

# Problem 2819: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates

## Problem Information

Difficulty: **Hard**

Acceptance Rate: 0.00%

Paid Only: No

## Problem Description

You are given an integer array

prices

, which shows the chocolate prices and a 2D integer array

queries

, where

queries[i] = [k

i

, m

i

]

.

Alice and Bob went to buy some chocolates, and Alice suggested a way to pay for them, and Bob agreed.

The terms for each query are as follows:

If the price of a chocolate is

less than or equal to

$k$

$i$

, Bob pays for it.

Otherwise, Bob pays

$k$

$i$

of it, and Alice pays the

rest

.

Bob wants to select

exactly

$m$

$i$

chocolates such that his

relative loss

is

minimized

, more formally, if, in total, Alice has paid

$a_i$

$i$

and Bob has paid

$b_i$

$i$

, Bob wants to minimize

$b_i$

$i$

$- a_i$

$i$

.

Return

an integer array

ans

where

ans[i]

is Bob's

minimum relative loss

possible for

queries[i]

.

Example 1:

Input:

prices = [1,9,22,10,19], queries = [[18,4],[5,2]]

Output:

[34,-21]

Explanation:

For the 1

st

query Bob selects the chocolates with prices [1,9,10,22]. He pays  $1 + 9 + 10 + 18 = 38$  and Alice pays  $0 + 0 + 0 + 4 = 4$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $38 - 4 = 34$ . For the 2

nd

query Bob selects the chocolates with prices [19,22]. He pays  $5 + 5 = 10$  and Alice pays  $14 + 17 = 31$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $10 - 31 = -21$ . It can be shown that these are the minimum possible relative losses.

Example 2:

Input:

prices = [1,5,4,3,7,11,9], queries = [[5,4],[5,7],[7,3],[4,5]]

Output:

[4,16,7,1]

Explanation:

For the 1

st

query Bob selects the chocolates with prices [1,3,9,11]. He pays  $1 + 3 + 5 + 5 = 14$  and Alice pays  $0 + 0 + 4 + 6 = 10$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $14 - 10 = 4$ . For the 2

nd

query Bob has to select all the chocolates. He pays  $1 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 5 + 5 + 5 = 28$  and Alice pays  $0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 2 + 6 + 4 = 12$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $28 - 12 = 16$ . For the 3

rd

query Bob selects the chocolates with prices [1,3,11] and he pays  $1 + 3 + 7 = 11$  and Alice pays  $0 + 0 + 4 = 4$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $11 - 4 = 7$ . For the 4

th

query Bob selects the chocolates with prices [1,3,7,9,11] and he pays  $1 + 3 + 4 + 4 + 4 = 16$  and Alice pays  $0 + 0 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 15$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $16 - 15 = 1$ . It can be shown that these are the minimum possible relative losses.

Example 3:

Input:

prices = [5,6,7], queries = [[10,1],[5,3],[3,3]]

Output:

[5,12,0]

Explanation:

For the 1

st

query Bob selects the chocolate with price 5 and he pays 5 and Alice pays 0. So Bob's relative loss is  $5 - 0 = 5$ . For the 2

nd

query Bob has to select all the chocolates. He pays  $5 + 5 + 5 = 15$  and Alice pays  $0 + 1 + 2 = 3$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $15 - 3 = 12$ . For the 3

rd

query Bob has to select all the chocolates. He pays  $3 + 3 + 3 = 9$  and Alice pays  $2 + 3 + 4 = 9$ . So Bob's relative loss is  $9 - 9 = 0$ . It can be shown that these are the minimum possible relative losses.

Constraints:

$1 \leq \text{prices.length} == n \leq 10$

5

$1 \leq \text{prices}[i] \leq 10$

9

$1 \leq \text{queries.length} \leq 10$

5

$\text{queries}[i].\text{length} == 2$

$1 \leq k$

i

$\leq 10$

9

1 <= m

i

<= n

## Code Snippets

### C++:

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<long long> minimumRelativeLosses(vector<int>& prices,
    vector<vector<int>>& queries) {

    }
};
```

### Java:

```
class Solution {
    public long[] minimumRelativeLosses(int[] prices, int[][] queries) {

    }
}
```

### Python3:

```
class Solution:
    def minimumRelativeLosses(self, prices: List[int], queries: List[List[int]])
    -> List[int]:
```

### Python:

```
class Solution(object):
    def minimumRelativeLosses(self, prices, queries):
        """
        :type prices: List[int]
```

```

:type queries: List[List[int]]
:rtype: List[int]
"""

```

### JavaScript:

```

/**
 * @param {number[]} prices
 * @param {number[][]} queries
 * @return {number[]}
 */
var minimumRelativeLosses = function(prices, queries) {

};

```

### TypeScript:

```

function minimumRelativeLosses(prices: number[], queries: number[][]):
number[] {

};

```

### C#:

```

public class Solution {
    public long[] MinimumRelativeLosses(int[] prices, int[][] queries) {

    }
}

```

### C:

```

/**
 * Note: The returned array must be malloced, assume caller calls free().
 */
long long* minimumRelativeLosses(int* prices, int pricesSize, int** queries,
int queriesSize, int* queriesColSize, int* returnSize) {

}

```

### Go:



```

func minimumRelativeLosses(prices []int, queries [][]int) []int64 {

}

```

### Kotlin:

```

class Solution {
    fun minimumRelativeLosses(prices: IntArray, queries: Array<IntArray>):
        LongArray {

    }
}

```

### Swift:

```

class Solution {
    func minimumRelativeLosses(_ prices: [Int], _ queries: [[Int]]) -> [Int] {

