STRONGLY SINGULAR CONVOLUTION OPERATORS ON \mathbf{R}^d ASSOCIATED TO NORMS WITH WELL CURVED LEVEL HYPERSURFACES

NEIL LYALL

1. Introduction

Let $\rho(x)$ be a quasi-norm on \mathbf{R}^d with the property that the level hypersurface $\rho(x) = 1$ has everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature and $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a distribution¹ on \mathbf{R}^d that away from the origin agrees with the function

(1)
$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \rho(x)^{-d-\alpha} e^{i\rho(x)^{-\beta}} \chi(\rho(x)),$$

where $\beta > 0$ and χ is smooth and compactly supported in a small neighborhood of the origin.

Theorem 1. If $\alpha \leq \frac{d\beta}{2}$ then $Tf = f * K_{\alpha,\beta}$ extends to a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to itself.

A model case for operators of this type would be when we take $\rho(x) = |x|$, operators of this type were first studied by Hirschman [1] in the case d = 1 and then in higher dimensions by Wainger [4].

In tackling the model case it is efficient to use Fourier transform methods. Since $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is radial it is well known that its Fourier transform is given by

(2)
$$m(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} \int_0^\infty \chi(r) r^{-1-\alpha} e^{ir^{-\beta}} J_{d/2-1}(r|\xi|) (r|\xi|)^{1-d/2} dr,$$

where $J_{d/2-1}$ is a Bessel function; see [3]. Using Plancherel's theorem and the asymptotics of Bessel functions it is then straightforward to establish Theorem 1 in this case.

The argument above can be modified to prove Theorem 1 in full generality, we choose however to present a proof which is independent of Fourier transform methods.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We now wish to decompose our operator $T = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_j$. In order to do this we consider the following partition of unity; choose $\vartheta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \vartheta(2^j r) = 1$ for all $0 \le r \le 1$, and write

$$T_j f(x) = f * K_j(x)$$
 where $K_j(x) = \vartheta(2^j \rho(x)) K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$.

Theorem 2. The operator norms of T_j are uniformly bounded whenever $\alpha \leq \frac{d\beta}{2}$, more precisely

(3)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |T_j f(x)|^2 dx \le C 2^{j(2\alpha - d\beta)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

¹ The distribution-valued function $\alpha \mapsto K_{\alpha,\beta}$, initially defined for Re $\alpha < 0$, continues analytically to all of **C**.

2 NEIL LYALL

We note that as the operator norms of T_i are equal to that of

$$S_j f(x) = 2^{j\alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \vartheta(\rho(x-y)) \rho(x-y)^{-d-\alpha} e^{i2^{j\beta} \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}} f(y) dy,$$

to prove Theorem 2 it suffices to establish estimate (3) for the operators S_i .

Key to establishing this result is the following proposition of Hörmander, which may be thought of as a variable coefficient version of Plancherel's theorem. See [5], Chapter 7 or [2], Chapter IX.

Proposition 3. Let Ψ be a smooth function supported on the set $\{(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d : \rho(x-y) \leq C\}$ and Φ be real-valued and smooth on the support of Ψ . If we assume that all partial derivatives of Ψ and Φ are bounded and that

(4)
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial y_j}\right) \neq 0$$

on the support of Ψ , then

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \Psi(x,y) e^{i\lambda \Phi(x,y)} f(y) dy \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \le C \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}.$$

It is clear that estimate (3) for the operators S_j will be an immediate consequence of Proposition 3 once we have established that the phase of its kernel is non-degenerate (in the sense of (4) above).

Lemma 4. Let $\Phi(x,y) = \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}$, then $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i \partial y_j}\right) \neq 0$ whenever $x \neq y$ and $\beta \neq -1$.

