Theorem 2

If E is a Lebesgue measurable subset of IR, with m(E)>0, then its difference set:

contains an open interval centered at the origin.

We first consider the following finite (quantitative) version:

Lemma If E ∈ I, I open interval and m(E) > \(\frac{3}{4} m(I) \),
then m(En(E+d))>0 for all Idl< \(\frac{1}{2} m(I) \).

Note: $d \in E - E \Leftrightarrow E_n(E + d) \neq \emptyset$

Proof of Lemma: Exercise. [Homework 1]
$$m(E_{\Lambda}(E+d)) = m(E) + m(E+d) - m(E_{\Psi}(E+d))$$

$$\Rightarrow 2m(E) - (m(I) + |d|)$$

$$E_{\Psi}(E+d) \leq I_{\Psi}(I+d)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |d| < \frac{1}{2}m(I)$$

Proof of Theorem 2:

By the Lemma, it suffices to show that there exists an open interal I such that m(EnI) > 3m(I).

Let 8>0, I open G with ESG such that

m(6) < (1+ E) m(E) This is archaelly just a property of outer measure

Recall that we can write G as a countable

union of disjoint open intervals, G= U I; Hunce

$$m(G) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m(I_j)$$

$$E_{i} = E_{i}T_{i}$$

it follows that

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j^*$$
 and $m(E) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m(E_j^*)$.

Claim: 3 ; such that m(I;) < (HE) m(E;)

and hace m(EnI;)> 1/4 m(I;)

Assuming this Claim we are done (take 2= 1/3).

Proof of Claim: Suppose not, the $\sum m(I_i) \ge (1+\epsilon) \sum m(E_i)$ $\Rightarrow m(G) \ge (1+\epsilon) m(E)$.