

TheoremView: A Framework for Extracting Theorem-like Environments from Raw PDFs

Shrey Mishra¹[0009–0004–2357–9593], Neil Sharma²[0009–0004–2357–9593], Antoine Gauquier¹[0009–0005–9573–6364], and Pierre Senellart^{1,3}[0000–0002–7909–5369]

¹ DI ENS, ENS, CNRS, PSL University, Inria, Paris, France
shrey.mishra@ens.psl.eu, antoine.gauquier@ens.psl.eu,
pierre@senellart.com

² Malaviya National Institute of Technology
neil.sharma3000@gmail.com

³ Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)

Abstract. This paper presents TheoremView, a novel framework designed to extract proofs and theorems from scientific papers in their raw PDF format, without requiring access to L^AT_EX source files. Our approach leverages three distinct modalities (font, text, and vision) to accurately identify and classify proof and theorem-like environments. We incorporate a sequential component to capture long-term dependencies, including page breaks and layout information. TheoremView employs a combination of specialized models trained to recognize and extract these critical elements from academic documents. By eliminating the need for OCR preprocessing, our method significantly reduces computational overhead, making it feasible for real-time applications. This innovative approach offers a robust solution for automated theorem extraction, potentially enhancing accessibility and analysis of mathematical content in scientific literature. Code and documentation: <https://gitlab.di.ens.fr/mishra/sys-demo>. Demo video: <https://youtu.be/demo-link>

Keywords: Theorem extraction · Multimodal learning · Document analysis · Machine learning · Natural language processing

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation for Theorem Extraction

In contemporary scientific research, articles are primarily published as PDFs, and many search engines index entire papers instead of specific scientific results. This paper contributes to TheoremKB [1], a project focused on building a knowledge base of mathematical results across different fields of science. The objective is to improve the accessibility of relevant information for researchers, allowing for more effective retrieval and utilization of scientific knowledge.

Having such a knowledge base can significantly impact the way researchers find information [2]. Some advantages of this system include:

1. **Enhanced Accessibility:** TheoremKB streamlines the retrieval of specific proofs and theorems, allowing for quick access to targeted mathematical results, in contrast to traditional search engines that index full-text papers.
2. **Facilitated Knowledge Discovery:** This knowledge base assists researchers in uncovering connections between disparate mathematical results and their applications, thereby enhancing the exploration of specific results, such as NP-hardness in relation to the vertex cover problem.
3. **Identification of Theorem Interdependencies:** TheoremKB helps determine which theorems are used in the proofs of others, which is essential for assessing the impact of errors in foundational results.
4. **Support for Automated Reasoning:** The knowledge base provides a foundation for developing AI systems capable of automated theorem proving, promoting innovative approaches to mathematical problem-solving.

1.2 Prior work on Theorems and Proofs Extraction

Previous attempts to address this task include the work presented in [1], which focused on initial explorations of extraction from PDFs framed as object detection and text classification problems. This approach utilized font visuals and text modalities but operated only at the text-line level. Subsequent research, such as [3], refined the methodology by incorporating contextual information surrounding paragraphs and employing multimodal systems to unify the extraction model.

The TheoremView framework offers a user interface to visualize the results extracted by various models in an end-to-end system that directly takes PDFs as input and displays the extracted results. It is designed modularly, allowing users to select which model to utilize for extraction, thereby leveraging different modalities that highlight the strengths and weaknesses of each approach. This flexibility enables users to run models on low-compute hardware, such as systems without GPU instances, for inference. The primary objective of this paper is to present an easy-to-use interface that facilitates preprocessing and inference in a modular manner.

2 Methodology

We propose a modular approach to extract raw information from PDFs. We utilize **Grobid** [6] and **pdfalto** [7] to convert the documents into valid XML formats. The XML generated by Grobid organizes the content into paragraphs, while the XML from pdfalto provides details segmented into text lines along with associated font information. We then employ a merging script to correlate the font information with each paragraph extracted by Grobid. This process yields a CSV file structured by paragraphs, where each row includes the spatial location of the paragraph on the page (indicating the page number as well as vertical and horizontal coordinates), the textual content extracted from Grobid, and the

font information used within those paragraphs. For a schematic diagram on data pipeline refer to Figure 1.

Importantly, we do not rely on any OCR preprocessing; instead, we leverage PDFALTO and Grobid, which are specialized tools that efficiently extract information from scientific articles. It is crucial to note that while we do not use \LaTeX sources during inference, they are utilized solely for generating ground truths during model training.




Fig. 1: Data pipeline for extracting and processing information from PDFs.

Once the information is stored in CSV format, we process the font information using an **LSTM** [4] model, where each font is encoded as a unique token to train the network. Simultaneously, we utilize the bitmap image rendering of each paragraph to train an **EfficientNetV2** model [8]. Additionally, we employ a language model, pretrained from scratch in our case, to make predictions based on the tex modality. Subsequently, we integrate all three trained models into a unified multimodal architecture, freezing their weights of each modality backbone and adding additional layers to capture intermodality interactions through mechanisms like Gated Multimodal Units (GMU) [5] or cross-modality attention similar to ViLBERT [11] that capture intermodality dependencies. Refer to Figure 2 for feature extraction.

