

TALK - 29/08/2025

The Ornstein theory for n-to-1 symbolic dynamics


Neemias Martins

neemias.martins@ufv.br

neemias.org



Introduction



Setup

3

(X, \mathcal{B}, μ) a probability space or a Lebesgue space.

$T : X \rightarrow X$ a measure-preserving transformation.


We say that $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $T_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ defined on $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu)$ and $(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \nu)$, are *isomorphic* if there are $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$ such that

- $\mu(A_1) = \nu(A_2) = 1$
- $T_1(A_1) \subset A_1, T_2(A_2) \subset A_2$
- $\exists \varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ invertible measure preserving map such that

$$\varphi \circ T_1 = T_2 \circ \varphi.$$


Baker's map

$$X = [0, 1]^2, \quad T(x, y) = \begin{cases} (2x, y/2) & \text{if } x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ (2x - 1, (y + 1)/2) & \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \end{cases}$$



Baker's map


5



Coding the baker's map

To each orbit $\{..., T^{-1}(x), x, T(x), ...\}$ we relate a sequence $(x_n)_n$ of zeros and ones:

- if $T^n x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times [0, 1]$, code $x_n = 0$
- if $T^n x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \times [0, 1]$, code $x_n = 1$



$$\mathcal{O}(x) = (\dots ; 0011\dots)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(T(x)) = (\dots 0 ; 011\dots)$$

Symbolic Dynamics

A is a finite alphabet

$$\Sigma_A = A^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : x_n \in A\}$$

$$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\dots x_{-2}x_{-1}; x_0x_1\dots) \in \Sigma_A$$

Σ_A is a compact metric space with

$$d((x_n), (y_n)) = 2^{-\inf \{|i| : x_i \neq y_i\}}$$

The *Bernoulli shift* $\sigma : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ is the map

$$\sigma(\dots x_{-2}x_{-1}; x_0x_1\dots) = (\dots x_{-1}x_0; x_1x_2\dots).$$

Symbolic Dynamics

Let \mathcal{C} the σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets

- $C_i[s] = \{(x_n) \in \Sigma : x_i = s\}$
- $C_i[s_i \dots s_k] = \{(x_n) \in \Sigma : x_i = s_i, \dots, x_k = s_k\}$
 $(\dots x_{i-1} [s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_k] x_{k+1} \dots) \in C_i[s_i \dots s_k]$

Given a probability distribution $(p_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$ in A , we define a probability measure by

- $\mu(C_i[s]) = p_s$
- $\mu(C_i[s_i \dots s_k]) = \mu(C_i[s_i]) \dots \mu(C_k[s_k]) = p_{s_i} \dots p_{s_k}.$

$(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$ is a probability space.

Symbolic Dynamics

9

A measurable map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a *Bernoulli transformation* if it is isomorphic to a Bernoulli shift.

Isomorphism problem of Bernoullis shifts

10


- Von Neumann: spectral isomorphism.
- Kolmogorov and Sinai entropy.
- Ornstein isomorphism theorem.

Shannon Entropy

For a probability distribution $\rho = (p_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$,

$$h(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\alpha \in A} -p_\alpha \log p_\alpha.$$

Entropy is the measure of uncertainty.



Claude Shannon


Kolmogorov-Sinai Entropy

Entropy of a partition,

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} -\mu(P) \log \mu(P).$$

Entropy of a transformation with respect
to a partition,

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} H_\mu \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{P} \right).$$



Andrei Kolmogorov

Entropy of a transformation,

$$h_\mu(T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\mathcal{P}} h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}).$$

$$T_1 \simeq T_2 \Rightarrow h_\mu(T_1) = h_\mu(T_2).$$

Kolmogorov-Sinai Entropy

13

Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem

Let $\mathcal{P}_1 \prec \mathcal{P}_2 \prec \dots$ to be a non-decreasing generating sequence of partitions with finite entropy. Then,

$$h_\mu(T) = \lim_k h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}_k).$$



Yakov Sinai


Ornstein isomorphism theorem

14

Ornstein, 1970

Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic.

