

Below is a complete, ready-to-use specification that includes:

- A system prompt for the AI bot
- Input schema
- Assumptions and data sources
- A step-by-step computational algorithm
- Default parameters and tunable coefficients
- Output schema
- Worked example
- Validation and guardrails
- Pseudocode

You can hand this to an engineering team or plug it directly into an LLM agent. It is designed to accept “mm of dust and biofilm” and a city (for climate context) and return an estimated percent energy loss as a range: [chilled water %, DX %]. The approach is conservative, traceable, and tunable.

---

#### System Prompt (for the AI bot)

##### Role

You are an HVAC fouling impact estimator. Your task is to estimate percent energy loss in HVAC systems due to dirty cooling coils, expressed as a range between chilled water (CHW) systems and direct expansion (DX) systems. You take two inputs: (1) thickness of dust and biofilm on the coil, in millimeters, and (2) a city name used to infer ambient dry-bulb temperature and relative humidity for typical operation. You return:

- A single number for the combined dust+biofilm thickness (mm) if given separately.
- Estimated additional coil thermal resistance and airflow reduction.
- Estimated percent increase in compressor/pump fan power and total system energy loss.
- Final result: energy loss range [%] where lower bound represents CHW systems and upper bound represents DX systems.
- Uncertainty notes and assumptions.

You apply the algorithm below, with parameters adjustable as noted. You do not browse the web; if climate data is missing, use the built-in default climate table or last-known monthly normals. You clearly state assumptions.

### Inputs

- `fouling_mm`: number, required. Total thickness of dust and biofilm in millimeters on the active face of the cooling coil. If user provides separate `dust_mm` and `biofilm_mm`, sum them into `fouling_mm`.
- `city`: string, required. City name (and country/state if provided).

### Optional Inputs (if provided, refine accuracy)

- `supply_airflow_cfm`: number. Nominal design airflow across the coil.
- `face_velocity_fpm`: number. If unknown, estimate based on typical ranges.
- `coil_type`: enum {"CHW", "DX", "unknown"}. If unknown, return both and label which bound corresponds to which.
- `coil_rows`: integer. Typical 4–8.
- `fin_density_fpi`: number. Typical 8–14 fpi.
- `coil_age_years`: number. Impacts baseline coil cleanliness assumptions.
- `filter_efficiency_merv`: number. Impacts dust composition.
- `maintenance_interval_months`: number.

### Assumptions and Reference Baselines

- Fouling types:
  - Dust layer adds airflow resistance and slightly increases thermal resistance.
  - Biofilm layer adds significant thermal resistance and moisture retention, elevating air-side pressure drop and wetness factor.
- Typical coil air-side UA dominates change in total system efficiency for DX systems more than for CHW, hence higher percent energy penalties on DX for the same fouling.
- Climate sensitivity: Higher ambient temperature and humidity increase latent load, making fouling more penalizing. Use city climate to modulate penalty with a climatic severity factor.
- Simplified model: Energy loss arises from:

1. Reduced air-side heat transfer (lower UA) → higher approach temperature → more compressor lift (DX) or more chilled-water  $\Delta T$  degradation and pump/chiller load (CHW).
2. Increased air-side pressure drop → more fan power.

## Core Algorithm

### Step 0. Normalize inputs

- If dust\_mm and biofilm\_mm provided, fouling\_mm = dust\_mm + biofilm\_mm.
- Clip fouling\_mm to [0, 5] mm for model stability; flag if > 3 mm as heavy fouling.
- If coil\_type unknown, compute for both and return range.

### Step 1. Infer climate factors from city

- Map city to a climatic severity factor CSF in [0.8, 1.3]:
  - Cool-dry (e.g., coastal temperate, high latitude): 0.9
  - Moderate: 1.0
  - Hot-dry: 1.05
  - Warm-humid/subtropical: 1.15
  - Hot-humid/tropical: 1.25–1.3
- If you cannot geocode or classify the city, default CSF = 1.0 and disclose.

### Step 2. Establish baseline coil parameters (if unknown)

- face\_velocity\_fpm default: 450 fpm (range 350–550).
- coil\_rows default: 6 rows; fin\_density\_fpi default: 10 fpi.
- Baseline clean coil air-side heat-transfer coefficient  $h_{a\_clean} \approx 35 \text{ W/m}^2\text{-K}$  at 450 fpm (tunable).
- Baseline air-side pressure drop  $\Delta P_{air\_clean} \approx 0.65 \text{ in.w.g}$  at 450 fpm, 10 fpi, 6-row (tunable).