With a set of base features extracted from either the unimodal or multimodal approaches, we generate features for all paragraphs within the PDF. This process incorporates normalized page information, normalized coordinate data for each paragraph, and paragraph embeddings derived from the raw features just before the softmax layer. To capture sequential information across multiple paragraphs, we train a Conditional Random Field (CRF) [9] or Transformer layer on top of the extracted features. The goal is to utilize relative information




Fig. 2: Model inference from various modality (adding the sequential paragraph component)

to contextualize each paragraph and accurately determine its label. Our model categorizes paragraphs into four major classes: (1) Proof-like, (2) Theorem-like, (3) Basic (neither proof nor theorem), and (4) an Overlap reject class that arises from preprocessing discrepancies.

3 Demonstration scenario

The complete implementation of TheoremView, including source code, documentation, and example datasets, is available at <https://gitlab.di.ens.fr/mishra/sys-demo>. A comprehensive demonstration video showcasing the system’s capabilities can be found at <https://youtu.be/demo-link>.

The UI of the demo is organized into several segments (for an overview see Figure 3), each serving a specific function:




Fig. 3: Systems architecture of the various UI components

The TheoremView demo interface is built using Streamlit and follows a modular architecture organized into distinct functional components. The frontend

allows users to upload PDFs or select from previously cached samples, which then undergo metadata processing using Grobid and pdfto tools. The processed data is stored as CSV files in the file storage component. The processing pipeline enables users to select and run various ML models (unimodal or multimodal) on the processed data. The ML pipeline component processes the data through multiple stages - calculating processing time, generating bounding boxes for visual elements, creating cropped images of detected theorems/proofs, and producing analytical graphs. These outputs are aggregated into comprehensive summary results. The system implements an efficient caching mechanism where frequently accessed PDFs and their corresponding ML model results are stored as pickle files, enabling instant retrieval without reprocessing. This architecture ensures smooth user interaction while maintaining high performance through optimized data flow and storage strategies.

1. **Upload and Process:** Users can upload a PDF for processing or select from a list of previously cached examples. The system processes the PDF by applying Grobid and pdfto, converting each page into a bitmap image, and merging the XML outputs from pdfto and Grobid to generate a preprocessed `data.csv` file.
2. **Predict and Preview:** Users can choose which base model to apply, either unimodal or multimodal, along with sequential processing of paragraphs using a Conditional Random Field (CRF), Transformer, or no sequential processing at all, allowing for a total of 12 possible combinations. Additionally, users have the option to preview or download the results (see Figure 3 for visualization of results).
3. **Summary and Statistics:** This section provides a breakdown of the inference time for the current run, as well as for any previous runs that have been cached, enabling comparative analysis (see Figure 5).

References

1. Mishra, S., Pluvineau, L., Senellart, P.: Towards extraction of theorems and proofs in scholarly articles. In: Healy, P., Billaud, M., Bonnici, A. (eds.) DocEng '21: ACM Symposium on Document Engineering 2021, Limerick, Ireland, August 24-27, 2021, pp. 25:1–25:4. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3469096.3475059>
2. Mishra, S., Brihmoche, Y., Delemazure, T., Gauquier, A., Senellart, P.: First steps in building a knowledge base of mathematical results. In: Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Scholarly Document Processing (SDP 2024), pp. 165–174 (2024)
3. Mishra, S., Gauquier, A., Senellart, P.: Multimodal Machine Learning for Extraction of Theorems and Proofs in the Scientific Literature. CoRR abs/2307.09047 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.09047>
4. Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. In: Neural Computation 9, 8 (1997)
5. Arevalo, J., Solorio, T., Montes-y Gómez, M., A González, F.: Gated multimodal networks. In: Neural Computing and Applications 32 (2020).




Fig. 4: Various visual elements in the demo.

6. GROBID: <https://github.com/kermitt2/grobid>, GitHub (2008–2024).
swlh:1:dir:dab86b296e3c3216e2241968f0d63b68e8209d3c
7. pdfalto: <https://github.com/kermitt2/pdfalto>, GitHub (2017–2024).
swlh:1:dir:4b5e8b8c8e3c3216e2241968f0d63b68e8209d3c
8. Tan, M., Le, Q.V.: EfficientNetV2: Smaller Models and Faster Training. In: Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 139, pp. 10096–10106 (2021)
9. Lafferty, J., McCallum, A., Pereira, F.C.N.: Conditional Random Fields: Probabilistic Models for Segmenting and Labeling Sequence Data. In: Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML '01, pp. 282–289. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA (2001)
10. Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, Ł., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is All you Need. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pp. 5998–6008 (2017)
11. Lu, J., Batra, D., Parikh, D., Lee, S.: ViLBERT: Pretraining Task-Agnostic Visiolinguistic Representations for Vision-and-Language Tasks. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada, pp. 13–23 (2019)




Fig. 5: Comparisons of predictions from different model runs