## **Project-Crime Pattern Analysis**

- a. The name of the attribute selection method Ranker Method with InfoGainAtributeEval attribute evaluator
- b. Brief description of the method Ranks attribute by their individual evaluation.
- c. The names of attributes that were selected by this method

Ranks of attributes: Number of attributes	selected Ranked attributes:
Ranked attributes:	0.294 45 pctKids2Par
0.294 45 pctKids2Par	0.291 44 pct2Par
0.291 44 pct2Par	0.259 47 pct12-17w2Par
0.259 47 pct12-17w2Par	0.258 46 pctKids-4w2Par
0.258 46 pctKids-4w2Par	0.236 51 pctKidsBornNevrMarr
0.236 51 pctKidsBornNevrMarr	0.234 42 pctAllDivorc
Selected attributes: 45,44,47,46,51 : 5	0.233 41 pctFemDivorc
	Selected attributes: 45,44,47,46,53
Ranked attributes:	
0.294 45 pctKids2Par	Ranked attributes:
0.291 44 pct2Par	0.294 45 pctKids2Par
0.259 47 pct12-17w2Par	0.291 44 pct2Par
0.258 46 pctKids-4w2Par	0.259 47 pct12-17w2Par
0.236 51 pctKidsBornNevrMarr	0.258 46 pctKids-4w2Par
0.234 42 pctAllDivorc	0.236 51 pctKidsBornNevrMarr
0.233 41 pctFemDivorc	0.234 42 pctAllDivorc
0.227 28 persPoverty	0.233 41 pctFemDivorc
0.222 29 pctPoverty	0.227 28 persPoverty
0.214 50 kidsBornNevrMarr	0.222 29 pctPoverty
0.21 39 pctMaleDivorc	0.214 50 kidsBornNevrMarr

- b. Names of all classifier algorithms you used (including those four specified above).
  - 1. J48,
  - 2. RandomForest
  - 3. SimpleLogistic
  - 4. MultiLayerPerceptron

c. Test results of all 16 models. You can get the result from Weka's Classifier Output window. Your test result must include all performance measures in the Weka's output window including the confusion matrix.

For 5 attributes

5attribu	iteMLP									5at	ttribute	Simpl	eLogist	tic						
=== Sum		==								T ===	= Summ	ary ==	=							
	,																			
Correct	y Classi	fied Inst	ances	466	77	7.6667 %				Cor	rrectly (	Classif	ied Inst	ances	471	78	3.5 %			
Incorrec	tly Clas	sified In	stances	134	2	2.3333 %	,			Inc	correctly	y Class	ified In	stances	129	2	1.5 %			
Kappa s				789						Kap	ppa stat	tistic		0.4	896					
Mean al		error		0.2852						Me	ean abso	olute e	error		0.3012					
Root me	ean sou	ared err	or	0.38	08					Roo	ot mear	n squa	red err	or	0.383	11				
Total No				600	-					Tot	tal Num	ber of	Instan	ces	600					
=== Det	ailed Ac	curacy l	By Class								= Detail	ed Acc	uracy l	By Class :	==					
500	anca / to	curucy.	3, 0.033											,						
	TP Rat	e FP Ra	te Preci	ision Red	all F-M	1easure 1	MCC I	ROC Are	a PRC	ss	Т	P Rate	FP Ra	te Preci	sion Rec	all F-N	1easure	Ν	ICC F	ICC ROC Area
		0.137			0.640		0.853				0	).581	0.114	0.714	0.581	0.641	0.495		0.853	0.853 0.736
		0.399			0.838		0.853				0	0.886	0.419	0.811	0.886	0.847	0.495		0.853	0.853 0.910
Meighte						0.773		0.853		We	eighted	Ανσ	0.785	0.319						0.495 0.853
vv cigiito	u Avg.	0.777	0.512	0.771	0.777	0.773	0.401	0.055	0.04		c.Bcu	7.10	0.703	0.023	0.773	0.705	0.775		0.155	0.155 0.055
=== Con	fusion I	Matrix =	==							<u>                                </u>	= Confu	sion N	1atrix =	==						
a b <	< class	ified as								a	b <	classit	ied as							
110 70	a=0	)								11	15 83	a = 0								
119 /9																				

