Problem Set 5 (SOLUTIONS)

This problem set will revise some of the material covered in Handout 5 on panel data models. This will require you to familiarize yourself with Stata's panel-data commands.

```
help xttab
help xtreg
```

You will be using a dataset that comes with Stata: psidextract.dta. The data is a correct version of the PSID sample in Cornwell and Rupert (1988), found in Baltagi and Khanti-Akom (1990). It includes a sample of 595 individuals observed for the years 1976-82.

Preamble

```
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

Create a do-file for this problem set and include a preamble that sets the directory and opens the data. For example,

```
clear
//or, to remove all stored values (including macros, matrices, scalars, etc.)
*clear all

* Replace $rootdir with the relevant path to on your local harddrive.
cd "$rootdir/problem-sets/ps-5"

cap log close
log using problem-set-5-log.txt, replace

use problem-set-5-data.dta, clear
```

```
C:\Users\neil_\OneDrive - University of Warwick\Documents\EC910\website\warwick > -ec910\problem-sets\ps-5
```

```
name: <unnamed>
    log: C:\Users\neil_\OneDrive - University of Warwick\Documents\EC910\we
> bsite\warwick-ec910\problem-sets\ps-5\problem-set-5-log.txt
    log type: smcl
    opened on: 11 Nov 2024, 14:07:07
(PSID wage data 1976-82 from Baltagi and Khanti-Akom (1990))
```

Questions

1. Set the unit identifier and time variable using xtset. Note, you can also use tsset for this task. This will allow you to use xt package commands.

xtset id t

Panel variable: id (strongly balanced)

Time variable: t, 1 to 7

Delta: 1 unit

2. Describe and summarise the variables in the dataset using the normal describe and summarize commands.

des

sum id t lwage ed exper weeks south

Contains data from problem-set-5-data.dta

Observations: 4,165 PSID wage data 1976-82 from

Baltagi and Khanti-Akom (1990)

Variables: 14 11 Nov 2024 11:19

(_dta has notes)

Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label
exper	float	%9.0g		years of full-time work experience
weeks	float	%9.0g		weeks worked
occup	float	%9.0g		occupation; occ==1 if in a blue-collar occupation
industry	float	%9.0g		<pre>industry; ind==1 if working in a manufacturing industry</pre>
south	float	%9.0g		residence; south==1 if in the South area
smsa	float	%9.0g		<pre>smsa==1 if in the Standard metropolitan statistical area</pre>
ms	float	%9.0g		marital status
female	float	%9.0g		female or male

union	float	%9.0g	if wage set be a union contract
educ	float	%9.0g	years of education
black	float	%9.0g	black
lwage	float	%9.0g	log wage
id	float	%9.0g	
t	float	%9.0g	

Sorted by: id t

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
id	4,165	298	171.7821	 1	595
t	4,165	4	2.00024	1	7
lwage	4,165	6.676346	.4615122	4.60517	8.537
educ	4,165	12.84538	2.787995	4	17
exper	4,165	19.85378	10.96637	1	51
weeks	4,165	46.81152	5.129098	5	52
south	4,165	.2902761	.4539442	0	1

3. Describe and summarise the variables in the dataset using the panel commands: xtdescribe and xtsummarize. Comment on the information provided.

xtdescribe
xtsum id t lwage ed exper weeks south

id: 1, 2, ..., 595 n = 595t: 1, 2, ..., 7 T = 7Delta(t) = 1 unit Span(t) = 7 periods(id*t uniquely identifies each observation)

Distribution of T_i: min 5% 25% 50% 75% 95% max 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7

-	Percent			
 595	100.00	100.00		1111111
	100.00			XXXXXXX

Variabl	e l	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max	Obse	rvations
id	overall	298	171.7821	1	 595	N =	4165
	between		171.906	1	595	n =	595
	within		0	298	298	T =	: 7
t	overall	l 4	2.00024	1	7	I N =	4165
	between		0	4	4	n =	595
	within	 	2.00024	1	7	T =	7
lwage	overall	 6.676346	.4615122	4.60517	8.537	N =	4165
	between		.3942387	5.3364	7.813596	n =	595
	within	 	.2404023	4.781808	8.621092	T =	7
educ	overall	ı 12.84538	2.787995	4	17	N =	4165
	between		2.790006	4	17	n =	595
	within	 	0	12.84538	12.84538	T =	7
exper	overall	I 19.85378	10.96637	1	51	I N =	4165
	between		10.79018	4	48	n =	595
	within	 	2.00024	16.85378	22.85378	T =	7
weeks	overall	46.81152	5.129098	5	52	N =	4165
	between		3.284016	31.57143	51.57143	n =	595
	within	 	3.941881	12.2401	63.66867	T =	. 7
south	overall	.2902761	.4539442	0	1	ı N =	4165
	between		.4489462	0	1	n =	
	within		.0693042	5668667	1.147419	T =	

 ${\bf 4.}$ Use the command ${\tt xttab}$ and ${\tt xtrans}$, ${\tt freq}$ to describe transitions over time in the variable south.

xttab south
xttrans south, freq

	C	verall	В	etween	Within
south	Freq	. Percent	Freq	. Percent	Percent
0	2956	70.97	428	71.93	98.66
1	1209	29.03	182	30.59	94.90

Total | 4165 100.00 610 102.52 97.54 (n = 595)

residence;					
south==1	re	sidence	e; south==1		
if in the	if	in the	South area		
South area	I	0	1		Total
	+			-+-	
0	l	2,527	8	ı	2,535
	1	99.68	0.32		100.00
1	+ 	8	1,027	-+- 	1,035
	İ	0.77	99.23	Ţ.	100.00
	+			-+-	
Total		2,535	1,035		3,570
		71.01	28.99		100.00

5. Create the variable: expsq=exper^2/1000. Why would you scale the variable in this way?

gen expsq=exp*exp/1000

6. Estimate the following model using pooled OLS, between-group, feasible GLS, within-group, LSDV, and first-difference. For the first-difference estimator, you can define a first-difference in Stata using the time-series operator: D.variable.

$$\ln(Wage_{it}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 Exper_{it} + \beta_3 Exper_{it}^2 + \beta_4 Weeks_{it} + \beta_5 Eduyrs_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

With each model, store the results using estimates store. For example,

* clear existing stored estimates
est clear

* Pooled OLS
regress lwage exper expsq weeks ed
est store OlS

* alternatively,
eststo OLS: regress lwage exper expsq weeks ed

