Problem Set 2 (SOLUTIONS)

This problem set will take you through some Stata commands to estimate simple regression equations with dummy variables. You will learn how to interpret the estimated coefficients and test some linear hypotheses. Interpretation of these coefficients will be useful when we do treatment evaluation models later in term 1.

The hypothesis tests discussed in this problem set include standard T-tests and F-tests, which is assumed undergraduate knowledge for this module.

You will need to download the dataset problemset2.dta, which is available on Moodle.

Conditional Expectation Function

Consider the Conditional Expectation Function (CEF), $E[Y_i|X_i]$. If X takes on discrete values: $X_i \in \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}$, then

$$E[Y_i|X_i] = E[Y_i|X_i = x_1] \cdot \mathbf{1}\{X_i = x_1\} + ... + E[Y_i|X_i = x_m] \cdot \mathbf{1}\{X_i = x_m\}$$

where $\mathbf{1}\{X_i = x_m\}$ is a dummy variable, $= 1$ when $X_i = x_m$. Since the values of X_i are mutually exclusive there is no overlap of these dummy variables.

Note, we do not need to assume that X is a single random variable. It can be a vector of random variables that takes on discrete values.

We can re-arrange this expression using anyone of the values of X. The natural option is to choose the first, but this is arbitrary.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[Y_i|X_i] =& E[Y_i|X_i = x_1] + (E[Y_i|X_i = x_2] - E[Y_i|X_i = x_1]) \cdot \mathbf{1}\{X_i = x_2\} + ... \\
&+ (E[Y_i|X_i = x_m] - E[Y_i|X_i = x_1]) \cdot \mathbf{1}\{X_i = x_m\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $E[Y_i|X_i = x_m]$ is a constant (X_i is set to a specific value), we can express the CEF as a function that is linear in parameters.

$$E[Y_i|X_i] = \beta_1 + \beta_2 D_{i2} + \ldots + \beta_m D_{im}$$

where $D_{im} = \mathbf{1}\{X_i = x_m\}$.

Preamble

```
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

Create a do-file for this problem set and include a preamble that sets the directory and opens the data. For example,

```
clear
//or, to remove all stored values (including macros, matrices, scalars, etc.)
*clear all

* Replace $rootdir with the relevant path to on your local harddrive.
cd "$rootdir/problem-sets/ps-2"

cap log close
log using problem-set-2.txt, replace

use problem-set-2-data.dta
```

Questions

1. Consider the $E[ln(Wage_i)|Gender_i]$, where $Gender_i \in \{1\text{``}Male\text{''}, 2\text{``}Female\text{''}\}$. Show that this CEF implies a linear model,

$$ln(Wage_i) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 D_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$$

What do the parameters β_1 and β_2 imply?

$$E[ln(Wage_i)|G_i] = E[ln(Wage_i)|G_i = 1] + (E[ln(Wage_i)|G_i = 2] - E[ln(Wage_i)|G_i = 1]) \cdot \mathbf{1}\{G_i = 2\}$$

$$= \beta_1 + \beta_2 D_{i2}$$

$\Rightarrow E[\varepsilon_i|D_{i2}] = 0$.

2. Regress `lwage` (log wage) on just a set of binary indicators that will enable you to test the hypothesis that males and females are on average, paid the same wage, ceteris paribus. Test this hypothesis.

```
reg lwage female

* or

gen male=1-female
reg lwage male
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(1, 4163)      =    491.72
       Model | 93.6914807         1  93.6914807   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual | 793.213421     4,163  .190538895   R-squared       =    0.1056
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1054
       Total | 886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43651

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
      female |  -.4744661   .0213967   -22.17   0.000    -.516415   -.4325171
       _cons |   6.729774     .00718   937.29   0.000    6.715697    6.74385
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(1, 4163)      =    491.72
       Model | 93.6914807         1  93.6914807   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual | 793.213421     4,163  .190538895   R-squared       =    0.1056
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1054
       Total | 886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43651