5attributeRance	omFore	st							
=== Summary =	==								
Correctly Classi			469		.1667 %				
Incorrectly Clas	sified In:	stances	131	2:	1.8333 %				
Kappa statistic		0.4	979						
Mean absolute	error		0.2915						
Root mean squ	ared err	or	0.396	53					
Total Number o	f Instan	ces	600						
=== Detailed Ac	curacy E	By Class	===						
TP Rat	e FP Ra	te Preci	sion Rec	all F-M	easure N	ACC F	ROC Area	PRC	Area Class
0.636	0.147	0.681	0.636	0.658	0.499	0.827	0.690	0	
0.853	0.364	0.827	0.853	0.840	0.499	0.827	0.892	1	
Weighted Avg.	0.782	0.292	0.779	0.782	0.780	0.499	0.827	0.82	6
=== Confusion !	/atrix =	==							
a b < class	fied as								
406 70 1	١								
126 72   a = 0	,								

5attributeJ48									
=== Summary =	==								
Correctly Classi	fied Inst	ances	456	76	%				
Incorrectly Class				24	,,,				
Kappa statistic	Jinea III		708		70				
Mean absolute	orror	0.4	0.3234						
can absolute	C1101	0.5	0.3234	17					
Root mean squ				1/					
Total Number o	it instan	ces	600						
Data da da		D . Cl							
=== Detailed Ac	curacy i	sy class	===						
TP Rat	e FP Ra	te Preci	sion Rec	all F-M	easure 1	MCC F	ROC Area	PRC	Area Class
		0.624		0.654		0.765		0	71100 01000
0.796	0.313	0.838	0.796	0.816	0.472	0.765	0.825	1	
Weighted Avg.	0.760	0.277	0.767	0.760	0.763	0.472	0.765	0.73	35
=== Confusion I	Matrix =	==							
	ified as								
a b < class									
a b <- class									

#### For 7 attributes

7attribute J4	8								
=== Summar	y ===								
Correctly Cla					.1667 %				
Incorrectly C	lassified In	stances	131	2:	1.8333 %				
Kappa statist	ic	0.4	1688						
Mean absolu	te error		0.2878						
Root mean s	quared err	or	0.395	6					
Total Numbe	r of Instan	ces	600						
=== Detailed	Accuracy	By Class	===						
TPI	Rate FP Ra	te Preci	ision Rec	all F-M	easure 1	VICC F	ROC Area	PRC	Area Class
0.53	30 0.095	0.734	0.530	0.616	0.481	0.803	0.631	0	
0.90	0.470	0.796	0.905	0.847	0.481	0.803	0.851	1	
Weighted Av	g. 0.782	0.346	0.776	0.782	0.771	0.481	0.803	0.77	9
=== Confusio	n Matrix =	==							
a b < cla	assified as								
105 93   a	= 0								
38 364 l b	= 1								

7attribute	Rand	omFor	est										
=== Summ	ary =	==											
Correctly C	lassif	ied Ins	tances	429		71.5	%						
Incorrectly				171		28.5	%						
Kappa stat				692									
Mean abso		error		0.285									
Root mean	squa	red er	ror	0.533	19								
Total Num	ber o	f Instar	nces	600									
=== Detaile	d Ac	curacy	By Class	===									
TI	Rate	e FPR	ate Preci	sion Rec	all F	-Meas	sure I	MCC	RO	C Area	PRC	Area	Class
0.	611	0.234	0.563	0.611	0.58	36 (	0.370	0.689	-	0.472	0		
0.	766	0.389	0.800	0.766	0.78	33 (	0.370	0.689	-	0.770	1		
Weighted A	Avg.	0.715	0.338	0.722	0.71	5 0.	718	0.370	0	.689	0.67	1	
=== Confus	ion N	/latrix :											
a b <	classi	fied as											
121 77	a = 0												
94 308	b = 1												