Proof. It clearly suffice to verify that if $\rho(x) = 1$ and $\beta \neq -1$, then

$$\det H\rho^{-\beta}(x) \neq 0$$

where $H\rho^{-\beta}(x)$ denotes the (pure) Hessian matrix of the phase function $\rho(x)^{-\beta}$. Now an easy calculation shows that

$$H\rho^{-\beta}(x) = -\beta\,\rho(x)^{-(\beta+2)}\left[\rho(x)\,H\rho(x) - (\beta+1)\nabla\rho(x)\nabla\rho(x)^{\mathrm{T}}\right].$$

Matters therefore reduce to showing that if $\beta \neq -1$ and

(5)
$$H\rho(x)u = (\beta + 1)\langle \nabla \rho(x), u \rangle \nabla \rho(x),$$

then u = 0. Since $\langle \nabla \rho(x), x \rangle = \rho(x) \neq 0$ we may write $u = \lambda x + v$ where v is a vector perpendicular to $\nabla \rho(x)$.

It follows from Euler's homogeneity relations that

(6)
$$\langle \nabla \rho(x), x \rangle = \rho(x)$$
 while $H\rho(x)x = 0$,

and hence that (5) is equivalent to the identity

$$H\rho(x)v = \lambda(\beta+1)\nabla\rho(x).$$

It then follows from (6) and the symmetry of $H\rho(x)$ that $\lambda = 0$. The result then follows from the curvature condition, since from this it follows that if $v \neq 0$ is perpendicular to $\nabla \rho(x)$, then

(7)
$$\langle H\rho(x)v,v\rangle \neq 0.$$

Theorem 1 now follows from Theorem 2 and an application of Cotlar's lemma (plus a standard limiting argument) once we have verified that the T_j are, in the following sense, almost orthogonal.

Lemma 5. If
$$\alpha = \frac{d\beta}{2}$$
 then $||T_i^*T_j||_{Op} + ||T_iT_j^*||_{Op} \le C2^{-\frac{d\beta}{2}|i-j|}$.

Proof. This follows trivially from Theorem 2 whenever $|i-j| \le 10$, since $||T_i^*T_j||_{Op} \le ||T_i||_{Op}||T_j||_{Op}$. We shall therefore, without loss of generality, assume that $j \ge i + 10$. Now $T_i^*T_j$ has a kernel

$$L_{ij}(x) = K_j * \bar{K}_i(-x),$$

and the same operator norm as the operator with kernel

$$\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x) = 2^{-jd} L_{ij}(2^{-j}x)
= 2^{-j2d} \int K_j(2^{-j}y) \overline{K}_i(2^{-j}(x-y)) dy
= 2^{j2\alpha} \int_{\substack{\rho(y) \sim 1 \\ \rho(x-y) \sim 2^{j-i}}} \rho(y)^{-d-\alpha} \rho(x-y)^{-d-\alpha} e^{i2^{j\beta}[\rho(y)^{-\beta} - \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}]} dy.$$

We trivially have the estimate $|\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x)| \leq C 2^{j2\alpha} 2^{(i-j)(d+\alpha)}$. It is easy to verify, by homogeneity, that

$$|\nabla_y[\rho(y)^{-\beta} - \rho(x-y)^{-\beta}]| \ge C_0,$$

thus there is always a direction in which we may integrating by parts, in doing so d times we obtain

$$|\tilde{L}_{ij}(x)| \le C2^{j(2\alpha - d\beta)}2^{(i-j)(d+\alpha)} = 2^{(i-j)(d+\alpha)}.$$

This of course implies that

$$\int |\widetilde{L}_{ij}(x)| \, dx \le C2^{(i-j)\alpha}.$$

References

- [1] I. I. Hirschman, Multiplier Transforms I, Duke Math. J., 26 (1956), pp. 222–242.
- [2] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [3] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [4] S. Wainger, Special Trigonometric Series in k Dimensions, Memoirs of the AMS 59, American Math. Soc., 1965.
- [5] T. H. Wolff, Lectures on Harmonic Analysis, University Lecture Series 29, American Math. Soc., 2003.