Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (CAATs)

Sampling • Application Reviews • Application Controls

Comprehensive Guide with Examples & Case Studies

Agenda

1. **Introduction to CAATs**
2. **CAATs for Sampling**
    - Statistical & Non-Statistical Methods
    - Case Studies & Examples
3. **CAATs for Application Reviews**
    - System Analysis & Testing
    - Real-World Applications
4. **CAATs for Auditing Application Controls**
    - Control Testing Techniques
    - Implementation Examples

Part 1: Introduction to CAATs

What are CAATs?

Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques are tools and methods that use technology to:

- **Automate** audit procedures
- **Analyze** large datasets efficiently
- **Test** controls and transactions
- **Identify** anomalies and exceptions
- **Improve** audit quality and efficiency

Key Benefits:

✅ Increased audit coverage
✅ Enhanced analytical capabilities
✅ Reduced manual effort
✅ Better risk identification

Types of CAATs

1. Generalized Audit Software (GAS)

- ACL Analytics, IDEA, TeamMate Analytics
- Data extraction, analysis, and reporting

2. Specialized Audit Tools

- Network scanners, log analyzers
- Application-specific testing tools

3. Test Data Techniques

- Test transactions through client systems
- Parallel simulation methods

Part 2: CAATs for Sampling

Overview: CAATs in Audit Sampling

Traditional Challenges:

- Manual sample selection prone to bias
- Time-consuming calculations
- Limited population analysis
- Difficulty in stratification

CAAT Solutions:

- **Automated** random selection
- **Statistical** accuracy calculations
- **Comprehensive** population analysis
- **Efficient** stratified sampling

Statistical Sampling with CAATs

Key Techniques:

1. Random Number Sampling

```
Population: 50,000 invoices
Sample Size: 150 items
Method: Computer-generated random numbers
```

2. Systematic Sampling

```
Population: 25,000 transactions
Sampling Interval: Every 167th item (25,000/150)
Starting Point: Random number between 1-167
```

3. Stratified Sampling

- High-value transactions (>$10,000): 100% testing
- Medium-value ($1,000-$10,000): 10% sample
- Low-value (<$1,000): 2% sample

Case Study 1: Revenue Sampling at TechCorp

Background:

- Client: Software company with $500M annual revenue
- Population: 2.5 million transactions
- Audit objective: Test revenue recognition accuracy

CAAT Implementation:

- **Tool Used:** ACL Analytics
- **Sampling Method:** Monetary Unit Sampling (MUS)
- **Sample Size:** 200 items (calculated for 5% risk, 3% tolerable error)

Results:

- **Efficiency Gain:** 75% reduction in sampling time
- **Coverage:** 60% of total dollar value covered
- **Findings:** 3 material misstatements identified
- **Projection:** Total estimated error: $2.1M

Case Study 2: Expense Testing at ManufactureCo

Background:

- Client: Manufacturing company
- Population: 180,000 expense transactions
- Total Value: $45 million

CAAT Approach:

1. **Risk-based Stratification:**
    - Stratum 1: >$50,000 (100% testing)
    - Stratum 2: $10,000-$50,000 (25% sample)
    - Stratum 3: <$10,000 (5% sample)

2. **Automated Selection:**
    - Random seed: 12345
    - Systematic selection within strata

Outcomes:

- **Sample Size:** 486 items
- **Error Rate:** 2.1%
- **Time Saved:** 40 hours vs. manual selection
- **Additional Benefits:** Identified duplicate payments worth $85,000

Advanced Sampling Techniques

1. Probability Proportional to Size (PPS)

```
Selection Probability = Item Value / Population Value
Benefits:
- Self-weighting for monetary errors
- Higher probability for large items
- Efficient for detecting overstatements
```

2. Multi-stage Sampling

```
Stage 1: Select departments (cluster sampling)
Stage 2: Select transactions within departments
Advantage: Reduces travel costs for multi-location audits
```

3. Acceptance Sampling

```
Applications: Control testing
Decision Rule: If errors ≤ acceptable number, accept population
Example: Test 60 controls, accept if ≤ 2 failures
```

Part 3: CAATs for Application Reviews

Application Review Fundamentals

Objectives:

- Assess system reliability and integrity
- Evaluate data processing accuracy
- Test system controls and security
- Identify processing exceptions

CAAT Capabilities:

- **Data extraction** and analysis
- **System mapping** and documentation
- **Interface testing** between systems
- **Performance monitoring** and analysis

