
determination of candidates that are
safe and those that are not safe to
individuals
in our previous example we looked at tvx
tvx is a known antibiotic and here we
can we see the
mode of action that was discussed
earlier
the motivation for liver toxicity was
identified
utilizing an animal animal model

where they utilize lps in the presence
of tvx and showed that we can
elicit a liver
toxicity in the presence of the in an
inflammatory stress condition
however
what if we can use an in vitro model
in order to determine
inflammationmediated drug toxicities
in this particular approach
this group
developed a
d liver micro tissue
the kelm group
and the nice and its called the d
human liver
micro tissue or the d insight human
liver micro tissue the nice thing about
this approach is that its in a 96 well
format so it is conducive to the high
throughput screening process that occurs
in the drug screening or basic research
process during the drug discovery
this is a d
liver micro tissue that has been
converted to the high throughput format

the
tissue is stable and functional over
five weeks in culture
as illustrated in these graphs we see
the atp
quantification of atp and the albumin
secretion so were able to look at cell
vitality and also functionality over
five weeks so they're confident that
this particular molecule can show
longterm
effects of drug treatment
so here we have data that
um
utilizes this d
human liver
model in order to
demonstrate the effects of tvx in the
presence of inflammation
on liver injury
and we can see here that
as we increase
or as we expose
the micro tissue to lps inducing
um
inflammatory response

we can actually
and also administer the tx
molecule we actually increase
or decrease the threshold
of the drug in the presence of the
inflammation episode
inducing the liver
toxicity
so this is a nice model that provides
the ability to identify drugs that
elicit these
adverse reactions early during the drug
discovery phase so with this particular
model were able to look at some of the
human
biological mechanisms
that can contribute to drug toxicity
instead of conferring an animal model to
what could happen
in a human
subject in addition to d models we can
also utilize computational models
in order to provide a costeffective and
safe way in order to screen compounds
for toxicity by simulating complex
processes during drug drug metabolism so

in addition to making these molecules
and ensuring that we have the correct
and optimal environments for growth and

then

ensuring that we can actually

see a response

after drug administration we can do some
computational models and the nice thing
about the computational models is that
we can actually generate some of these
mechanisms that we cant generate in

vivo

in order to really capture what occurs
after a drug is administered and we can
do this early on in the drug development

process

so there are several bio

biochemical mechanism

mechanisms

that occur through drug toxicity

and ive highlighted several

um

that

can lead to drug toxicity and ive also
talked about some emerging technologies

that can that we can utilize

in order to minimize the risk of drug
toxicity so instead of waiting through
the preclinical or clinical
or
at the worst case waiting to
a drug is
removed from the market during the
postmarketing
phase we can utilize some strategies
such as
the
d
micro tissue model or some of these
computational models in order to
investigate drug targets during the
basic research phase to determine which
of these targets have the potential to
elicit some of these adverse drug
reactions
therefore
enhancing the safety of patients in the
clinical trials and also patients
taking the therapies
the key theme throughout this
presentation
is the need to identify drug toxicity

early in the developmental process in
order to reduce the risk of pain to
patients
during as i mentioned on the clinical
trials and also the postmarketing phase
so there are a number of mechanisms that
can contribute to drug toxicity
and in one way to
reduce the risk is to start early in the
development process utilizing gene
expression or d model
micro tissues or computational modeling
in order to identify
potential targets that can elicit
adverse reactions
so i would like to
leave you with this thought
i hope that the information that was
presented here in these slides will give
you more information about some of the
mechanisms involved in drug test
toxicity but also
start you to think about
some potential strategies that can be
can be implemented in order to reduce
the risk to patients but also

maintain the
effectiveness of drug therapies so the
idea is to
enhance the drug therapy but also reduce
the risk to patients and we can do that
by
looking at some of these models early on
in basic research that can model
that can more effectively model the
human
systems
in
allowing for a more detailed and
indepth ideal or
basis for drug toxicity in humans so i
want you to kind of think about what are
some
current
approaches that can be applied and what
are some new approaches that can be
developed in order to reduce the cost of
drug discovery and enhance the risk or
reduce the risk to patients
in these drug therapies
thank you for your attention and thank
you for

taking time to look at some of the
information i provided here in the
slides

again my name is dr ashley beasleygreen

and if you have any questions or
comments regarding the material that i
provided in my presentation please
direct all of your questions or concerns
to the program coordinator again thank
you and start the conversation about how
we reduce risk to patients by utilizing
some of these scientific approaches to
identify drugs that can elicit adverse
reactions early on during basic research
and analysis thank you