# 

7attributeSimplelogistic					
=== Summary ===					
Correctly Classified Instances	473	78.8333 %			
Incorrectly Classified Instances	127	21.1667 %	,		
Kappa statistic 0.5	132				
Mean absolute error	0.2796				
Root mean squared error	0.3753				
Total Number of Instances	600				
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class :					
TP Rate FP Rate Precis	sion Recall	F-Measure 1	MCC RO	OC Area PRO	Area Class
0.646 0.142 0.692	0.646 0.0	668 0.514	0.859	0.743 0	
0.858 0.354 0.831	0.858 0.8	845 0.514	0.859	0.914 1	
Weighted Avg. 0.788 0.284			0.514	0.859 0.8	58
Weighted / Wg. 222	0.703 2	T 0	0.52	0.000	
=== Confusion Matrix ===		1			
COMMUNICATION N. L.					
a b < classified as					
128 70   a = 0					
57 345   b = 1					

#### For 10 attributes

10attributeJ48										
=== Summary ==	=									
Correctly Classif	ind Inst	2000	472	70	.6667 %					
							_			
Incorrectly Class	iriea in:			2.	1.3333 %					-
Kappa statistic			225							
Mean absolute e	error		0.2788							
Root mean squa	red err	or	0.400	)5						
Total Number of	Instan	ces	600							
=== Detailed Acc	uracy E	By Class	===							
TP Rate	FP Ra	te Preci	ision Rec	all F-M	leasure N	VCC F	OC Area	PRC A	rea Class	5
0.697	0.169	0.670	0.697	0.683	0.523	0.789	0.646	0		
0.831	0.303	0.848	0.831	0.839	0.523	0.789	0.844	1		
Weighted Avg.	0.787	0.259	0.789	0.787	0.788	0.523	0.789	0.778		
=== Confusion N	1atrix =	=								
a b < classif	fied as									
120 CO L 0										
138 60   a = 0										

10attributeMLP	,									
== Summary ===	=									
Correctly Classif	ied Inst	ances	477	7	9.5 %					
Incorrectly Class	ified Ins	stances	123	2	0.5 %					
Kappa statistic		0.5	286							
Mean absolute	error		0.2624							
Root mean squa	red err	or	0.376	5						
Total Number o	fInstan	ces	600							
=== Detailed Acc				all F-N	1easure 1	VICC F	OC Area	PRC	Area	Class
0.657	0.137	0.703	0.657	0.679	0.529	0.859	0.748	0		
0.863	0.343	0.836	0.863	0.849	0.529	0.859	0.906	1		
Weighted Avg.	0.795	0.275	0.792	0.795	0.793	0.529	0.859	0.85	4	
=== Confusion N	/latrix =	==								
	C									
a b < classi 130 68 l a = 0										
55 347   b = 1			-	-			-			

10attributeRandor	mForest								
=== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified	Instances	437	72	.8333 %					
Incorrectly Classifie	d Instances	163	2	7.1667 %					
Kappa statistic	0.4	077							
Mean absolute erro	or	0.2717							
Root mean squared	error	0.521	.2						
Total Number of In:	stances	600							
=== Detailed Accura	acy By Class	===							
TP Rate F	P Rate Preci	sion Rec	all F-M	easure N	ACC F	OC Area	PRC	Area (	Class
0.662 0.3	239 0.577	0.662	0.616	0.410	0.711	0.493	0		
0.761 0.	338 0.820	0.761	0.790	0.410	0.711	0.784	1		
Weighted Avg. 0.7	728 0.306	0.740	0.728	0.733	0.410	0.711	0.68	8	
=== Confusion Mat	rix ===								
a b < classified	las								
131 67   a = 0									
96 306   b = 1									