```
est clear
* Pooled-OLS
eststo OLS: regress lwage exper expsq weeks ed

* Between-group
eststo BG: xtreg lwage exper expsq weeks ed, be

* Feasible-GLS
eststo FGLS: xtreg lwage exper expsq weeks ed, re theta

* Within-group
eststo WG: xtreg lwage exper expsq weeks ed, fe

* LSDV
eststo LSDV: areg lwage exper expsq weeks ed, absorb(id)

* First-differnce
eststo FD: reg D.(lwage exper expsq weeks), noconst
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Numbe	er of ob	s =	4,165
+				- F(4,	4160)	=	411.62
Model	251.491445	4	62.872861	2 Prob	> F	=	0.0000
Residual	635.413457	4,160	.15274361	9 R-squ	ared	=	0.2836
+				- Adj F	l-square	d =	0.2829
Total	886.904902	4,164	.21299349	2 Root	MSE	=	.39082
_	Coefficient				[95%	conf.	interval]
exper	.044675	.0023929	18.67	0.000	.0399	838	.0493663
expsq	715631	.0527938	-13.56	0.000	8191	351	6121268
weeks	.005827	.0011827	4.93	0.000	.0035	084	.0081456
educ	.0760407	.0022266	34.15	0.000	.0716	754	.080406
_cons	4.907961	.0673297	72.89	0.000	4.775	959	5.039963
Between regres	sion (regress	ion on gro	un means)	Number o	of obs	=	4,165
Group variable	_	ion on gro	up means,				595
Group variable	;. 1u			Number o	ı group	D -	595
R-squared:				Obs per	group:		
Within =	0.1357			_	m	in =	7

Between = Overall =					avg = max =	_
sd(u_i + avg(e	e_i.)) = .3246	56			=	
lwage	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf.	interval]
exper	.038153	.0056967	6.70	0.000	.0269647	.0493412
expsq	631272	.1256812	-5.02	0.000	8781089	384435
weeks	.0130903	.0040659	3.22	0.001	.0051048	.0210757
	.0737838					
_cons	4.683039	.2100989	22.29	0.000	4.270407	5.095672
Random-effects	s GLS regressi	on		Number of	obs =	4,165
Group variable	e: id			Number of	groups =	595
R-squared:			Obs per g	roup:		
Within =	= 0.6340				min =	7
Between =					avg =	7.0
Overall =	= 0.1830				max =	7
				Wald chi2	(4) =	3012.45
corr(u_i, X) =	= 0 (assumed)				i2 =	
theta =						
_	Coefficient		z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
•	.0888609		31.54	0.000	.0833382	.0943837
expsq	772565	.0622619	-12.41	0.000	894596	6505339
weeks	.0009658	.0007433	1.30	0.194	000491	.0024226
educ	.1117099	.0060572	18.44	0.000	.0998381	.1235818
_cons	3.829366	.0936336			3.645848	4.012885
_	.31951859					
•	.15220316			,		
rho	.81505521	(fraction	of varian	nce due to	u_i) 	

note: educ omitted because of collinearity.

