

# Problem 2097: Valid Arrangement of Pairs

## Problem Information

Difficulty: **Hard**

Acceptance Rate: 0.00%

Paid Only: No

## Problem Description

You are given a

0-indexed

2D integer array

pairs

where

pairs[i] = [start

i

, end

i

]

. An arrangement of

pairs

is

valid

if for every index

i

where

$1 \leq i < \text{pairs.length}$

, we have

end

i-1

== start

i

.

Return

any

valid arrangement of

pairs

.

Note:

The inputs will be generated such that there exists a valid arrangement of

pairs

.

Example 1:

Input:

```
pairs = [[5,1],[4,5],[11,9],[9,4]]
```

Output:

```
[[11,9],[9,4],[4,5],[5,1]]
```

Explanation:

This is a valid arrangement since end

i-1

always equals start

i

. end

0

= 9 == 9 = start

1

end

1

= 4 == 4 = start

2

end

2

= 5 == 5 = start

3

Example 2:

Input:

pairs = [[1,3],[3,2],[2,1]]

Output:

[[1,3],[3,2],[2,1]]

Explanation:

This is a valid arrangement since end

i-1

always equals start

i

. end

0

= 3 == 3 = start

1

end

1

= 2 == 2 = start

2

The arrangements  $[[2,1],[1,3],[3,2]]$  and  $[[3,2],[2,1],[1,3]]$  are also valid.

Example 3:

Input:

pairs =  $[[1,2],[1,3],[2,1]]$

Output:

$[[1,2],[2,1],[1,3]]$

Explanation:

This is a valid arrangement since end

$i-1$

always equals start

$i$

. end

0

$= 2 == 2 = \text{start}$

1

end

1

$= 1 == 1 = \text{start}$

2

Constraints:

$1 \leq \text{pairs.length} \leq 10$

5

$\text{pairs}[i].\text{length} == 2$

$0 \leq \text{start}$

i

, end

i

$\leq 10$

9

start

i

$\neq \text{end}$

i

No two pairs are exactly the same.

There

exists

a valid arrangement of

pairs

## Code Snippets

### C++:

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<int>> validArrangement(vector<vector<int>>& pairs) {

    }
};
```

### Java:

```
class Solution {
    public int[][] validArrangement(int[][] pairs) {

    }
}
```

### Python3:

```
class Solution:
    def validArrangement(self, pairs: List[List[int]]) -> List[List[int]]:
```

### Python:

```
class Solution(object):
    def validArrangement(self, pairs):
        """
        :type pairs: List[List[int]]
        :rtype: List[List[int]]
        """
```

### JavaScript:

```
/**
 * @param {number[][]} pairs
 * @return {number[][]}
 */
```

```
var validArrangement = function(pairs) {

};
```

### TypeScript:

```
function validArrangement(pairs: number[][]): number[][] {

};
```

### C#:

```
public class Solution {
    public int[][] ValidArrangement(int[][] pairs) {

    }
}
```

### C:

```
/**
 * Return an array of arrays of size *returnSize.
 * The sizes of the arrays are returned as *returnColumnSizes array.
 * Note: Both returned array and *columnSizes array must be malloced, assume
 * caller calls free().
 */
int** validArrangement(int** pairs, int pairsSize, int* pairsColSize, int*
returnSize, int** returnColumnSizes) {

}
```

### Go:

```
func validArrangement(pairs [][]int) [][]int {

}
```

### Kotlin:

```
class Solution {
    fun validArrangement(pairs: Array<IntArray>): Array<IntArray> {
```



```
}  
}
```

### Swift:

```
class Solution {  
    func validArrangement(_ pairs: [[Int]]) -> [[Int]] {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Rust:

```
impl Solution {  
    pub fn valid_arrangement(pairs: Vec<Vec<i32>>) -> Vec<Vec<i32>> {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Ruby:

```
# @param {Integer[][]} pairs  
# @return {Integer[][]}  
def valid_arrangement(pairs)  
  
end
```

### PHP:

```
class Solution {  
  
    /**  
     * @param Integer[][] $pairs  
     * @return Integer[][]  
     */  
    function validArrangement($pairs) {  
  
    }  
}
```

### Dart:

```

class Solution {
    List<List<int>> validArrangement(List<List<int>> pairs) {

    }

}

```

### Scala:

