Two Partial Converses to the fact that "Convergence => Bounded"

Theorem 1 (Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT))

If a sequence is monotone and bounded, then it converges

Theorem 2 (Bolzano - Weierstrass (BW))

If a sequence is bounded, the it contains a convergent subsequence.

* It is important in both theorems above that the sequence in question is a sequence of real numbers, their proof use the completeness of R".

Proof of MCT

Let {an3 be a bounded increasing sequence of rents. (the proof for decreasing is similar). To prove that Ean3 is convergent, using the definition of convergence, we are going to need a condidate for the limit. Consider the set

A= {an : nENS.

By assimption this set is bounded (and non-empty), so by the AoC we can let S = SUPA .

It seems reasonable to claim that lim an = s.

To prove this, let \$70. Since s=sup A = sup {an: neM} we know 3 element an in the sequence such that S-E < an < S.

The fact that Ean3 is increasing envores that if n>N, the S-E< an <S < S+E

⇒ lan-sl< E.

Note: We in Suct prove that if {au3 is increasing a bounded, kn limi an = sup {an in en3.

It is also true that if {an} is decreasing & bounded, Kn limi an = inf {an: ne M }.

 \Box

Proof of BW

Let 3an3 be a bounded sequence of reals. It fillows from the "Rising Sun Lenuma" that 2an3 "cantains a bounded and monotone subsequence 3anx3 " The HCT tun implies that this subsequence converges.