Ruzsa's Model Lemma

Theorem (Ruzsa Hodel Lemma)

Let $A \le \mathbb{Z}$ be finite and $k \ge 2$ be an integer. Then for any integer $N \ge |kA - kA|$ there is a subset $A' \le A$ with $|A'| \ge |A|/R$ which is (Freiman) k-isomorphic to some subset of \mathbb{Z}_N .

Defini han

Two subsets A and B of two abelian groups are said to be Freiman <u>K-isomorphic</u> if there is a bijection of: A -> B such that

 $a_1+\cdots+a_k=a_i+\cdots+a_k \iff \varphi(a_i)+\cdots+\varphi(a_k)=\varphi(a_i')+\cdots+\varphi(a_k')$. whenever $a_i,a_i'\in A_i$; such a map is called a k-isomorphism.

Exercise 1

- (a) Suppose P is a GAP and φ : $P \to \varphi(P)$ is a 2-isomorphism, then $\varphi(P)$ is a GAP of the same dimension.
- (b) If A=8B, Hen 2A-2A=22B-2B

Proof of Theorem: Let Ze [0, N], where [0,N] is an internal of reals, that we will choose later. We define of: Z > ZN by

ef(a) = LZa] modN

where LXI dende the usual "floor function" (integer part).

We shall show that if 3 is chosen appropriately, the of is a well-defined k-isomorphism on some subset A' = A. The set A' will be one of the sets

$$A_{3}^{2} = \left\{ a \in A : \frac{j-1}{k} \in \left\{ \frac{3}{4} a \right\} < \frac{j}{k} \right\}, \quad j=1,...,k.$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{j-1}{k} \in \left\{ \frac{3}{4} a \right\} < \frac{j}{k} \right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{j-1}{k} \right\}.$$

In Ruct, we claim that for a suitable choice of 2 the restriction of of is an isomorphism on each A; clearly at least one will have size > 1A1/k.

Our goal is thus to show that $\exists z$ such that for arb. $a_1,...,a_K,a_1,...,a_K \in A_j$ $\lfloor za_1 \rfloor + ... + \lfloor za_K \rfloor \equiv \lfloor za_1 \rfloor + ... + \lfloor za_K \rfloor \mod N \iff a_1 + ... + a_K = a_1 + ... + a_K.$

To see that such a 2 exist consider,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} (\lfloor \frac{2}{a_i} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2}{a_i} \rfloor) = \frac{2}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{K} (a_i - a_i') - \sum_{i=1}^{K} (\{\frac{2}{3}a_i\} - \{\frac{2}{3}a_i'\}).$$

Suppose RHS of (*) holds with all ai, ai' & Aj, the the absolute value of the last sum above is < 1. It fellows that the sum on the left above must = 0, since it is an integer < 1. Thus the LHS of (*) holds, even with equality as integers, regardless of the choice of 3.

Suppose LHS of (*) holds. The LHS of (**) is thus a multiple of N and the RHS is of the form 3t+8, where $t\in kA-kA$ and 18|x|. We want our choice of 3 to force t=0. It thus suffices to pick $3\in [0,N]$ s.t.

for any dEZ and non-zeo integer tekA-kA.

Now for a fixed t, this condition excludes at most |t|+ | inlevals from [0,N] (corresponding to de {0,..., £3), the lengths of which som to 2.

The number of t's that need be considered in $\frac{|KA-KA|-1}{Z}$, since we are omitting t=0 and t and -t give rise to the same set of excluded intervals.

The total length of the excluded intervals is thus IRA-RAI-I, and since N > IRA-RAI this means that there is a $3 \in [0, N]$ outside of these intervals, as required.