

Problem 753: Cracking the Safe

Problem Information

Difficulty: **Hard**

Acceptance Rate: 0.00%

Paid Only: No

Problem Description

There is a safe protected by a password. The password is a sequence of

n

digits where each digit can be in the range

$[0, k - 1]$

.

The safe has a peculiar way of checking the password. When you enter in a sequence, it checks the

most recent

n

digits

that were entered each time you type a digit.

For example, the correct password is

"345"

and you enter in

"012345"

:

After typing

0

, the most recent

3

digits is

"0"

, which is incorrect.

After typing

1

, the most recent

3

digits is

"01"

, which is incorrect.

After typing

2

, the most recent

3

digits is

"012"

, which is incorrect.

After typing

3

, the most recent

3

digits is

"123"

, which is incorrect.

After typing

4

, the most recent

3

digits is

"234"

, which is incorrect.

After typing

5

, the most recent

3

digits is

"345"

, which is correct and the safe unlocks.

Return

any string of

minimum length

that will unlock the safe

at some point

of entering it

.

Example 1:

Input:

$n = 1, k = 2$

Output:

"10"

Explanation:

The password is a single digit, so enter each digit. "01" would also unlock the safe.

Example 2:

Input:

$n = 2, k = 2$

Output:

"01100"

Explanation:

For each possible password: - "00" is typed in starting from the 4

th

digit. - "01" is typed in starting from the 1

st

digit. - "10" is typed in starting from the 3

rd

digit. - "11" is typed in starting from the 2

nd

digit. Thus "01100" will unlock the safe. "10011", and "11001" would also unlock the safe.

Constraints:

$1 \leq n \leq 4$

$1 \leq k \leq 10$

$1 \leq k$

n

≤ 4096

Code Snippets

C++:

```
class Solution {  
public:  
    string crackSafe(int n, int k) {  
  
    }  
};
```

Java:

```
class Solution {  
    public String crackSafe(int n, int k) {  
  
    }  
}
```

Python3:

```
class Solution:  
    def crackSafe(self, n: int, k: int) -> str:
```

Python:

```
class Solution(object):  
    def crackSafe(self, n, k):  
        """  
        :type n: int  
        :type k: int  
        :rtype: str  
        """
```

JavaScript:

```
/**  
 * @param {number} n  
 * @param {number} k
```

```
* @return {string}
*/
var crackSafe = function(n, k) {

};
```

TypeScript:

```
function crackSafe(n: number, k: number): string {

};
```

C#:

```
public class Solution {
    public string CrackSafe(int n, int k) {

    }
}
```

C:

```
char* crackSafe(int n, int k) {

}
```

Go:

```
func crackSafe(n int, k int) string {

}
```

Kotlin:

```
class Solution {
    fun crackSafe(n: Int, k: Int): String {

    }
}
```

Swift:

```

class Solution {
  func crackSafe(_ n: Int, _ k: Int) -> String {

  }
}

```

Rust:

```

impl Solution {
  pub fn crack_safe(n: i32, k: i32) -> String {

  }
}

```

Ruby:

```

# @param {Integer} n
# @param {Integer} k
# @return {String}
def crack_safe(n, k)

end

```

PHP:

```

class Solution {

  /**
   * @param Integer $n
   * @param Integer $k
   * @return String
   */
  function crackSafe($n, $k) {

  }
}

```

Dart:

```

class Solution {
  String crackSafe(int n, int k) {

  }
}

```



```
}
```

Scala:

```
object Solution {  
  def crackSafe(n: Int, k: Int): String = {  
  
  }  
}
```

Elixir:

```
defmodule Solution do  
  @spec crack_safe(n :: integer, k :: integer) :: String.t  
  def crack_safe(n, k) do  
  
  end  
end
```

Erlang:

```
-spec crack_safe(N :: integer(), K :: integer()) -> unicode:unicode_binary().  
crack_safe(N, K) ->  
.
```

Racket:

```
(define/contract (crack-safe n k)  
  (-> exact-integer? exact-integer? string?)  
)
```

Solutions

C++ Solution:

```
/*  
 * Problem: Cracking the Safe  
 * Difficulty: Hard  
 * Tags: string, graph, search  
 */
```

```

* Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

class Solution {
public:
    string crackSafe(int n, int k) {

    }
};

```

Java Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Cracking the Safe
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: string, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

class Solution {
    public String crackSafe(int n, int k) {

    }
}

```

Python3 Solution:

```

"""
Problem: Cracking the Safe
Difficulty: Hard
Tags: string, graph, search

Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
"""

```

```

class Solution:
def crackSafe(self, n: int, k: int) -> str:
# TODO: Implement optimized solution
pass

```

Python Solution:

```

class Solution(object):
def crackSafe(self, n, k):
"""
:type n: int
:type k: int
:rtype: str
"""

```

JavaScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Cracking the Safe
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: string, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

/**
 * @param {number} n
 * @param {number} k
 * @return {string}
 */
var crackSafe = function(n, k) {

};

```

TypeScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Cracking the Safe

```

```

* Difficulty: Hard
* Tags: string, graph, search
*
* Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

function crackSafe(n: number, k: number): string {

};

```

C# Solution:

```

/*
* Problem: Cracking the Safe
* Difficulty: Hard
* Tags: string, graph, search
*
* Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

public class Solution {
    public string CrackSafe(int n, int k) {

    }
}

```

C Solution:

```

/*
* Problem: Cracking the Safe
* Difficulty: Hard
* Tags: string, graph, search
*
* Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

```

```
char* crackSafe(int n, int k) {  
  
}
```

Go Solution:

```
// Problem: Cracking the Safe  
// Difficulty: Hard  
// Tags: string, graph, search  
//  
// Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers  
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)  
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach  
  
func crackSafe(n int, k int) string {  
  
}
```

Kotlin Solution:

```
class Solution {  
    fun crackSafe(n: Int, k: Int): String {  
  
    }  
}
```

Swift Solution:

```
class Solution {  
    func crackSafe(_ n: Int, _ k: Int) -> String {  
  
    }  
}
```

Rust Solution:

```
// Problem: Cracking the Safe  
// Difficulty: Hard  
// Tags: string, graph, search  
//
```

```

// Approach: String manipulation with hash map or two pointers
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

impl Solution {
pub fn crack_safe(n: i32, k: i32) -> String {

}
}

```

Ruby Solution:

```

# @param {Integer} n
# @param {Integer} k
# @return {String}
def crack_safe(n, k)

end

```

PHP Solution:

```

class Solution {

/**
 * @param Integer $n
 * @param Integer $k
 * @return String
 */
function crackSafe($n, $k) {

}

}

```

Dart Solution:

```

class Solution {
String crackSafe(int n, int k) {

}

}

```

Scala Solution:

```
object Solution {  
  def crackSafe(n: Int, k: Int): String = {  
  
  }  
}
```

Elixir Solution:

```
defmodule Solution do  
  @spec crack_safe(n :: integer, k :: integer) :: String.t  
  def crack_safe(n, k) do  
  
  end  
end
```

Erlang Solution:

```
-spec crack_safe(N :: integer(), K :: integer()) -> unicode:unicode_binary().  
crack_safe(N, K) ->  
.
```

Racket Solution:

```
(define/contract (crack-safe n k)  
  (-> exact-integer? exact-integer? string?)  
)
```