- Bôcher Memorial Prize
- Elect to American National Academy of Sciences
- Elect to American Academy of Arts and Sciences



Donald Ornstein, 1961

Non-invertible case: Extended Symbolic Dynamics

15

Encoding n-to-1 baker's transformations

Folding entropy for extended shifts


Ornstein isomorphism theorem for n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli transformations

Encoding n-to-1 baker's maps

Mehdipour, P., Martins, N.

Archiv der Mathematik.

119, 199–211, (2022). 



Zip shifts

- A and B be two alphabets with $|A| \geq |B|$
- $\kappa : A \rightarrow B$ a surjective map
- Σ the space of all sequence of letters

$$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\dots x_{-2} x_{-1}; x_0 x_1 \dots)$$

with $x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots \in B$ and $x_0, x_1, \dots \in A$.

The (full) *zip shift* map is $\sigma_\kappa : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ with

$$\sigma_\kappa(\dots x_{-1}; x_0 x_1 \dots) = (\dots x_{-1} \kappa(x_0); x_1 x_2 \dots).$$

Zip shift space

Let \mathcal{C} the σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets

- $C_i[s] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_n) \in \Sigma : x_i = s\}$
- $C_i[s_i \dots s_k] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_n) \in \Sigma : x_i = s_i, \dots, x_k = s_k\}$

Given a probability distribution $(p_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$ in A , we define
 $(p_\beta : \beta \in B)$

$$p_\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(\beta)} p_\alpha.$$

The measure μ is defined by

- $\mu(C_i[s]) = p_s$
- $\mu(C_i[s_i \dots s_k]) = \mu(C_i[s_i]) \dots \mu(C_k[s_k]) = p_{s_i} \dots p_{s_k}.$

$(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$ is the *zip shift space*.

A map is a *LM-Bernoulli transformation* if is isomorphic to a zip shift map. A LM-Bernoulli with $m = |A|, l = |B|$ is called a (m, l) -Bernoulli transformation.

Zip shifts

19

- σ_κ is a local homeomorphism
- σ_κ preserves the measure μ
- σ_κ is mixing and ergodic
- σ_κ has density of periodic points

The n-to-1 baker's maps

20

$T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$ given by

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left(2nx, \frac{1}{2}y\right) & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2n} \\ \left(2nx - 1, \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2n} \leq x < \frac{2}{2n} \\ \left(2nx - 2, \frac{1}{2}y\right) & \text{if } \frac{2}{2n} \leq x < \frac{3}{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \left(2nx - (2n-1), \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } \frac{2n-1}{2n} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The n-to-1 baker's maps

21




The n-to-1 baker's maps are LM-Bernoulli

22

Theorem A

The n-to-1 baker's map is a $(2, 2n)$ -Bernoulli transformation.



The n-to-1 baker's maps are chaotic

23

Theorem B

The n-to-1 baker's map $\bar{T} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is chaotic in the sense of Devaney.


Devaney's chaos:

- Topologically transitive
- Density of periodic points
- Sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Folding and Metric Entropies for Extended Shifts

Martins, N., Mattos, P.G., Varão, R.

arXiv:2407.01828 (2024). 



Partitions by cylinders

25

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \{\square_i\} = \begin{cases} \{C_i[\alpha] : \alpha \in A\} & \text{if } i \geq 0 \\ \{C_i[\beta] : \beta \in B\} & \text{if } i < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{k_0 \dots k_1} = \{\square_{k_0} \dots \square_{k_1}\} = \{C_{k_0 \dots k_1}[s_{k_0} \dots s_{k_1}] : s_{k_0}, \dots, s_{k_1} \in A \cup B\} = \bigvee_{i=k_0}^{k_1} \mathcal{C}_i.$$

