STRONGLY SINGULAR CONVOLUTION OPERATORS ON \mathbf{R}^d A EXAMPLE COMING FROM CONVEX SETS

NEIL LYALL

1. Introduction

Suppose that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ is a centrally symmetric convex body with a smooth boundary and everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature. This convex body induces the usual norm

(1)
$$\rho(x) = \sup\{t \ge 0 : x \notin t\mathcal{D}\}\$$

on \mathbf{R}^d , the Minkowski functional with respect to \mathcal{D} .

Let $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a distribution¹ on \mathbf{R}^d that away from the origin agrees with the function

(2)
$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \rho(x)^{-d-\alpha} e^{i\rho(x)^{-\beta}} \chi(\rho(x)),$$

where $\beta > 0$ and χ is smooth and compactly supported in a small neighborhood of the origin.

Theorem 1. If $\alpha \leq \frac{d\beta}{2}$ then $Tf = f * K_{\alpha,\beta}$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to itself.

A model case for operators of this type would be when we take \mathcal{D} to be the standard Euclidean ball as in this case we would of course have $\rho(x) = |x|$. Operators of this type were first studied by Hirschman [1] in the case d = 1 and then in higher dimensions by Wainger [4].

In tackling the model case it is efficient to use Fourier transform methods. Since $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is radial it is well known that its Fourier transform is given by

(3)
$$m(\xi) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^\infty \chi(r) r^{-1-\alpha} e^{ir^{-\beta}} J_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(r|\xi|) (r|\xi|)^{\frac{2-d}{2}} dr,$$

where $J_{\frac{d-2}{2}}$ is a Bessel function; see [3]. Using Plancherel's theorem and the asymptotics of Bessel functions it is then straightforward to establish Theorem 1 in this case.

In our proof of Theorem 1 in general however we do not appeal to Fourier transform methods.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We now wish to decompose our operator $T = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_j$. In order to do this we consider the following partition of unity; choose $\vartheta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \vartheta(2^j r) = 1$ for all $0 \le r \le 1$, and write

$$T_j f(x) = f * K_j(x)$$
 where $K_j(x) = \vartheta(2^j \rho(x)) K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$.

Theorem 2. The operator norms of T_j are uniformly bounded whenever $\alpha \leq \frac{d\beta}{2}$, more precisely

(4)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |T_j f(x)|^2 dx \le C 2^{j(2\alpha - d\beta)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

¹ The distribution-valued function $\alpha \mapsto K_{\alpha,\beta}$, initially defined for Re $\alpha < 0$, continues analytically to all of C.

2 NEIL LYALL

We note that as the operator norms of T_i are equal to that of

$$S_j f(x) = 2^{j\alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \vartheta(\rho(x-y)) \rho(x-y)^{-d-\alpha} e^{i2^{j\beta} \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}} f(y) dy,$$

to prove Theorem 2 it suffices to establish estimate (4) for the operators S_i .

Key to establishing this result is the following proposition of Hörmander, which may be thought of as a variable coefficient version of Plancherel's theorem. See [5], Chapter 7 or [2], Chapter IX.

Proposition 3. Let Ψ be a smooth function supported on the set $\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d : \rho(x-y) \leq C\}$ and Φ be real-valued and smooth on the support of Ψ . If we assume that all partial derivatives of Ψ and Φ are bounded and that

(5)
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial y_i}\right) \neq 0$$

on the support of Ψ , then

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \Psi(x,y) e^{i\lambda \Phi(x,y)} f(y) dy \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \le C \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}.$$

It is clear that estimate (4) for the operators S_j will be an immediate consequence of Proposition 3 once we have established that the phase of its kernel is non-degenerate (in the sense of (5) above).

Lemma 4. Let
$$\Phi(x,y) = \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}$$
, then $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial y_i}\right) \neq 0$ whenever $\beta \neq -1$.

Proof. We start by letting $\varphi(x) = \rho(x)^2$ and noting that as a consequence φ must be homogeneous of degree two. It clearly then suffice to verify that if $\beta \neq -1$, then

$$\det H\varphi^{-\beta/2}(x) \neq 0$$

where $H\varphi^{-\beta/2}$ denotes the (pure) Hessian matrix of the phase function $\varphi^{-\beta/2}$. Now an easy calculation shows that

$$\det H\varphi^{-\beta/2} = \frac{-\beta(\beta+2)}{4} \ \varphi^{-(\beta+4)/2}(A-B),$$

where

$$A = \frac{2\varphi}{\beta + 2} H\varphi$$
 and $B = (\nabla \varphi)(\nabla \varphi)^T$.

