

# MSR Registered Reports Feedback

\* Required

1. Email \*

---

2. Were you an author, a reviewer, or both?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Author
- ☐ Reviewer
- ☐ Both author and reviewer

3. In your opinion, how many reviews are necessary per phase 1 registration? Keep in mind that a in-principle-acceptance decision means that a paper will be accepted in a journal (EMSE, in our case), given that the protocol is followed.

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ Other: 

---

4. Is it better to update the initial PDF/paper, or to prepare a rebuttal?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Improve study registration plan
- ☐ Submit a detailed rebuttal
- ☐ Do both

5. What parts of the report format were hard to fill in (authors) or didn't help with the review process (reviewers)?

---

---

---

---

---

6. Did you submit or review a qualitative study?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

7. If yes, what aspects of a qualitative registered report did not fit with this format?

---

---

---

---

---

8. Was 4 pages sufficient to capture the details of the study plan?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

9. What are your thoughts on allowing additional pages?

---

10. Were you comfortable with the idea that phase 1 "acceptance" would lead to in principle acceptance at EMSE? Please, elaborate.

---

---

---

---

---

11. Please list any advantages of the registered reports format, as you saw the process unfold here.

---

---

---

---

---

12. Please list any disadvantages of the registered reports format, as you saw the process unfold here.

---

---

---

---

---

13. Any other feedback on this process in general?

---

---

---

---

---

14. In general, would you participate again (as reviewer or authors)?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