Partitions by cylinders

26

- \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{C}_j are independent partitions, $\forall i, j$
- $\sigma_\kappa^i(\mathcal{C}_0) = \mathcal{C}_{-i}, \quad \forall i \geq 0$
- $\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma_\kappa^{-i}(\mathcal{C}_0) = \mathcal{C}_{0\dots k-1}$
- $\bigvee_{i=-k}^{k-1} \sigma_\kappa^{-i}(\mathcal{C}_0) = \mathcal{C}_{-k\dots k-1}$

Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of zip shifts

27

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} -p_\alpha \log p_\alpha = h(\rho_A)$$

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-1}) = \sum_{\beta \in B} -p_\beta \log p_\beta = h(\rho_B)$$

Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of zip shifts

28

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-k_0 \dots k_1}) = k_0 H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-1}) + k_1 H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0), \quad \forall k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$$

Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of zip shifts

29

$$\mathcal{P}_k := \mathcal{C}_{-k \dots k-1} \Rightarrow \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k^{-i} \mathcal{P}_k = \mathcal{C}_{-k \dots k+n-2}.$$

Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of zip shifts

30

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu(\sigma_\kappa, \mathcal{P}_k) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_\kappa^{-i} \mathcal{P}_k \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (n H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-1}) + (k+n-1)H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0)) \\ &= H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem C

$$h_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) = H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0).$$

In fact, $\{\mathcal{P}_k\}$ is a generating sequence and is non-decreasing. Then, by the Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem,

$$h_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_\mu(\sigma_\kappa, \mathcal{P}_k) = H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0).$$


Folding entropy

32

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(T) := H_\mu(\mathcal{E}/T^{-1}\mathcal{E}).$$

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{R}) := \int_{R \in \mathcal{R}} H_{\mu_R}(\mathcal{P}/R) \mu_R(dR),$$

where $\{\mu_R\}_{R \in \mathcal{R}}$ is a disintegration of μ with respect to \mathcal{R} .



David Ruelle

Theorem D

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) = H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0) - H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-1}).$$

Disintegration of the measure

34

- $\hat{x}(\alpha) := (\cdots x_{-2} ; \textcolor{blue}{\alpha} x_0 \cdots), \quad \forall \alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(x_{-1})$
- $\hat{x} := \sigma_\kappa^{-1}(x) = \{\hat{x}(\alpha) : \alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(x_{-1})\}$
- $\hat{X} := \{\hat{x} : x \in X\} \subset \sigma_\kappa^{-1}(\mathcal{E}), X \subset \Sigma.$
- The *quotient measure* $\hat{\mu}$ is given by
$$\hat{\mu}(\hat{X}) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(\hat{X})) = \mu(\sigma_\kappa^{-1}(X)) = \mu(X).$$

Disintegration of the measure

35

- For every $\beta \in B$, we define the following probability distribution

$$\left(q_\alpha^\beta : \alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(\beta) \right), \text{ where } q_\alpha^\beta := \frac{p_\alpha}{p_\beta}.$$

- The *conditional measure* on $\hat{x} \in \sigma_\kappa^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is given by

$$\mu_{\hat{x}}(\{\hat{x}(\alpha)\}) := q_s^{x_{-1}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(x_{-1}).$$

The family $\{\mu_{\hat{x}}\}_{\hat{x} \in \sigma_\kappa^{-1}(\varepsilon)}$ is a *disintegration* of μ with respect to $\sigma_\kappa^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$.

