Argumentation Quality — Annotation Guidelines

Please read the conclusion and the reasons the author provides to support the conclusion. Then select the most appropriate rating.

Local Acceptability: The reasons are individually believable: they could be true. The acceptability of the reasons is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Global acceptability: The reasons and conclusion combined are believable: everything taken together could be true. The acceptability of the conclusion given the reasons is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Local relevance: The reasons (assuming they are true) are relevant to the conclusion: they tel why one could accept the conclusion. The reasons' relevance to the conclusion is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Global relevance: The reasons (assuming they are true) are relevant for resolving a discussion around the conclusion's topic. The reasons' relevance for resolving a discussion is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)

Local sufficiency: The reasons (assuming they are true) are sucient to draw the conclusion: no other reason is necessary to arrive at the conclusion. The sufficiency of the reasons for drawing the conclusion is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Global sufficiency: The reasons (assuming they are true) are sufficient in that they consider any counter-arguments that may arise. The sufficiency of the reasons for considering counter-arguments is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Credibility: The reasons make the author seem trustworthy and knowledgeable. The success of the reasons in making the author seem credible is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Emotional appeal: The reasons can make people feel emotions that make them willing to agree with the author. The success of the reasons in appealing to emotion is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)
Clarity: The author uses clear, grammatically correct and unambiguous language. The author sticks to the main topic and does not make things overly complicated. The clarity of the reasons is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) 2 (Cannot judge)

Appropriateness : The author uses an appropriate style for the reasons and this style is to the	ne
topic's importance.	
The appropriateness of the reasons' style is	
☐ 3 (High)	
☐ 2 (Medium)	
☐ 1 (Low)	
☐ ? (Cannot judge)	
Arrangement: The reasons are properly organized: coherent, easy to follow, wellstructured. The quality of the reason's arrangement is 3 (High) 2 (Medium) 1 (Low) ? (Cannot judge)	