

# Strongly Singular Integrals on Homogeneous Groups

Neil Lyall

The University of Georgia

*joint work with:*

Norberto Laghi

The University of Edinburgh



# Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (classical model)

## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (classical model)

These are convolution operators, formally given by

$$Tf(x) = f * K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$$

where  $K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a distribution that for  $x \neq 0$  agrees with

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = |x|^{-d-\alpha} e^{i|x|^{-\beta}/\beta} \chi(|x|)$$

## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (classical model)

These are convolution operators, formally given by

$$Tf(x) = f * K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$$

where  $K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a distribution that for  $x \neq 0$  agrees with

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = |x|^{-d-\alpha} e^{i|x|^{-\beta}/\beta} \chi(|x|)$$

with  $\chi$  a smooth cutoff about the origin and  $\beta > 0$ .

## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (classical model)

These are convolution operators, formally given by

$$Tf(x) = f * K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$$

where  $K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a distribution that for  $x \neq 0$  agrees with

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = |x|^{-d-\alpha} e^{i|x|^{-\beta}/\beta} \chi(|x|)$$

with  $\chi$  a smooth cutoff about the origin and  $\beta > 0$ .

**Proposition (Wainger).** *If  $\beta > 0$ , then as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\widehat{K_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi) = c |\xi|^{-a} e^{i|\xi|^b/b} + O(|\xi|^{-a-1})$$

where

$$\frac{1}{-\beta} + \frac{1}{b} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{a}{b} = \frac{d}{2}$$

In particular

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq d\beta/2$  (Wainger)

In particular

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq d\beta/2$  (Wainger)

In addition

- $T : H^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Fefferman and Stein)

In particular

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq d\beta/2$  (Wainger)

In addition

- $T : H^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Fefferman and Stein)

By “joining the dots” we then obtain

**Theorem.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$T : L^p \rightarrow L^p \iff \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{d\beta - 2\alpha}{2d\beta}$$



In particular

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq d\beta/2$  (Wainger)

In addition

- $T : H^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Fefferman and Stein)

By “joining the dots” we then obtain

**Theorem.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$T : L^p \rightarrow L^p \iff \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{d\beta - 2\alpha}{2d\beta} \quad \left( = \frac{a}{db} \right)$$

In particular

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq d\beta/2$  (Wainger)

In addition

- $T : H^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Fefferman and Stein)

By “joining the dots” we then obtain

**Theorem.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$T : L^p \rightarrow L^p \iff \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{d\beta - 2\alpha}{2d\beta} \quad \left( = \frac{a}{db} \right)$$

Objective: Generalize these results on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and consider analogous operators on homogeneous groups

A homogeneous group  $\mathbb{H}$  consists of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with a Lie group structure and a family of dilations

A homogeneous group  $\mathbb{H}$  consists of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with a Lie group structure and a family of dilations

$$x \mapsto \delta \circ x = (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \dots, \delta^{a_d} x_d).$$

which are group *automorphisms*.

A homogeneous group  $\mathbb{H}$  consists of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with a Lie group structure and a family of dilations

$$x \mapsto \delta \circ x = (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \dots, \delta^{a_d} x_d).$$

which are group *automorphisms*. Consequently,

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$

where  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d)$  is a polynomial of *isotropic* degree at least 2.

A homogeneous group  $\mathbb{H}$  consists of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with a Lie group structure and a family of dilations

$$x \mapsto \delta \circ x = (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \dots, \delta^{a_d} x_d).$$

which are group *automorphisms*. Consequently,

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$

where  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d)$  is a polynomial of *isotropic* degree at least 2.

Moreover, each  $Q_k$  is homogeneous of degree  $a_k$ .

A homogeneous group  $\mathbb{H}$  consists of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  equipped with a Lie group structure and a family of dilations

$$x \mapsto \delta \circ x = (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \dots, \delta^{a_d} x_d).$$

which are group *automorphisms*. Consequently,

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$

where  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d)$  is a polynomial of *isotropic* degree at least 2.

Moreover, each  $Q_k$  is homogeneous of degree  $a_k$ .

We let

$$h = a_1 + \dots + a_d$$

denote the homogeneous dimension of  $\mathbb{H}$ .