10-44-ib4-6i1-1i-	41-								
10attributeSimpleLogis	TIC	-				_			-
== Summary ===									
Correctly Classified Insta				0.6667 %					
Incorrectly Classified Ins	tances	122	2	0.3333 %					
Kappa statistic	0.54	102							
Mean absolute error		0.2686							
Root mean squared erro	r	0.364	18						
Total Number of Instanc	es	600							
=== Detailed Accuracy B	v Class =	==							
TP Rate FP Rat	e Precis	ion Rec	all F-M	leasure N	ИCC F	OC Area	PRC	Area C	lass
0.692 0.152	0.692	0.692	0.692	0.540	0.871	0.771	0		
0.848 0.308	0.848	0.848	0.848	0.540	0.871	0.917	1		
Weighted Avg. 0.797	0.256	0.797	0.797	0.797	0.540	0.871	0.86	8	
=== Confusion Matrix ==	=								
a b < classified as									
137 61   a = 0									
61 341   b = 1									

### For 15 attributes

15attributeJ48											
=== Summary =	==										
Correctly Classif	ied Inst	ances	465		77.5	%					
Incorrectly Class	sified In	stances	135		22.5	%					
Kappa statistic		0.5	033								
Mean absolute	error		0.2775								
Root mean squa	red err	or	0.419	94							
Total Number o	f Instan	ces	600								
=== Detailed Ac	curacy I	By Class	===								
TP Rati	e FP Ra	te Preci	sion Rec	all F-	Mea	ure l	MCC F	OC Area	PRC	Area	Class
0.707	0.192	0.645	0.707	0.67	5	0.505	0.773	0.624	0		
0.808	0.293	0.849	0.808	0.82	8	0.505	0.773	0.832	1		
Weighted Avg.	0.775	0.259	0.781	0.77	5 0.	777	0.505	0.773	0.76	3	
=== Confusion N	/latrix =	==									
a b < classi	fied as										
140 58   a = 0											
77 325   b = 1											

15attributeSin	npleLogi	stic								Т
=== Summary	== ]									
Correctly Class	ified Inst	ances	484	80	0.6667 %					
Incorrectly Clas	sified In	stances	116	1	9.3333 %					
Kappa statistic		0.5	617							
Mean absolute	error		0.2662							
Root mean squ	ared err	or	0.363	1						
Total Number	of Instan	ces	600							
=== Detailed A	curacy	By Class :								
TP Ra	te FP Ra	te Precis	sion Rec	all F-N	leasure 1	VICC R	OC Area	PRC	Area Class	5
0.702	0.142	0.709	0.702	0.706	0.562	0.874	0.773	0		
0.858	0.298	0.854	0.858	0.856	0.562	0.874	0.920	1		
Weighted Avg.	0.807	0.246	0.806	0.807	0.806	0.562	0.874	0.87	1	
=== Confusion	Matrix =	==								
a b < class	ified as									
139 59   a =	0									
57 345   b=	1									

15attributeR	andomFo	rest							
=== Summary									
Correctly Clas	sified Ins	tances	485	80	.8333 %				
Incorrectly CI	assified Ir	stances	115	19	9.1667 %				
Kappa statisti	с	0.5	66						
Mean absolut	e error		0.2648						
Root mean so	uared er	ror	0.371	17					
Total Number	of Instar	nces	600						
=== Detailed	Accuracy	By Class							
TP R	ate FP R	ate Preci	sion Rec	all F-M	easure N	VCC P	OC Area	PRC	Area Class
0.70	7 0.142	0.711	0.707	0.709	0.566	0.861	0.755	0	
0.85	8 0.293	0.856	0.858	0.857	0.566	0.861	0.916	1	
Weighted Ave	. 0.808	0.243	0.808	0.808	0.808	0.566	0.861	0.86	3
=== Confusion	Matrix :								
a b < cla	ssified as								
140 58   a	= 0								
57 345   b	- 1								