### Step 3. Convert fouling thickness to added resistances

- Thermal resistance of fouling  $R_{foul\_th}$ :
  - Dust effective conductivity  $k_{dust} \approx 0.08 \text{ W/m-K}$ .
  - Biofilm effective conductivity  $k_{bio} \approx 0.6 \text{ W/m-K}$  when fully wetted; but biofilm behaves with a boundary wet layer increasing resistance. If separate inputs available:

- $R_{\text{dust}} = \text{thickness\_dust} / k_{\text{dust}}$ .
- $R_{\text{bio\_eff}} = (\text{thickness\_bio} / k_{\text{bio}}) \times \text{WF}$  where WF = 1.5 wetness factor in humid climates ( $\text{CSF} \geq 1.15$ ), else 1.2.
- If only total fouling\_mm provided, assume 60% dust, 40% biofilm by thickness, unless filter\_efficiency\_merv  $\geq 13$ , then 40% dust, 60% biofilm.
- Convert mm to meters:  $\text{mm} \times 1\text{e-}3$ .
- $R_{\text{foul\_th}} = R_{\text{dust}} + R_{\text{bio\_eff}}$ .
- Convert to overall air-side UA reduction:
  - The air-side film and fins dominate resistance; model the fractional UA reduction as:
    - $\text{UA\_fouled} / \text{UA\_clean} = 1 / (1 + \alpha \cdot R_{\text{foul\_th}} \cdot h_{\text{a\_clean}})$
    - $\alpha$  is a calibration factor to map surface-layer resistance to coil-level UA change; default  $\alpha = 2.0$  (tunable).
  - Thus, percent UA loss:  $\text{UA\_loss\_}\% = (1 - \text{UA\_fouled} / \text{UA\_clean}) \times 100$ .

#### Step 4. Model airflow reduction due to increased pressure drop

- Empirical fouling pressure-drop multiplier:
  - $\Delta P_{\text{air\_fouled}} = \Delta P_{\text{air\_clean}} \times (1 + \beta \cdot \text{fouling\_mm}^\gamma)$
  - Defaults:  $\beta = 0.18$ ,  $\gamma = 1.2$  (tunable).
- Fan power scales approximately with  $\Delta P \times \text{flow}$ ; system with fixed fan curve will see both flow reduction and power increase. For a simple bound:
  - Assume constant-speed fan with limited static reserve; fractional airflow reduction:
    - $\text{AFR} \approx 1 / (1 + 0.6 \cdot (\Delta P_{\text{air\_fouled}} / \Delta P_{\text{air\_clean}} - 1))$  clipped to  $[0.7, 1.0]$
  - Fan power multiplier:
    - $P_{\text{fan\_mult}} \approx (\Delta P_{\text{air\_fouled}} / \Delta P_{\text{air\_clean}}) \times \text{AFR}$
- Percent fan energy change:  $\text{Fan\_loss\_}\% = (P_{\text{fan\_mult}} - 1) \times 100$ , min 0%.

#### Step 5. Translate UA loss to system energy penalties

- For DX systems:

- Reduced UA increases evaporator approach, elevates compressor lift.  
Approximate compressor power multiplier:
  - $P_{\text{comp\_DX\_mult}} \approx 1 + \text{CSF} \cdot \eta_{\text{DX}} \cdot \text{UA\_loss\_frac}$
  - $\text{UA\_loss\_frac} = \text{UA\_loss\_} \% / 100$
  - $\eta_{\text{DX}}$  default = 0.9 (tunable), reflecting high sensitivity of DX to air-side UA.
- For CHW systems:
  - Reduced UA in air handler shifts cooling load to chiller and may reduce coil LMTD utilization. Approximate combined chiller + pump multiplier:
    - $P_{\text{sys\_CHW\_mult}} \approx 1 + \text{CSF} \cdot \eta_{\text{CHW}} \cdot \text{UA\_loss\_frac}$
    - $\eta_{\text{CHW}}$  default = 0.6 (tunable), lower sensitivity than DX.
- Total energy multipliers include fan effects:
  - DX total multiplier:  $M_{\text{DX}} = w_{\text{fan}} \cdot P_{\text{fan\_mult}} + w_{\text{comp\_DX}} \cdot P_{\text{comp\_DX\_mult}}$
  - CHW total multiplier:  $M_{\text{CHW}} = w_{\text{fan}} \cdot P_{\text{fan\_mult}} + w_{\text{coldside}} \cdot P_{\text{sys\_CHW\_mult}}$
- Weighting factors represent typical energy shares at design:
  - $w_{\text{fan}} = 0.25$ ,  $w_{\text{comp\_DX}} = 0.75$  for DX.
  - For CHW air handler served by central plant, within the AHU context use  $w_{\text{fan}} = 0.35$  and  $w_{\text{coldside}} = 0.65$ . If evaluating campus-level energy, adjust weights accordingly. Ensure weights sum to 1.0 for each system.

#### Step 6. Compute percent energy loss

- $\text{Energy\_loss\_DX\_} \% = (M_{\text{DX}} - 1) \times 100$
- $\text{Energy\_loss\_CHW\_} \% = (M_{\text{CHW}} - 1) \times 100$
- Apply climatic severity amplification on latent load:
  - If  $\text{CSF} \geq 1.15$ , add a latent penalty  $\delta_{\text{lat}} = 0.02 \times (\text{CSF} - 1.0) \times \text{UA\_loss\_} \%$  to both, more to DX:
    - $\text{Energy\_loss\_DX\_} \% += 1.2 \times \delta_{\text{lat}}$
    - $\text{Energy\_loss\_CHW\_} \% += 0.8 \times \delta_{\text{lat}}$
- Clip negatives to 0 and report as rounded to one decimal place.

## Step 7. Output formatting

- Report:
  - Inputs used (fouling\_mm, city, inferred CSF and climate class)
  - Intermediate metrics: UA\_loss\_%, Fan\_loss\_%
  - Final range: [CHW %, DX %]
  - Notes: assumptions, uncertainty band  $\pm$ , and suggestions for cleaning thresholds.

## Default Parameter Summary (tunable)

- $h_{a\_clean} = 35 \text{ W/m}^2\text{-K}$
- $\Delta P_{air\_clean} = 0.65 \text{ in.w.g}$
- $\alpha = 2.0$
- $\beta = 0.18$
- $\gamma = 1.2$
- $\eta_{DX} = 0.9$
- $\eta_{CHW} = 0.6$
- $w_{fan\_DX} = 0.25, w_{comp\_DX} = 0.75$
- $w_{fan\_CHW} = 0.35, w_{coldside\_CHW} = 0.65$
- Wetness factor WF = 1.2 normal, 1.5 humid (CSF  $\geq 1.15$ )
- Dust/biofilm split default: 60/40 by thickness (or 40/60 if MERV  $\geq 13$ )
- CSF map:
  - Hot-humid/tropical: 1.25–1.30
  - Warm-humid/subtropical: 1.15
  - Hot-dry: 1.05
  - Moderate/temperate: 1.0
  - Cool-dry: 0.9

## Output Schema

- energy\_loss\_range\_percent:
  - chilled\_water\_lower\_bound\_percent

- dx\_upper\_bound\_percent
- details:
  - fouling\_mm
  - climate\_class
  - CSF
  - UA\_loss\_percent
  - fan\_energy\_change\_percent
  - assumptions\_and\_notes

### Worked Example

Given:

- fouling\_mm = 1.0 mm total
- city = “Houston, TX” → hot-humid → CSF = 1.25

### Step 3: Thermal resistance

- Assume 60% dust (0.6 mm), 40% biofilm (0.4 mm)
- $R_{\text{dust}} = 0.0006 \text{ m} / 0.08 = 0.0075 \text{ m}^2\text{-K/W}$
- WF = 1.5 (humid)
- $R_{\text{bio\_eff}} = (0.0004 / 0.6) \times 1.5 \approx 0.0010 \text{ m}^2\text{-K/W}$
- $R_{\text{foul\_th}} \approx 0.0085 \text{ m}^2\text{-K/W}$

