

Best Practices Against Cloud Security Incidents

Objectives:

At the end of this episode, I will be able to:

Understand what the best practices against Cloud Security incidents are.

Explain what the challenges in handling and responding to cloud security incidents are.

Identify what the incident handling responsibilities in Cloud Computing are.

Define the steps necessary to eradicate Cloud Security incidents.

External Resources:

Best Practices Against Cloud Security Incidents

Incident Handling Responsibilities in Cloud -

Incident Handling Responsibilities in Cloud.docx

Challenges in handling and responding to cloud security incidents:

Architecture and Identification -

o Deletion in the cloud:

• The total volume of data and users operating in a cloud ecosystem constrains

the amount of backups the CSP will retain.

• CSPs may not implement the necessary methods to retrieve deleted data in

IaaS or PaaS delivery models.

o Recovering overwritten data:

• It is very difficult to recover data marked as deleted, as it may get

overwritten by another user sharing the same cloud.

o Interoperability issues among CSPs:

• Collection and preservation of forensic evidence is challenging as there is

a lack of interoperability among CSPs.

o Single points of failure:

• The cloud ecosystem has single points of failure, which may adversely impact

the evidence acquisition process.

o No single point of failure for criminals:

• Collection and analysis of evidentiary data from distributed and disparate

sources is highly difficult as criminals may choose one CSP to store their data, a second CSP to obtain computing services, and a third CSP to route all their communications.

o Detection of the malicious act:

• It is tough for an incident handler to detect a malicious act by identifying

a series of small changes made across many systems and applications as a result of attacks against a cloud.

o Malicious code may circumvent VM isolation methods:

• Vulnerabilities in server virtualization may allow malicious code to evade

VM isolation methods and interfere with either other guest VMs or the hypervisor itself.

o Multiple venues and geo-locations:

• Managing the scope of data collection is challenging as distributed data

collection and chain of custody from multiple venues or geo-locations can cause various jurisdictional issues.

o Lack of transparency:

- Operational details are not always clear enough to incident handlers, which

can result in a lack of trust and difficulties with auditing.

o Cloud confiscation and resource seizure:

- Cloud confiscation and resource seizure may affect the business continuity

of other tenants.

o Errors in cloud management portal configurations:

- Configuration errors in cloud management portals may allow an attacker to

gain control, reconfigure, or delete another cloud customer's resources or applications.

- It is hard to find the source of such unauthorized change as the cloud

management portal is being used by multiple tenants simultaneously.

o Potential evidence segregation:

- Segregation of potential evidence pertaining to one tenant in a multi-tenant

cloud system is a challenge without breaching the confidentiality of other tenants.

o Secure provenance / Data chain of custody:

- It is a challenge for incident handlers to maintain proper chain of custody

and security of data, metadata, and possibly hardware, as determining ownership, custody, or exact location may be difficult.

Data Collection -

o Decreased access and data control:

- In every combination of cloud service model and deployment model, the

incident handler faces the challenge of limited access and control to the forensic data.

- CSPs hide data locations to ease data movement and replication.

o Data location:

- Collecting data is challenging because of the flexibility CSPs have to

migrate data between data centers and geographic regions.

o Imaging and isolating data:

- Imaging and isolating a migrating data target is challenging in the cloud

ecosystem due to its key characteristics: elasticity, automatic provisioning/deprovisioning of resources, redundancy, and multi-tenancy.

o Data available for a limited time:

- Data collection and preservation of VM instances is challenging due to the

lack of standard practices and tools.

o Live forensics:

- Validating the integrity of data collected is challenging as data within the

cloud is volatile and frequently changing. Also, live forensics tools may make modifications to the suspect system.

o Resource abstraction:

- Identifying and collecting evidentiary data is challenging because resources

are abstracted and the information about cloud architecture, hardware, hypervisors, and file system types is not always readily available to help us to understand the cloud environment.

o Additional collection is often infeasible in the cloud:

- Collecting additional evidence is often unfeasible in the cloud as specific

data locations are not known, the sizes may be huge, and non-standard protocols and mechanisms may be used to exchange data and poorly or not documented.

o Ambiguous trust boundaries:

- Not all CSPs implement vertical isolation for tenants' data that can lead to

questionable data integrity.

o Data integrity and evidence preservation:

- For stakeholders, maintaining evidence quality, evidence admissibility,

data integrity, and evidence preservation is challenging as faults and failures in data integrity are shared among multiple actors, and the chance for such faults and failures is higher in the cloud environment due to sharing of data/responsibilities.

Analysis -

o Evidence correlation:

- Correlation of an activity across multiple CSPs is a challenge due to the

lack of interoperability.

o Timestamp synchronization:

- Correlating the activities observed with accurate time synchronization is a

challenge as the timestamps may be inconsistent between different sources.

o Use of metadata:

- Using metadata as an authentication method may be at risk, as common fields

(creation date, last accessed date, last modified date) may change when data is moved into and within the cloud and at the time of data gathering process.

- Check to see if the CSP preserves metadata and if it is readily accessible

for e-discovery purposes.

Legal -

o Missing terms in contract or SLA:

- Lack of forensic related terms in the cloud contracts is challenging as it

could prevent the generation and collection of existing appropriate data as well as generating potentially appropriate data.

o Limited investigative power:

- In civil cases, incident handlers are often provided with limited

investigative power to properly obtain data under the respective jurisdictions.

o Reliance on cloud providers:

- Acquiring forensic data from cloud is challenging as it requires CSPs

cooperation, which may be limited by the number of employees and other resources at the provider end.

o Physical data location:

- Specifying the physical location(s) of data on a subpoena is challenging as

the requestor often does not know where the data is stored physically.

o Lack of international agreements and laws:

- Gaining access to and exchanging data is challenging due to the lack of

international collaboration and legislative mechanisms.

How do we eradicate Cloud Security incidents? -

Eradication is the process of removing the compromised cloud networks and applications that can represent attacks.

- Clean up the infected software
- Rebuild or reconstruct compromised networks
- Notify the relevant officials about the incident