# Examples



## Examples

1.  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with its usual additive structure [abelian]

## Examples

1.  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with its usual additive structure [abelian]
2. Identifying  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  with the *Heisenberg group*  $H^n$ , with dilations

$$x = (x', x_{2n+1}) \mapsto (\delta x', \delta^2 x_{2n+1})$$

and

$$x \cdot y = x + y + (0, 2 x'^t J y')$$

where  $J$  is the standard symplectic matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

## Examples

1.  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with its usual additive structure [abelian]
2. Identifying  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  with the *Heisenberg group*  $H^n$ , with dilations

$$x = (x', x_{2n+1}) \mapsto (\delta x', \delta^2 x_{2n+1})$$

and

$$x \cdot y = x + y + (0, 2 x'^t J y')$$

where  $J$  is the standard symplectic matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

$$\left[ \text{If } n = 1, \text{ then } (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1 \right]$$

3. The set of upper-triangular  $m \times m$  matrices with ones along the diagonal identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{m(m-1)/2}$

3. The set of upper-triangular  $m \times m$  matrices with ones along the diagonal identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{m(m-1)/2}$

The group law is inherited from matrix multiplication, for example if  $m = 3$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & y_3 \\ 0 & 1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 + y_1 & x_3 + y_3 + x_1 y_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 + y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. The set of upper-triangular  $m \times m$  matrices with ones along the diagonal identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{m(m-1)/2}$

The group law is inherited from matrix multiplication, for example if  $m = 3$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & y_3 \\ 0 & 1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 + y_1 & x_3 + y_3 + x_1 y_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 + y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the mapping

$$x \mapsto (\delta x_1, \delta x_2, \delta^2 x_3)$$

is an automorphism of this group.



# Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{H}$

## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{H}$

We consider, for suitable *quasi-norms*  $\rho$ , the operators

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} K_{\alpha,\beta}(y^{-1} \cdot x) f(y) dy$$



## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{H}$

We consider, for suitable *quasi-norms*  $\rho$ , the operators

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} K_{\alpha,\beta}(y^{-1} \cdot x) f(y) dy$$

where  $K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a distribution that for  $x \neq 0$  agrees with

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \rho(x)^{-h-\alpha} e^{i\rho(x)^{-\beta}} \chi(\rho(x))$$

## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{H}$

We consider, for suitable *quasi-norms*  $\rho$ , the operators

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} K_{\alpha,\beta}(y^{-1} \cdot x) f(y) dy$$

where  $K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a distribution that for  $x \neq 0$  agrees with

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \rho(x)^{-h-\alpha} e^{i\rho(x)^{-\beta}} \chi(\rho(x))$$

where  $\chi$  is a smooth cutoff about the origin and  $\beta > 0$ .

## Strongly singular integrals on $\mathbb{H}$

We consider, for suitable *quasi-norms*  $\rho$ , the operators

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} K_{\alpha,\beta}(y^{-1} \cdot x) f(y) dy$$

where  $K_{\alpha,\beta}$  is a distribution that for  $x \neq 0$  agrees with

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \rho(x)^{-h-\alpha} e^{i\rho(x)^{-\beta}} \chi(\rho(x))$$

where  $\chi$  is a smooth cutoff about the origin and  $\beta > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *On any  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $\exists$  a quasi-norm  $\rho = \rho_{\mathbb{H}}$  so that*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} \quad \text{whenever} \quad \alpha \leq d\beta/2$$



## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

(i) If  $\rho$  is defined so that  $\rho(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$ , then

## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

(i) If  $\rho$  is defined so that  $\rho(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$ , then

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq \beta$  (Shayya)

## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

(i) If  $\rho$  is defined so that  $\rho(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$ , then

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq \beta \quad (\text{Shayya})$

*More precisely*

$$|\widehat{K_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \rho_\beta(\xi))^{\alpha-\beta}$$

*where  $\rho_\beta$  is a quasi-norm associated with the dilations*

$$x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1+\beta} x_1, \delta^{a_2+\beta} x_2).$$



## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

(i) If  $\rho$  is defined so that  $\rho(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$ , then

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq \beta$  (Shayya)

*More precisely*

$$|\widehat{K_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \rho_\beta(\xi))^{\alpha-\beta}$$

where  $\rho_\beta$  is a quasi-norm associated with the dilations

$$x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1+\beta} x_1, \delta^{a_2+\beta} x_2).$$

- $T : H_\beta^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Shayya)

## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

(i) If  $\rho$  is defined so that  $\rho(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$ , then

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq \beta$  (Shayya)

*More precisely*

$$|\widehat{K_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \rho_\beta(\xi))^{\alpha-\beta}$$

where  $\rho_\beta$  is a quasi-norm associated with the dilations

$$x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1+\beta} x_1, \delta^{a_2+\beta} x_2).$$

- $T : H_\beta^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Shayya)

(ii) If  $\rho(x) = (x_1^{2a_2} + x_2^{2a_1})^{1/2a_1a_2}$  with  $a_2 \geq a_1$ , then