Matters therefore reduce to showing that whenever $\beta \neq -1$ and $u \neq 0$

$$(A - B)u \neq 0.$$

Assuming for now that $H\varphi$ is non-singular and using the fact that $Bu = \langle \nabla \varphi, u \rangle \nabla \varphi$ it is easy to see that

$$(A - B)u = 0 \iff \langle [H\varphi]^{-1} \nabla \varphi, \nabla \varphi \rangle = \frac{2\varphi}{\beta + 2}.$$

Now it follows from Euler's homogeniety relations that

(6)
$$\langle H\varphi(x)x, x \rangle = \langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle = 2\varphi(x),$$

in particular we have that $[H\varphi(x)]^{-1}\nabla\varphi(x)=x$ and hence from (6) it follow that

$$\langle [H\varphi]^{-1}\nabla\varphi, \nabla\varphi\rangle = 2\varphi,$$

establishing the result.

We still need to verify that $H\varphi$ is indeed invertible, we shall infact establish that for any $v \in \mathbf{R}^d$

$$\langle H\varphi(x)v,v\rangle > 0.$$

Since $\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle = \nabla \varphi(x) \neq 0$ we may write $v = \lambda x + y$ where y is a vector perpendicular to $\nabla \varphi(x)$. Using the fact that

$$H\varphi(x) = 2(\rho(x)H\rho(x) + \nabla\rho(x)\nabla\rho(x)^{T})$$

and the identities contained in (6) we see that

$$\langle H\varphi(x)v,v\rangle = \lambda^2 \langle H\varphi(x)x,x\rangle + 2\lambda \langle H\varphi(x)x,y\rangle + \langle H\varphi(x)y,y\rangle$$
$$= \lambda^2 \varphi(x) + \rho(x) \langle H\rho(x)y,y\rangle.$$

The result then follows from the fact that ρ was induce from a convex body with smooth boundary and everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature since this is equivalent to the having

(7)
$$\langle H\rho(x)y,y\rangle > 0$$
 for all $y \perp \nabla \varphi(x)$.

Theorem 1 now follows from Theorem 2 and an application of Cotlar's lemma (plus a standard limiting argument) once we have verified that the T_j are, in the following sense, almost orthogonal.

Lemma 5. If
$$\alpha = \frac{d\beta}{2}$$
 then $||T_i^*T_j||_{Op} + ||T_iT_j^*||_{Op} \le C2^{-\frac{d\beta}{2}|i-j|}$.

Proof. This follows trivially from Theorem 2 whenever $|i-j| \le 10$, since $||T_i^*T_j||_{Op} \le ||T_i||_{Op} ||T_j||_{Op}$. We shall therefore, without loss of generality, assume that $j \ge i+10$. Now $T_i^*T_j$ has a kernel

$$L_{ij}(x) = K_j * \bar{K}_i(-x),$$

and the same operator norm as the operator with kernel

$$\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x) = 2^{-jd} L_{ij}(2^{-j}x)
= 2^{-j2d} \int K_j(2^{-j}y) \overline{K}_i(2^{-j}(x-y)) dy
= 2^{j2\alpha} \int_{\substack{\rho(y) \sim 1 \\ \rho(x-y) \sim 2^{j-i}}} \rho(y)^{-d-\alpha} \rho(x-y)^{-d-\alpha} e^{i2^{j\beta} [\rho(y)^{-\beta} - \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}]} dy.$$

We trivially have the estimate $|\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x)| \leq C2^{j2\alpha}2^{(i-j)(d+\alpha)}$. It is easy to verify that

$$|\nabla_{y}[\rho(y)^{-\beta} - \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}]| > C_{0},$$

thus there is always a direction in which we may integrating by parts, in doing so d times we obtain

$$|\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x)| < C2^{j(2\alpha - d\beta)}2^{(i-j)(d+\alpha)} = 2^{(i-j)(d+\alpha)}.$$

This of course implies that

$$\int |\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x)| \, dx \le C2^{(i-j)\alpha}.$$

References

- [1] I. I. HIRSCHMAN, Multiplier Transforms I, Duke Math. J., 26 (1956), pp. 222-242.
- [2] E. M. STEIN, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [3] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [4] S. Wainger, Special Trigonometric Series in k Dimensions, Memoirs of the AMS 59, American Math. Soc., 1965.
- [5] T. H. Wolff, Lectures on Harmonic Analysis, University Lecture Series 29, American Math. Soc., 2003.