Reinforcement learning

Neetu.R.R PLD19108

See figure 2.2. png.

the foloti for arrage remeand 2 7, optimal action for steps from 1 to 1000 is frotted.

Three différent & values are taken.

(i) E>O >> Greedy fooling.

Here use ean see that are age revealed and % optimal action is the Exploration of non-optimal arms while ean give higher severed are not comidered.

dis €20.1 → 90% exploit & 10% explose.

Here we can see higher aresege hereard and "o optimal action is obtained. This is because of the high severals obtained from the exploitation.

ciib & 0.01) 99 % exploit à 1% explose.

The arrage remeand & 1. Optimal action is

much more than guedy action.

E changing with time > See figure 2.2_1.png

Here we can see that the average remeal and it optimal action is benore than the greedy action. It is beliaving like \$>0.01 which will be the best in the long run.

See figure da. prog

Variance of the distribution = 4.

Here we can bee a decrease is the "coptimal action because of the uncertainity in fricking the arm.

And the aresage remeated has also large variation during the steps.

For &=0.1, the average revend obtained during the steps are very less compared to variance of 1.

Q3) See figure Q3. png

from Fig 23, we can see that the graph of \$20.01 is iscreasing in an appirmal way compared to other values. So is the long run, \$20.01 will ferform bretter than other values in terms of cumulative reward a probability of selecting optimal action.

Comparing with other values,

E = 0.01 → 99%. exploit & 1%. explore.

i. The chance of finding the optimal action is more.

€= 0.1 >> 90% exploit & 10% explore.

(greedy) € 20 → 0% explore which is not the ideal Scenario.

In the plot, E = 0. Di cuere, on otakes the E > 0.1 cure for large value of time steps.

Suppose the average estimate of non-optimal

realises is In & the optimal value is Popt

:. E[Rt] = 0.90 x Papt + 0.1x Pn.

76 62 0.01.

E [Rt] = 0.99 gopt + 0.01 gn.

finding the optimal arm.

Sample mean.

Q+(a): izi Aiza

to Aiza

It is the fummation of rewards for a farticular arm a.

eg: Q(C1) = Q((2) = Q((3) , Q((4) = 1 t=1 A(=1 R(=1)

t=2 (2(1)= R1=1

what ever be the initial value of the estimate, it well bot effect the total estimate of an arm.

But, in exponentialle useighted recently average, labere the step size is constant,

an+1 = (1-2) a1 + = x(1-2) Ri

Where de & the initial estimate.

But as time increases de m'increases, the dependence on the instal estimate decreases.

A mosthad to have cantent steprize & best no dependence of Otca) & acca) is using a new stepsize. Let $\beta n = 2 / \sqrt{\delta n}$ be the new steprize farameter where

a is constant.

On = Onnta (1-0n-1) + n70 uith 0,=0.

Or When no 1

0, = 00+ × (1- 00) = d.

· B1 >1

Exponential vieighted average:

Q2 = (1-β1) α1+ ξβ1(1-β1) Ri

Which have no dependency with the "nitral cutimate Q1

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = (1 - \beta a) \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \beta_{i} (1 - \beta a)^{i} R_i$$

$$= \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{2 + \alpha}\right)^{i} \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2 + \alpha} \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{2 + \alpha}\right)^{i} R_i$$

Here $\left(\frac{1+\alpha'}{2+\alpha'}\right)$ is a small amount whileh will de cream the effect of Q1. The secrets will be weighted by $\frac{1}{2+\alpha'}$ so that dependency on the receased increases.

Eventually, as n increases, the effect of 0, or the dependency of Dt over 0, ucill be negligible for a constant Stepsize (x).

Generated figure 2.4

In Figure 3.4. pmg, we can see that there is a sudden increase is the value of average reward of UCB at the Un step and it is maintained. As there are 10 acms, in the first round it eyes completing 10 steps, the arm with highest reward is chosen at the Un step from this 10 acms. So there will be increment in the average reward because of the addition of second at the 11th step.

Alto in Figure 2.4_1.png, there is a decrease in the average several of UCB for the initial often as Showen in figure 2.4 in the text. This is for (>2.

Ter C.2, ## the arrage reneard for UCB usill be less for the initial steps for a long time. But in Figure D.1-2. png, where C=1, the areage reneard for UCB is less than E-greedy.

The anrage renealed for VCB C>4 > VCB C>2 > UCB C>1.

Ab VCB C>4 => Arrage reneal peak : 0.9

VCB C>2 => Arrage reneal peak

11th

VCB C>4 => Arrage reneal peak

21

Step. VCB C>4 => Arrage reneal feak
= 1.2.



QF figure 2.5 is generated (uilmout baselire)

See figure D.S. png.

Here stepsize x > 0.1 gives more optimality in packing the actions compaled to x . 0.4.

A gibbs detribution is generated & compared with the best arm distribution (Gaussian)

The average remard is taken as zero. :. the 1. of Optimal action is less.

F