```

object Solution {
    def validArrangement(pairs: Array[Array[Int]]): Array[Array[Int]] = {

    }

}

```

### Elixir:

```

defmodule Solution do
  @spec valid_arrangement(pairs :: [[integer]]) :: [[integer]]
  def valid_arrangement(pairs) do

  end

end

```

### Erlang:

```

-spec valid_arrangement(Pairs :: [[integer()]]) -> [[integer()]].
valid_arrangement(Pairs) ->

.

```

### Racket:

```

(define/contract (valid-arrangement pairs)
  (-> (listof (listof exact-integer?)) (listof (listof exact-integer?)))
)

```

## Solutions

### C++ Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs

```

```

* Difficulty: Hard
* Tags: array, graph, search
*
* Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
* Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
* Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
*/

class Solution {
public:
vector<vector<int>> validArrangement(vector<vector<int>>& pairs) {

}
};

```

### Java Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

class Solution {
public int[][] validArrangement(int[][] pairs) {

}
}

```

### Python3 Solution:

```

"""
Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
Difficulty: Hard
Tags: array, graph, search

Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique

```

```

Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
"""

class Solution:
def validArrangement(self, pairs: List[List[int]]) -> List[List[int]]:
# TODO: Implement optimized solution
pass

```

### Python Solution:

```

class Solution(object):
def validArrangement(self, pairs):
"""
:type pairs: List[List[int]]
:rtype: List[List[int]]
"""

```

### JavaScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

/**
 * @param {number[][]} pairs
 * @return {number[][]}
 */
var validArrangement = function(pairs) {

};

```

### TypeScript Solution:

```

/**
 * Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

function validArrangement(pairs: number[][]): number[][] {

};

```

### C# Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach
 */

public class Solution {
    public int[][] ValidArrangement(int[][] pairs) {

    }
}

```

### C Solution:

```

/*
 * Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
 * Difficulty: Hard
 * Tags: array, graph, search
 *
 * Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
 * Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
 * Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

```

```

*/

/**
 * Return an array of arrays of size *returnSize.
 * The sizes of the arrays are returned as *returnColumnSizes array.
 * Note: Both returned array and *columnSizes array must be malloced, assume
 caller calls free().
 */
int** validArrangement(int** pairs, int pairsSize, int* pairsColSize, int*
returnSize, int** returnColumnSizes) {

}

```

### Go Solution:

```

// Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
// Difficulty: Hard
// Tags: array, graph, search
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

func validArrangement(pairs [][]int) [][]int {

}

```

### Kotlin Solution:

```

class Solution {
    fun validArrangement(pairs: Array<IntArray>): Array<IntArray> {

    }
}

```

### Swift Solution:

```

class Solution {
    func validArrangement(_ pairs: [[Int]]) -> [[Int]] {

    }
}

```

```
}
```

### Rust Solution:

```
// Problem: Valid Arrangement of Pairs
// Difficulty: Hard
// Tags: array, graph, search
//
// Approach: Use two pointers or sliding window technique
// Time Complexity: O(n) or O(n log n)
// Space Complexity: O(1) to O(n) depending on approach

impl Solution {
    pub fn valid_arrangement(pairs: Vec<Vec<i32>>) -> Vec<Vec<i32>> {

    }
}
```

### Ruby Solution:

```
# @param {Integer[][]} pairs
# @return {Integer[][]}
def valid_arrangement(pairs)

end
```

### PHP Solution:

```
class Solution {

    /**
     * @param Integer[][] $pairs
     * @return Integer[][]
     */
    function validArrangement($pairs) {

    }

}
```

### Dart Solution:

```

class Solution {
  List<List<int>> validArrangement(List<List<int>> pairs) {

  }
}

```

### Scala Solution:

```

object Solution {
  def validArrangement(pairs: Array[Array[Int]]): Array[Array[Int]] = {

  }
}

```

### Elixir Solution:

```

defmodule Solution do
  @spec valid_arrangement(pairs :: [[integer]]) :: [[integer]]
  def valid_arrangement(pairs) do

  end
end

```

### Erlang Solution:

```

-spec valid_arrangement(Pairs :: [[integer()]]) -> [[integer()]].
valid_arrangement(Pairs) ->
.

```

### Racket Solution:

```

(define/contract (valid-arrangement pairs)
  (-> (listof (listof exact-integer?)) (listof (listof exact-integer?)))
)

```