

Structure of the large spectrum

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ with density $\delta > 0$ we define, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A) = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{Z}_N : |\hat{1}_A(\xi)| \geq \varepsilon \delta \}.$$

Remarks:

(i) $\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A)$ is symmetric (since 1_A is real)

(ii) $0 \in \text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A)$ (since 1_A is non-neg)

$$(iii) |\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A)| \leq \delta^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Since} \\ \delta \stackrel{\text{Plancherel}}{=} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\hat{1}_A(\xi)|^2 \geq \sum_{\xi \in \text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A)} |\hat{1}_A(\xi)|^2 \geq |\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A)| \varepsilon^2 \delta^2. \end{array} \right]$$

The purpose of this note is to prove

Chang's Structure Theorem

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ with density $\delta > 0$, then

$$\exists \Gamma = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N \text{ with } d \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \log \delta^{-1}$$

such that

$$\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A) \subseteq \left\{ \xi = \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j \eta_j : \varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \right\}.$$

Remark: It follows immediately from this that for any $r > 0$

$$\text{Bohr}(\Gamma, \frac{r}{d}) \subseteq \text{Bohr}(\text{Spec}_\varepsilon(A), r).$$

We will deduce Chang's theorem from the following Fourier analogue of Khintchine's inequality concerning functions whose Fourier spectrum has "no arithmetic structure".

- We say that a set $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ is dissociated if the only solution to the equation

$$\varepsilon_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_m \gamma_m = \varepsilon'_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + \varepsilon'_m \gamma_m$$

with $\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon'_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is the trivial solution $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon'_j = 0$.

Rudin's Inequality: Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. If $f: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a function with a dissociated spectrum $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N : \hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0\}$, then

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C p^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$



$$\left(\mathbb{E}_x \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\gamma) e^{2\pi i x \gamma / N} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C p^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Remark: This is equivalent to the following dual statement:

Given any $f: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and dissociated set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$, then

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C p^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'}$$

Proof (Rudin \Rightarrow Dual Version)

$$\sum_{z \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(z)|^2 = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \hat{f}(z) \Big|_{\Gamma} \overline{\hat{f}(z)} \stackrel{\text{Parseval}}{=} \mathbb{E}_x \left(\sum_{z \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(z) e^{2\pi i x z / N} \right) \cdot \overline{f(x)}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \left(\mathbb{E}_x \left| \sum_{z \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(z) e^{2\pi i x z / N} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ \leq C p^{1/2} \left(\sum_{z \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(z)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \quad \square$$

Corollary. (of dual version of Rudin)

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ with density $\delta > 0$ & $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ is dissociated, then

$$\sum_{z \in \Gamma} |\hat{1}_A(z)|^2 \leq C \delta^2 \log \delta^{-1}$$

beats trivial bound
for small $\delta > 0$.

Moreover, if $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Spec}_{\varepsilon}(A)$ is dissociated, then $|\Gamma| \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \log \delta^{-1}$.

Proof: We know that

$$\sum_{z \in \Gamma} |\hat{1}_A(z)|^2 \leq C_p \delta^{2/p'} = C \delta^2 (p \delta^{-2/p}) = C \delta^2 \log \delta^{-1} \quad \leftarrow \text{choose } p = \underline{c \log \delta^{-1}}$$

If in addition $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Spec}_{\varepsilon}(A)$, then $|\Gamma| \varepsilon^2 \delta^2 \leq \sum_{z \in \Gamma} |\hat{1}_A(z)|^2 \leq C \delta^2 \log \delta^{-1} \quad \square$

Proof of Chang's Theorem:

Let Γ be a maximal dissociated subset of $\text{Spec}_{\varepsilon}(A)$. We know from the Corollary above that $|\Gamma| \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \log \delta^{-1}$. Now the maximality of $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d\}$ ensures that any $z \in \text{Spec}_{\varepsilon}(A)$ is involved in some equation like $\varepsilon z + \sum_{i=1}^d \varepsilon_i \gamma_i = 0$ since otherwise we could add z to Γ and obtain a larger dissociated subset. \square .

Proof of Rudin's Inequality:

$$\text{Let } f_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\xi) \varepsilon(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi / N}$$

↑ any function $\varepsilon: \Gamma \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$.

Note: We know from Khinchine's inequality that \exists choice of ε s.t.

$$(\mathbb{E}_x |f_\varepsilon(x)|^p)^{1/p} \leq C p^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\xi \in \Gamma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

[Exercise]

Claim: $f = f_\varepsilon * p_\varepsilon$ where $p_\varepsilon(x) = 2 \prod_{\xi \in \Gamma} \left(1 + \varepsilon(\xi) \frac{e^{2\pi i x \xi / N} + e^{-2\pi i x \xi / N}}{2} \right)$.

Assuming this for now we see that Young's inequality gives

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f_\varepsilon\|_p \|p_\varepsilon\|_1,$$

and since

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_1 = \mathbb{E}_x p_\varepsilon(x) = 2 \mathbb{E}_x \prod_{\xi \in \Gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon(\xi)}{2} e^{2\pi i x \xi / N} + \frac{\varepsilon(\xi)}{2} e^{-2\pi i x \xi / N} \right)$$

↑
positivity

multiplying out product gives a ^{weighted} sum of terms like

$$\mathbb{E}_x e^{2\pi i x (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_r - \xi'_1 - \dots - \xi'_s) / N}$$

with $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_s$ distinct elements of Γ .

By dissociativity, these are all zero except when $r=s=0$. It is easy to then see that

$$\mathbb{E}_x p_\varepsilon(x) = \underline{\underline{2}}.$$

This completes the proof, modulo the claim. □

Proof of Claim

Since

$$f_\varepsilon * p_\varepsilon(x) = \mathbb{E}_y f_\varepsilon(x-y) p_\varepsilon(y)$$

$$= 2 \mathbb{E}_y \sum_{\eta \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\eta) \varepsilon(\eta) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\eta/N} \prod_{\zeta \in \Gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon(\zeta)}{2} e^{\frac{2\pi i y \zeta}{N}} + \frac{\varepsilon(\zeta)}{2} e^{-\frac{2\pi i y \zeta}{N}}\right)$$

Multiplying out the product and interchanging order of summation gives a weighted sum of terms like

$$\mathbb{E}_y e^{2\pi i y (\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_r - \zeta'_1 - \dots - \zeta'_s - \eta)/N} \quad (*)$$

with $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r, \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_s$ distinct elements of Γ & $\eta \in \Gamma$.

By dissociativity, the only non-zero term is when $r=1, s=0$ & $\zeta_1=\eta$.

It is easy to see that in this case $(*) = 1$ and

$$f_\varepsilon * p_\varepsilon(x) = 2 \sum_{\eta \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\eta) \varepsilon(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \eta/N} \frac{\varepsilon(\eta)}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{\eta \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \eta/N}$$

$$=: f(x).$$

□