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        male |   .4744661   .0213967    22.17   0.000    .4325171    .516415
       _cons |   6.255308    .020156   310.34   0.000    6.215791    6.294824
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Alternatively, you could use Stata's factor notation:

```
reg lwage i.female

//note: defaults to smallest value as base category. This can be changed as follows.
```

```
reg lwage ib1.female
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(1, 4163)      =    491.72
       Model |  93.6914807          1  93.6914807   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  793.213421       4,163  .190538895   R-squared       =    0.1056
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1054
       Total |  886.904902       4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43651

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    1.female |  -.4744661   .0213967   -22.17   0.000    -.516415   -.4325171
       _cons |   6.729774    .00718    937.29   0.000    6.715697    6.74385
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(1, 4163)      =    491.72
       Model |  93.6914807          1  93.6914807   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  793.213421       4,163  .190538895   R-squared       =    0.1056
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1054
       Total |  886.904902       4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43651

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    0.female |   .4744661   .0213967    22.17   0.000    .4325171    .516415
       _cons |   6.255308    .020156   310.34   0.000    6.215791    6.294824
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

It is evident from the test p-value that the difference is statistically significantly. Note, the standard `reg` command assume homoskedastic SEs. If we believe that the variance varies of (log of) wages varies with gender, we should estimate heteroskedastic SEs. However, in this instance it will not make a difference to the conclusion.

```
reg lwage female, r
```

```
Linear regression                               Number of obs   =     4,165
```

```
                                        F(1, 4163)          =      520.64
                                        Prob > F            =      0.0000
                                        R-squared           =      0.1056
                                        Root MSE            =     .43651

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
             |               Robust
       lwage | Coefficient  std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
      female |  -.4744661   .0207939   -22.82   0.000    -.5152332   -.4336989
       _cons |   6.729774   .0072089   933.53   0.000      6.71564    6.743907
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

3. Extend the specification in (2) that will enable you to test the hypothesis that there is no difference in the wages between the following gender-ethnicity groups. Begin by defining the following dummy variables:

- `female_black` = female×black

- `male_black` = (1-female)×black

- `female_nonblack` = female×(1-black)

- `male_nonblack` = (1-female)×(1-black)

```
gen female_black=female*black
gen female_nonblack=female*(1-black)
gen male_black=(1-female)*black
gen male_nonblack=(1-female)*(1-black)
```

Then estimate the following regressions:

a. `lwage` on `female_black`, `female_nonblack`, `male_black`, `male_nonblack` (without a constant: option `nocons`)

b. `lwage` on `female`, `black`, `female_black`

c. `lwage` on `female_black`, `female_nonblack`, `male_black`

For some of these exercises you may be able to use Stata's factor notation. However, in some instances you will need to manually create the above dummy-variable interactions.

In each case, identify the base category and write down the parameters of the (implied) model in terms of conditional expectations.

```
* (a)
reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black male_nonblack

// note, Stata has dropped one variable due to perfect collinearity

reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black male_nonblack, nocons
```

note: male_black omitted because of collinearity.

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
       Model |  107.436063         3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1205
       Total |  886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
female_black |  -.4434409   .0523433    -8.47   0.000    -.5460617    -.34082
female_non~k |  -.2101553   .0383456    -5.48   0.000    -.2853332   -.1349774
  male_black |          0  (omitted)
male_nonbl~k |   .2239593   .0317691     7.05   0.000     .1616749    .2862436
       _cons |   6.517691   .0309152   210.82   0.000     6.457081    6.578301
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(4, 4161)      > 99999.00
       Model |  185756.485         4  46439.1213   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.9958
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.9958
       Total |  186535.954     4,165  44.7865436   Root MSE        =    .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
female_black |    6.07425   .0422382   143.81   0.000     5.991441     6.15706
female_non~k |   6.307536   .0226856   278.04   0.000      6.26306    6.352012
  male_black |   6.517691   .0309152   210.82   0.000     6.457081    6.578301
male_nonbl~k |    6.74165   .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307    6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