Fixed-effects (within) regression Group variable: id					of obs = of groups =				
R-squared: Within = Between = Overall =	Obs per	min =	7.0						
corr(u_i, Xb)	= -0.9107			67) = F =					
•	Coefficient		t	P> t	[95% conf.	interval]			
exper expsq weeks educ	.1137879 4243693 .0008359	.0024689 .0546316 .0005997 (omitted)	-7.77 1.39	0.000 0.163	5314816 0003399	317257 .0020116			
sigma_u 1.0362039 sigma_e .15220316 rho .97888036 (fraction of variance due to u_i)									
F test that al note: educ omi					Prob >	F = 0.0000			
ů ·						= 595 = 2273.74 = 0.0000 = 0.9068			
lwage	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf.	interval]			
exper expsq weeks educ _cons	4243693 .0008359	.0024689 .0546316 .0005997 (omitted) .0389061	46.09 -7.77 1.39	0.000 0.000 0.163	.1089473 5314816 0003399 4.520116	.1186284 317257 .0020116 4.672677			

F	test	of	absorbed	$\verb"indicators":$	F(594,	3567)	= 53	.118	Prob >	F =	0.000

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	3,570
+				F(3, 3567)	=	337.12
Model	33.3371458	3	11.1123819	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	117.57812	3,567	.032962747	R-squared	=	0.2209
+				Adj R-squared	=	0.2202
Total	150.915266	3,570	.042273184	Root MSE	=	.18156

				Coefficient	· ·
.1046927 .1294381	0.000	18.55	.0063106	 -	exper D1.
8051857259056	0.000	-3.82	. 1392741	 5321208 	expsq D1.
0013757 .0008392	0.635	-0.47	.0005648	 0002683	weeks D1.

7. Using the formula from Handout 5, replicate the value of θ reported above by the FGLS estimator. Note, you will need to use the stored values of σ_{ε}^2 and σ_{α}^2 .

```
qui xtreg lwage exper expsq weeks ed, re theta
display "theta = " 1 - sqrt(e(sigma_e)^2 / (7*e(sigma_u)^2+e(sigma_e)^2))
```

theta = .82280511

8. Make a table of the computed estimates. You can either use estimates table or esttab. The latter is part of the estout package, which you may need to install: ssc install estout.

esttab OLS BG FGLS, se scalar(N r2 r2_o r2_b r2_w sigma_u sigma_e rho) mtitle("OLS" "BG" "FG esttab WG LSDV FD, se scalar(N r2 r2_o r2_b r2_w sigma_u sigma_e rho) rename(D.exper exper D



	OLS	BG	FGLS
exper	0.0447***	0.0382*** (0.00570)	0.0889***
expsq	-0.716*** (0.0528)	-0.631*** (0.126)	-0.773*** (0.0623)
weeks	0.00583*** (0.00118)	0.0131** (0.00407)	0.000966 (0.000743)
educ	0.0760*** (0.00223)	0.0738*** (0.00490)	0.112*** (0.00606)
_cons	4.908*** (0.0673)	4.683*** (0.210)	3.829*** (0.0936)
N r2	4165 0.284	4165 0.326	4165
r2_o		0.272	0.183
r2_b		0.326	0.172
r2_w		0.136	0.634
sigma_u			0.320
sigma_e			0.152
rho			0.815
	rs in parentheses		
	(1) WG	(2) LSDV	(3) FD
exper	0.114***	0.114***	0.117***
expsq	-0.424*** (0.0546)	-0.424*** (0.0546)	-0.532*** (0.139)
weeks	0.000836 (0.000600)	0.000836 (0.000600)	-0.000268 (0.000565)
educ	0	0	

	(.,	(.,	
_cons	4.596*** (0.0389)	4.596*** (0.0389)	
N	4165	4165	3570
r2	0.657	0.907	0.221
r2_o	0.0476		
r2_b	0.0276		
r2_w	0.657		
sigma_u	1.036		
sigma_e	0.152		
rho	0.979		

(.)

Standard errors in parentheses

- * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001
- 9. Perform a Hausman test comparing the results of the FLGS and WG estimators. You should use the hausman command, with the option sigmamore. Be sure to get the order of the estimates correct. What do you learn from the test?

(.)

hausman WG FGLS, sigmamore

	Coeffi	cients		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
1	WG	FGLS	Difference	Std. err.
exper	.1137879	.0888609	.0249269	.0012778
expsq	4243693	772565	.3481957	.0284727
weeks	.0008359	.0009658	0001299	.0001108

b = Consistent under HO and Ha; obtained from xtreg.

