

# Problem 163: Missing Ranges

## Problem Information

Difficulty: [Easy](#)

Acceptance Rate: 0.00%

Paid Only: No

## Problem Description

You are given an inclusive range

[lower, upper]

and a

sorted unique

integer array

nums

, where all elements are within the inclusive range.

A number

x

is considered

missing

if

x

is in the range

[lower, upper]

and

x

is not in

nums

.

Return

the

shortest sorted

list of ranges that

exactly covers all the missing numbers

. That is, no element of

nums

is included in any of the ranges, and each missing number is covered by one of the ranges.

Example 1:

Input:

nums = [0,1,3,50,75], lower = 0, upper = 99

Output:

[[2,2],[4,49],[51,74],[76,99]]

Explanation:

The ranges are: [2,2] [4,49] [51,74] [76,99]

Example 2:

Input:

nums = [-1], lower = -1, upper = -1

Output:

[]

Explanation:

There are no missing ranges since there are no missing numbers.

Constraints:

-10

9

$\leq \text{lower} \leq \text{upper} \leq 10$

9

$0 \leq \text{nums.length} \leq 100$

$\text{lower} \leq \text{nums}[i] \leq \text{upper}$

All the values of

nums

are

unique

.

## Code Snippets

### C++:

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<int>> findMissingRanges(vector<int>& nums, int lower, int
    upper) {

    }
};
```

### Java:

```
class Solution {
    public List<List<Integer>> findMissingRanges(int[] nums, int lower, int
    upper) {

    }
}
```

### Python3:

```
class Solution:
    def findMissingRanges(self, nums: List[int], lower: int, upper: int) ->
    List[List[int]]:
```

### Python:

```
class Solution(object):
    def findMissingRanges(self, nums, lower, upper):
        """
        :type nums: List[int]
        :type lower: int
        :type upper: int
        :rtype: List[List[int]]
        """
```

### JavaScript:

```
/**
 * @param {number[]} nums
 * @param {number} lower
 * @param {number} upper
 * @return {number[][]}
 */
var findMissingRanges = function(nums, lower, upper) {

};
```

### TypeScript:

```
function findMissingRanges(nums: number[], lower: number, upper: number):
number[][] {

};
```

### C#:

```
public class Solution {
    public IList<IList<int>> FindMissingRanges(int[] nums, int lower, int upper)
    {

    }
}
```

### C:

```
/**
 * Return an array of arrays of size *returnSize.
 * The sizes of the arrays are returned as *returnColumnSizes array.
 * Note: Both returned array and *columnSizes array must be malloced, assume
 caller calls free().
 */
int** findMissingRanges(int* nums, int numsSize, int lower, int upper, int*
returnSize, int** returnColumnSizes) {

}
```

### Go:

```

func findMissingRanges(nums []int, lower int, upper int) [][]int {

}

```

## Kotlin:

```

class Solution {
    fun findMissingRanges(nums: IntArray, lower: Int, upper: Int):
        List<List<Int>> {

    }
}

```

## Swift:

```

class Solution {
    func findMissingRanges(_ nums: [Int], _ lower: Int, _ upper: Int) -> [[Int]]
    {

    }
}

```

## Rust:

```

impl Solution {
    pub fn find_missing_ranges(nums: Vec<i32>, lower: i32, upper: i32) ->
        Vec<Vec<i32>> {

    }
}

```

## Ruby:

```

# @param {Integer[]} nums
# @param {Integer} lower
# @param {Integer} upper
# @return {Integer[][]}
def find_missing_ranges(nums, lower, upper)

end

```

## PHP:

```

class Solution {

    /**
     * @param Integer[] $nums
     * @param Integer $lower
     * @param Integer $upper
     * @return Integer[][]
     */
    function findMissingRanges($nums, $lower, $upper) {

    }

}

```

### Dart:

```

class Solution {
    List<List<int>> findMissingRanges(List<int> nums, int lower, int upper) {

    }

}

```

### Scala:

```

object Solution {
    def findMissingRanges(nums: Array[Int], lower: Int, upper: Int):
    List[List[Int]] = {

    }

}

```

### Elixir:

```

defmodule Solution do
    @spec find_missing_ranges(nums :: [integer], lower :: integer, upper ::
    integer) :: [[integer]]
    def find_missing_ranges(nums, lower, upper) do

    end

end

```

### Erlang:

```

-spec find_missing_ranges(Nums :: [integer()], Lower :: integer(), Upper ::
integer()) -> [[integer()]].
find_missing_ranges(Nums, Lower, Upper) ->
.

```

## Racket:

```

(define/contract (find-missing-ranges nums lower upper)
  (-> (listof exact-integer?) exact-integer? exact-integer? (listof (listof
exact-integer?))))
)

```

## Solutions

### C++ Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Missing Ranges
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array, sort
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<int>> findMissingRanges(vector<int>& nums, int lower, int
upper) {

    }

};

```

### Java Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Missing Ranges
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array, sort
 *

```



```

* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

class Solution {
public List<List<Integer>> findMissingRanges(int[] nums, int lower, int
upper) {

}

}

```

### Python3 Solution:

```

"""
Problem: Missing Ranges
Difficulty: Easy
Tags: array, sort

Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
"""

class Solution:
def findMissingRanges(self, nums: List[int], lower: int, upper: int) ->
List[List[int]]:
# TODO: Implement optimized solution
pass

```

### Python Solution:

```

class Solution(object):
def findMissingRanges(self, nums, lower, upper):
"""
:type nums: List[int]
:type lower: int
:type upper: int
:rtype: List[List[int]]
"""

```

## JavaScript Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Missing Ranges
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array, sort
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

/**
 * @param {number[]} nums
 * @param {number} lower
 * @param {number} upper
 * @return {number[][]}
 */
var findMissingRanges = function(nums, lower, upper) {

};
```

## TypeScript Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Missing Ranges
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array, sort
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

function findMissingRanges(nums: number[], lower: number, upper: number):
number[][] {

};
```

## C# Solution:

```
/*
 * Problem: Missing Ranges
```

```

* Difficulty: Easy
* Tags: array, sort
*
* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

public class Solution {
public IList<IList<int>> FindMissingRanges(int[] nums, int lower, int upper)
{

}

}
}

```

## C Solution:

```

/*
* Problem: Missing Ranges
* Difficulty: Easy
* Tags: array, sort
*
* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

/**
* Return an array of arrays of size *returnSize.
* The sizes of the arrays are returned as *returnColumnSizes array.
* Note: Both returned array and *columnSizes array must be malloced, assume
caller calls free().
*/
int** findMissingRanges(int* nums, int numsSize, int lower, int upper, int*
returnSize, int** returnColumnSizes) {

}

```

## Go Solution:

```
// Problem: Missing Ranges
// Difficulty: Easy
// Tags: array, sort
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

func findMissingRanges(nums []int, lower int, upper int) [][]int {

}
```

### Kotlin Solution:

```
class Solution {
    fun findMissingRanges(nums: IntArray, lower: Int, upper: Int):
        List<List<Int>> {

    }
}
```

### Swift Solution:

```
class Solution {
    func findMissingRanges(_ nums: [Int], _ lower: Int, _ upper: Int) -> [[Int]]
    {

    }
}
```

### Rust Solution:

```
// Problem: Missing Ranges
// Difficulty: Easy
// Tags: array, sort
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

impl Solution {
    pub fn find_missing_ranges(nums: Vec<i32>, lower: i32, upper: i32) ->
```

```
Vec<Vec<i32>> {  
  
}  
}
```

### Ruby Solution:

```
# @param {Integer[]} nums  
# @param {Integer} lower  
# @param {Integer} upper  
# @return {Integer[][]}  
def find_missing_ranges(nums, lower, upper)  
  
end
```

### PHP Solution:

```
class Solution {  
  
    /**  
     * @param Integer[] $nums  
     * @param Integer $lower  
     * @param Integer $upper  
     * @return Integer[][]  
     */  
    function findMissingRanges($nums, $lower, $upper) {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Dart Solution:

```
class Solution {  
    List<List<int>> findMissingRanges(List<int> nums, int lower, int upper) {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Scala Solution:

```
object Solution {  
    def findMissingRanges(nums: Array[Int], lower: Int, upper: Int):
```

```
List[List[Int]] = {  
  
}  
}
```

### Elixir Solution:

```
defmodule Solution do  
  @spec find_missing_ranges(nums :: [integer], lower :: integer, upper ::  
    integer) :: [[integer]]  
  def find_missing_ranges(nums, lower, upper) do  
  
  end  
end
```

### Erlang Solution:

```
-spec find_missing_ranges(Nums :: [integer()], Lower :: integer(), Upper ::  
integer()) -> [[integer()]].  
find_missing_ranges(Nums, Lower, Upper) ->  
.
```

### Racket Solution:

```
(define/contract (find-missing-ranges nums lower upper)  
  (-> (listof exact-integer?) exact-integer? exact-integer? (listof (listof  
    exact-integer?)))  
)
```