This model returns four parameter-estimates, each corresponding to the four gender-ethnicity groups. These are essentially conditional mean estimates.

```
* (b)
reg lwage female black female_black

// or, using factor notation:

reg lwage i.female##i.black
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
       Model |  107.436063         3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1205
       Total |  886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
      female |  -.4341146   .0238361   -18.21   0.000    -.480846    -.3873832
       black |  -.2239593   .0317691    -7.05   0.000   -.2862436    -.1616749
female_black |  -.0093263    .057515    -0.16   0.871   -.1220865     .1034339
       _cons |    6.74165   .0073159   921.51   0.000    6.727307     6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
       Model |  107.436063         3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1205
       Total |  886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    1.female |  -.4341146   .0238361   -18.21   0.000    -.480846    -.3873832
     1.black |  -.2239593   .0317691    -7.05   0.000   -.2862436    -.1616749
             |
 female#black |
         1 1 |  -.0093263    .057515    -0.16   0.871   -.1220865     .1034339
```

```
            |
      _cons |   6.74165    .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307    6.755993
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

In this model, we have the following:

- $\beta_1 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

- $\beta_2 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 1, B = 0] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

- $\beta_3 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 1] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

- $\beta_4 = (E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 1, B = 1] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 1]) - (E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 1, B = 0] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0])$

```
* (c)
reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black

// note, this one is harder to replicate using factor notation.
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
       Model |  107.436063         3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1205
       Total |  886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281


-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+---------------------------------------------------------------
female_black |  -.6674001    .0428671   -15.57   0.000    -.7514426   -.5833576
female_non~k |  -.4341146    .0238361   -18.21   0.000     -.480846   -.3873832
  male_black |  -.2239593    .0317691    -7.05   0.000    -.2862436   -.1616749
       _cons |    6.74165    .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307    6.755993
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

In this model, we have the following:

- $\beta_1 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

- $\beta_2 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 1, B = 1] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

- $\beta_3 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 1, B = 0] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

- $\beta_4 = E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 1] - E[ln(Wage_i)|F = 0, B = 0]$

4. Compare the estimated coefficients with the sample average values for the lwage for the four subgroups. What do you see?

```
table female black, stat(mean lwage)
```

```
-------------------------------------------------
                |             black
                |        0          1       Total
----------------+--------------------------------
female or male  |
  0             |   6.74165   6.517691   6.729774
  1             |  6.307536    6.07425   6.255308
  Total         |  6.700755   6.363002   6.676346
-------------------------------------------------
```

You can compute the coefficients from each model simply using these averages.

5. In each of the above models, describe the null hypothesis you would test to evaluate whether there is a significant earnings difference between the earnings of black and non-black females.

    a. $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2$

    b. $H_0 : \beta_3 + \beta_4 = 0$

    c. $H_0 : \beta_2 - \beta_3 = 0$

6. Verify your solution to 4 by performing a test using the three set of regression output. You can use the post-estimation `test` command.

```
reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black male_nonblack, nocons

test female_black = female_nonblack

reg lwage female black female_black

test female_black + black = 0

reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black

test female_black - female_nonblack = 0
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =      4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(4, 4161)      >  99999.00
       Model |  185756.485          4  46439.1213   Prob > F        =     0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839      4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =     0.9958
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =     0.9958
       Total |  186535.954      4,165  44.7865436   Root MSE        =     .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
 female_black |    6.07425   .0422382   143.81   0.000     5.991441     6.15706
female_non~k |   6.307536   .0226856   278.04   0.000      6.26306    6.352012
  male_black |   6.517691   .0309152   210.82   0.000     6.457081    6.578301
male_nonbl~k |    6.74165   .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307    6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

 ( 1)  female_black - female_nonblack = 0

       F(  1,  4161) =    23.68
            Prob > F =     0.0000

      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =      4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =     191.17
       Model |  107.436063          3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =     0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839      4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =     0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =     0.1205
       Total |  886.904902      4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =     .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
      female |  -.4341146   .0238361   -18.21   0.000     -.480846    -.3873832
       black |  -.2239593   .0317691    -7.05   0.000    -.2862436    -.1616749
female_black |  -.0093263    .057515    -0.16   0.871    -.1220865     .1034339
       _cons |    6.74165   .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307     6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

 ( 1)  black + female_black = 0

       F(  1,  4161) =    23.68
            Prob > F =     0.0000
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
       Model |  107.436063         3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1205
       Total |  886.904902     4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
female_black |  -.6674001   .0428671   -15.57   0.000    -.7514426   -.5833576
female_non~k |  -.4341146   .0238361   -18.21   0.000     -.480846   -.3873832
  male_black |  -.2239593   .0317691    -7.05   0.000    -.2862436   -.1616749
       _cons |    6.74165   .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307    6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

 ( 1)  female_black - female_nonblack = 0

       F(  1,  4161) =    23.68
            Prob > F =    0.0000
```