Key CAAT Techniques for Application Reviews

1. Data Analytics and Profiling

- Field analysis (completeness, validity)
- Relationship analysis between data elements
- Trend analysis and pattern recognition
- Outlier detection and exception identification

2. Test Data Method

- Create fictitious transactions
- Process through client systems
- Compare expected vs. actual results
- Test various scenarios and edge cases

3. Integrated Test Facility (ITF)

- Embed test data in live processing
- Create dummy entities in master files
- Monitor processing continuously
- Real-time control testing

Case Study 3: Payroll System Review at ServiceCorp

Background:

- Client: Professional services firm
- System: Custom payroll application
- Employees: 15,000 across 50 locations
- Concern: Potential ghost employees and calculation errors

CAAT Implementation:

Phase 1: Data Analysis

- **Tool:** IDEA software
- **Tests Performed:**
  - Employee master file completeness
  - Duplicate employee detection
  - Payroll calculation verification
  - Overtime policy compliance

Phase 2: Test Data

- Created 25 fictitious employees
- Various scenarios: new hires, terminations, raises
- Processed through complete payroll cycle

Case Study 3: Results & Findings

Data Analysis Results:

- **Duplicate Records:** 12 potential duplicates identified
- **Missing Data:** 45 employees missing department codes
- **Calculation Errors:** 8 instances of overtime miscalculation
- **Inactive Employees:** 23 terminated employees still in active file

Test Data Results:

- **New Hire Processing:** 2 errors in benefits enrollment
- **Termination Processing:** 1 failure to stop payroll
- **Salary Changes:** All processed correctly
- **Overtime Calculations:** 3 errors in complex scenarios

Impact:

- **Potential Savings:** $180,000 from duplicate/ghost employee cleanup
- **Process Improvement:** 15 control recommendations
- **System Enhancement:** IT implemented automated validation checks

Case Study 4: Inventory System Analysis at RetailChain

Background:

- Client: National retail chain
- System: Enterprise inventory management
- Locations: 200 stores
- Challenge: Inventory shrinkage exceeding 3%

CAAT Approach:

Data Analytics:

```
Tests Performed:
✓ Negative inventory balances
✓ High-velocity items analysis
✓ Price variance analysis
✓ Vendor payment duplicates
✓ Perpetual vs. physical reconciliation
```

Exception Analysis:

- Items with no movement for >365 days
- Inventory adjustments >$1,000
- Items received but not invoiced >30 days

Case Study 4: Results & Impact

Key Findings:

- **Negative Balances:** 1,247 SKUs with impossible negative quantities
- **Stale Inventory:** $2.3M in slow-moving inventory identified
- **System Weaknesses:** 18 locations with inadequate receiving controls
- **Data Quality Issues:** 15% of items missing cost data

Business Impact:

- **Inventory Write-down:** $850,000 in obsolete inventory
- **Process Changes:** Enhanced receiving procedures
- **System Fixes:** Implemented negative balance controls
- **Training:** Improved staff training on inventory procedures

Audit Efficiency:

- **Coverage:** Analyzed 100% of inventory data vs. 5% sample
- **Time Savings:** 60% reduction in fieldwork time
- **Quality:** Identified systemic issues missed in prior audits

Part 4: CAATs for Auditing Application Controls

Application Controls Overview

Types of Application Controls:

Input Controls:

- Data validation and edit checks
- Authorization controls
- Completeness controls

Processing Controls:

- Sequence checks
- Mathematical accuracy
- File integrity controls

Output Controls:

- Report distribution controls
- Output authorization
- Completeness verification

CAAT Techniques for Control Testing

1. Automated Control Testing

- **Continuous monitoring** of control effectiveness
- **Exception reporting** for control failures
- **Trend analysis** of control performance

2. Control Matrix Analysis

- Map controls to risks and objectives
- Identify control gaps and redundancies
- Assess control design effectiveness

3. System Access Analysis

- **User access reviews** and privilege analysis
- **Segregation of duties** testing
- **Inactive user** identification

Case Study 5: Financial Reporting Controls at BankCorp

Background:

- Client: Regional bank with $5B in assets
- System: Core banking system with 200+ interfaces
- Regulatory requirement: SOX compliance testing
- Focus: General ledger and financial reporting controls

CAAT Implementation:

Access Controls Testing:

- **Tool:** Custom SQL queries and GRC software
- **Population:** 1,500 users across all systems
- **Tests:**
  - Inappropriate access combinations
  - Dormant user accounts
  - Privileged access reviews
  - Password compliance

