

Project-Crime Pattern Analysis

- a. The name of the attribute selection method
Ranker Method with InfoGainAttributeEval attribute evaluator
- b. Brief description of the method
Ranks attribute by their individual evaluation.
- c. The names of attributes that were selected by this method

Ranks of attributes: Number of attributes selected				Ranked attributes:	
Ranked attributes:				0.294	45 pctKids2Par
0.294	45	pctKids2Par		0.291	44 pct2Par
0.291	44	pct2Par		0.259	47 pct12-17w2Par
0.259	47	pct12-17w2Par		0.258	46 pctKids-4w2Par
0.258	46	pctKids-4w2Par		0.236	51 pctKidsBornNevrMarr
0.236	51	pctKidsBornNevrMarr		0.234	42 pctAllDivorc
Selected attributes: 45,44,47,46,51 : 5				0.233	41 pctFemDivorc
				Selected attributes: 45,44,47,46,51 :	
Ranked attributes:					
0.294	45	pctKids2Par		Ranked attributes:	
0.291	44	pct2Par		0.294	45 pctKids2Par
0.259	47	pct12-17w2Par		0.291	44 pct2Par
0.258	46	pctKids-4w2Par		0.259	47 pct12-17w2Par
0.236	51	pctKidsBornNevrMarr		0.258	46 pctKids-4w2Par
0.234	42	pctAllDivorc		0.236	51 pctKidsBornNevrMarr
0.233	41	pctFemDivorc		0.234	42 pctAllDivorc
0.227	28	persPoverty		0.233	41 pctFemDivorc
0.222	29	pctPoverty		0.227	28 persPoverty
0.214	50	kidsBornNevrMarr		0.222	29 pctPoverty
0.21	39	pctMaleDivorc		0.214	50 kidsBornNevrMarr

- b. Names of all classifier algorithms you used (including those four specified above).
 1. J48,
 2. RandomForest
 3. SimpleLogistic
 4. MultiLayerPerceptron

For 7 attributes

7attributeJ48									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	469			78.1667 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	131			21.8333 %					
Kappa statistic	0.4688								
Mean absolute error	0.2878								
Root mean squared error	0.3956								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.530	0.095	0.734	0.530	0.616	0.481	0.803	0.631	0
	0.905	0.470	0.796	0.905	0.847	0.481	0.803	0.851	1
Weighted Avg.	0.782	0.346	0.776	0.782	0.771	0.481	0.803	0.779	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <-- classified as									
105 93	a = 0								
38 364	b = 1								

7attributeRandomForest									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	429			71.5 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	171			28.5 %					
Kappa statistic	0.3692								
Mean absolute error	0.285								
Root mean squared error	0.5339								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.611	0.234	0.563	0.611	0.586	0.370	0.689	0.472	0
	0.766	0.389	0.800	0.766	0.783	0.370	0.689	0.770	1
Weighted Avg.	0.715	0.338	0.722	0.715	0.718	0.370	0.689	0.671	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <-- classified as									
121 77	a = 0								
94 308	b = 1								

7attributeMLP									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	464			77.3333 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	136			22.6667 %					
Kappa statistic	0.4821								
Mean absolute error	0.2702								
Root mean squared error	0.391								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.636	0.159	0.663	0.636	0.649	0.482	0.849	0.733	0
	0.841	0.364	0.824	0.841	0.833	0.482	0.849	0.910	1
Weighted Avg.	0.773	0.296	0.771	0.773	0.772	0.482	0.849	0.852	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <-- classified as									
126 72	a = 0								
64 338	b = 1								

7attributeSimplelogistic									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	473			78.8333 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	127			21.1667 %					
Kappa statistic	0.5132								
Mean absolute error	0.2796								
Root mean squared error	0.3753								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.646	0.142	0.692	0.646	0.668	0.514	0.859	0.743	0
	0.858	0.354	0.831	0.858	0.845	0.514	0.859	0.914	1
Weighted Avg.	0.788	0.284	0.785	0.788	0.786	0.514	0.859	0.858	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <-- classified as									
128 70	a = 0								
57 345	b = 1								