## $L^p$ regularity on $\mathbb{R}^d$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with dilations  $x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1} x_1, \delta^{a_2} x_2)$

(i) If  $\rho$  is defined so that  $\rho(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$ , then

- $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \iff \alpha \leq \beta$  (Shayya)

*More precisely*

$$|\widehat{K_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \rho_\beta(\xi))^{\alpha-\beta}$$

where  $\rho_\beta$  is a quasi-norm associated with the dilations

$$x \mapsto (\delta^{a_1+\beta} x_1, \delta^{a_2+\beta} x_2).$$

- $T : H_\beta^1 \rightarrow L^1$  if  $\alpha = 0$  (Shayya)

(ii) If  $\rho(x) = (x_1^{2a_2} + x_2^{2a_1})^{1/2a_1a_2}$  with  $a_2 \geq a_1$ , then

- $|\widehat{K_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi)| \leq C \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \frac{a_2+1}{2a_2}\beta$  (Laghi and NL)

We now consider the family of quasi-norms  $\rho_1$ , where

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \rho_1(x) = 1\}$$

is a smooth, *convex* hypersurface with *everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature*.

We now consider the family of quasi-norms  $\rho_1$ , where

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \rho_1(x) = 1\}$$

is a smooth, *convex* hypersurface with *everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature*.

**Theorem 2.** *On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\rho = \rho_1$  we have*

(i) *If  $\alpha = 0$ , then*

$$T : H_{\beta}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

(ii) *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \iff \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{d\beta - 2\alpha}{2d\beta}$$

We now consider the family of quasi-norms  $\rho_1$ , where

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \rho_1(x) = 1\}$$

is a smooth, *convex* hypersurface with *everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature*.

**Theorem 2.** *On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\rho = \rho_1$  we have*

*(i) If  $\alpha = 0$ , then*

$$T : H_{\beta}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

*(ii) If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \iff \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{d\beta - 2\alpha}{2d\beta}$$

*[In particular if  $\alpha \leq d\beta/2$ , then  $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ]*



## Strategy for $L^2$ estimates (Oscillatory integrals)

## Strategy for $L^2$ estimates (Oscillatory integrals)

It suffices to establish the estimate

$$\|T_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} \leq C 2^{j(\alpha - d\beta/2)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})}$$

for the (rescaled) dyadic operators

$$T_j f(x) = 2^{j\alpha} \int_{\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x) \approx 1} \Psi(x, y) e^{i2^{j\beta} \rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}} f(y) dy$$

with  $\Psi$  smooth and compactly supported in  $x$  and  $y$ .



## Strategy for $L^2$ estimates (Oscillatory integrals)

It suffices to establish the estimate

$$\|T_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} \leq C 2^{j(\alpha - d\beta/2)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})}$$

for the (rescaled) dyadic operators

$$T_j f(x) = 2^{j\alpha} \int_{\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x) \approx 1} \Psi(x, y) e^{i2^{j\beta} \rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}} f(y) dy$$

with  $\Psi$  smooth and compactly supported in  $x$  and  $y$ .

The desired dyadic estimate follows immediately if

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

for all  $(x, y)$  in the support of  $\Psi$ .

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  matter therefore reduce to

**Proposition 1.** *If  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(x - y)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  matter therefore reduce to

**Proposition 1.** *If  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(x - y)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

- This is obviously equivalent to

$$\det H [\rho_1(x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

holding whenever  $x \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  matter therefore reduce to

**Proposition 1.** *If  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(x - y)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

- This is obviously equivalent to

$$\det H [\rho_1(x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

holding whenever  $x \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

- In *isotropic* case actually holds for all  $\beta \neq -1$

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  matter therefore reduce to

**Proposition 1.** *If  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(x - y)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

- This is obviously equivalent to

$$\det H [\rho_1(x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

holding whenever  $x \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

- In *isotropic* case actually holds for all  $\beta \neq -1$

Proof in this special case:

On (nonisotropic)  $\mathbb{R}^d$  matter therefore reduce to

**Proposition 1.** *If  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(x - y)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

- This is obviously equivalent to

$$\det H [\rho_1(x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

holding whenever  $x \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

- In *isotropic* case actually holds for all  $\beta \neq -1$

Proof in this special case: Let  $\rho = \rho_1$ . Recall that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \rho(x) = 1\}$$

is defined to be a smooth, *convex* hypersurface with *everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature*.

Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$   
for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$

for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Now it is easy to see that

$$H\rho^{-\beta} = -\beta\rho^{-(\beta+2)} \{ \rho H\rho - (\beta + 1) \nabla \rho \nabla \rho^t \}$$



Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$

for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Now it is easy to see that

$$H\rho^{-\beta} = -\beta\rho^{-(\beta+2)} \{ \rho H\rho - (\beta + 1) \nabla \rho \nabla \rho^t \}$$

Suppose there exists a *non-zero*  $u \in \ker H\rho^{-\beta}$ , then

Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$

for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Now it is easy to see that

$$H\rho^{-\beta} = -\beta\rho^{-(\beta+2)} \{ \rho H\rho - (\beta + 1) \nabla \rho \nabla \rho^t \}$$

Suppose there exists a *non-zero*  $u \in \ker H\rho^{-\beta}$ , then

$$\rho H\rho u = (\beta + 1) \langle \nabla \rho, u \rangle \nabla \rho \quad (1)$$

with  $\langle \nabla \rho, u \rangle \neq 0$  (curvature).

Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$

for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Now it is easy to see that

$$H\rho^{-\beta} = -\beta\rho^{-(\beta+2)} \{ \rho H\rho - (\beta + 1) \nabla \rho \nabla \rho^t \}$$

Suppose there exists a *non-zero*  $u \in \ker H\rho^{-\beta}$ , then

$$\rho H\rho u = (\beta + 1) \langle \nabla \rho, u \rangle \nabla \rho \quad (1)$$

with  $\langle \nabla \rho, u \rangle \neq 0$  (curvature).

- Euler's relation:  $\langle \nabla \rho, x \rangle = \rho$  and  $H\rho x = 0$

Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$

for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla\rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Now it is easy to see that

$$H\rho^{-\beta} = -\beta\rho^{-(\beta+2)} \{ \rho H\rho - (\beta + 1) \nabla\rho \nabla\rho^t \}$$

Suppose there exists a *non-zero*  $u \in \ker H\rho^{-\beta}$ , then

$$\rho H\rho u = (\beta + 1) \langle \nabla\rho, u \rangle \nabla\rho \quad (1)$$

with  $\langle \nabla\rho, u \rangle \neq 0$  (curvature).

- Euler's relation:  $\langle \nabla\rho, x \rangle = \rho$  and  $H\rho x = 0$
- Writing  $u = \lambda x + v$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\langle \nabla\rho, v \rangle = 0$

$$(1) \Leftrightarrow H\rho v = \lambda(\beta + 1) \nabla\rho$$

Consequently,  $\langle H\rho(x)v, v \rangle > 0$

for all  $v \neq 0$  such that  $\langle \nabla \rho(x), v \rangle = 0$ .

Now it is easy to see that

$$H\rho^{-\beta} = -\beta\rho^{-(\beta+2)} \{ \rho H\rho - (\beta + 1) \nabla \rho \nabla \rho^t \}$$

Suppose there exists a *non-zero*  $u \in \ker H\rho^{-\beta}$ , then

$$\rho H\rho u = (\beta + 1) \langle \nabla \rho, u \rangle \nabla \rho \quad (1)$$

with  $\langle \nabla \rho, u \rangle \neq 0$  (curvature).

- Euler's relation:  $\langle \nabla \rho, x \rangle = \rho$  and  $H\rho x = 0$
- Writing  $u = \lambda x + v$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\langle \nabla \rho, v \rangle = 0$

$$(1) \Leftrightarrow H\rho v = \lambda(\beta + 1) \nabla \rho$$

This is impossible as  $\langle H\rho v, x \rangle = \langle H\rho x, v \rangle = 0$ .



## Extension to general $\mathbb{H}$

## Extension to general $\mathbb{H}$

**Corollary.** *Given any  $\mathbb{H}$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that if  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

## Extension to general $\mathbb{H}$

**Corollary.** *Given any  $\mathbb{H}$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that if  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

Proof: Given a group  $\mathbb{H}$  with

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$



## Extension to general $\mathbb{H}$

**Corollary.** *Given any  $\mathbb{H}$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that if  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

Proof: Given a group  $\mathbb{H}$  with

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$

- Define, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the group  $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$  with

$$x \cdot_\varepsilon y = x + y + \varepsilon^{-1}Q(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$$

## Extension to general $\mathbb{H}$

**Corollary.** *Given any  $\mathbb{H}$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that if  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

Proof: Given a group  $\mathbb{H}$  with

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$

- Define, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the group  $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$  with

$$x \cdot_\varepsilon y = x + y + \varepsilon^{-1}Q(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$$

- Proposition 1  $\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0$  so that

