

Vert.x

# Imperative vs Reactive Programming

**Imperative programming** is based on a *step-by-step execution model*. The program explicitly defines **how** something should be done — executing instructions sequentially, often in a thread-per-request model. When a blocking operation occurs (e.g., database call), the thread waits until the result is returned before continuing.

It is:

- Synchronous by default
- Blocking by nature
- Control-flow driven (call stack based)
- Easier to reason about linearly

**Reactive programming** is based on an *event-driven, non-blocking model*. Instead of waiting for operations to complete, the system reacts to events when results become available. Execution is composed around asynchronous streams or callbacks, typically using a small number of event loop threads.

It is:

- Asynchronous and non-blocking
- Event-driven
- Push-based (data flows through streams)
- Designed for high concurrency and IO-bound workloads

# Imperative Programming (Traditional Spring MVC)

## Model:

- Thread per request
- Blocking calls
- Sequential execution
- Direct control flow

```
java

@GetMapping("/user/{id}")
public User getUser(@PathVariable Long id) {
    User user = userRepository.findById(id); // blocking DB call
    return user;
}
```

## What happens internally:

1. Thread assigned from pool
2. Thread blocks while waiting for DB
3. Thread released only after response returned

# Reactive Programming

## Model:

- Event-driven
- Non-blocking IO
- Callback / Future / Promise / Stream-based
- Small number of event loop threads

java

```
userRepository.findById(id)
    .onSuccess(user -> {
        response.end(user.toJson());
    });
```

## Key difference:

- Instead of: "Wait for result, then continue"
- We say: "When result is ready, notify me"

# Why Thread-per-Request Breaks at Scale

- Example: 2000 concurrent users
- Each DB call takes 200ms
- Thread pool = 200 threads

Explain:

- Threads are blocked most of the time
- Increasing thread pool → more memory + context switching
- System scales vertically, not efficiently

Note:

*Imperative model scales by adding threads.*

*Reactive model scales by reducing waiting.*

# What is Vert.x?

Vert.x is a toolkit for building event-driven, non-blocking applications on the JVM.

Important:

- Not a framework like Spring
- No heavy abstraction
- No annotation magic
- You control everything
- Event-loop architecture
- Lightweight
- Polyglot
- Built-in event bus
- Designed for high concurrency

# Vert.x Core Architecture

## Event Loop Threads:

- Small number (usually  $2 \times$  CPU cores)
- Handle all I/O
- Must NEVER block

## Worker Threads

- For blocking operations
- Used explicitly

## Event Bus

- Async communication mechanism
- Local or clustered

# Vert.x VS Spring

HTTP Request



Event Loop



Non-blocking Handler



Async DB Call



Callback



Response

HTTP Request → Thread → Blocking DB → Response



# What is a Verticle?

Verticle = lightweight component (similar to service bean, but more explicit)

Types:

- Standard verticle (event loop based)
- Worker verticle (blocking allowed)

```
public class HttpVerticle extends AbstractVerticle {  
    @Override  
    public void start() {  
        vertx.createHttpServer()  
            .requestHandler(req -> req.response().end("Hello Vert.x"))  
            .listen(8080);  
    }  
}
```

# Event Bus – Async Communication

- Publish/Subscribe
- Request/Reply
- Clustered communication
- Loose coupling

```
vertx.eventBus().consumer("orders", msg -> {  
    msg.reply("Processed");  
});
```

```
vertx.eventBus().request("orders", "Order1", reply -> {  
    System.out.println(reply.result().body());  
});
```

This is like having internal Kafka-like messaging inside your application.

# Futures and Async Flow

```
getUser(id)
    .compose(user -> getOrders(user))
    .onSuccess(result -> handle(result))
    .onFailure(err -> handleError(err));
```

Spring WebFlux:

- Mono
- Flux

Vert.x:

- Future
- Promise

# Vert.x vs Spring WebFlux

	Spring WebFlux	Vert.x
Abstraction	High	Lower
Opinionated	Yes	No
Event Bus	No	Yes
Configuration	Annotation	Code
Learning curve	Medium	Higher
Control	Less	More

# Where Vert.x Shines

- High I/O microservices
- API gateway
- Real-time systems
- WebSockets
- Event-driven architecture
- Lightweight edge services

Not ideal for:

- Heavy blocking legacy systems
- CRUD-heavy monoliths

# Vert.x Clustering

Vert.x can run multiple instances (nodes) and automatically cluster them.

When clustered:

- Event Bus works across JVM instances
- Services can communicate transparently
- No code changes needed

Supported Cluster Managers

- Hazelcast
- Infinispan
- Zookeeper

# Backpressure & Flow Control

## Problem

If:

- Service A produces events faster than Service B can consume,
- You risk memory explosion.

## Solution in Vert.x

Vert.x streams support:

- Pause / Resume
- Flow control
- WriteQueueFull handling

Vert.x prevents uncontrolled memory growth by:

- Signaling when consumer is overloaded
- Allowing producer to slow down

# Vert.x + Kafka (Event-Driven Microservices)

Why this is powerful:

- Non-blocking Kafka
- High throughput
- No thread pool explosion
- Naturally async



# Reactive Database Drivers

Vert.x supports:

- Reactive PostgreSQL client
- Reactive MySQL client
- Reactive Mongo client

Spring JDBC:

- Blocking
- Thread-per-request

Vert.x SQL Client:

- Async
- Event-loop friendly

# Vert.x + Kubernetes

Vert.x characteristics:

- Small memory footprint
- Fast startup
- Stateless by design
- Cluster-aware

Ideal for:

- Horizontal scaling
- Microservices in Kubernetes
- API gateway pods

Because:

- Few threads
- Efficient CPU usage
- High concurrency with low memory