    }
}

```

### Rust:

```

impl Solution {
    pub fn minimum_relative_losses(prices: Vec<i32>, queries: Vec<Vec<i32>>) ->
        Vec<i64> {

    }
}

```

### Ruby:

```

# @param {Integer[]} prices
# @param {Integer[][]} queries
# @return {Integer[]}
def minimum_relative_losses(prices, queries)

end

```

### PHP:

```

class Solution {

```

```

/**
 * @param Integer[] $prices
 * @param Integer[][] $queries
 * @return Integer[]
 */
function minimumRelativeLosses($prices, $queries) {

}
}

```

### Dart:

```

class Solution {
  List<int> minimumRelativeLosses(List<int> prices, List<List<int>> queries) {

  }
}

```

### Scala:

```

object Solution {
  def minimumRelativeLosses(prices: Array[Int], queries: Array[Array[Int]]):
    Array[Long] = {

  }
}

```

### Elixir:

```

defmodule Solution do
  @spec minimum_relative_losses(prices :: [integer], queries :: [[integer]]) ::
    [integer]
  def minimum_relative_losses(prices, queries) do

  end
end

```

### Erlang:

```

-spec minimum_relative_losses(Prices :: [integer()], Queries ::
[[integer()]]) -> [integer()].
minimum_relative_losses(Prices, Queries) ->

```

.

### Racket:

```
(define/contract (minimum-relative-losses prices queries)
  (-> (listof exact-integer?) (listof (listof exact-integer?)) (listof
exact-integer?))
)
```

## Solutions

### C++ Solution:

```
/*
 * Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, sort, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

class Solution {
public:
    vector<long long> minimumRelativeLosses(vector<int>& prices,
vector<vector<int>>& queries) {

    }

};
```

### Java Solution:

```
/**
 * Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, sort, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
```

```

* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

class Solution {
public long[] minimumRelativeLosses(int[] prices, int[][] queries) {

}

}

```

### Python3 Solution:

```

"""
Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
Difficulty: Hard
Tags: array, sort, search

Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
"""

class Solution:
def minimumRelativeLosses(self, prices: List[int], queries: List[List[int]])
-> List[int]:
# TODO: Implement optimized solution
pass

```

### Python Solution:

```

class Solution(object):
def minimumRelativeLosses(self, prices, queries):
"""
:type prices: List[int]
:type queries: List[List[int]]
:rtype: List[int]
"""

```

### JavaScript Solution:

```

/**
* Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates

```

```

* Difficulty: Hard
* Tags: array, sort, search
*
* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

/**
 * @param {number[]} prices
 * @param {number[][]} queries
 * @return {number[]}
 */
var minimumRelativeLosses = function(prices, queries) {

};

```

### TypeScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, sort, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

function minimumRelativeLosses(prices: number[], queries: number[][]):
number[] {

};

```

### C# Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, sort, search
 *

```

```

* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

public class Solution {
public long[] MinimumRelativeLosses(int[] prices, int[][] queries) {

}

}

```

### C Solution:

```

/*
* Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
* Difficulty: Hard
* Tags: array, sort, search
*
* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

/**
* Note: The returned array must be malloced, assume caller calls free().
*/
long long* minimumRelativeLosses(int* prices, int pricesSize, int** queries,
int queriesSize, int* queriesColSize, int* returnSize) {

}

```

### Go Solution:

```

// Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
// Difficulty: Hard
// Tags: array, sort, search
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

```

```

func minimumRelativeLosses(prices []int, queries [][]int) []int64 {

}

```

### Kotlin Solution:

```

class Solution {
    fun minimumRelativeLosses(prices: IntArray, queries: Array<IntArray>):
        LongArray {

    }
}

```

### Swift Solution:

```

class Solution {
    func minimumRelativeLosses(_ prices: [Int], _ queries: [[Int]]) -> [Int] {

    }
}

```

### Rust Solution:

```

// Problem: Minimum Relative Loss After Buying Chocolates
// Difficulty: Hard
// Tags: array, sort, search
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

impl Solution {
    pub fn minimum_relative_losses(prices: Vec<i32>, queries: Vec<Vec<i32>> > ->
        Vec<i64> {

    }
}

```

### Ruby Solution:

```

# @param {Integer[]} prices
# @param {Integer[][]} queries
# @return {Integer[]}
def minimum_relative_losses(prices, queries)

end

```

### PHP Solution:

```

class Solution {

    /**
     * @param Integer[] $prices
     * @param Integer[][] $queries
     * @return Integer[]
     */
    function minimumRelativeLosses($prices, $queries) {

    }

}

```

### Dart Solution:

```

class Solution {
  List<int> minimumRelativeLosses(List<int> prices, List<List<int>> queries) {

  }

}

```

### Scala Solution:

```

object Solution {
  def minimumRelativeLosses(prices: Array[Int], queries: Array[Array[Int]]):
    Array[Long] = {

  }

}

```

### Elixir Solution:

```

defmodule Solution do
  @spec minimum_relative_losses(prices :: [integer], queries :: [[integer]]) ::

```



```
[integer]
def minimum_relative_losses(prices, queries) do

end
end
```

### Erlang Solution:

```
-spec minimum_relative_losses(Prices :: [integer()], Queries ::
[[integer()]]) -> [integer()].
minimum_relative_losses(Prices, Queries) ->
.
```

### Racket Solution:

```
(define/contract (minimum-relative-losses prices queries)
  (-> (listof exact-integer?) (listof (listof exact-integer?)) (listof
exact-integer?))
)
```