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(y^{-1} \cdot_\varepsilon x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

## Extension to general $\mathbb{H}$

**Corollary.** *Given any  $\mathbb{H}$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that if  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

Proof: Given a group  $\mathbb{H}$  with

$$x \cdot y = x + y + Q(x, y)$$

- Define, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the group  $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$  with

$$x \cdot_\varepsilon y = x + y + \varepsilon^{-1}Q(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$$

- Proposition 1  $\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0$  so that

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho_1(y^{-1} \cdot_\varepsilon x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

- $x \mapsto \varepsilon^{-1}x$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$



# Concrete results on the Heisenberg group

## Concrete results on the Heisenberg group

Denote by  $H_a^n$  the homogeneous group defined by

$$x \cdot y = (x' + y', x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + 2\underline{a} x'^t J y')$$

## Concrete results on the Heisenberg group

Denote by  $H_a^n$  the homogeneous group defined by

$$x \cdot y = (x' + y', x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + 2\underline{a} x'^t J y')$$

(i) Consider the special case  $\rho_1(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$

## Concrete results on the Heisenberg group

Denote by  $H_a^n$  the homogeneous group defined by

$$x \cdot y = (x' + y', x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + 2\underline{a} x'^t J y')$$

(i) Consider the special case  $\rho_1(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(b^{-1}x)$  and  $|ab| \leq 1$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

*whenever  $x \neq y$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .*

## Concrete results on the Heisenberg group

Denote by  $H_a^n$  the homogeneous group defined by

$$x \cdot y = (x' + y', x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + 2\underline{a} x'^t J y')$$

(i) Consider the special case  $\rho_1(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(b^{-1}x)$  and  $|ab| \leq 1$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

*whenever  $x \neq y$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .*

$\left[ \text{This corresponds to } \rho(x) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow |x| = b \right]$



## Concrete results on the Heisenberg group

Denote by  $H_a^n$  the homogeneous group defined by

$$x \cdot y = (x' + y', x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + 2\underline{a} x'^t J y')$$

(i) Consider the special case  $\rho_1(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| = 1$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\rho(x) = \rho_1(b^{-1}x)$  and  $|ab| \leq 1$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

*whenever  $x \neq y$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .*

$$\left[ \text{This corresponds to } \rho(x) = 1 \iff |x| = b \right]$$

$$\implies T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \text{ whenever } \alpha \leq (n + \frac{1}{2})\beta$$

(ii) Consider  $\rho_2(x) = (|x'|^4 + x_{2n+1}^2)^{1/4}$  (radial).

(ii) Consider  $\rho_2(x) = (|x'|^4 + x_{2n+1}^2)^{1/4}$  (radial).

Using the *group Fourier transform* one obtains the following (partial) result

**Theorem 3 (NL).** *If  $\rho = \rho_2$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then*

$$\alpha \leq n\beta \implies T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \implies \alpha \leq (n + \tfrac{1}{2})\beta$$

(ii) Consider  $\rho_2(x) = (|x'|^4 + x_{2n+1}^2)^{1/4}$  (radial).

Using the *group Fourier transform* one obtains the following (partial) result

**Theorem 3 (NL).** *If  $\rho = \rho_2$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then*

$$\alpha \leq n\beta \implies T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \implies \alpha \leq (n + \tfrac{1}{2})\beta$$

While sharp  $L^2$  estimates follow from our *oscillatory integral approach* and the result below

**Proposition 3.** *If  $\rho(x) = \rho_2(b^{-1}x)$  and  $|ab| < C_\beta$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

*whenever  $x \neq y$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . (Note that  $C_\beta > 1$ )*

(ii) Consider  $\rho_2(x) = (|x'|^4 + x_{2n+1}^2)^{1/4}$  (radial).

Using the *group Fourier transform* one obtains the following (partial) result

**Theorem 3 (NL).** *If  $\rho = \rho_2$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then*

$$\alpha \leq n\beta \implies T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \implies \alpha \leq (n + \tfrac{1}{2})\beta$$

While sharp  $L^2$  estimates follow from our *oscillatory integral approach* and the result below

**Proposition 3.** *If  $\rho(x) = \rho_2(b^{-1}x)$  and  $|ab| < C_\beta$ , then*

$$\det \partial_{x_j} \partial_{y_k} [\rho(y^{-1} \cdot x)^{-\beta}] \neq 0$$

*whenever  $x \neq y$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . (Note that  $C_\beta > 1$ )*

$$\left[ \text{Here essentially } \rho(x) = (|x'|^4 + b^2 x_{2n+1}^2)^{1/4} \right]$$