

# Problem 3603: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II

## Problem Information

Difficulty: Medium

Acceptance Rate: 0.00%

Paid Only: No

## Problem Description

You are given two integers

$m$

and

$n$

representing the number of rows and columns of a grid, respectively.

The cost to enter cell

$(i, j)$

is defined as

$(i + 1) * (j + 1)$

You are also given a 2D integer array

`waitCost`

where

`waitCost[i][j]`

defines the cost to

wait

on that cell.

The path will always begin by entering cell

(0, 0)

on move 1 and paying the entrance cost.

At each step, you follow an alternating pattern:

On

odd-numbered

seconds, you must move

right

or

down

to an

adjacent

cell, paying its entry cost.

On

even-numbered

seconds, you must

wait

in place for

exactly

one second and pay

$\text{waitCost}[i][j]$

during that second.

Return the

minimum

total cost required to reach

$(m - 1, n - 1)$

.

Example 1:

Input:

$m = 1, n = 2, \text{waitCost} = [[1,2]]$

Output:

3

Explanation:

The optimal path is:

Start at cell

(0, 0)

at second 1 with entry cost

$$(0 + 1) * (0 + 1) = 1$$

Second 1

: Move right to cell

(0, 1)

with entry cost

$$(0 + 1) * (1 + 1) = 2$$

Thus, the total cost is

$$1 + 2 = 3$$

Example 2:

Input:

$$m = 2, n = 2, \text{waitCost} = [[3,5],[2,4]]$$

Output:

9

Explanation:

The optimal path is:

Start at cell

(0, 0)

at second 1 with entry cost

$$(0 + 1) * (0 + 1) = 1$$

Second 1

: Move down to cell

(1, 0)

with entry cost

$$(1 + 1) * (0 + 1) = 2$$

Second 2

: Wait at cell

(1, 0)

, paying

$$\text{waitCost}[1][0] = 2$$

Second 3

: Move right to cell

(1, 1)

with entry cost

$$(1 + 1) * (1 + 1) = 4$$

Thus, the total cost is

$$1 + 2 + 2 + 4 = 9$$

Example 3:

Input:

$$m = 2, n = 3, \text{waitCost} = [[6,1,4],[3,2,5]]$$

Output:

16

Explanation:

The optimal path is:

Start at cell

(0, 0)

at second 1 with entry cost

$$(0 + 1) * (0 + 1) = 1$$

Second 1

: Move right to cell

(0, 1)

with entry cost

$$(0 + 1) * (1 + 1) = 2$$

Second 2

: Wait at cell

(0, 1)

, paying

$$\text{waitCost}[0][1] = 1$$

Second 3

: Move down to cell

(1, 1)

with entry cost

$$(1 + 1) * (1 + 1) = 4$$

Second 4

: Wait at cell

(1, 1)

, paying

waitCost[1][1] = 2

Second 5

: Move right to cell

(1, 2)

with entry cost

$(1 + 1) * (2 + 1) = 6$

Thus, the total cost is

$1 + 2 + 1 + 4 + 2 + 6 = 16$

Constraints:

$1 \leq m, n \leq 10$

5

$2 \leq m * n \leq 10$

5

waitCost.length == m

```
waitCost[0].length == n
```

```
0 <= waitCost[i][j] <= 10
```

```
5
```

## Code Snippets

### C++:

```
class Solution {  
public:  
    long long minCost(int m, int n, vector<vector<int>>& waitCost) {  
  
    }  
};
```

### Java:

```
class Solution {  
public long minCost(int m, int n, int[][] waitCost) {  
  
}  
}
```

### Python3:

```
class Solution:  
    def minCost(self, m: int, n: int, waitCost: List[List[int]]) -> int:
```

### Python:

```
class Solution(object):  
    def minCost(self, m, n, waitCost):  
        """  
        :type m: int  
        :type n: int  
        :type waitCost: List[List[int]]  
        :rtype: int  
        """
```

**JavaScript:**

```
/**  
 * @param {number} m  
 * @param {number} n  
 * @param {number[][][]} waitCost  
 * @return {number}  
 */  
  
var minCost = function(m, n, waitCost) {  
  
};
```

**TypeScript:**

```
function minCost(m: number, n: number, waitCost: number[][][]): number {  
  
};
```

**C#:**

```
public class Solution {  
public long MinCost(int m, int n, int[][][] waitCost) {  
  
}  
}
```

**C:**

```
long long minCost(int m, int n, int** waitCost, int waitCostSize, int*  
waitCostColSize) {  
  
}
```

**Go:**

```
func minCost(m int, n int, waitCost [][]int) int64 {  
  
}
```

**Kotlin:**

```
class Solution {  
fun minCost(m: Int, n: Int, waitCost: Array<IntArray>): Long {
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

### Swift:

```
class Solution {  
    func minCost(_ m: Int, _ n: Int, _ waitCost: [[Int]]) -> Int {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Rust:

```
impl Solution {  
    pub fn min_cost(m: i32, n: i32, wait_cost: Vec<Vec<i32>>) -> i64 {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Ruby:

