- (b) to disclose the nature or particulars of any test applied by him in the course of the examination of the matter or thing.
- **329.** (1) Any document purporting to be a report under the hand of a Government scientific expert to whom this section applies, upon any matter or thing duly submitted to him for examination or analysis and report in the course of any proceeding under this Sanhita, may be used as evidence in any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Sanhita.

Reports of certain Government scientific experts.

- (2) The Court may, if it thinks fit, summon and examine any such expert as to the subject-matter of his report.
- (3) Where any such expert is summoned by a Court, and he is unable to attend personally, he may, unless the Court has expressly directed him to appear personally, depute any responsible officer working with him to attend the Court, if such officer is conversant with the facts of the case and can satisfactorily depose in Court on his behalf.
 - (4) This section applies to the following Government scientific experts, namely:—
 - (a) any Chemical Examiner or Assistant Chemical Examiner to Government;
 - (b) the Chief Controller of Explosives;
 - (c) the Director of the Finger Print Bureau;
 - (d) the Director, Haffkeine Institute, Bombay;
 - (e) the Director, Deputy Director or Assistant Director of a Central Forensic Science Laboratory or a State Forensic Science Laboratory;
 - (f) the Serologist to the Government;
 - (g) any other scientific expert specified or certified, by notification, by the State Government or the Central Government for this purpose.
- **330.** (1) Where any document is filed before any Court by the prosecution or the accused, the particulars of every such document shall be included in a list and the prosecution or the accused or the advocate for the prosecution or the accused, if any, shall be called upon to admit or deny the genuineness of each such document soon after supply of such documents and in no case later than thirty days after such supply:

No formal proof of certain documents.

Provided that the Court may, in its discretion, relax the time limit with reasons to be recorded in writing:

Provided further that no expert shall be called to appear before the Court unless the report of such expert is disputed by any of the parties to the trial.

- (2) The list of documents shall be in such form as the State Government may, by rules, provide.
- (3) Where the genuineness of any document is not disputed, such document may be read in evidence in any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Sanhita without proof of the signature of the person by whom it purports to be signed:

Provided that the Court may, in its discretion, require such signature to be proved.

331. When any application is made to any Court in the course of any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Sanhita, and allegations are made therein respecting any public servant, the applicant may give evidence of the facts alleged in the application by affidavit, and the Court may, if it thinks fit, order that evidence relating to such facts be so given.

Affidavit in proof of conduct of public servants.

- **332.** (1) The evidence of any person whose evidence is of a formal character may be given by affidavit and may, subject to all just exceptions, be read in evidence in any inquiry, trial or other proceeding under this Sanhita.
- Evidence of formal character on affidavit.
- (2) The Court may, if it thinks fit, and shall, on the application of the prosecution or the accused, summon and examine any such person as to the facts contained in his affidavit.