For 10 attributes

10attributeJ48									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	472			78.6667 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	128			21.3333 %					
Kappa statistic	0.5225								
Mean absolute error	0.2788								
Root mean squared error	0.4005								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.697	0.169	0.670	0.697	0.683	0.523	0.789	0.646	0
	0.831	0.303	0.848	0.831	0.839	0.523	0.789	0.844	1
Weighted Avg.	0.787	0.259	0.789	0.787	0.788	0.523	0.789	0.778	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <-- classified as									
138 60	a = 0								
68 334	b = 1								

10attributeMLP									
== Summary ==									
Correctly Classified Instances	477			79.5 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	123			20.5 %					
Kappa statistic	0.5286								
Mean absolute error	0.2624								
Root mean squared error	0.376								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.657	0.137	0.703	0.657	0.679	0.529	0.859	0.748	0
	0.863	0.343	0.836	0.863	0.849	0.529	0.859	0.906	1
Weighted Avg.	0.795	0.275	0.792	0.795	0.793	0.529	0.859	0.854	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <-- classified as									
130 68	a = 0								
55 347	b = 1								

10AttributeRandomForest									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	437			72.8333 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	163			27.1667 %					
Kappa statistic	0.4077								
Mean absolute error	0.2717								
Root mean squared error	0.5212								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.662	0.239	0.577	0.662	0.616	0.410	0.711	0.493	0
	0.761	0.338	0.820	0.761	0.790	0.410	0.711	0.784	1
Weighted Avg.	0.728	0.306	0.740	0.728	0.733	0.410	0.711	0.688	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a b <- classified as									
131	67	a = 0							
96	306	b = 1							

```

10AttributeSimpleLogistic
== Summary ==

Correctly Classified Instances      478      79.6667 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances   122      20.3333 %
Kappa statistic                    0.5402
Mean absolute error                 0.2686
Root mean squared error            0.3648
Total Number of Instances         600

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

      TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall   F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
0.692  0.152  0.692    0.692  0.692    0.540  0.871  0.771    0
0.848  0.308  0.848    0.848  0.848    0.540  0.871  0.917    1
Weighted Avg. 0.797  0.256  0.797  0.797  0.797    0.540  0.871  0.868

=== Confusion Matrix ===

  a b <- classified as
137 61 | a = 0
61 341 | b = 1

```

For 15 attributes

```

15attributeJ48
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances      465      77.5 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    135      22.5 %
Kappa statistic                    0.5033
Mean absolute error                 0.2775
Root mean squared error             0.4194
Total Number of Instances          600

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

      TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall  F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
0.707  0.192  0.645   0.707  0.675   0.505  0.773  0.624   0
0.808  0.293  0.849   0.808  0.828   0.505  0.773  0.832   1
Weighted Avg.  0.775  0.259  0.781  0.775  0.777   0.505  0.773  0.763

=== Confusion Matrix ===

  a  b  <-- classified as
140 58 | a = 0
77 325 | b = 1

```

```

15a1b1AttributeRandomForest
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances      485      80.8333 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    115      19.1667 %
Kappa statistic                    0.566
Mean absolute error                0.2648
Root mean squared error            0.3717
Total Number of Instances          600

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

      TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall   F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
-----
0.707  0.142  0.711    0.707  0.709  0.566  0.861  0.755  0
0.858  0.293  0.856  0.858  0.857  0.566  0.861  0.916  1
Weighted Avg. 0.808  0.243  0.808  0.808  0.808  0.566  0.861  0.863

=== Confusion Matrix ===

      a  b  <-- classified as
140 58 | a = 0
57 345 | b = 1

