

# THE WEYL INEQUALITY

NEIL LYALL

The purpose of this note is to derive the following estimate, due to Weyl, for exponential sums of the form

$$(1) \quad S = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i P(n)}$$

where  $P(x)$  is a polynomial with real coefficients, in the special case when  $P(x) = \alpha x^2$ . We closely follow the fine treatments that can be found in [1] and [2].

**The Weyl Inequality (for quadratic monomials).** *Let  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $(a, q) = 1$ , and  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $N \geq 2$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ , then*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \alpha n^2} \right| \leq 10N \log N (N + q + N^2/q)^{-1/2}.$$

We remark that this gives a non-trivial estimate whenever  $N^\eta \leq q \leq N^{2-\varepsilon}$  for some  $0 < \eta, \varepsilon < 1$ . We begin with the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then for all  $N \in \mathbf{N}$ ,*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \alpha n} \right| \leq \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{2\|\alpha\|} \right\}$$

where  $\|\alpha\|$  is the distance from  $\alpha$  to the nearest integer.

*Proof.* If  $\alpha = 0$ , then the sum is  $N$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \alpha n} \right| \leq \frac{|1 - e^{2\pi i \alpha N}|}{|1 - e^{2\pi i \alpha}|} \leq \frac{|\sin \pi \alpha N|}{|\sin \pi \alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{2\|\alpha\|}. \quad \square$$

The method of *Weyl differencing* allows us to treat higher degree polynomials, the idea is simply to *square-out* the Weyl sum (1);

$$\begin{aligned} |S|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N e^{2\pi i [P(m) - P(n)]} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{h=1-n}^{N-n} e^{2\pi i [P(n+h) - P(n)]} \\ &= N + \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-h} e^{2\pi i [P(n+h) - P(n)]} + \sum_{h=1-N}^{-1} \sum_{n=1-h}^N e^{2\pi i [P(n+h) - P(n)]} \\ &= N + 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-h} e^{2\pi i [P(n+h) - P(n)]} \\ &\leq N + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N-h} e^{2\pi i [P(n+h) - P(n)]} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P(x+h) - P(x)$  is a polynomial of degree one less than that of  $P(x)$ , the possibility of inducting on the degree of  $P$  arises.

Since we are only considering Weyl sums with  $P(x) = \alpha x^2$  the difference  $P(x+h) - P(x) = 2xh + h^2$ , and it follows from *Weyl differencing* and Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |S|^2 &\leq N + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N-h} e^{2\pi i(2\alpha h)n} \right| \\ &\leq N + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{N-1} \min \left\{ N - h, \frac{1}{\|2\alpha h\|} \right\} \\ &\leq N + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{2N} \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha h\|} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl inequality therefore follows immediately from the following proposition (with  $H = 2N$ ).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $N \geq 2$ , and  $H \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ , then*

$$\sum_{h=1}^H \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha h\|} \right\} \leq 24 \log N (N + q + H + HN/q).$$

The proof of this proposition follows from the lemma below together with the key observation that if  $0 < |h_2 - h_1| \leq q/2$ , then  $\|\alpha h_2 - \alpha h_1\| \geq 1/2q$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $L, M, N \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $N \geq 2$  and  $L \leq M$ . If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $\|\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell'}\| \geq M^{-1}$  whenever  $\ell \neq \ell'$ , then*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^L \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha_\ell\|} \right\} \leq 6(N + M) \log N.$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Write  $\alpha = a/q + \beta$ . We first note that if  $0 < |h_2 - h_1| \leq q/2$ , then

$$\|\alpha h_2 - \alpha h_1\| \geq \|(h_2 - h_1)a/q\| - \|(h_2 - h_1)\beta\| \geq 1/q - 1/2q = 1/2q$$

since  $(h_2 - h_1)a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . It then follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\sum_{h=1}^H \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha h\|} \right\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2H/q \rfloor} \sum_{h=k\lfloor q/2 \rfloor + 1}^{(k+1)\lfloor q/2 \rfloor} \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha h\|} \right\} \leq 6(1 + 2H/q)(N + 2q) \log N. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Without loss of generality we may assume that each  $\alpha_\ell \in [-1/2, 1/2]$  and that

$$S^+ = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq L \\ \alpha_\ell \geq 0}} \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha_\ell\|} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \min \left\{ N, \frac{1}{\|\alpha_\ell\|} \right\}.$$

Relabeling the non-negative  $\alpha_\ell$  as  $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_K$  and noting that  $\alpha_k \geq (k-1)/M$  for  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , we see that

$$S^+ = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \min \left\{ N, \frac{M}{k} \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor M/N \rfloor} N + \sum_{M/N < k < K} \frac{M}{k} \leq (N + M) + 2M \log N. \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. T. GOWERS, *Additive and Combinatorial Number Theory*, [www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~wtg10/addnoth.notes.dvi](http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~wtg10/addnoth.notes.dvi).
- [2] H. L. MONTGOMERY, *Ten Lectures on the Interface Between Analytic Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 84.