Object of study,

where 2>0, QE Co(Pr) and real-valued & YECO(Pr).

In particular, we are interested in the (asymptotic) behaviour of I(A) as A > 00

Propul (Principle of Non-Stationary Phase)

If V4 +0 on the support of 4, then I(x)=O(xN) for any N as A>00.

Thus, the asymptotic behaviour of I(A) is determined by those points where $\nabla\varphi(x_0)=0$. If, at a critical point xo, we also have $H\varphi(x_0):=\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}$ is invertible, we say the critical point is non-degenerate. It is easy to see that non-degenical pts are isolated.

Propri 2 (Principle of Stationary Phase)

If of has a non-deg critical pt at xo & t is supported mia sufficiently small neighbourhood of xo, then

$$I(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{i\pi \sqrt{4}} \left[\frac{2^n}{|\det H_{\theta}(x_0)|} \right]^{1/2} \gamma(x_0) e^{i\pi \lambda \cdot \theta(x_0)} + O(\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}})$$

as 1>00, where o=r-(n-r)=2r-n & r=#+ve eigenvalues of Holko).

Important Observation: Any bound for the rate of decay of I(a) which is independent of Y will be "diffeomorphism invariant".

Suppose $e^{i} = e^{i} \cdot G$ where G is a smooth diffeomorphism. Then $\int e^{i\pi\lambda e^{i}(x)} f(x) dx = \int e^{i\pi\lambda e^{i}(G^{-1}x)} f(x) dx$

= Jeinadi(4) +(Gy)/JG(4)/dy

where J_G is the Jacobian determinant. Note that the function $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(9) = \mathcal{F}(G9) | J_G(9)|$

is again in Cc.

Recall the following standard lemma, concerning the normal forms for a function near a regular pt or a non-deg critical point:

Lemma Let 4: R"→R be smooth.

- (i) [Straightening Lemma] If $\nabla \varphi(x_0) \neq 0$, then \exists nbds U of x_0 & V of O and diffeo $G: V \rightarrow U$ with $G(o) = x_0$ s.t. $\varphi(o) = \varphi(x_0) + x_0$
- (ii) [Morse Lemma] If xo is a non-deg critical pt of of, then I Isrsn and nbds UBV of xo & O resp. together with a diffeo G:V>U with G(o)=Xo such that $efoG(x)=ef(xo)+x_1^2+\cdots+x_r^2-x_{r+1}^2-\cdots-x_n^2$.

(= # +ve eigenvalues of Helixo).

This observation allows us to deduce Propos 1 & 2 from the following special model cases:

Proper 1' If J(A)= Seinaxn x(x)dx, then J(A)=O(A-N) Errany Nos A>N

Propn 2' If K(λ) = ∫ eiπλ(x12+...+x2-x21-...-xn2) χ(x)dx, Hen $K(x) = \lambda^{-n/2} e^{i\pi \sigma/4} \gamma(0) + O(\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}})$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ where o= 2r-n.

Proof of Propril: Immediate from fact that the Fourier transform of a Schwartz function is again a Schwartz function.

Proof of Propri2: We note first that (by Plancherel)

(*) \[\epsilon^{\pi \in \tau \times 2 \times 1/2} \forall \epsilon^{\pi \in \times 2} \hat{\pi}(\times) d\times \\ \text{Rrall 2>0.} \]

Since both sides of (*) are analytic in Re(2) > 0 & conts when Re(2) > 0, 2 + 0 (Ex) it Pollows, that (*) in fact holds for all Re(2) 20, 2+0! by analytic combination

In particular, $(**) \int e^{\pm i\pi\lambda \times^2} \chi(x) dx = \lambda^{-1/2} e^{\pm i\pi/4} \int e^{\pm i\pi \times^2/2} \widehat{\gamma}(x) dx , \lambda > 0.$

Using the fact that $\gamma(0) = \int \widehat{\gamma}(x) dx$ linear combinations

(a corollary of Touris inversion), it follows from (***) that

(**x) $\int e^{\pm i\pi \lambda x^2} \gamma(x) dx = \lambda^{-1/2} e^{\pm i\pi/4} \gamma(0) + O(\lambda^{-3/2})$ ince $\int |e^{\pm i\pi x^2/4} - 1||\hat{\gamma}(x)| dx \leq C\lambda^{-1} \int |x|^2 |\hat{\gamma}(x)| dx \leq C\lambda^{-1}$.

Propri 2' fullow from (***) & the fact that "Ce" tensor functions are derive in Ce" (PM) [Immediate from fact that Trig polys on Thore dense in C(TM).]

Proof of Rope 1

We first work locally.

Let Pi ∈ supp(+) & Ui, Vi be the neighbourhoods featured in Lemma (i) & Gi: Vi → Ui be the diffeomorphism.

Let Y: R">R be smooth and supported on Ui, Ken

$$\int_{U_i} e^{i\pi\lambda \mathcal{A}(x)} \gamma_i(x) dx = e^{i\pi\lambda \mathcal{A}(p_i)} \int_{V_i} e^{i\pi\lambda x_i} \gamma_i(Gx) |J_G(x)| dx$$

= O(A-N) by Proper 1'.

Local -> Global:

Choose a finite collection of points pi,..., pm such that the corresponding neighborhoods Us' corresponding.

Take a partition of unity { 7,3 relative to {U; 3 so that

Set 4; = 47; & Propr 1 hillows from the Iservation that

Proof of Propri 2

Lemma (ii) implies 3 mbds U&V of xo 80 respectively, together with a diffeomorphism G: V > U with G(0) = Xo such that

Suppose 7 is supported on U. Again

$$\int_{u} e^{2\pi\lambda e l(x)} + (x) dx = e^{i\pi\lambda e l(x_0)} \int_{e} e^{i\pi\lambda (x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 - x_{r+1}^2 - \dots - x_n^2)} + (6x) |J_6(x)| dx$$

$$= e^{i\pi\lambda e l(x_0)} e^{i\pi\sigma/4} \lambda^{-n/2} + (x_0) |J_6(0)| + O(\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}})$$

Propri 2'.

We will therefore be done if $|J_G(0)| = \left[\frac{2^n}{|\det H_{c}(x_0)|}\right]^{\frac{n}{2}}$

This is a consequence of the Chain Rule & But that Val(x0)=0:

$$H(q_0G)(0) = DG(0)^t HP(x_0)DG(0)$$
 (*)

L Jacobian of G.

=> | det H(doG)(0) = | JG(0)|2 | det Hel(x0) |

Result fellows since Idet H(do6)(0) dearly equals 2".

Note: (x) =) or is a diffeomorphism invariant.