7. In each case, test equality across all four gender-ethnicity groups. Again, you should get the same result.

```
reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black male_nonblack, nocons

test female_black = female_nonblack = male_black = male_nonblack

reg lwage female black female_black

test female_black = black = female = 0

reg lwage female_black female_nonblack male_black

test female_black = female_nonblack = male_black = 0
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(4, 4161)      > 99999.00
       Model |  185756.485         4  46439.1213   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839     4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.9958
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.9958
```

11

```
         Total |  186535.954      4,165   44.7865436    Root MSE          =    .43281


-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
        lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t     P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
 female_black |    6.07425   .0422382    143.81   0.000      5.991441    6.15706
 female_non~k |   6.307536   .0226856    278.04   0.000       6.26306   6.352012
   male_black |   6.517691   .0309152    210.82   0.000      6.457081   6.578301
 male_nonbl~k |    6.74165   .0073159    921.51   0.000      6.727307   6.755993
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

 ( 1)  female_black - female_nonblack = 0
 ( 2)  female_black - male_black = 0
 ( 3)  female_black - male_nonblack = 0

       F(  3,  4161) =  191.17
            Prob > F =     0.0000


       Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
       Model |  107.436063          3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =    0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839      4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =    0.1211
-------------+----------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =    0.1205
       Total |  886.904902      4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281


-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
        lwage | Coefficient  Std. err.      t     P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
       female |  -.4341146   .0238361    -18.21   0.000      -.480846   -.3873832
        black |  -.2239593   .0317691     -7.05   0.000     -.2862436   -.1616749
 female_black |  -.0093263    .057515     -0.16   0.871     -.1220865    .1034339
        _cons |    6.74165   .0073159    921.51   0.000      6.727307   6.755993
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

 ( 1)  - black + female_black = 0
 ( 2)  - female + female_black = 0
 ( 3)  female_black = 0

       F(  3,  4161) =  191.17
            Prob > F =     0.0000


       Source |       SS           df       MS      Number of obs   =     4,165
-------------+----------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =    191.17
```

12

```
        Model |  107.436063          3  35.8120209   Prob > F         =     0.0000
     Residual |  779.468839      4,161  .187327287   R-squared        =     0.1211
--------------+------------------------------------   Adj R-squared    =     0.1205
        Total |  886.904902      4,164  .212993492   Root MSE         =    .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
        lwage |  Coefficient  Std. err.      t     P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
--------------+---------------------------------------------------------------
 female_black |   -.6674001   .0428671   -15.57   0.000    -.7514426   -.5833576
 female_non~k |   -.4341146   .0238361   -18.21   0.000     -.480846   -.3873832
   male_black |   -.2239593   .0317691    -7.05   0.000    -.2862436   -.1616749
        _cons |     6.74165   .0073159   921.51   0.000     6.727307    6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------


 ( 1)   female_black - female_nonblack = 0
 ( 2)   female_black - male_black = 0
 ( 3)   female_black = 0


       F(  3,  4161) =   191.17
            Prob > F =    0.0000
```