B = Inconsistent under Ha, efficient under HO; obtained from xtreg.

Test of HO: Difference in coefficients not systematic

$$chi2(3) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)$$

= 1513.02

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

10. Estimate FGLS for the model below:

$$\begin{split} \ln(Wage_{it}) = & \beta_1 + \beta_2 Exper_{it} + \beta_3 Exper_{it}^2 + \beta_4 Weeks_{it} + \beta_5 Eduyrs_{it} \\ & + \gamma_2 \overline{Exper}_i + \gamma_3 \overline{Exper}_i^2 + \gamma_4 \overline{Weeks}_i + \varepsilon_{it} \end{split}$$

You will need to manually create the variables: $\{\overline{Exper}_i, \overline{Exper}_i^2, \overline{Weeks}_i\}$ - the individual-level averages of each variable. This is referred to as the Mundlack correction. Once you have estimated the model, repeat the Hausman test comparing these results with those of the WG estimator. What is the significance of the Mundlack correction?

```
foreach var in exper expsq weeks{
    bys id: egen av`var' = mean(`var')
}
eststo MUN: xtreg lwage exper expsq weeks ed avexper avexpsq avweeks, re theta
esttab WG LSDV FD MUN, se scalar(N r2 r2_o r2_b r2_w sigma_u sigma_e rho) rename(D.exper expended by the boundary of the sigma of th
```

Random-effects GLS : Group variable: id	regression			obs = groups =	4,165 595
R-squared: Within = 0.656 Between = 0.326 Overall = 0.416	64		Obs per g	roup: min = avg = max =	7 7.0 7
$corr(u_i, X) = 0$ (as theta = .8228				(7) = i2 =	
lwage Coef:	ficient Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
expsq 49 weeks .00 educ .00	.0005997 737838 .0048985 756349 .0062087 069027 .1370415	-7.77 1.39 15.06	0.000 0.163 0.000 0.000	.108948953144520003395 .064182908780364754991 .0041991	3172934 .0020112 .0833846 0634662 .0616937

_cons	4.683039 .2	2100989 22.29	0.000 4.	271253 5.094826
sigma_e	.31951859 .15220316 .81505521 (1	fraction of vari	ance due to u_i)
	(1) WG	(2) LSDV	(3) FD	(4) Mundlack
exper		0.114*** (0.00247)		0.114*** (0.00247)
expsq	-0.424*** (0.0546)	-0.424*** (0.0546)		-0.424*** (0.0546)
weeks	0.000836 (0.000600)	0.000836 (0.000600)	-0.000268 (0.000565)	
educ	0 (.)	0		0.0738*** (0.00490)
avexper				-0.0756*** (0.00621)
avexpsq				-0.207 (0.137)
avweeks				0.0123** (0.00411)
_cons	4.596*** (0.0389)	4.596*** (0.0389)		4.683*** (0.210)
N r2	4165 0.657	4165 0.907	3570 0.221	4165
r2_o r2_b r2_w sigma_u sigma_e rho	0.0476 0.0276 0.657 1.036 0.152 0.979			0.416 0.326 0.657 0.320 0.152 0.815

Standard errors in parentheses * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

Note: the rank of the differenced variance matrix (3) does not equal the number of coefficients being tested (4); be sure this is what you expect, or there may be problems computing the test. Examine the output of your estimators for anything unexpected and possibly consider scaling your variables so that the coefficients are on a similar scale.

1	Coeffic (b) MUN	(B) FGLS	(b-B) Difference	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) Std. err.</pre>
exper	.1137879	.0888609	.0249269	.0012778
expsq	4243693	772565	.3481957	.0284727
weeks	.0008359	.0009658	0001299	.0001108
educ	.0737838	.1117099	0379262	.0009972

b = Consistent under HO and Ha; obtained from xtreg.

B = Inconsistent under Ha, efficient under HO; obtained from xtreg.

Test of HO: Difference in coefficients not systematic

$$chi2(3) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)$$

= 1513.02
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

11. Export the results as a single CSV/Excel file. You can use esttab for .csv or outreg2 for .xlsx.

esttab using "problem-set-5-results.csv", replace se scalar(N r2 r2_o r2_b r2_w sigma_u sigma

(output written to problem-set-5-results.csv)

Postamble

log close

name: <unnamed>

log: C:\Users\neil_\OneDrive - University of Warwick\Documents\EC910\we

> bsite\warwick-ec910\problem-sets\ps-5\problem-set-5-log.txt

log type: smcl

closed on: 11 Nov 2024, 14:07:10