```
# @param {Integer} m  
# @param {Integer} n  
# @param {Integer[][]} wait_cost  
# @return {Integer}  
def min_cost(m, n, wait_cost)  
  
end
```

### PHP:

```
class Solution {  
  
    /**  
     * @param Integer $m  
     * @param Integer $n  
     * @param Integer[][] $waitCost  
     * @return Integer  
     */  
    function minCost($m, $n, $waitCost) {
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

### Dart:

```
class Solution {  
    int minCost(int m, int n, List<List<int>> waitCost) {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Scala:

```
object Solution {  
    def minCost(m: Int, n: Int, waitCost: Array[Array[Int]]): Long = {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Elixir:

```
defmodule Solution do  
  @spec min_cost(m :: integer, n :: integer, wait_cost :: [[integer]]) ::  
  integer  
  def min_cost(m, n, wait_cost) do  
  
  end  
end
```

### Erlang:

```
-spec min_cost(M :: integer(), N :: integer(), WaitCost :: [[integer()]]) ->  
integer().  
min_cost(M, N, WaitCost) ->  
.
```

### Racket:

```
(define/contract (min-cost m n waitCost)  
  (-> exact-integer? exact-integer? (listof (listof exact-integer?))  
      exact-integer?)  
)
```

## Solutions

### C++ Solution:

```
/*
 * Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
 * Difficulty: Medium
 * Tags: array, dp
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
 */

class Solution {
public:
    long long minCost(int m, int n, vector<vector<int>>& waitCost) {

    }
};
```

### Java Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
 * Difficulty: Medium
 * Tags: array, dp
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
 */

class Solution {
    public long minCost(int m, int n, int[][] waitCost) {

    }
}
```

### Python3 Solution:

```

"""
Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
Difficulty: Medium
Tags: array, dp

Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
"""

class Solution:

def minCost(self, m: int, n: int, waitCost: List[List[int]]) -> int:
    # TODO: Implement optimized solution
    pass

```

### Python Solution:

```

class Solution(object):

def minCost(self, m, n, waitCost):
    """
    :type m: int
    :type n: int
    :type waitCost: List[List[int]]
    :rtype: int
"""

```

### JavaScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
 * Difficulty: Medium
 * Tags: array, dp
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
 */

/**
 * @param {number} m
 * @param {number} n
 * @param {number[][]} waitCost

```

```

    * @return {number}
    */
var minCost = function(m, n, waitCost) {
};


```

### TypeScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
 * Difficulty: Medium
 * Tags: array, dp
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
 */

function minCost(m: number, n: number, waitCost: number[][][]): number {
};


```

### C# Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
 * Difficulty: Medium
 * Tags: array, dp
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
 */

public class Solution {
    public long MinCost(int m, int n, int[][][] waitCost) {
        }

    }
}


```

### C Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
 * Difficulty: Medium
 * Tags: array, dp
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table
 */

long long minCost(int m, int n, int** waitCost, int waitCostSize, int*
waitCostColSize) {

}

```

### Go Solution:

```

// Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
// Difficulty: Medium
// Tags: array, dp
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table

func minCost(m int, n int, waitCost [][]int) int64 {
}

```

### Kotlin Solution:

```

class Solution {
    fun minCost(m: Int, n: Int, waitCost: Array<IntArray>): Long {
    }
}

```

### Swift Solution:

```

class Solution {
    func minCost(_ m: Int, _ n: Int, _ waitCost: [[Int]]) -> Int {
}

```

```
}
```

```
}
```

### Rust Solution:

```
// Problem: Minimum Cost Path with Alternating Directions II
// Difficulty: Medium
// Tags: array, dp
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(n) or O(n * m) for DP table

impl Solution {
    pub fn min_cost(m: i32, n: i32, wait_cost: Vec<Vec<i32>>) -> i64 {
        }

    }
}
```

### Ruby Solution:

```
# @param {Integer} m
# @param {Integer} n
# @param {Integer[][]} wait_cost
# @return {Integer}
def min_cost(m, n, wait_cost)

end
```

### PHP Solution:

```
class Solution {

    /**
     * @param Integer $m
     * @param Integer $n
     * @param Integer[][] $waitCost
     * @return Integer
     */
    function minCost($m, $n, $waitCost) {
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

### Dart Solution:

```
class Solution {  
    int minCost(int m, int n, List<List<int>> waitCost) {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Scala Solution:

```
object Solution {  
    def minCost(m: Int, n: Int, waitCost: Array[Array[Int]]): Long = {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Elixir Solution:

```
defmodule Solution do  
  @spec min_cost(m :: integer, n :: integer, wait_cost :: [[integer]]) ::  
        integer  
  def min_cost(m, n, wait_cost) do  
  
  end  
end
```

### Erlang Solution:

```
-spec min_cost(M :: integer(), N :: integer(), WaitCost :: [[integer()]]) ->  
      integer().  
min_cost(M, N, WaitCost) ->  
  .
```

### Racket Solution:

```
(define/contract (min-cost m n waitCost)  
  (-> exact-integer? exact-integer? (listof (listof exact-integer?))  
      exact-integer?))
```