8. Try to replicate the F-statistic for one of the above models. Hint, the F-stat for these models is the same as that of the whole model.

```
reg lwage female black female_black
ereturn list
scalar fstat = (e(r2)*e(df_r))/((1-e(r2))*e(df_m))
scalar list fstat
```

```
      Source |       SS          df      MS        Number of obs   =      4,165
-------------+-------------------------------------   F(3, 4161)      =     191.17
       Model |  107.436063          3  35.8120209   Prob > F        =     0.0000
    Residual |  779.468839      4,161  .187327287   R-squared       =     0.1211
-------------+------------------------------------   Adj R-squared   =     0.1205
       Total |  886.904902      4,164  .212993492   Root MSE        =    .43281


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       lwage |  Coefficient  Std. err.      t     P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+---------------------------------------------------------------
      female |   -.4341146   .0238361   -18.21   0.000     -.480846   -.3873832
       black |   -.2239593   .0317691    -7.05   0.000    -.2862436   -.1616749
```

13

```
female_black |   -.0093263     .057515     -0.16    0.871    -.1220865     .1034339
       _cons |    6.74165    .0073159    921.51    0.000     6.727307     6.755993
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

scalars:
                    e(N) =   4165
                 e(df_m) =   3
                 e(df_r) =   4161
                    e(F) =   191.1735422330181
                   e(r2) =   .1211359442526956
                 e(rmse) =   .4328132235793649
                  e(mss) =   107.4360627542734
                  e(rss) =   779.4688391479763
                 e(r2_a) =   .1205023003768864
                   e(ll) =   -2419.902951629166
                 e(ll_0) =   -2688.805870567022
                 e(rank) =   4

macros:
             e(cmdline) :  "regress lwage female black female_black"
               e(title) :  "Linear regression"
           e(marginsok) :  "XB default"
                 e(vce) :  "ols"
              e(depvar) :  "lwage"
                 e(cmd) :  "regress"
          e(properties) :  "b V"
             e(predict) :  "regres_p"
               e(model) :  "ols"
           e(estat_cmd) :  "regress_estat"

matrices:
                   e(b) :  1 x 4
                   e(V) :  4 x 4
                e(beta) :  1 x 3

functions:
              e(sample)
       fstat =   191.17354
```

In the case where the F-test corresponds to the test of the entire model, you can write the F-statistic in terms of R^2.

9. Estimate the following model:

$$lwage = \beta_1 + \beta_2 F + \beta_3 B + \beta_4 F \times B + \beta_5 exp + \beta_6 exp^2 + \beta_7 educ + \varepsilon$$

- Interpret the estimated coeffiecients $\hat{\beta}_7$.

- Interpret the effect of experience variable `exp`. Use the median level of experience to make your calculation.

```
sum educ, det
reg lwage i.female##i.black exper expsq educ

di (exp(_b[educ])-1)*100
```

years of education

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------
      Percentiles       Smallest
 1%           6              4
 5%           8              4
10%           9              4         Obs              4,165
25%          12              4         Sum of wgt.      4,165

50%          12                        Mean          12.84538
                        Largest        Std. dev.     2.787995
75%          16             17
90%          17             17         Variance      7.772916
95%          17             17         Skewness     -.2581161
99%          17             17         Kurtosis      2.71273
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
				Number of obs	=	4,165
				F(6, 4158)	=	411.81
Model	330.586	6	55.0976667	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	556.318902	4,158	.13379483	R-squared	=	0.3727
				Adj R-squared	=	0.3718
Total	886.904902	4,164	.212993492	Root MSE	=	.36578

lwage	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P>|t|	[95% conf. interval]	
1.female	-.4032047	.0203208	-19.84	0.000	-.4430444	-.363365
1.black	-.1551546	.0269249	-5.76	0.000	-.2079417	-.1023674
female#black						

```
        1 1 |    -.002071    .0488405    -0.04   0.966    -.0978246    .0936826
            |
      exper |    .0427346    .0022404    19.07   0.000     .0383422     .047127
      expsq |   -.0006982    .0000494   -14.14   0.000    -.0007951   -.0006014
       educ |    .0731837    .0020983    34.88   0.000     .0690698    .0772975
      _cons |    5.303667    .0362462   146.32   0.000     5.232606    5.374729
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
7.5928119
```