Folding entropy of zip shifts

The folding entropy of σ_κ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_\mu(\mathcal{E}/\sigma_\kappa^{-1}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_{\hat{x} \in \sigma_\kappa^{-1}(\mathcal{E})} H_{\mu_{\hat{x}}}(\mathcal{E}/\hat{x}) d\hat{\mu}(\hat{x})$$

Folding entropy of zip shifts

37

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) &= \sum_{\beta \in B} \int_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}_{-1}[\beta]} H_{\mu_{\hat{x}}}(\mathcal{E}/\hat{x}) d\hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in B} \sum_{\alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(\beta)} (-q_\alpha^\beta \log q_\alpha^\beta) \hat{\mu}(\hat{C}_{-1}[\beta]) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in B} \sum_{\alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(\beta)} (-q_\alpha^\beta \log q_\alpha^\beta) p_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in B} \sum_{\alpha \in \kappa^{-1}(\beta)} -p_\alpha (\log p_\alpha - \log p_\beta), \text{ since } q_\alpha^\beta \cdot p_\beta = p_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} -p_\alpha \log p_\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in B} -p_\beta \log p_\beta = H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0) - H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Folding entropy of zip shifts

38


In particular,

$$h_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) = \mathcal{F}_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) + h(\rho_B).$$

Ornstein isomorphism theorem for n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli transformations

Martins, N., Mehdipour, P., Varão, R.

Preprint (2025). 



Main Theorem

Two n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli transformations of same entropy are isomorphic.

Uniform zip shifts

41

- σ_κ n-to-1 $\Rightarrow \sigma_\kappa$ is a (k, kn) -zip shift
- $\sigma_{\kappa_1}, \sigma_{\kappa_2}$ uniform n-to-1 (k, kn) -zip shifts $\Rightarrow \sigma_{\kappa_1} \simeq \sigma_{\kappa_2}$
- Let $\sigma_{\kappa_1}, \sigma_{\kappa_2}$ uniform n-to-1 zip shifts. Then

$$\sigma_{\kappa_1} \simeq \sigma_{\kappa_2} \Leftrightarrow h_\mu(\sigma_{\kappa_1}) = h_\mu(\sigma_{\kappa_2})$$

Ornstein characterization of Bernoulli shifts

42

Ornstein, 1974

An automorphism $T : X \rightarrow X$ is isomorphic to a Bernoulli shift $\sigma : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ with distribution $\rho_A = (p_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$ if, and only if, there is a partition \mathcal{P} such that

- a) $\text{dist}(\mathcal{P}) = \rho_A$
- b) \mathcal{P} is a generating for T
- c) $\{T^k \mathcal{P}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a independent sequence.

Ornstein characterization of Bernoulli shifts

43

Ornstein, 1974

Two Bernoulli transformations are isomorphic if, and only if, there are partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{R} such that

$$\text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T_1^{-i} \mathcal{P}\right) = \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T_2^{-i} \mathcal{R}\right), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$


Domain and image partitions

- A image partition $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}$ of a n-to-1 local isomorphism a partition such that for all $P_i \in T^{-1}Q_j$, the map

$$T|_{P_i} : P_i \rightarrow X$$

is an automorphism.

- The collection \mathcal{P} of all P_i is a domain partition



Characterization of n-to-1 LM Bernoulli

45

An n-to-1 local isomorphism $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a LM-Bernoulli transformation with distribution $\rho_A = (p_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$ if, and only if, there is a domain partition \mathcal{P} such that

- a) $\text{dist}(\mathcal{P}) = \rho_A$
- b) \mathcal{P} is a generating for T
- c) The sequences $\{T^k \mathcal{P}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{T^{-k} \mathcal{P}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent.

The copying condition

Let T_1, T_2 to be two n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli transformations and \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{R} be partitions of X_1 and X_2 , respectively.

The *process* (T_1, \mathcal{P}) is a *copy* of the process (T_2, \mathcal{R}) , and we denote by

$$(T_1, \mathcal{P}) \sim (T_2, \mathcal{R})$$

when, for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{-k}^k T_1^{-i} \mathcal{P}\right) = \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{-k}^k T_2^{-i} \mathcal{R}\right).$$

The copying condition

47

Let T_1, T_2 to be two n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli transformations and \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{R} to be generating partitions, respectively. Then,


$$(T_1, \mathcal{P}) \sim (T_2, \mathcal{R}) \Leftrightarrow T_1 \simeq T_2.$$

Metrics on partitions and processes

48

- Distance between the distributions of two partitions of same cardinality:

$$|\text{dist}(\mathcal{P}) - \text{dist}(\mathcal{R})| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\mu(P_i) - \mu(R_i)|.$$




