

# ASSIGNMENT 2

Neeraj Pandey

Discrete Mathematics

**Q1.** Show that among  $n + 1$  positive integers of which is greater than  $2n$ , there are two that are relatively prime. (Two numbers are said to be relatively prime if their greatest common divisor is 1).

**Solution:** Let us proof by using a Lemma,

**Lemma:** Every consecutive positive integer is prime. Proof by contradiction says that  $n$  and  $n+1$  are positive integers such that prime number divides both of them.

**Contradiction:**  $k \mid 1$ , but  $k > 1$  By lemma stated above, divide the  $2n$  numbers into the following sets,

$$\{1, 2\}$$

$$\{3, 4\}$$

$$\{5, 6\}$$

$$\{\dots\}$$

$$\{\dots\}$$

$$\{2n - 1, 2n\}$$

As there are  $n$  such sets and every number  $\leq 2n$ , there are  $n + 1$  numbers and  $n$  sets. By Pigeonhole Principle there is atleast one set which has atleast 2 elements. As elements of this set are consecutive positive integers, therefore by Lemma they are relatively prime.

**Q2.** Suppose that we have  $n$  natural numbers none of which is greater than  $2n$  such that the least common multiple of any two is greater than  $2n$ . Show that all  $n$  numbers are greater than  $\frac{2n}{3}$ .

**Solution:** Let us proof this by contradiction:

Let's say that there is a number  $a_1$  among all the chosen numbers  $\ni a_1 < 2n$  and the rest of the numbers as  $a_i > \frac{2n}{3}$ .

We can see that following property from the above:

$$2a_1 < 3a_1 < 2n$$

Take a set,  $S = \{ 2a_1, 3a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \}$

here, we will take a helping theorem (lemma)

**Lemma 1:** There are 2 positive integers among  $n + 1$  which divides the other and is greater than  $2n$ .

So, we can have the following sets:

$$\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots\}$$

$$\dots$$

$$\dots$$

$$\{2n - 1, 4n - 2, 8n - 4, \dots\}$$

$\implies$  These are the following properties of the above sets:

1. There are 'n' sets in total.

2. There are 2 numbers from a set which divides one from the other.

3. Numbers smaller than  $2n$  fall under these sets.

- From the lemma used above, there are 2 numbers that one divides from the other. As,  $2a_1 / 3a_1$  is not an integer, we can conclude that there are 2 numbers  $a_i$  and  $a_k \ni a_k > a_i$  and  $a_i$  divides  $a_k$ .

Therefore, if  $a_1 < 2n$ , there exist 2 numbers among  $\{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \}$  and their LCM is smaller than  $3$ . Hence, proved by Contradiction.