- A one unit increase in years of educ is associated with an increase of 7.59% in expected wages, holding other regressors fixed.

```
sum exper, det
return list
di (exp(_b[exper]+2*r(p50)*_b[expsq])-1)*100
```

```
                    years of full-time work experience
-------------------------------------------------------------
        Percentiles      Smallest
 1%            3              1
 5%            5              1
10%            7              1        Obs              4,165
25%           11              1        Sum of wgt.      4,165

50%           18                       Mean          19.85378
                         Largest       Std. dev.     10.96637
75%           29             50
90%           36             50        Variance      120.2613
95%           39             50        Skewness      .4000014
99%           44             51        Kurtosis      2.072064

scalars:
                r(N) =  4165
            r(sum_w) =  4165
             r(mean) =  19.85378151260504
              r(Var) =  120.2612759224727
               r(sd) =  10.96637022548814
         r(skewness) =  .4000013893781186
         r(kurtosis) =  2.072064120792274
              r(sum) =  82691
              r(min) =  1
```

```
r(max)  =   51
 r(p1)  =   3
 r(p5)  =   5
r(p10)  =   7
r(p25)  =   11
r(p50)  =   18
r(p75)  =   29
r(p90)  =   36
r(p95)  =   39
r(p99)  =   44
```
1.7754427

- A one unit incease in years of experience is associated with an increase of 1.78% in expected wages, holding other regressors fixed.

10. Theoretically, how would you test the following restrictions for the model below?

a. $\beta_2 = \beta_3$

b. $\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$

c. $\beta_2 = \beta_3$ **and** $\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$

$$Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \varepsilon$$

a. Restrictions 1: $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 \Rightarrow \beta_2 - \beta_3 = 0$. This can be written as a simple T-test (or F-tests),

$$\text{T-stat} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_3}{\sqrt{\hat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_3)}}$$

where,

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_3) = Var(\hat{\beta}_2) + Var(\hat{\beta}_3) - 2 \cdot Cov(\hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3)$$

Alternatively, rewrite the model, adding and subtracting $\beta_3 X_2$ (or $\beta_2 X_3$):

$$Y = \beta_1 + (\beta_2 - \beta_3)X_2 + \beta_3(X_2 + X_3) + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \varepsilon$$

Then test the hypothese that the coefficient on X_2 is $= 0$.

b. Restrictions 1: $H_0 : \beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$. This can be written as a simple T-test,

$$\text{T-stat} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_4 + \hat{\beta}_5 - 1}{\sqrt{\widehat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_4 + \hat{\beta}_5)}}$$

where,

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_4 + \hat{\beta}_5) = Var(\hat{\beta}_4) + Var(\hat{\beta}_5) + 2 \cdot Cov(\hat{\beta}_4, \hat{\beta}_5)$$

Alternatively, rewrite the model, adding and subtracting $\beta_5 X_4 - X_5$ (or $\beta_2 X_3$):

$$Y - X_4 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + (\beta_4 + \beta_5 - 1)X_4 + (\beta_5)(X_5 - X_4) + \varepsilon$$

Then test the hypothese that the coefficient on X_4 is $= 0$.

   c. To test both of the linear restrictions simultaneously, we would use an F-test.

Step 1: estimate the unrestricted model

$$Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \varepsilon$$

Store the SSR_U

Step 2: estimate the restricted model

$$(Y - X_4) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2(X_2 + X_3) + \gamma_5(X_5 - X_4) + \varepsilon$$

Store the SSR_R.

Step 3: Compute the F-statistic

$$\text{F-stat} = \frac{(SSR_R - SSR_U)/(df_R - df_U)}{SSR_U/df_U}$$

Postamble

```
log close
```