$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{dist}(\mathcal{R}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

Metrics on partitions and processes

- Distance between partitions of the same space and same cardinality:

$$|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{R}| = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(P_i \Delta R_i)$$



$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{P}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{R}) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8} \right)$$

$$|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{R}| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- $\mathcal{P} \mapsto h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P})$ is a continuous function in the partition metric.
- The space of all partitions is connected in the partition metric.

Metrics on partitions and processes

50

- Distance between sequences of partitions

$$d\left(\{\mathcal{P}_i\}_1^k, \{\mathcal{R}_i\}_1^k\right) = \inf \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |\overline{\mathcal{P}}_i - \overline{\mathcal{R}}_i|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences of partitions $\{\overline{\mathcal{P}}_i\}_1^k, \{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_i\}_1^k$ of a same Lebesgue space such that

$$\text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k \overline{\mathcal{P}}_i\right) = \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k \mathcal{P}_i\right), \quad \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k \overline{\mathcal{R}}_i\right) = \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k \mathcal{R}_i\right)$$

Metrics on partitions and processes

51

- Distance between processes

$$d((T_1, \mathcal{P}), (T_2, \mathcal{R})) = \sup_k d\left(\left\{T_1^{-i} \mathcal{P}\right\}_1^k, \left\{T_2^{-i} \mathcal{R}\right\}_1^k\right)$$

Finitely determined processes

52

An n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli process (T_1, \mathcal{P}) is *finitely determined* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $\delta > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if an n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli process (T_2, \mathcal{R}) satisfies the conditions

- a) $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{R}|$
- b) $|h_\mu(T_1, \mathcal{P}) - h_\mu(T_2, \mathcal{R})| < \delta$
- c) $\left| \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T_1^{-1} \mathcal{P}\right) - \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^k T_2^{-1} \mathcal{R}\right) \right| < \delta,$

then

$$d((T_1, \mathcal{P}), (T_2, \mathcal{R})) < \varepsilon.$$

Finitely determined processes

53

If (T, \mathcal{P}) is an n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli process and $\{T^{-i}\mathcal{P}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an independent sequence, then (T, \mathcal{P}) is finitely determined.

Rokhlin lemma for LM-Bernoulli

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a LM-Bernoulli transformation, $k \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

There is a disjoint measurable sequence

$$F, TF, \dots, T^{k-1}F,$$

such that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i F\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Stacks and Gadgets

55

The sequence $\{T^i F\}_0^{k-1}$ is a *stack* of base F and length k .



Strong Rokhlin lemma for LM-Bernoulli

Let (T, \mathcal{P}) to be a LM-Bernoulli process, $k \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a stack

$$F, TF, \dots, T^{k-1}F,$$

such that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i F\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\text{dist}(\mathcal{P}/F) = \text{dist}(\mathcal{P})$.

Stacks and Gadgets


57



The induced distribution of the base of the stack is the same as \mathcal{P} .

Stacks and Gadgets


58



$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{5-1} T^{-i}(\mathcal{P}/T^i F)/F = \bigvee_{i=0}^{5-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{P}/F.$$

Stacks and Gadgets

59



$$A = F \cap P_1 \cap T^{-1}(P_2) \cap T^{-2}(P_1) \cap T^{-3}(P_1) \cap T^{-4}(P_2).$$

\mathcal{P} -5-name of A : $(1, 2, 1, 1, 2)$

Getting a copy

60

Let (T_1, \mathcal{P}) and (T_2, \mathcal{R}) to be two n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli processes with (T_2, \mathcal{R}) f.d. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $\delta > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if:

- a) $h_\mu(T_1) \geq h_\mu(T_2, \mathcal{R})$
- b) $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{R}|$
- c) $\left| \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T_1^{-i} \mathcal{P}\right) - \text{dist}\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T_2^{-i} \mathcal{R}\right) \right| < \delta$
- d) $|h_\mu(T_1, \mathcal{P}) - h_\mu(T_2, \mathcal{R})| < \delta$

then there is $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $|\overline{\mathcal{P}} - \mathcal{P}| < \varepsilon$ and $(T_1, \overline{\mathcal{P}}) \sim (T_2, \mathcal{R})$.

