## Behrend's example

**Theorem 1** (Behrend's Theorem, 1946 [1]). Let N be a large integer. Then there exists a subset  $A \subseteq [1, N]$  with  $\frac{|A|}{N} \ge \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N})$  which does not contain any arithmetic progressions of length three.

*Proof.* Behrend's construction relies on the observation that a line can intersect any sphere in at most two points.

Consider the points  $x \in [1, M]^n$  We know that there are  $M^n$  such points. Let us consider the possible radii of these points x: for any  $x \in [1, M]^n$ , we have  $r^2 := x_1^2 + \dots x_n^2 \in [n, nM^2]$ . Hence, by the pigeonhole principle, there must be some sphere, S, which contains at least

$$|S| \ge \frac{M^n}{n(M^2 - 1)} > \frac{M^{n-2}}{n}$$

points.

We would now like to map S to [1, N]. For any  $x \in S$ , we define

$$P(x) := \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (2M)^i.$$

One can then show (check this!) that

- (i) P is a one-one mapping
- (ii) x + y = 2z whenever P(x) + P(y) = 2P(z)
- (iii)  $\max_{x \in S} P(x) \leq (2M)^n$ .

Let  $A := \{\frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (2M)^i : x \in S\}$ . If we now take  $M := \lfloor N^{1/n}/2 \rfloor$  and  $n := \sqrt{\log N}$ , then we see that  $\frac{|A|}{N} \ge \exp(-C\sqrt{\log N})$ .

The following theorem, taken from Soundararajan [2], should be compared with Varnavides' variant of Roth's theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\delta > 0$  and let N be a large integer. Then there exists a subset  $A \subseteq [1, N]$  such that  $|A| \ge \delta N$  and has fewer than  $\delta^{c \log(1/\delta)} N^2$  three term arithmetic progressions.

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $B \subseteq [1, M]$  with density  $2\delta$  which contains no three term arithmetic progressions. Behrend's construction tells us that we can take  $M = \exp(c \log^2(1/\delta))$ . We will now define a set A using translates of B. For each  $1 \le x \le 2MK$ , we will say that  $x \in A$  if  $x \equiv b \pmod{2M}$  for some  $b \in B$ . Then

$$|A| \geq \delta(2KM)$$
.

Notice that if x + z = 2y, and  $x, y, z \in A$ , then we must have  $x \equiv y \equiv z \pmod{2M}$ . Hence, the maximum number of three term arithmetic progressions in A is bounded by  $2\delta MK \cdot K$ . Applying our choice of M gives the desired result.

## References

- [1] F. A. Behrend. On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 32:331–332, 1946.
- [2] K. Soundararajan. Additive combinatorics: Winter 2007. http://math.stanford.edu/~ksound/Notes.pdf.