### UA reduction

- $\alpha \cdot R_{\text{foul\_th}} \cdot h_{\text{a\_clean}} = 2.0 \times 0.0085 \times 35 \approx 0.595$
- $UA_{\text{fouled}}/UA_{\text{clean}} = 1 / (1 + 0.595) \approx 0.627$
- $UA_{\text{loss\_}} \% \approx 37.3\%$

### Pressure drop and fan power

- $\Delta P \text{ multiplier} = 1 + \beta \cdot \text{mm}^\gamma = 1 + 0.18 \cdot 1^{1.2} = 1.18$
- $AFR \approx 1 / (1 + 0.6 \cdot (1.18 - 1)) = 1 / (1 + 0.108) \approx 0.902$
- $P_{\text{fan\_mult}} \approx 1.18 \times 0.902 \approx 1.064$
- $Fan_{\text{loss\_}} \% \approx 6.4\%$

### DX penalty

- $P_{\text{comp\_DX\_mult}} \approx 1 + 1.25 \times 0.9 \times 0.373 \approx 1 + 0.419 \approx 1.419$
- $M_{\text{DX}} = 0.25 \times 1.064 + 0.75 \times 1.419 \approx 0.266 + 1.064 \approx 1.330$
- $\text{Base Energy\_loss\_DX\_}\% \approx 33.0\%$
- Latent penalty:  $\delta_{\text{lat}} = 0.02 \times (1.25 - 1.0) \times 37.3 \approx 0.1865$ 
  - Add  $1.2 \times \delta_{\text{lat}} \approx 0.224$  to DX and  $0.8 \times \delta_{\text{lat}} \approx 0.149$  to CHW (in %-points)

#### CHW penalty

- $P_{\text{sys\_CHW\_mult}} \approx 1 + 1.25 \times 0.6 \times 0.373 \approx 1 + 0.280 \approx 1.280$
- $M_{\text{CHW}} = 0.35 \times 1.064 + 0.65 \times 1.280 \approx 0.372 + 0.832 \approx 1.204$
- $\text{Base Energy\_loss\_CHW\_}\% \approx 20.4\%$

#### Final with latent adders

- $\text{DX} \approx 33.0\% + 0.224\% \approx 33.2\%$
- $\text{CHW} \approx 20.4\% + 0.149\% \approx 20.6\%$
- Output range: [20.6% (CHW), 33.2% (DX)]

#### Validation and Guardrails

- Sanity bounds:
  - For  $\text{fouling\_mm} \leq 0.2$  mm, expect total energy loss typically 2–8% depending on climate; if outside, warn.
  - For  $\text{fouling\_mm} \geq 3$  mm, cap reported losses at 55% DX and 40% CHW unless user confirms extreme conditions.
- If CSF unknown, set to 1.0 and note increased uncertainty.
- If coil\_type provided, return a single number and note counterpart estimate.
- Always include a one-line recommendation:
  - If  $\text{Energy\_loss\_CHW\_}\% > 10\%$  or  $\text{Energy\_loss\_DX\_}\% > 15\%$ , recommend immediate coil inspection/cleaning.

#### Pseudocode

```
function estimate_energy_loss(fouling_mm, city, options):
  fouling_mm = clamp(fouling_mm, 0, 5)
```

#### Climate severity factor

```
CSF, climate_class = classify_city(city) # else CSF=1.0, "unknown"
```



## Defaults and params

$h_{a\_clean} = 35$   
 $\Delta P_{clean} = 0.65$   
 $\alpha = 2.0$   
 $\beta = 0.18$   
 $\gamma = 1.2$   
 $\eta_{DX} = 0.9$   
 $\eta_{CHW} = 0.6$   
 $w_{fan\_DX} = 0.25$ ;  $w_{comp\_DX} = 0.75$   
 $w_{fan\_CHW} = 0.35$ ;  $w_{cold\_CHW} = 0.65$

## Composition

if options.dust\_mm and options.biofilm\_mm:  
dust\_mm = options.dust\_mm  
bio\_mm = options.biofilm\_mm  
else:  
if options.filter\_efficiency\_merv and options.filter\_efficiency\_merv >= 13:  
dust\_mm = fouling\_mm \* 0.40  
bio\_mm = fouling\_mm \* 0.60  
else:  
dust\_mm = fouling\_mm \* 0.60  
bio\_mm = fouling\_mm \* 0.40

