

A Somewhat Soft Proof of Roth's Theorem (via Varnavides)

Recall

$$r_3(N) := \max_{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}} \{ |A| : A \text{ contains no 3AP's} \}$$

and

Lemma 1 (Quantitative Varnavides)

For any $1 \leq M \leq N$ and $B \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\# \text{ 3AP's in } B \geq \left(\frac{\frac{|B|}{N} - \frac{r_3(M)+2}{M}}{M^4} \right) N^2.$$

In this lecture we shall use this lemma (and a little Fourier analysis) to prove:

Theorem 1: Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{r_3(N)}{N} \leq \frac{4}{5} \frac{r_3(M)}{M}$$

provided $N \geq \exp(CM^{10})$ and M is sufficiently large.

* It immediately follows from this that $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_3(N)}{N} = 0$, thus giving yet another (although quantitatively rather weak) proof of Roth's theorem.

↗
"Tower-type bounds".

Proof of Theorem 1

Let $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ with no 3AP's and $|A| = r_3(N)$. Key to this argument is to construct, from this extremal set A , a new set

$$B \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$$

with the following properties:

$$(i) \quad |B| \geq \frac{4}{3} |A|$$

$$\& (ii) \quad \# \text{ 3AP's in } B \ll \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^{1/2} N^2.$$

"B much bigger than A"
"B still has few 3AP's".

* This construction will amount to defining $B = A \cup (A+t) \cup (A-t)$, for some appropriately chosen value of t (as large as we can choose it!)

Given the existence of such a set B , Theorem 1 follows by combining this with Lemma 1: Indeed,

$$\frac{\frac{|B|}{N} - \frac{r_3(M)+1}{M}}{M^4} \leq \frac{\# \text{ 3AP's in } B}{N^2} \leq C \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^{1/2}$$

\uparrow Lemma 1 \uparrow (ii)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{N} \leq \frac{r_3(M)}{M} + \frac{2}{M} + \underbrace{C \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^{1/2} M^4}_{\leq \frac{1}{M}} \leq \frac{r_3(M)}{M} + \frac{3}{M}$$

$M \ll \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^{-1/10}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r_3(N)}{N} = \frac{|A|}{N} \leq \frac{3}{4} \frac{|B|}{N} \leq \frac{3}{4} \frac{r_3(M)}{M} + \frac{1}{4M}$$

\uparrow (i)

$$\leq \frac{4}{5} \frac{r_3(M)}{M} \stackrel{!}{=} M \text{ suff. large.}$$

Recall
 $r_3(M) \gg M^{1/2}$
(say)

Construction of B

We initially define, for a parameter $0 < t < N^{1/2}$ to be determined,

$$B' = A \cup (A+t) \cup (A-t) \subseteq \{1-t, \dots, N+t\}.$$

Since A contains no 3AP's we know that

$$1_{B'}(x) \leq 1_A(x) + 1_A(x+t) + 1_A(x-t) \leq 2 \cdot 1_{B'}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow |B'| \geq \frac{3}{2} |A|.$$

Now define

$$B := B' \cap \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

$$|A| = \Omega(N) \gg N^{1/2}$$

Note:

$$\bullet |B| \geq |B'| - 2t \geq \frac{3}{2} |A| - 2N^{1/2} \geq \frac{4}{3} |A| \quad \checkmark$$

$$\bullet \# \text{ 3AP's in } B \leq \# \text{ 3AP's in } B'.$$

* Matter thus reduce to showing that $\# \text{ 3AP's in } B' \ll \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^{1/2} N^2$.

• We know \exists prime $2N < p \leq 4N$, and embed

$$\{1, \dots, N\} \subseteq \left\{ \frac{1-p}{2}, \dots, \frac{p+1}{2} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Recall, given $f_1, f_2, f_3 : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} AP_3(f_1, f_2, f_3) &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x, d \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f_1(x) f_2(x+d) f_3(x+2d) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \hat{f}_1(z) \hat{f}_2(-2z) \hat{f}_3(z). \end{aligned}$$

Note: Since $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ has no 3AP's and $2N < p \leq 4N$, it follows that

$$AP_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \frac{\Gamma_3(N)}{p^2} \quad (\text{only trivial 3AP's}).$$

Now define

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{3} (1_A(x) + 1_A(x+\ell) + 1_A(x-\ell)).$$

Since $f(x) \geq \frac{1}{3} 1_{B'}(x)$, it follows that

$$AP_3(1_{B'}, 1_{B'}, 1_{B'}) \leq 27 AP_3(f, f, f)$$

\leq

3AP's in B'

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |AP_3(f, f, f) - AP_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A)| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x,d} \{f(x)f(x+d)f(x+2d) - 1_A(x)1_A(x+d)1_A(x+2d)\} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x,d} \{f(x) - 1_A(x)\} \{f(x+d)f(x+2d)\} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x,d} \{f(x+d) - 1_A(x+d)\} 1_A(x)f(x+2d) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x,d} \{f(x+2d) - 1_A(x+2d)\} 1_A(x)1_A(x+d) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{\xi} (\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{1}_A(\xi)) \hat{f}(-2\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{\xi} (\hat{f}(-2\xi) - \hat{1}_A(-2\xi)) \hat{1}_A(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{\xi} (\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{1}_A(\xi)) \hat{1}_A(\xi) \hat{1}_A(-2\xi) \right| \leq \underline{\underline{3 \|\hat{f} - \hat{1}_A\|_{\infty}}} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider, Easy Exercise.

$$|\hat{f}(z) - \hat{1}_A(z)| = \frac{1}{3} |\hat{1}_A(z)| |(e^{2\pi i t^3/p} - 1) + (e^{-2\pi i t^3/p} - 1)|$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{3} \varepsilon \delta \quad \text{for all } t \in \underline{B(\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A), 2\varepsilon)}$$

where $\delta = |A|/p$, for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Thus,

$$AP_3(f, f, f) \leq AP_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) + 3 \|\hat{f} - \hat{1}_A\|_\infty \ll \varepsilon \delta.$$

But how small can we take $\varepsilon \delta$?

Plancherel

By pigeonhole principle $\exists 1 \leq t \leq (2\varepsilon)^{-d}$ with $d = |\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A)| \ll \varepsilon^{-2} \delta^{-1}$ such that $t \in B(\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A), 2\varepsilon)$. Recall we need $t \leq N^{1/2}$, so we "need"

$$(2\varepsilon)^{-\varepsilon^{-2} \delta^{-1}} \leq \exp((\varepsilon \delta)^{-2} \log(2\varepsilon \delta)^{-1}) \leq N^{1/2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\varepsilon \delta)^{-2} \log(2\varepsilon \delta)^{-1} \ll \log N$$

Hence as long as

$$\underline{\underline{\varepsilon \delta \geq C \left(\frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^{1/2}}}} \quad \text{for suff large } C > 0$$

it follows that $\exists 1 \leq t \leq N^{1/2}$ s.t. $t \in B(\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A), 2\varepsilon)$.

□.