CS741 Assignment 2 - Q2 Report

Neel Aryan Gupta Pulkit Agrawal Tathagat Verma 180050067 180050081 180050111

NOTE - The code has been written in C++ inside the file q2.cpp. To run this code, O2 flag is recommended for better performance.

```
g++ -02 q2.cpp -o q2
./q2 < input.txt
```

Time complexity - $O(S * 2^{3S} + T * 2^{2N} * (N / S))$

The first term comes from precalculating all the biases. Explanation for this term has been given in Q1 where S = 8 for AES Sbox.

The second term is the complexity of filling the DP states where we iterate over the number of stages/rounds (= T) and over all pairs of (mask, mask') where each mask lies in [0, 2^N) and N/S is the number of Sboxes in each block, on which we have to iterate to calculate biases using Piling-Up Lemma.

Algorithm - A dynamic programming approach has been used to find the maximum bias subgraph. The DP state is given by dp[i][mask] which gives the maximum bias for the situation - at the input of i'th round, what would be the maximum bias if only the bits set in 'mask' were to be used. dp[i][mask] is updated from dp[i + 1][mask'] where **all** combinations of mask and mask' are used for calculation. Finally, the maximum value of dp[0][i] over all values i in the range(0, 2^N) is the maximum bias we can obtain. This approach is correct because bias of **every** path is considered in this approach.

Intuitively, one can also see this approach as a bottom-up version of BFS where states are given by different masks at each round i.

Note that for computation of encryption involving T rounds, answers (maximum bias) are available for all rounds i (<= T) inside the dp itself.

Max bias for i'th round = maximum value of dp[T - i][j], where j lies in [0, 2^N)

Final result reports the bias having the highest absolute value. The value is shown along with its sign.

Output - The output is exactly as given in the problem statement. For clarity, a visual representation of the subgraph is also shown. For example,

Claim 2.1 - "A greedy strategy will always work."

This claim is **incorrect**. The reason is that we are not considering all possible paths in the graph. It is possible that one path which takes up a higher bias initially, later finds paths with lower biases and hence obtains a lower overall bias. Hence it is necessary to check all paths, which is done in the DP solution.

We have implemented the greedy strategy (q2_greedy.cpp). This input gives different maximum biases in the greedy and DP strategies:

```
4
6
3 2 4 5 1 0
3
3 0 6 1 5 7 4 2
```

DP output:

Greedy output:

P3, P5, K03, K05, K11, K24, K30, C0 Bias = 1/8	P2, P5, K02, K05, K10, K12, K13, K14, K15, K20, K22, K32, C2 Bias = 1/16
*****	*****
000 101	001 001
+	+
000 010	111 101
010 000	101 111
+	+
001 000	010 001
000 010	101 000
+	+
000 001	010 000
100 000	001 000
+	+
100 000	001 000
100 000	001 000
*****	*****