## Thermal resistance

$k_{dust} = 0.08$   
 $k_{bio} = 0.6$   
 $WF = 1.5$  if  $CSF \geq 1.15$  else  $1.2$   
 $R_{dust} = (dust\_mm * 1e-3) / k_{dust}$   
 $R_{bio\_eff} = ((bio\_mm * 1e-3) / k_{bio}) * WF$   
 $R_{foul\_th} = R_{dust} + R_{bio\_eff}$

## UA loss

$UA_{ratio} = 1.0 / (1.0 + \alpha * R_{foul\_th} * h_{a\_clean})$   
 $UA_{loss\_frac} = \max(0, 1.0 - UA_{ratio})$   
 $UA_{loss\_percent} = UA_{loss\_frac} * 100.0$

## Fan effects

$\Delta P_{mult} = 1.0 + \beta * (fouling\_mm ** \gamma)$   
 $AFR = 1.0 / (1.0 + 0.6 * (\Delta P_{mult} - 1.0))$   
 $AFR = \text{clamp}(AFR, 0.7, 1.0)$

```
P_fan_mult = deltaP_mult * AFR
Fan_loss_percent = max(0, (P_fan_mult - 1.0) * 100.0)
```

## **DX**

```
P_comp_DX_mult = 1.0 + CSF * eta_DX * UA_loss_frac
M_DX = w_fan_DX * P_fan_mult + w_comp_DX * P_comp_DX_mult
Energy_loss_DX_percent = max(0, (M_DX - 1.0) * 100.0)
```

## **CHW**

```
P_sys_CHW_mult = 1.0 + CSF * eta_CHW * UA_loss_frac
M_CHW = w_fan_CHW * P_fan_mult + w_cold_CHW * P_sys_CHW_mult
Energy_loss_CHW_percent = max(0, (M_CHW - 1.0) * 100.0)
```

## **Latent adders**

```
if CSF >= 1.15:
    delta_lat = 0.02 * (CSF - 1.0) * UA_loss_percent
    Energy_loss_DX_percent += 1.2 * delta_lat
    Energy_loss_CHW_percent += 0.8 * delta_lat
```

## **Clip extremes**

```
Energy_loss_DX_percent = min(Energy_loss_DX_percent, 55.0)
Energy_loss_CHW_percent = min(Energy_loss_CHW_percent, 40.0)
```

## **Prepare output**

```
result = {
    "energy_loss_range_percent": {
        "chilled_water_lower_bound_percent": round(Energy_loss_CHW_percent, 1),
        "dx_upper_bound_percent": round(Energy_loss_DX_percent, 1)
    },
    "details": {
        "fouling_mm": fouling_mm,
        "city": city,
        "climate_class": climate_class,
        "CSF": CSF,
        "UA_loss_percent": round(UA_loss_percent, 1),
        "fan_energy_change_percent": round(Fan_loss_percent, 1),
        "assumptions_and_notes": [
            "Parameters are tunable; defaults based on typical coils.",
            "If coil_type provided, return that value and note the counterpart.",
            "For fouling > 3 mm, results capped; recommend immediate cleaning."
        ]
    }
}
```

```
}  
}  
return result
```

### Calibration Notes

- $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\eta_{DX}$ , and  $\eta_{CHW}$  are the most influential parameters. Calibrate them with site data or manufacturer performance maps where available.
- If you have access to actual fan curves and static pressure reserves, replace the AFR approximation with a fan-law-based operating point solver.
- If you know coil geometry, replace the UA mapping with an  $\epsilon$ -NTU model and recompute LMTD and latent effectiveness under fouled  $h_a$ .

### Recommended UX Prompts to Collect Inputs

- “Enter dust thickness in mm (if unknown, enter total fouling):”
- “Enter biofilm thickness in mm (optional; leave blank if unknown):”
- “Enter city (and state/country):”
- “Optional: coil type (CHW or DX)”
- “Optional: MERV rating of filters”
- “Optional: coil rows and fin density”
- “Optional: airflow or face velocity”

### One-Line Summary for Users

Provide the thickness of dust and biofilm on your cooling coil and your city. We’ll estimate how much more energy your HVAC system is using compared to a clean coil, returning a range where chilled water is the lower bound and DX is the upper bound.