```

15attributeSimpleLogistic										
=== Summary ===										
Correctly Classified Instances	484				80.6667 %					
Incorrectly Classified Instances	116				19.3333 %					
Kappa statistic	0.5617									
Mean absolute error	0.2662									
Root mean squared error	0.3631									
Total Number of Instances	600									
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===										
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class	
	0.702	0.142	0.709	0.702	0.706	0.562	0.874	0.773	0	
	0.858	0.298	0.854	0.858	0.856	0.562	0.874	0.920	1	
Weighted Avg.	0.807	0.246	0.806	0.807	0.806	0.562	0.874	0.871		
=== Confusion Matrix ===										
a b <- classified as										
139 59	a = 0									
57 345	b = 1									

15attributeMLP										
=== Summary ===										
Correctly Classified Instances	485	80.8333 %								
Incorrectly Classified Instances	115	19.1667 %								
Kappa statistic	0.5534									
Mean absolute error	0.2242									
Root mean squared error	0.3843									
Total Number of Instances	600									
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===										
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class	
	0.652	0.114	0.737	0.652	0.692	0.556	0.859	0.743	0	
	0.886	0.348	0.838	0.886	0.861	0.556	0.859	0.914	1	
Weighted Avg.	0.808	0.271	0.804	0.808	0.805	0.556	0.859	0.857		
=== Confusion Matrix ===										
a b <- classified as										
129	69	a = 0								
46	356	b = 1								

- d. The name of the attribute selection method and the classifier algorithm which gave you the *best performance* (e.g., GainRatio attribute selection method with J48 classification algorithm gave me the best performance). You also need to show the list of attributes selected by this attribute selection method.

Ranked attributes:	
0.294	45 pctKids2Par
0.291	44 pct2Par
0.259	47 pct12-17w2Par
0.258	46 pctKids-4w2Par
0.236	51 pctKidsBornNew
0.234	42 pctAllDivorc
0.233	41 pctFemDivorc
0.227	28 persPoverty
0.222	29 pctPoverty
0.214	50 kidsBornNewM
0.21	39 pctMaleDivorc
0.19	68 pctPersOwnOcc

15attribute MLP									
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Instances	485	80.8333 %							
Incorrectly Classified Instances	115	19.1667 %							
Kappa statistic	0.5534								
Mean absolute error	0.2242								
Root mean squared error	0.3843								
Total Number of Instances	600								
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===									
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.652	0.114	0.737	0.652	0.692	0.556	0.859	0.743	0
	0.886	0.348	0.838	0.886	0.861	0.556	0.859	0.914	1
Weighted Avg.	0.808	0.271	0.804	0.808	0.805	0.556	0.859	0.857	
=== Confusion Matrix ===									
a	b	←-- classified as							
129	69	a = 0							
46	356	b = 1							

f. Discussion:

(a). Describe what criteria you used when you were choosing your *Best Model*. In other words, you need to justify why you chose that particular model as the best model. If your justification is not based on sound technical criteria, you will lose points.

Answer: Chosen the Random Forest-*for 15 attribute* the best model for its accuracy, time to build and number of correctly classified instances on test data.

Evaluation Criteria		Random Forest
Time to Build Model(in secs)		0.44
Correctly Classified Instances		485
Incorrectly Classified Instances		115
Prediction Accuracy		80.83
Cost	Negative	198
	Positive	402

(b). List five attributes that you think are most relevant to the class attribute. You need to justify why you selected those five attributes.

pctKids2Par

pct2Par

pct12-17w2Par

pctKids-4w2Par

pctKidsBornNevrI

These attributes are most relevant to the class attribute because it is evident from the above results that whether we select 15,10,7 or 5 attributes for classifying the model these five attributes remain consistent.

(c). What you learned from this project.

This project taught how to split the test-train dataset. Choosing the attributes using attribute selection method and then apply various classification method to determine the Best Model. It taught us to build and test a classifier model using a real-world data using Weka

(d). Any other observations from this project.

It was knowledgeable to observe all the classifier algorithm together in a tab.