Case Study 5: Control Testing Results

Access Control Findings:

```
Issues Identified:
× 23 users with conflicting duties
× 45 inactive accounts not disabled
× 12 shared accounts in production
× 8 users with excessive privileges

Control Effectiveness: 85% (Target: 95%)
```

Processing Controls:

- **Journal Entry Controls:** 95% effectiveness
- **Account Reconciliation Controls:** 88% effectiveness
- **Month-end Close Controls:** 92% effectiveness

Recommendations:

1. Implement automated access provisioning
2. Quarterly access reviews
3. Enhanced monitoring of privileged accounts
4. Segregation of duties matrix updates

Case Study 6: E-commerce Application Controls

Background:

- Client: Online retailer with $200M annual sales
- Platform: Custom e-commerce application
- Peak traffic: 50,000 concurrent users
- Key risks: Payment processing, inventory accuracy, customer data

CAAT Testing Approach:

Data Integrity Controls:

```sql
-- Test for orphaned order records
SELECT o.order_id, o.customer_id
FROM orders o
LEFT JOIN customers c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
WHERE c.customer_id IS NULL

-- Validate payment amounts
SELECT order_id, order_total, payment_total,
       (order_total - payment_total) as variance
FROM order_summary
WHERE ABS(order_total - payment_total) > 0.01
```

Case Study 6: Results & Controls Assessment

Control Effectiveness Results:

Payment Processing Controls:

- **Authorization:** 99.2% effective
- **Encryption:** 100% effective
- **Fraud detection:** 96.8% effective
- **Reconciliation:** 94.5% effective

Inventory Controls:

- **Real-time updates:** 97.1% accurate
- **Reserve calculations:** 89.2% effective
- **Allocation logic:** 95.8% accurate

Exception Analysis:

- **Payment Failures:** 156 instances requiring manual intervention
- **Inventory Discrepancies:** $45,000 in unresolved variances
- **System Downtime:** 99.7% uptime achieved (target: 99.9%)

Advanced Application Control Testing

1. Continuous Auditing

Implementation:
- Real-time monitoring dashboards
- Automated exception alerts
- Trend analysis and predictive analytics
- Integration with audit management systems

Benefits:
- Immediate identification of control failures
- Reduced year-end testing effort
- Improved management reporting
- Enhanced risk management

2. Robotic Process Automation (RPA)

- Automate repetitive control testing procedures
- Schedule regular control monitoring
- Generate exception reports automatically
- Integrate with existing audit tools

Best Practices & Implementation Guidelines

Planning Phase:

1. **Risk Assessment:** Identify key applications and controls
2. **Tool Selection:** Choose appropriate CAAT tools
3. **Resource Allocation:** Ensure adequate technical skills
4. **Scope Definition:** Define testing objectives and criteria

Execution Phase:

1. **Data Quality:** Validate data completeness and accuracy
2. **Test Documentation:** Maintain detailed testing procedures
3. **Exception Analysis:** Investigate and resolve identified issues
4. **Quality Control:** Review and validate CAAT results

Reporting Phase:

1. **Clear Communication:** Present findings in business terms
2. **Actionable Recommendations:** Provide specific improvement suggestions
3. **Follow-up:** Monitor management's remediation efforts
4. **Lessons Learned:** Document insights for future audits

Measuring CAAT Effectiveness

Quantitative Metrics:

- **Coverage:** % of population tested vs. traditional sampling
- **Efficiency:** Hours saved through automation
- **Accuracy:** Error detection rates
- **Cost-benefit:** ROI of CAAT implementation

Qualitative Benefits:

- Enhanced audit quality and consistency
- Improved risk identification capabilities
- Better client service and insights
- Reduced audit risk and liability

Success Factors:

- ✅ Strong technical skills and training
- ✅ Appropriate tool selection and configuration
- ✅ Clear audit objectives and testing criteria
- ✅ Effective change management and adoption

Conclusion & Future Trends

Key Takeaways:

- CAATs significantly enhance audit effectiveness and efficiency
- Proper implementation requires technical expertise and planning
- Benefits extend beyond cost savings to improved audit quality
- Continuous learning and adaptation are essential for success

Emerging Trends:

- **Artificial Intelligence:** Machine learning for anomaly detection
- **Blockchain Analysis:** Auditing distributed ledger transactions
- **Cloud Computing:** Auditing SaaS and cloud-based applications
- **Data Analytics:** Advanced statistical modeling and prediction

Next Steps:

1. Assess current CAAT capabilities and gaps
2. Develop implementation roadmap and training plan
3. Start with pilot projects and scale successful approaches
4. Continuously evolve and enhance CAAT programs