15attribut	teMLF	•									
=== Summ	ary =	==									
Correctly	Classif	fied Inst	ances	485		80.8333 %					
Incorrectly	/ Class	sified In	stances	115	5 19.1667		6				
Kappa stat	tistic		0.5	534							
Mean abs	olute	error		0.2242							
Root mea	n squa	ared err	or	0.384	13						
Total Num	ber o	f Instan	ices	600							
=== Detail	ed Ac	curacy	By Class								
T	P Rat	e FPRa	ite Preci	ision Rec	all F-	Measure	MCC F	ROC Area	PRC	Area	Class
C	.652	0.114	0.737	0.652	0.69	2 0.556	0.859	0.743	0		
C	.886	0.348	0.838	0.886	0.86	1 0.556	0.859	0.914	1		
Weighted	Avg.	0.808	0.271	0.804	0.80	8 0.805	0.556	0.859	0.85	7	
=== Confu	sion N	Лatrix =	==								
	classi	fied as									
129 69	a = 0	)									
46 356	b = 1										

d. The name of the attribute selection method and the classifier algorithm which gave you the best performance (e.g., GainRatio attribute selection method with J48 classification algorithm gave me the best performance). You also need to show the list of attributes selected by this attribute selection method.

**Answer:** As per above results – *Random Forest Classifier using Ranker(InfoGain attribute Eval) Selection* gave the highest accuracy, applied on 15 attributes as follows:-

Ranked	d attributes:
0.294	45 pctKids2Par
0.291	44 pct2Par
0.259	47 pct12-17w2Par
0.258	46 pctKids-4w2Par
0.236	51 pctKidsBornNev
0.234	42 pctAllDivorc
0.233	41 pctFemDivorc
0.227	28 persPoverty
0.222	29 pctPoverty
0.214	50 kidsBornNevrM
0.21	39 pctMaleDivorc
0.19	68 pctPersOwnOcc

e. Best test result. This is one of 16 test results you showed in item c above. This is the one you obtained by testing your *Best Model* on the *Best Test Dataset*. You can get this result from Weka's Classifier Output window. Your test result must include all performance measures in the Weka's output window including the confusion matrix.

15attribute MLP				
=== Sum ma ry ===				
Correctly Classified Instance	s 485	80.8333 %		
Incorrectly Classified Instance	es 115	19.1667%		
Kappa statistic	0.5534			
Mean absolute error	0.2242			
Root mean squared error	0.3843			
Total Number of Instances	600			
=== Detailed Accuracy By Cl	ass == =			
TP Rate FP Rate P	recision Recall F	-Measure N	ICC ROC Area	PRC Area Class
0.652 0.114 0.7	37 0.652 0.6	92 0.556	0.859 0.743	0
0.886 0.348 0.8	38 0.886 0.8	61 0.556	0.859 0.914	1
Weighted Avg. 0.808 0.2	71 0.804 0.8	08 0.805	0.556 0.859	0.857
=== Confusion Matrix ===				
a b < classified as				
129 69   a = 0				
46356   b = 1				

- f. Discussion:
- (a). Describe what criteria you used when you were choosing your *Best Model*. In other words, you need to justify why you chose that particular model as the best model. If your justification is not based on sound technical criteria, you will lose points.

Answer: Chosen the Random Forest-for 15 attribute the best model for its accuracy, time to build and number of correctly classified instances on test data.

Evaluation	Random Forest				
Time to Bu	0.44				
Correctly C	lassified Instances	485			
Incorrectly	115				
Prediction A	80.83				
Cost	Negative	198			
	Positive	402			

(b). List five attributes that you think are most relevant to the class attribute. You need to justify why you selected those five attributes.

pctKids2Pa	r				
pct2Par					
pct12-17w	2Par				
pctKids-4w2Par					
pctKidsBor	nNevrl				

These attributes are most relevant to the class attirbute because it is evident from the above results that whether we select 15,10,7 or 5 attributes for classifying the model these five attributes remain consistent.

(c). What you learned from this project.

This project taught how to split the test-train dataset. Choosing the attributes using attribute selection method and then apply various classification method to determine the Best Model. It taught us to build and test a classifier model using a real-world data using Weka

(d). Any other observations from this project.

It was knowledgeable to observe all the classifier algorithm together in a tab.