Getting a better copy

61

\mathcal{P} is a generating partition for T iff for each \mathcal{R} and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is k such that

$$\mathcal{R} \underset{\varepsilon}{\prec} \bigvee_{-k}^k T^i \mathcal{P}.$$

Getting a better copy

62

Let \mathcal{P} be a generating partition for a n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli T , and suppose

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = h_\mu(T, \mathcal{R})$$

with $(T, \mathcal{P}), (T, \mathcal{R})$ both f.d. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ such that

- a) $(T, \overline{\mathcal{R}}) \sim (T, \mathcal{R})$
- b) $|\overline{\mathcal{R}} - \mathcal{R}| < \varepsilon$
- c) $\mathcal{P} \underset{\varepsilon}{\prec} \bigvee_{-k}^k T^i \overline{\mathcal{R}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$

Getting a better copy

Let \mathcal{P} be a generating partition for a n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli T , and suppose

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = h_\mu(T, \mathcal{R})$$

with $(T, \mathcal{P}), (T, \mathcal{R})$ both f.d. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ such that

- a) $(T, \overline{\mathcal{R}}) \sim (T, \mathcal{R})$
- b) $|\overline{\mathcal{R}} - \mathcal{R}| < \varepsilon$
- c) $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is generating for T .

Getting a better copy


64

Let (T_1, \mathcal{P}) and (T_2, \mathcal{R}) be two n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli processes f.d, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{R} generating partitions, such that $h_\mu(T_1) = h_\mu(T_2)$. Then T_1 and T_2 are isomorphic.

- (T_2, \mathcal{R}) f.d \rightarrow choose \mathcal{P}' near to \mathcal{P} such that $(T_1, \mathcal{P}') \sim (T_2, \mathcal{R})$.
- $h_\mu(T_1, \mathcal{P}) = h_\mu(T_2, \mathcal{R})$, \mathcal{P} generating \rightarrow choose a generating $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ near to \mathcal{P}' such that

$$(T_1, \overline{\mathcal{P}}) \sim (T_2, \mathcal{R}).$$

Conclusion



Thm A The n-to-1 baker's map are $(2, 2n)$ -Bernoulli.

Thm B The n-to-1 baker's map \bar{T} is chaotic.

Thm C $h_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) = H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0)$.

Thm D $\mathcal{F}_\mu(\sigma_\kappa) = H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_0) - H_\mu(\mathcal{C}_{-1})$.

Thm E Two n-to-1 LM-Bernoulli maps of same entropy are isomorphic.

References

67

1. Ornstein D. Ergodic Theory, Randomness, and Dynamical Systems. Yale Mathematical Monographs, 1974.
2. Ornstein D. Bernoulli shifts with the same entropy are isomorphic // Advances in Mathematics. 1970. vol. 4. pp. 337–352.
3. Mehdipour P., Martins N. Encoding n-to-1 baker's transformations // Arch. Math. 2022. vol. 119. pp. 199–211.
4. Mehdipour P., Lamei S. Zip shift space // arXiv:2502.11272. 2025.
5. Mehdipour P., Lamei S. Transformations with LM-Bernoulli Property. 2023.
6. Martins N., Mattos P.G., Varão R. Folding and Metric Entropies for Extended Shifts // arXiv:2407.01828. 2024.
7. Martins N., Mehdipour P., Varão R. Ornstein Isomorphism Theorem for n-to-1 (m, l) -Bernoulli transformations. 2025.

Thank you!

