

Asymptotic Analysis

1. Programs A and B are analyzed and are found to have worst-case running times no greater than $150N \log N$ and N^2 , respectively.

Answer the following questions (the answer may be “cannot determine based on given information”).

- Which program has the better guarantee on the running time for large values of N ($N > 10,000$)?
- Which program has the better guarantee on the running time for small values of N ($N < 100$)?
- Which program will run faster on average for $N = 1,000$?
- Can program B run faster than program A on all possible inputs?

Solution:

A - $150N \log N$

B - N^2

- **Given,**
 $N > 10000$

For $N = 10000$: A - $6 \cdot (10^6) \cdot \log 10$

B - 10^8

For $N = 20000$: A - $12 \cdot 10^6 \cdot \log 10$

B - $4 \cdot 10^8$

Conclusion: A will perform better

- **Given,**
 $N < 100$

For $N = 100$: A - $30000 \log 10$

B - 10000

Conclusion: B will perform better

- **Given,**
 $N = 1000$

Considering worst case scenario,

A - $450000 \log 10$

B - 10^6

Conclusion: A will run faster

- No. As the no of inputs increase, A will perform better compared to B.

2. Solving a problem requires running an $O(N)$ algorithm, and then performing N binary searches on an N -element array, and then running another $O(N)$ algorithm. What is the total time complexity to solve the problem?

Solution:

Time Complexity of Binary Search = $O(n \log n)$

Therefore, Total Time Complexity = $O(n) + O(n \log n) + O(n)$

We can approximate this to complexity of $O(n \log n)$.

3. An algorithm takes 0.5 milliseconds for input size 100. How large a problem can be solved in 1 minute (assuming that low-order terms are negligible) if the running time is

1. $O(N)$
2. $O(N \log N)$
3. $O(N^2)$
4. $O(N^3)$

Solution:

Given, 0.5ms - 100 inputs

We know, 1 minute = 60000ms

1. **$O(N)$:**

Given,

0.5ms - 100 inputs

Therefore,

$$1 \text{ min} - (100/0.5\text{ms}) * 1 * 60000\text{ms} = 12000000 \text{ inputs}$$

So, in 1 minute no of inputs would be nearly equal to 12,000,000

2. **$O(N \log N)$:**

Given,

0.5ms - 100 log100

$$1 \text{ min} - 100 \log 100 * 60000 / 0.5$$

Therefore,

$$N \log N = 24000000$$

$$N = 3656807$$

So, in 1 minute no of inputs would be in order of 10^6

3. **$O(N^2)$:**

Given,

0.5ms - 100 * 100

$$1 \text{ min} - 100 * 100 * 60000 / 0.5 = 1200000000$$

Therefore,
 $N^2 = 1200000000$
 $N = 34641.01$

So, in 1 minute no of inputs would be in order of 10^4

4. $O(N^3)$:

Given,
0.5ms - $100 * 100 * 100$
1 min - $100 * 100 * 100 * 60k / 0.5 = 120000000000$

Therefore,
 $N^3 = 120000000000$
 $N = 4932$

So, in 1 minute no of inputs would be in order of 10^3

4. Give an efficient algorithm to determine whether an integer i exists such that $A[i] = i$ in an array of increasing integers. What is the running time of your algorithm in big-O notation as a function of n the length of A ?

Your answer can be conceptual, with pseudo-code for clarification.

Solution:

ASSUMPTION:

- Array is sorted in ascending order
- All the integers in the array are distinct

LOGIC:

Since the array is sorted and distinct,

For each $j < i$: $\text{array}[j] - j \leq 0$

For each $j > i$: $\text{array}[j] - j \geq 0$

(Because at each step j vary of 1 but $\text{array}[j]$ vary of at least 1).

PSEUDO-CODE:

$A = [-5, -3, -2, 0, 1, 3, 5, 7]$

$\text{min} = 0$

$\text{max} = \text{len}(A) - 1$

$\text{flag} = 0$

while $\text{min} \leq \text{max}$ and $\text{flag} == 0$:

$\text{mid} = (\text{min} + \text{max}) / 2$

$\text{temp} = A[\text{mid}] - \text{mid}$

```
if temp < 0:
    min = mid + 1

elif temp > 0:
    max = mid - 1

else:
    print "Match found: i is %d and A[i] is %d" % mid A[mid]
    flag = 1
```

CONCLUSION:

Time Complexity: $O(\log N)$