

Problem 1480: Running Sum of 1d Array

Problem Information

Difficulty: [Easy](#)

Acceptance Rate: 0.00%

Paid Only: No

Problem Description

Given an array

`nums`

. We define a running sum of an array as

$$\text{runningSum}[i] = \text{sum}(\text{nums}[0] \dots \text{nums}[i])$$

.

Return the running sum of

`nums`

.

Example 1:

Input:

`nums = [1,2,3,4]`

Output:

`[1,3,6,10]`

Explanation:

Running sum is obtained as follows: [1, 1+2, 1+2+3, 1+2+3+4].

Example 2:

Input:

nums = [1,1,1,1,1]

Output:

[1,2,3,4,5]

Explanation:

Running sum is obtained as follows: [1, 1+1, 1+1+1, 1+1+1+1, 1+1+1+1+1].

Example 3:

Input:

nums = [3,1,2,10,1]

Output:

[3,4,6,16,17]

Constraints:

$1 \leq \text{nums.length} \leq 1000$

$-10^6 \leq \text{nums}[i] \leq 10^6$

Code Snippets

C++:

```

class Solution {
public:
    vector<int> runningSum(vector<int>& nums) {

    }

};

```

Java:

```

class Solution {
    public int[] runningSum(int[] nums) {

    }

}

```

Python3:

```

class Solution:
    def runningSum(self, nums: List[int]) -> List[int]:

```

Python:

```

class Solution(object):
    def runningSum(self, nums):
        """
        :type nums: List[int]
        :rtype: List[int]
        """

```

JavaScript:

```

/**
 * @param {number[]} nums
 * @return {number[]}
 */
var runningSum = function(nums) {

};

```

TypeScript:

```

function runningSum(nums: number[]): number[] {

```

```
};
```

C#:

```
public class Solution {  
    public int[] RunningSum(int[] nums) {  
  
    }  
}
```

C:

```
/**  
 * Note: The returned array must be malloced, assume caller calls free().  
 */  
int* runningSum(int* nums, int numsSize, int* returnSize) {  
  
}
```

Go:

```
func runningSum(nums []int) []int {  
  
}
```

Kotlin:

```
class Solution {  
    fun runningSum(nums: IntArray): IntArray {  
  
    }  
}
```

Swift:

```
class Solution {  
    func runningSum(_ nums: [Int]) -> [Int] {  
  
    }  
}
```

Rust:

```

impl Solution {
  pub fn running_sum(nums: Vec<i32>) -> Vec<i32> {

  }
}

```

Ruby:

```

# @param {Integer[]} nums
# @return {Integer[]}
def running_sum(nums)

end

```

PHP:

```

class Solution {

    /**
     * @param Integer[] $nums
     * @return Integer[]
     */
    function runningSum($nums) {

    }

}

```

Dart:

```

class Solution {
  List<int> runningSum(List<int> nums) {

  }
}

```

Scala:

```

object Solution {
  def runningSum(nums: Array[Int]): Array[Int] = {

  }
}

```

Elixir:

```
defmodule Solution do
  @spec running_sum(nums :: [integer]) :: [integer]
  def running_sum(nums) do

  end

end
```

Erlang:

```
-spec running_sum(Nums :: [integer()]) -> [integer()].
running_sum(Nums) ->
.
```

Racket:

```
(define/contract (running-sum nums)
  (-> (listof exact-integer?) (listof exact-integer?))
)
```

Solutions

C++ Solution:

```
/*
 * Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

class Solution {
public:
    vector<int> runningSum(vector<int>& nums) {

    }

};
```

Java Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

class Solution {
    public int[] runningSum(int[] nums) {

    }
}
```

Python3 Solution:

```
"""
Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
Difficulty: Easy
Tags: array

Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
"""

class Solution:
    def runningSum(self, nums: List[int]) -> List[int]:
        # TODO: Implement optimized solution
        pass
```

Python Solution:

```
class Solution(object):
    def runningSum(self, nums):
        """
        :type nums: List[int]
        :rtype: List[int]
```

```
"""
```

JavaScript Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

/**
 * @param {number[]} nums
 * @return {number[]}
 */
var runningSum = function(nums) {

};
```

TypeScript Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

function runningSum(nums: number[]): number[] {

};
```

C# Solution:


```

/*
 * Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

public class Solution {
    public int[] RunningSum(int[] nums) {

    }
}

```

C Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
 * Difficulty: Easy
 * Tags: array
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

/**
 * Note: The returned array must be malloced, assume caller calls free().
 */
int* runningSum(int* nums, int numsSize, int* returnSize) {

}

```

Go Solution:

```

// Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
// Difficulty: Easy
// Tags: array
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique

```

```
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

func runningSum(nums []int) []int {

}
```

Kotlin Solution:

```
class Solution {
    fun runningSum(nums: IntArray): IntArray {

    }
}
```

Swift Solution:

```
class Solution {
    func runningSum(_ nums: [Int]) -> [Int] {

    }
}
```

Rust Solution:

```
// Problem: Running Sum of 1d Array
// Difficulty: Easy
// Tags: array
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

impl Solution {
    pub fn running_sum(nums: Vec<i32>) -> Vec<i32> {

    }
}
```

Ruby Solution:

```
# @param {Integer[]} nums
# @return {Integer[]}
def running_sum(nums)

end
```

PHP Solution:

```
class Solution {

    /**
     * @param Integer[] $nums
     * @return Integer[]
     */
    function runningSum($nums) {

    }

}
```

Dart Solution:

```
class Solution {
  List<int> runningSum(List<int> nums) {

  }

}
```

Scala Solution:

```
object Solution {
  def runningSum(nums: Array[Int]): Array[Int] = {

  }

}
```

Elixir Solution:

```
defmodule Solution do
  @spec running_sum(nums :: [integer]) :: [integer]
  def running_sum(nums) do

  end
end
```

```
end
```

Erlang Solution:

```
-spec running_sum(Nums :: [integer()]) -> [integer()].  
running_sum(Nums) ->  
.
```

Racket Solution:

```
(define/contract (running-sum nums)  
  (-> (listof exact-integer?) (listof exact-integer?))  
  )
```